ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re:	Petition	for rate increase by	
Progress Energy Florida, Inc.			

Docket No. 050078-EI Submitted for filing: August 1, 2005

PEF'S OBJECTIONS TO FLORIDA RETAIL FEDERATION'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 78-81)

Pursuant to Fla, Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, Rule 1.340 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Order Establishing Procedure in this matter, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") hereby serves its objections to the Florida Retail Federation's ("FRF's") Second Set of Interrogatories to PEF, Nos. 78-81, and states as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

PEF respectfully must object to FRF's Second Set of Interrogatories, Nos. 78-81, to the extent that they are improper under the applicable rules and Order. With respect to the "Definitions" and "Instructions," PEF objects to any definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with PEF's discovery obligations under applicable rules. If some question arises as to PEF's discovery obligations, PEF will comply with applicable rules and not with any of FRF's definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with those rules. Furthermore, PEF objects to any interrogatory that calls for PEF to create data or information that it otherwise does not have because there is no such requirement under the applicable rules and law.

PEF objects to FRF's definition "(C)" given that it includes "affiliates" in the definition of "PEF," and PEF objects to any definition or interrogatory that seeks to encompass persons or entities other than PEF who are not parties to this action and thus are

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

***** _____

Ж ____

3A ____

EC ____

TH ____

not subject to discovery. No responses to the interrogatories will be made on behalf of persons or entities other than PEF.

PEF must also object to FRF's Second Set of Interrogatories to PEF to the extent that they require PEF or PEF's retained experts to develop information or create material for FRF, presumably at PEF's expense. The purpose of discovery, of course, is to obtain information that already exists, not to require the other side to create information or material for the requesting party. PEF, therefore, is not obligated to incur the expense of performing or having its experts perform work for FRF to create information or material that FRF seeks in these interrogatories. PEF must object to the request because it is improper discovery to serve interrogatories on PEF that require PEF to incur expense to do work or create information for another party.

Additionally, PEF generally objects to FRF's interrogatories to the extent that they call for data or information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law.

Further, in certain circumstances, PEF may determine upon investigation and analysis that information responsive to certain interrogatories to which objections are not otherwise asserted are confidential and proprietary and should be produced only under an appropriate confidentiality agreement and protective order, if at all. By agreeing to provide such information in response to such an interrogatory, PEF is not waiving its right to insist upon appropriate protection of confidentiality by means of a confidentiality agreement, protective order, or the procedures otherwise provided by law or in the Order Establishing Procedure. PEF hereby asserts its right to require such protection of any and

TPA#2068984 1 2

all information that may qualify for protection under the Florida Rules of Civil

Procedure, the Order Establishing Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, rules and

PEF also objects to any interrogatory that calls for projected data or information

beyond the year 2006 or prior to 2004 because such data or information is irrelevant to

this case and has no bearing on this proceeding, nor is such data or information likely to

lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Furthermore, if an interrogatory does not

specify a timeframe for which data or information is sought, PEF will interpret such

interrogatory as calling only for data and information relevant to the years 2004-2006.

Finally, PEF objects to any attempt by FRF to evade the numerical limitations set

on interrogatories in the Order Establishing Procedure by asking multiple independent

questions within single individual questions and subparts.

By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish

its right to assert additional general and specific objections to FRF's discovery at the time

PEF's response is due under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and the Order

Establishing Procedure. PEF provides these general objections at this time to comply

with the intent of the Order Establishing Procedure to reduce the delay in identifying and

3

resolving any potential discovery disputes.

Respectfully submitted,

R. ALEXANDER GLENN Deputy General Counsel -- Florida PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE

COMPANY, LLC

legal principles.

100 Central Avenue, Ste. 1D

GĀŔŸ L. SASSO

Florida Bar No. 622575

JAMES MICHAEL WALLS

Marine M. Trubett

Florida Bar No. 0706272

JOHN T. BURNETT

TPA#2068984.1

St. Petersburg, FL 33701 Telephone: (727) 820-5587

Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

Florida Bar No. 173304 DIANNE M. TRIPLETT Florida Bar No. 0872431 CARLTON FIELDS, P.A. Post Office Box 3239 Tampa, FL 33601-3239

Telephone: (813) 223-7000 Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

ТРА#2068984.1 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished electronically and via U.S. Mail this \(\frac{157}{2} \) day of August, 2005 to all counsel of record as indicated below.

Attorney Anjouth

Jennifer Brubaker

Felicia Banks

Jenniser Rodan

Office of the General Counsel

Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Harold McLean

Office of the Public Counsel

c/o The Florida Legislature

111 W. Madison Street, Room 812

Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mike B. Twomey

P.O. Box 5256

Tallahassee, Fl. 32314-5256

Counsel for AARP

Robert Scheffel Wright.

John T. LaVia, III,

Landers & Parsons, P.A.

310 West College Avenue (ZIP 32301)

Post Office Box 271

Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Counsel for Florida Retail Federation

Alan R. Jenkins

McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP

John W. McWhirter, Jr.

McWhirter, Reeves, Davidson, Kaufman

& Arnold, P.A.

400 North Tampa Street, Ste. 2450

Tampa, FL 33601-3350

-and-

Timothy J. Perry

McWhirter, Reeves, Davidson, Kaufman

& Arnold, P.A.

117 South Gadsden Street

Tallahassee, FL 32301

Counsel for Florida Industrial Power

Users Group

C. Everett Boyd, Jr.

Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP

2282 Killearn Center Blvd.

Tallahassee, FL 32309

James M. Bushee

Daniel E. Frank

Andrew K. Soto

Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP

1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20004-2415

Richard A. Zambo

Richard A. Zambo, P.A.

2336 S.E. Ocean Boulevard, #309

Stuart, Florida 34996

5

One Peachtree Center	-and-
303 Peachtree Street, Suite 5300	
Atlanta, Georgia 30308	Karin S. Torain
Counsel for the Commercial Group	PCS Administration, (USA), Inc.
	Suite 400
	Skokie blvd.
	Northbrook, IL 60062
	Counsel for White Springs

трл#2068984.1 6