BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

In re: Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s) petition for approval of storm cost recovery clause for extraordinary expenditures related to Hurricanes) Charley, Frances, Jeanne, and Ivan.

Docket No.: 041272

Submitted for Filing: August 15, 2005

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC'S MOTION TO VACATE AUTOMATIC STAY

Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF" or "the Company"), pursuant to Rule 25-22.061, F.A.C., and Rule 9.310, Fla. R. App. Pro., moves to vacate the automatic stay entered pursuant to Rule 9.310 by the appeal of the Office of Public Counsel ("OPC") of Order No. PSC-05-0748-FOF-EL

On July 14, 2005, in Order No. PSC-05-0748-FOF-EI, this Commission granted PEF's petition for approval of its storm cost recovery clause providing for the recovery of PEF's extraordinary storm recovery expenses in the amount of \$231,839,389 directly from PEF's customers over the next two years. On August 12, 2005, OPC filed a Notice of Appeal of the Final Order. Under Rule 9.310, Fla. R. App. Pro., OPC's notice of appeal automatically stays Order No. PSC-05-0748-FOF-EI, pending review by the appellate court. Rule 9.310 further provides that this automatic stay may be lifted by the lower tribunal. In this case, however, the stay can and must be lifted by the Commission under Rule 25-22.061, F.A.C. Rule 25-22.061 provides that when a public body appeals an order involving an increase in a utility's rates, the Commission *shall* vacate the stay upon motion by the utility and the posting of a bond or corporate undertaking. See Rule 25-22.061(3)(a), F.A.C. (emphasis added). For the following reasons, the automatic stay resulting from OPC's appeal should be vacated in this case pursuant to Rule 25-22.061(3)(a).

Under Rule 25-22.061(3)(a), if the appealed order involves a utility's increased rates, the Commission must vacate the stay upon motion by the utility. Although the storm recovery charges PEF is authorized to collect from PEF's customers do not increase PEF's base rates, they do amount to an increase in what the customers are paying for their service. The Commission has vacated an automatic stay where the appealed order involved an increase in what customers paid for service. In Re: Application for amendment of Certificate No. 247-S by North Fort Myers Utility, Inc., In Re: Application for limited proceeding for approval of current service rates, charges, classifications, rules, and regulations, Order No. PSC-95-0612-PCO-SU, 1995 Fla. PUC Lexis 734 (May 19, 1995). The term "rates," as used in this rule, more broadly encompasses any and all payments collected by a utility from its customers. Indeed, all customer payments, whether they are called rates, charges, or something else, reflect costs incurred by the utility and will impact a utility's financial condition if they cannot be collected because of the automatic stay. Thus, the intent of the rule, to allow a utility to receive payment from customers for costs incurred while an appeal is pending upon assurance that reimbursement will be made if the order is reversed, applies equally to all customer charges, whatever they are called. Therefore, the automatic stay must be lifted pursuant to Rule 25-22.061(3)(a) to allow PEF to collect payments from customers for the storm costs PEF incurred as authorized by the Commission.

Here, PEF is willing to collect this monthly charge subject to refund, like the Commission allowed Florida Power & Light ("FPL") to do even before FPL's storm recovery cost proceeding was fully litigated. <u>See In re: Petition for authority to recover prudently incurred</u> <u>storm restoration costs related to 2004 storm season</u>, Order No. PSC-05-0187-PCO-EI, Docket No. 041291-EI (Feb. 17, 2005). A copy of PEF's corporate undertaking in this regard as

2

required by Rule 25-22.061(3)(a), F.A.C., is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." In the FPL proceeding, the Commission authorized FPL to collect charges from its customers before the Commission approved the reasonableness of those costs. The Commission did so based on FPL's commitment that it would refund to customers any of the costs it collected that were subsequently disallowed by the Commission after the hearing. In the instant case, PEF's storm costs have now been approved by the Commission following a fully litigated hearing. As such, there is even more justification for allowing PEF to collect subject to refund while OPC appeals the Commission's order in PEF's case.

As additional justification for permitting PEF to collect its 2004 hurricane costs from customers, the 2005 hurricane season has begun, with the state of Florida having already experienced tropical storms and hurricanes. In order to ensure that PEF will have the financial capability to promptly respond to storms affecting PEF's service territory during the current hurricane season, and maintain reliable electric service, PEF must start recovering its 2004 storm costs now. Indeed, this concern regarding the recovery of 2004 storm costs before the start of the 2005 hurricane season is precisely why the Commission permitted FPL to recover its 2004 storm costs before the full evidentiary hearing. See Order No. PSC-05-0187-PCO-EI. In that proceeding, the Commission accepted as reasonable FPL's argument that "implementing any surcharge after the start of the 2005 hurricane season would leave FPL having not recovered any of its 2004 storm damage costs in excess of its reserve."

PEF further requests, pursuant to Rule 25-22.061(5), F.A.C., that its motion to vacate the automatic stay be ruled upon by Commissioner Bacz, who is currently assigned as the prehearing officer in this case. Under subsection (5), all motions filed pursuant to subsection (3) of Rule 25-22.061 may be ruled upon by the Chairman or the Commissioner assigned as the prehearing

3

officer in the case. Because PEF is seeking relief pursuant to subsection (3)(a) of this rule, PEF

requests that Commissioner Baez rule on its motion to vacate the automatic stay.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, PEF moves to vacate the automatic stay

entered upon OPC's appeal of Order No. PSC-05-0748-FOF-EK

R. ALEXANDER GLENN Deputy General Counsel – Florida PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY, LLC 100 Central Avenue, Ste. 1D St. Petersburg, FL 33701 Telephone: (727) 820-5587 Facsimile: (727) 820-5519 GARY L. SASSO Florida Bar No. 622575 JAMES MICHAEL WALLS Florida Bar No. 0706272 JOHN T. BURNETT Florida Bar No. 173304 CARLTON FIELDS, P.A. Post Office Box 3239 Tampa, FL 33601-3239 Telephone: (813) 223-7000 Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing has been furnished to the following counsel of record electronically and via U.S. Mail on this $\underline{\sqrt{M}}$ day of August, 2005.

Jennifer Brubaker, Esquire Office of the General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

John W. McWhirter, Esquire McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson Kaufman & Arnold, P.A. 400 North Tampa St. Tampa, FL 33602

Michael B. Twomey Post Office Box 5256 8903 Crawfordville Road (32305) Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256 Attorney for Buddy L. Hansen and Sugarmill Woods Civic Association, Inc. and AARP Tim Perry, Esquire McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson Kaufman & Arnold, P.A. 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Patricia A. Christensen, Esquire Office of the Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison St., Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Robert Scheffel Wright John T. LaVia, III Landers & Parsons, P.A. 310 West College Avenue Post Office Box 271 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Attorneys for Florida Retail Federation

Am J. U.S.

Attorney



H. William Habermeyer, Jr. President and Chief Executive Officer Progress Energy Florida, Inc

August 15, 2005

Mr. Braulio Baez Chairman Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

> Re: Docket Number 041272 Storm Cost Recovery Subject to Refund

Dear Mr. Baez:

In connection with Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") action taken on July 14, 2005 in Docket No. 041272-EI, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. hereby submits this Corporate Undertaking for all amounts collected under the approved storm recovery charge subject to potential refund as the Commission may order subsequent to the outcome of the Office of Public Counsel's appeal of Order No. PSC-05-0748-FOF-EI.

Sincerely,

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.

MU H. William Habermeyer, Jr.

By:

Title: President and Chief Executive Office

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED before me this ____ day of August, 2005.

Notary Public

Printed name:

My Commission Expires:

P.O. Box 14042 MAC - CX2C St. Petersburg, FL 33733

TPA#2060595.1



EXHIBIT A