BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Joint Petition of TDS Telecom d/b/a
TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone,
ALLTEL Florida, Inc., Northeast
Florida Telephone Company d/b/a
NEFCOM, GTC, Inc. d/b/a GT Com,
Smart City Telecommunications, LLC
d/b/a Smart City Telecom, ITS
Telecommunications Systems, Inc. and
Frontier Communications of the South,
LLC, ("Joint Petitioner") objecting to
and requesting suspension of Proposed
Transit Traffic Service Tariff filed by
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

Docket No. 050119-TP

In re: Petition and complaint for suspension and cancellation of Transit Traffic Service Tariff No. FL2004-284 filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC.

Docket No. 050125-TP

Filed: March 1, 2006

MetroPCS California/Florida, Inc.'s Motion to Compel Responses to Interrogatories by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

MetroPCS California/Florida, Inc. ("MetroPCS"), pursuant to rule 28-106.303, Florida Administrative Code, files this Motion to Compel BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") to respond to MetroPCS's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 3 and 5). As grounds for the motion, MetroPCS states:

- 1. On January 27, 2006, MetroPCS, pursuant to Rule 1.340, Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and Rule 28-106.206, Florida Administrative Code, served its First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-12) to BellSouth.
 - 2. Interrogatory No. 3 asked BellSouth:

Please refer to Exhibit KRM-2 to the direct testimony of BellSouth witness Kenneth McCallen. For each of the CLECs identified in that exhibit, please state the number of minutes of transit traffic delivered by such CLEC to BellSouth in Florida during

each month beginning with the effective date of the "Total Transit Charges" identified for that CLEC in such exhibit through the most recent month for which such data are available.

3. Interrogatory No. 4 asked BellSouth:

Please identify each and every CLEC with which BellSouth has a currently effective interconnection agreement in Florida that provides for "Total Transit Charges" of less than \$0.0023 per MOU, and for each such CLEC, state the "Total Transit Charges" and the effective date of the interconnection agreement. In other words, please provide the same information as that contained in Exhibit KRM-2 to the direct testimony of BellSouth witness Kenneth McCallen for each CLEC with which BellSouth has a currently effective Florida interconnection agreement that is not identified on such Exhibit.

4. Interrogatory No. 5 asked BellSouth:

For each CLEC identified in your response to Interrogatory No. 4, please state the number of minutes of transit traffic delivered by such CLEC to BellSouth in Florida during each month beginning with the effective date of the "Total Transit Charges" identified for that CLEC in your response to Interrogatory No. 4 through the most recent month for which such data are available.

- 5. On February 16, 2006, BellSouth served responses and General and Specific Objections to MetroPCS's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-12) and MetroPCS's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Nos. 1-4).
- 6. BellSouth objected to MetroPCS's Interrogatory Nos. 1 and 2 on relevance grounds. MetroPCS does not concede that the information sought by MetroPCS's Interrogatory Nos. 1 and 2 "is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is not relevant to the subject matter of this docket" as asserted by BellSouth, but MetroPCS does not seek to compel responses to Interrogatory Nos. 1 and 2 at this time. MetroPCS reserves the right to move to compel responses to Interrogatory Nos. 1 and 2 after the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") rules that BellSouth's charges for transit service must be based upon cost.

7. BellSouth made the following specific objection to MetroPCS's Interrogatory No. 3:1

BellSouth objects to Interrogatory No. 3 on the grounds it is unduly burdensome, overly broad, and oppressive as written, particularly as MetroPCS is requesting information on a total of two hundred and five (205) CLECs for an average period of three years. In addition, the information MetroPCS has requested is not readily available because BellSouth does not have a mechanized inventory system from which such information could be extracted. BellSouth also objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information subject to the FCC's Customer Proprietary Network Information ("CPNI") rules, 47 CFR §64.2007. BellSouth will only provide CPNI consistent with the FCC's rules. Subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, the specific information requested by MetroPCS is not readily available.

8. BellSouth made the following specific objection to MetroPCS's Interrogatory No. 5:

BellSouth objects to Interrogatory No. 5 on the grounds it is unduly burdensome, overly broad, and oppressive as written, particularly as MetroPCS is requesting information on a total of two hundred and five (205) [sic] CLECs for an average period of three years. In addition, the information MetroPCS has requested is not readily available because BellSouth does not have a mechanized inventory system from which such information could be extracted. BellSouth also objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information subject to the FCC's Customer Proprietary Network Information ("CPNI") rules, 47 CFR §64.2007. BellSouth will only provide CPNI consistent with the FCC's rules. Subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, the specific information requested by MetroPCS is not readily available.

9. As an initial matter, it is important to note that BellSouth has not objected to the relevance of the information sought in Interrogatory Nos. 3 and 5 to the matter before the Commission. This information is critical to MetroPCS's demonstration that most of the CLECs who BellSouth asserts have agreed to pay its Transit Intermediary Charge do not originate enough transit traffic to justify the cost of resisting BellSouth's demand. It is also important to note that this information is available *only* from BellSouth. MetroPCS can obtain it from no other source.

.

BellSouth included eleven (11) "General Objections" in its responses to MetroPCS's First Set of Interrogatories. None of these "general" objections (other than number 8, which replicates part of BellSouth's "specific" objections to MetroPCS's Interrogatory Nos. 3 and 5) are related to MetroPCS's Interrogatory Nos. 3 and 5.

- 10. BellSouth's objection to providing the information has two grounds: 1) that the requests are burdensome, and 2) that response would require BellSouth to reveal CPNI information. Both grounds are without merit as discussed below.
- 11. The primary basis for BellSouth's objection appears to be that "MetroPCS is requesting information on a total of two hundred and five (205) CLECs for an average period of three years."²
- 12. In order to attempt to resolve this dispute without Commission involvement, counsel for MetroPCS conferred with counsel for BellSouth. MetroPCS has agreed to accept significantly less information in response to MetroPCS's Interrogatory Nos. 3 and 5 than it originally requested.³ Specifically, counsel for MetroPCS has agreed that, rather than providing MetroPCS the "number of minutes of transit traffic delivered by [each] such CLEC to BellSouth in Florida during each month beginning with the effective date of the "Total Transit Charges' identified for that CLEC ... through the most recent month for which such data are available," BellSouth could provide only the number of minutes of transit traffic delivered by each CLEC for a *single month*, *viz.*, November, 2005, if BellSouth also provided the number of transit minutes delivered to BellSouth by MetroPCS in the same month. MetroPCS's counsel also advised BellSouth's counsel that MetroPCS would accept the data with the identities of individual CLECs redacted in order to address BellSouth's concern about the confidentiality of the information (as discussed below), despite the fact that counsel for

This objection, although asserted *verbatim* by BellSouth with respect to both Interrogatory Nos. 3 and 5, is inapplicable to Interrogatory No. 5 to the extent that BellSouth asserts that "MetroPCS is requesting information on a total of two hundred and five (205) CLECs." Based upon BellSouth's response to MetroPCS's Interrogatory No. 4, Interrogatory No. 5 requests information concerning only nine (9) CLECs.

Because MetroPCS has agreed to accept significantly less information and because MetroPCS has agreed that the identities of individual CLECs may be redacted, MetroPCS requests that the Commission make it clear that BellSouth must respond separately to Interrogatory Nos. 3 and 5, which seek information concerning different groups of CLECs.

BellSouth and MetroPCS have executed a Protective Agreement that would prohibit any improper

use or disclosure of the information.

13. Despite MetroPCS's willingness to compromise, BellSouth still refuses to respond to

this relevant discovery.

14. BellSouth claims that it "does not have a mechanized inventory system from which

such information could be extracted." This, however, does not make the request burdensome.

Further, MetroPCS's request, as narrowed by its counsel, will require significantly less effort on

BellSouth's part than the monthly reports that BellSouth files in Georgia Public Service Commission

("GPSC") Docket No. 16772-U. In that docket, BellSouth volunteered to file a report each month

showing the number of transit minutes originated by each CLEC in Georgia to each incumbent local

exchange carrier ("ILEC") in Georgia other than BellSouth. See Order on the Payment of

Termination Charges and Centralized Reporting, GPSC Docket No. 16772-U (adopted August 16,

2005) at 2 ("BellSouth proposes that it file the monthly traffic data BellSouth shall report the

required monthly traffic information for all CLECs and ILECs on an aggregate basis")⁴ A true

and correct copy of BellSouth's filing in that docket for November, 2005 is attached hereto as

Exhibit B.

15. In its monthly filings in GPSC Docket No. 16772-U, BellSouth reports *separately* the

number of minutes of transit traffic originated by each CLEC to each ILEC other than BellSouth and

the number of minutes of transit traffic originated by each ILEC to each CLEC. By contrast,

MetroPCS's narrowed request to BellSouth in this docket seeks only the total number of transit

minutes originated by each Florida CLEC. It does not require BellSouth to identify only the transit

A true and correct copy of this order, which lacks the GPSC's stationery and signatures because it was printed from the Word file posted on the GPSC's web site, is attached to this motion as Exhibit A.

minutes delivered to ILECs and *separately* report them for each terminating ILEC, as it must do in its Georgia reports. Thus, BellSouth's claim that it would be "unduly burdensome" for it to provide MetroPCS the number of transit minutes originated by each Florida CLEC and by MetroPCS for a single, designated month⁵ must be rejected. BellSouth files significantly more detailed transit traffic reports in GPSC Docket No. 16772-U without any party or the GPSC having requested it to do so. BellSouth's voluntary, monthly Georgia filings establish that its objection that responding to MetroPCS's Interrogatory Nos. 3 and 5, at least as narrowed by counsel for MetroPCS, would be "overly burdensome" is meritless.

- 16. To the extent that BellSouth's objections to MetroPCS's Interrogatory Nos. 3 and 5 are based upon the assertion that the information sought is "subject to the FCC's Customer Proprietary Network Information ('CPNI') rules" and that "BellSouth will only provide CPNI consistent with the FCC's rules," such a contention is easily disposed of for several reasons.
- 17. First, BellSouth's objection here is inconsistent with its response to Item No. 1 of the Small LECs' First Set of Interrogatories. That interrogatory asked BellSouth:

For each individual Small LEC, please provide a list of the third-party carriers to whom BellSouth provides intermediary transit tandem switching and transport for traffic that: (a) originates from such third-party carriers and terminates to any of the individual Small LECs described above; or (b) originates from any of the individual Small LECs described above and terminates to any such third party carriers.

18. In its response to the Small LECs' Interrogatory No. 1, BellSouth identified by name each facilities-based CLEC and Meet Point Billed commercial mobile radio service ("CMRS")

Although counsel for MetroPCS specifically suggested that BellSouth provide the requested data for November, 2005, if for any reason it would be less difficult for BellSouth to provide the requested data for a different, recent month, MetroPCS will instead accept data for any month from July, 2005, through December, 2005, the same months for which BellSouth has filed transit traffic data in GPSC Docket No. 16772-U as of the date of this motion. Because BellSouth's response to Interrogatory No. 1 of the Small LECs' First Set of Interrogatories is based upon November, 2005 data, however, MetroPCS would prefer data for that month.

carrier that exchanged traffic with any of the Small LECs using BellSouth's transit service during the

month of November, 2005 and made no objection that the information was CPNI.

19. BellSouth did not hesitate publicly to identify the CLECs and CMRS carriers that

delivered at least one transit call to BellSouth for delivery to a Small LEC in November, 2005 or the

Small LEC(s) to which those CLECs and CMRS carriers directed transit traffic. If the information

sought by MetroPCS's Interrogatory Nos. 3 and 5 is CPNI, the information that BellSouth provided

in response to the Small LECs' Interrogatory No. 1 is no less so. Moreover, in its response to the

Small LECs' Interrogatory No. 1 BellSouth stated that it was "concerned that company specific

information regarding the exchange of information between specific companies may be considered

proprietary" and provided additional information concerning those carriers' transit traffic to the

Small LECs through a password-protected web site. BellSouth did not, however, assert that any of

the information sought by the Small LECs' Interrogatory No. 1 was CPNI.

20. Second, to the extent that there is any merit to BellSouth's assertion that MetroPCS's

Interrogatory Nos. 3 and 5 request CPNI, the Protective Agreement between BellSouth and

MetroPCS would prohibit MetroPCS from using or disclosing any such CPNI in a manner

inconsistent with the FCC's CPNI rules. Upon information and belief, BellSouth has provided CPNI

in discovery responses pursuant to similar protective agreements on numerous occasions, at least

when directed to do so by the relevant state commission, including this Commission.

21. Third, in the spirit of compromise, MetroPCS has agreed that BellSouth may redact

the identities of individual CLECs, as it does in its Georgia reports, removing any claim that CPNI is

implicated.

WHEREFORE, MetroPCS respectfully requests that the Commission grant this motion to

compel and require BellSouth immediately to respond in full to Item Nos. 3 and 5 of MetroPCS's

First Set of Interrogatories or, in the alternative, to provide to MetroPCS the aggregate number of transit traffic minutes delivered to BellSouth during the month of November, 2005 by each CLEC in Florida (separately for the CLECs identified in BellSouth's Exhibit KRM-2 and for the CLECs identified in BellSouth's response to MetroPCS's Interrogatory No. 4) and by MetroPCS in Florida, with the identities of the CLECs redacted.

s/Vicki Gordon Kaufman

Charles V. Gerkin, Jr.
FRIEND, HUDAK & HARRIS, LLP
Suite 1450
Three Ravinia Drive
Atlanta, GA 30346
Telephone: 770-399-9500

Fax: 770-234-5965 cgerkin@fh2.com

Vicki Gordon Kaufman MOYLE FLANIGAN KATZ RAYMOND WHITE & KRASKER, PA 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone: 850-681-3828

Fax: 850-681-8788 ykaufman@moylelaw.com

MetroPCS's Motion to Compel Responses to Interrogatories by BellSouth Docket Nos. 050119-TP and 050125-TP Exhibit A

EXHIBIT A

DOCKET NO. 16772-U

IN RE: BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S PETITION FOR A DECLARATORY RULING REGARDING TRANSIT TRAFFIC.

ORDER ON THE PAYMENT OF TERMINATION CHARGES AND CENTRALIZED REPORTING

This matter comes before the Georgia Public Service Commission ("Commission") on a Request made by Commission Staff to Modify the Commission's previous Order in this docket.

Background

On April 2, 2004, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") filed a Motion to Adopt CLEC Transit Traffic Proposal. On July 1, 2004, the Commission issued a Procedural and Scheduling Order on BellSouth's Motion. On July 29, 2004, BellSouth and the Georgia Telephone Association ("GTA") filed a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU"). On September 10, 2004, the Commission issued an Amended Procedural and Scheduling Order seeking testimony on the MOU.

Hearings were held before the Commission on October 5-6, 2004. The Commission issued its Order on Transit Traffic Involving Competitive Local Exchange Carriers and Independent Telephone Companies in this docket on March 24, 2005. On March 29, 2005 Cbeyond Communications, LLC ("Cbeyond") filed a Motion for Clarification ("Motion"), and on April 1, 2005, GTA filed a Petition for Reconsideration ("Petition"). The Commission issued a subsequent Order on Reconsideration and Clarification on April 19, 2005. The Commission Staff hosted an industry workshop on July 12, 2005 to discuss the billing information BellSouth would provide to competitive local exchange companies ("CLECs") and Independent Telephone Companies ("ICOs") pursuant to Paragraph 2 of the MOU as modified in the Commission's Order.

Discussion

Termination Charges

During the course of the industry workshop, BellSouth presented processes that it would use to yield the billing data it would provide to both CLECs and ICOs pursuant to the Commission Order. At the conclusion of the presentation, BellSouth informally requested that as of August 1, 2005, it be allowed to cease paying transit charges on local calls, and implement the procedures presented to enable the end parties to properly bill one another.

Paragraph 4 of the MOU as modified by the Commission requires that "BellSouth . . . provide the same usage information and billing dispute assistance to CLECs as it has agreed to provide to the ICOs." (Order, p. 4). The Order also states that "upon the provisioning of valid billing data, BellSouth will cease payment of termination charges to the ICOs associated with CLEC originated traffic." *Id.* BellSouth has satisfied the requirement outlined in Paragraph 4, and the Commission therefore permits BellSouth to cease paying terminating charges as of September 1, 2005.

Centralized Reporting

BellSouth also made a proposal at the workshop to centralize monthly traffic reporting. BellSouth proposes that it file the monthly traffic data as required by the Order on a CLEC and ILEC aggregate basis. Under the proposal, each CLEC and ILEC will be given a generic alias by BellSouth (such as "CLEC A" or "ILEC 1"). BellSouth, as the primary transit provider, would provide the minutes of use information in aggregate for "CLEC A" to "ILEC 1", and so on. The only issue raised by other industry participants at the workshop was that the confidentiality of the information needed to be maintained.

Based on the industry workshop, the Commission concludes that the proposed "centralized reporting" will continue to provide information in a manner consistent with the original Order in such a way that is more efficient and preserves the confidential treatment of highly-sensitive traffic information. The Order and Order on Reconsideration issued by the Commission requires each LEC to provide its own traffic information. (Order on Reconsideration and Clarification, p. 2). Therefore, the Commission hereby modifies its Orders such that BellSouth shall report the required monthly traffic information for all CLECs and ILECs on an aggregate basis starting September 1st for July 2005 data. Nothing in this order modifies the obligation of the ICOs with regard to filing the NPA/NXXs, grouped by exchange as part of an Extended Area Service or County-wide calling routes, that are considered local calling areas within their service territories in addition to those that are considered local to exchanges in other ICO territories.

WHEREFORE IT IS ORDERED, that the Commission hereby finds that BellSouth has satisfied its requirement to provide valid billing data to the CLECs and ICOs pursuant to

language outlined in the Commission's Initial Order in this docket, and therefore permits BellSouth to cease payment of termination charges on local calls terminated by CLECs or ICOs.

ORDERED FURTHER, that the Commission hereby modifies it Order such that BellSouth shall file the monthly traffic information on behalf of CLECs and ILECs in a manner consistent with the provisions outlined in the body of this Order.

ORDERED FURTHER, that jurisdiction over this proceeding is expressly retained for the purpose of entering such further Order or Orders as this Commission may deem just and proper.

ORDERED FURTHER, that a motion for reconsideration, rehearing, or oral argument or any other motion does not stay the effective date of this Order, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

The above action of the Commission in Administrative Session on the $16^{\rm th}$ day of August, 2005.

REECE MCALISTER	ANGELA ELIZABETH SPEIR
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY	CHAIRMAN
DATE	DATE

MetroPCS's Motion to Compel Responses to Interrogatories by BellSouth Docket Nos. 050119-TP and 050125-TP Exhibit B

EXHIBIT B

Exhibit B to MetroPCS's Motion to Compel Responses to Interrogatories by BellSouth



BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Legal Department 1025 Lenox Park Boulevard Suite 6C01 Atlanta, GA 30319-5309

lisa.foshee@bellsouth.com

Lisa S. Foshee General Counsel - Georgia

404 986 1718 Fax 404 986 1800

January 3, 2006

DELIVERED BY HAND

Mr. Reece McAlister
Executive Secretary
Georgia Public Service Commission
244 Washington Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334-5701

Re: BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Petition for Declaratory Ruling Regarding Transit Traffic; Docket No. 16772-U

Dear Mr. McAlister:

Please find enclosed herein for filing an original and three (3) copies, as well as an electronic version, of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Minutes of Use Information for November 2005 pursuant to the Commission's Order on the Payment of Termination Charges and Centralized Reporting dated August 23, 2005. I would appreciate your filing same in the above-referenced proceeding and returning the one (1) extra copy stamped "filed" in the enclosed self-addressed and stamped envelope.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Yours very truly,

Lisa S. Foshee

LSF:nvd Enclosures

cc: Mr. Leon Bowles (via electronic mail at <u>leonb@psc.state.ga.us</u>)

Mr. John Kaduk (via electronic mail at jkaduk@psc.state.ga.us)

Parties of Record (via electronic mail)

1,343	CLEC 11 229	CLEC 12 CLEC 13	CLEC 14 CLEC 15 10,478 281 20,239 18,422	C 15 CLEC 16	28 28 265 111 35	CLEC 18	CLEC 19	CLEC 2 467 43	CLEC 20 63 166,677 54,109 192,687	CLEC 21 Cl 10 8,265 486	CLEC 22
432 42 70	69		760 760 6,311 9,413 40,485		29			47 11 346	190 38,714 350,316	18 39 2,188	•
487	8 4 4 47 9	1,359	18,312 19,704 54,544 20,430 7,541 108,504	3 118,774	4,4 101,2 19,9	87,538	2,948,146	267	211,784 106,915 70,489	19	177
1,249 52 1 1	14 532,972 923	486 8 2 2 420	33 919 42.942 191 2,095,207 54,607	27,052	85 7 7 3 17,118 5 25,288	38,269	865,024	97 20 - 11,802	1,459 146,726 6,123,324 25,875 160,016	177 23 54 101,829	399
6,829	508 3 44 13 535,138	512	2,780 30,981 2,711,267	54,927	136 23 7 39,042 3 208,039	34,272 197,753	2,340,237	13,100	291,470	113,208	576

NOVEMBER 2005 US, Sum of MOU				1 1										
FROM ICO ALIAS	CLEC 23	CLEC 24	CLEC 25	CLEC 26 (CLEC 27	CLEC 28	CLEC 29	CLEC 3	CLEC 30	CLEC 32	CLEC 33	CLEC 34	CLEC 35	CLEC 36
100 10	008'9	126	2			47	•				201			
ICO 11	182,754				30	225,285		17,589			988			
ICO 12	603,058	8,413	26,594			512,269	30,780	2	233		3,340		704	809
ICO 13	511,870	1,443	83,408			261,937	836		425		791		79	34
ICO 14	•					81,489					951			
ICO 15	2,436							27,809			•			
100 16	3,519	906	740			541	275	•	49		1,180		49	20
100 17	225,765							122			501			
100 18	35,979	383	101			18,272	5,304	•	36		459		6	∞
ICO 19	999,680	8,045	40,443			1,035,357	11,921		292		2,839		699	390
1002	215					163,351		6,425						
ICO 20	1,255,842				462	96,701		73			1,100			
ICO 21	63,745				53	138		594,221			243			
ICO 22	2,089,441				239	142,076		131			1,673			
ICO 23	329,903					133,233		133,246			293			
ICO 24	200,605							2,233,675			390			
100 25	3,156,890	∞				182,687	3,145	7,199	38		6,627			
100 26				327,035						140,550		7,696		
ICO 27	446,309	2,166	4,463			30,925	38,738	•	147		2,598		1,159	216
ICO 28	930							2,915			19			
ICO 29				1,156,915						199,362		822		
1003	13,923	2,444	2,697			6,264	3,026	•	93		919		153	92
ICO 30	71,972	124	12			166,663	4,063		9		1,151			-
ICO 31	123	49	2				4,436		19		35			
100 36	14,034,536	142,989	3,817,614		1,512	10,164,987	301,893	3,227,810	3,405		117,810		10,754	4,205
100 37	4,572		16,050	326,195				3,688		137,214	55	1,009		
100 38	72,306					952					6			
100 4	867					1,016		•			က			
100 5	5,923					23		~			49			
100 6	4,262				1	792		12			6			
1007				231,883						65,220		1,338		
8 00	29,931				1 624	521		57,471			100			
600	1,077,343				1,024	102,401		200			1070			
Grand Total	26,031,199	167,096	3,995,129	2,042,028	3,920	13,389,018	404,417	6,312,490	4,799	542,346	147,591	10,865	13,576	5,574

NOVEMBER 2005 US, Sum of MOU	Ś													
FROM ICO ALIAS	CLEC 37	CLEC 38	CLEC 39	CLEC 4	CLEC 40	CLEC 41	CLEC 42 CLEC 43	3 CLEC 44	CLEC 45	CLEC 46	CLEC 48	CLEC 49	CLEC 5	CLEC 50
ICO 10	20	33,527		12		747				2				
ICO 11		31				30			499					
ICO 12	438	31,182		15,630	808	57,694	58,553	223,183	998'9		13,349	23,237	3,841	
100 13	9/	3,196		1,939	22	24,707	4,851	21,498	925		1,114	836	518	
100 14										186				
ICO 15		261							272	-				
ICO 16	80	978		222	1,986	1,735		32	418		118	_	138	
ICO 17		ı				11,594			4,589	7				
100 18	641	22,721		678	10,602	761		59	178	9	66	7,137	120	
ICO 19	555	71,593		13,580	47	144,112	24,292	131,692	5,383		28,801	4,399	1,673	
1002		2												
ICO 20		5				10,103			12,284					2,764
100 21		1,102			1,587	26			2,508					204
ICO 22						68,944			19,729					3,425
100 23		84							32	15,113				2
ICO 24									31	342				
100 25	•	6		29	33,508	86,089	238	3 91	2,398	747	-	178		
ICO 26		702	88,863						1,059					
ICO 27	327	223,558		16,205	116	88,515	591	36,117	15,050		3,963	33,449	1,607	
ICO 28		2				24			162					25
ICO 29		2	174,379						1,250					
1003	425	5,371		3,171	186	1,830	32	10,775	1,410		2,060	2,312	672	
100 30	168	182		109	31,075	17,924		25	191	260	35		26	
ICO 31		1,937		22		13	55	25,857	19		20	1,435		
ICO 36	5,528	564,805		190,887	529	2,257,024	389,948	1,103,335	306,231	10,052	188,085	521,032	48,304	2,019
ICO 37		528	54,796			12,525			362	-				96′9
ICO 38		•								209				
100 4									29					
100 5		107							321					46
9 021		,							77					4
100 7		1,233	48,954						233					
ICO 8		4								9,571				
6 001		•				64,702			39,213					3,904
Grand Total	8,289	963,122	366,992	242,857	80,466	2,849,099	478,322 238	1,552,664	421,719	36,797	237,675	594,016	56,899	19,189

NOVEMBER 2005 US, Sum of MOU	3										
FROM ICO ALIAS	CLEC 51	CLEC 52	CLEC 53	CLEC 54 (CLEC 55 CLEC 56	C 56 CLEC 59	CLEC 6 CLEC 60	30 CLEC	7 CLEC 8	CLEC 9	Grand Total
ICO 10		7.1							4,145		55,809
50.13		0		Ç			0		0	1	427,981
21.00	044	106,0		747			900		38,678	3,706	1,870,479
100 13	252	1,078		7			99		2,679	142	999,171
ICO 14											286,611
ICO 15											30,849
ICO 16	25	302		တ			30		2,110	46	17.323
ICO 17			23,026		150						272,065
ICO 18	29	311		က			-		342	40	152,555
ICO 19	367	6,798		20			423		660'6	2,400	2,938,399
1002											170,001
ICO 20											1,402,144
ICO 21			21								785,125
ICO 22											2,400,203
100 23											844,131
100 24			4		•						2,442,588
ICO 25		9	231,062		56				410	2	3,927,023
ICO 26						28		25,266			3,747,046
ICO 27	82	5,858		73			149		13,508	1,107	1,170,038
ICO 28											4,209
ICO 29								30,453			2,494,014
1003	147	1,055		45			81		2,457	370	68,926
100 30	7	47		-					1,796	က	485,606
ICO 31		7							38	2	34,366
100 36	7,173	86,775	682,712	2,729	14	•	9,195	3	386,094	31,651	47,504,386
ICO 37					34	•		21,997	33		3,572,627
100 38											238,963
100 4										-	2,435
100 5											6,650
9001											5,223
1007								20,147			2,798,956
8 001											391,848
		3,0	200								2,023,638
Grand Total	8,726	109,213	936,825	2,933	224	28	10,851 3	97,863	461,389	39,472	83,571,608

GA PSC FILE NOV05 USAGE CLEC TO FROM ICO .xis NOVEMBER 2005 USAGE CLEC TO ICO

100 20 100 21 100 22
57 11,208 6,439
1,239 179
ć
141 698
16 227
110
216,1
808
2,938
;
Ξ
006 45 506 04 370

GA PSC FILE NOV05 USAGE CLEC TO FROM ICO .xls NOVEMBER 2005 USAGE CLEC TO ICO

4,000 3 5,012 3 25,705 28	5,502 5,317 3,329 25 25	1,937
2,139	ю	
52	913	
3.270	- 688 - 689	
90,363 3,362 15,507 25,092 247 5,879 2,053	6 5,075 2 2 974 52,538 16,403 53,403 740 1,228 2,788 73,680 9,568 16,512 1,628 1,628	1,519
565,245 812 6,184 4,523 3,699 1,199 149,923 32,195 21,988 1,736	3,334 2,294 3,819 11,596 234,100 158,123 139,325 5,455 24,969 200,272 1,754 25,685 504,587 7,936 260,024 4 2,458 1,628 1,628	16 14,838
5,450	8,239	
3,775 58 58 4 4	7 970 1,039 771 90,745	6
5,221 2,761 116 8 3,077	2,319 2,034 31,015 88 7 7 71 598 66 7,040	
3,375 17,828 25 806 197 4	3,337 52 4,252 417 11,979 2,388 2,169 64,169	1,095
35,238	8,719 217 20,950	1,464
5.738	~	
75,804 24 560 436 9,596 175	22 94 1,150 37,431 2,555 2,115 83 2,254 28,626 10,183 12,906 325,721	4.491
9,026	2,577	5,217
20.697 271 1,355 2,112	27 180 1,792 36,563 36,563 9 1,126 1,126 7,066 2,759 1,403	
CLEC 14 CLEC 14 CLEC 16 CLEC 17 CLEC 2 CLEC 22 CLEC 22 CLEC 22 CLEC 22 CLEC 23 CLEC 23 CLEC 23	06 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	CLEC 60 CLEC 7 CLEC 8
7 4 9 ½	CLEC 2 46 CLEC 2 2 CLEC 2 3 CLEC 3 CLEC 3 3 CLEC 3 CL	2

GA PSC FILE NOV05 USAGE CLEC TO FROM ICO xis NOVEMBER 2005 USAGE CLEC TO ICO

ALIAS	ICO 8 IC	6 001	Grand Total
			4,202
			1,386
	3,009	48,294	1,054,731
		20,609	51,442
			160,559
	007.00		6,658
	00,100		4,545
			4,671
			201,102
			47,602
			3 160
			31.253
		105	6,691
			3,557
			33,314
	77		18,299
			8,111
			7,127
			4,779
	23		397 527
	67		98.061
			185,848
			060'69
			168,171
			6,457
			33,105
		į	436,523
	20,635	/7	32,014
			26,471
			30,400
		7	ţ
		6,470	24,410
			96,596
			3/1/82/
			3,035
			2,642
			3,063
			18
			12,241
			20
			10,137
			29,855

PARTIES OF RECORD

Docket No. 16772-U

Ms. Jeanette Mellinger Consumers' Utility Counsel Division 2 Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive Suite 356, East Tower Atlanta, GA 30334 (404) 656-7710 o; (404) 651-9394 f jeanette.mellinger@cuc.oca.state.ga.us

Stephen G. Kraskin, Esquire Kraskin, Lessee & Cosson LLC 2129 L Street, N.W. Suite 520 Washington, DC 20037 (202) 296-9055 o; (202) 296-8893 [Counsel for GTA] skraskin@klctele.com jsilk@gta.org

Dulaney L. O'Roark III, Esquire MCI, Inc.
Six Concourse Parkway
Suite 600
Atlanta, GA 30328
(770) 284-5498 o; (770) 284-5488 f
[Counsel for MCI]
de.oroark@mci.com

William R. Atkinson, Esquire Sprint Communications Company L.P. 3065 Cumberland Circle Mailstop GAATLD0602 Atlanta, GA 30339 [Counsel for Sprint] (404) 649-4882 o; (404) 649-1652 f bill.atkinson@mail.sprint.com Daniel S. Walsh, Esquire Attorney General's Office Department of Law – State of Georgia 40 Capitol Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, GA 30334-1300 (404) 657-2204 o; (404) 656-0677 f dan.walsh@law.state.ga.us

Suzanne W. Ockleberry, Esquire
AT&T Communications
of the Southern States, Inc.
1230 Peachtree Street, 4th Floor
Atlanta, GA 30309
(404) 810-7175 o; (404) 877-7645 f
[Counsel for AT&T Communications]
sockleberry@att.com

David I. Adelman, Esquire
Charles B. Jones III, Esquire
Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP
999 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30309
(404) 853-8206 o; (404) 853-8806 f
[Counsel for MCI]
[Counsel for Cbeyond]
david.adelman@sablaw.com
clay.jones@sablaw.com

William W. Maycock, Esquire Smith, Gambrell & Russell Suite 3100, Promenade II 1230 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30309 (404) 815-3500 o; (404) 815-3509 f [Counsel for Sprint] bmaycock@sgrlaw.com Charles F. Palmer, Esquire
David K. Wilson, Esquire
Troutman Sanders LLP
600 Peachtree Street, N.E.
5200 Bank of America Plaza
Atlanta, GA 30308-2215
(404) 885-3000 o; (404) 885-3900 f
[Counsel for Cellco Partnership d/b/a
Verizon Wireless, ICG Telecom,]
and SouthernLINC]
charles.palmer@troutmansanders.com
david.wilson@troutmansanders.com

Newton M. Galloway, Esquire
Galloway & Lyndall, LLP
The Lewis Mill House
406 North Hill Street
Griffin, GA 30223
(770) 233-6230 o; (770) 233-6231 f
[Counsel for Public Service Cellular,
US LEC of Georgia, Inc., SECCA,
KMC Telecom III, LLC and KMC
Telecom V, Inc., Florida Digital Network]
ngalloway@gallyn-law.com

Terri M. Lyndall, Esquire
Galloway & Lyndall, LLP
3525 Piedmont Road, N.E.
Six Piedmont Center, Suite 303
Atlanta, GA 30305
(404) 256-2422 o; (404) 256-1402 f
[Counsel for Public Service Cellular,
US LEC of Georgia, Inc., SECCA
KMC Telecom III, LLC and KMC
Telecom V, Inc., Florida Digital Network]
tlyndall@gallyn-law.com

Matthew Feil, Esquire
General Counsel
Florida Digital Networks, Inc.
390 North Orange Avenue, Suite 2000
Orlando, FL 32801
(407) 835-0460 o; (407) 835-0309 f
[Counsel for Florida Digital Network]
mfeil@floridadigital.net

Charles A. Hudak, Esquire
Ronald V. Jackson, Esquire
Friend, Hudak & Harris, LLP
Three Ravinia Drive, Suite 1450
Atlanta, GA 30346-1231
(770) 399-9500 o; (770) 395-0000 f
[Counsel for Time Warner Telecom
of Georgia, L.P.]
chudak@fh2.com
rjackson@fh2.com

Charles B. Welch Jr., Esquire
Farris, Mathews, Branan, Bobango
& Hellen, PLC
618 Church Street, Suite 300
Nashville, TN 37219
(615) 726-1200 o; (615) 726-1776 f
[Counsel for Time Warner Telecom
of Georgia, L.P.]
cwelch@farrismathews.com

Dan Menser, Esquire
Shannon Reilly, Esquire
T-Mobile USA, Inc.
12920 SE 38th Street
Bellevue, WA 98006
(425) 378-4000 o; (425) 920-2638 f
[Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc.]
dan.menser@t-mobile.com
shannon.reilly@t-mobile.com

Suzanne Toller, Esquire
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 450
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 508-6600 o; (202) 508-6600 g
[Counsel for AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.]
suzannetoller@dwt.com

Nanette S. Edwards, Esquire ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. 7037 Old Mansion Pike, Suite 400 Huntsville, AL 35806 (256) 382-3856 o; (256) 382-3036 f [Counsel for ITC^DeltaCom] nedwards@itcdeltacom.com

Frank B. Strickland, Esquire
Anne W. Lewis, Esquire
Strickland Brockington & Lewis LLP
Midtown Proscenium, Suite 2000
1170 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30309
(678) 347-2204 o: (678) 347-2210 f
[Counsel for Xspedius Communications]
fbs@sbllaw.net
awl@sbllaw.net

Ms. Julia Strow Cbeyond Communications, Inc. 320 Interstate North Parkway, S.E. Suite 300 Atlanta, GA 30339 (678) 424-2429 o julia.strow@cbeyond.net

Mr. Philip L. Forbes
USCarrier Telecom
Suite 200
180 Interstate North Parkway
Atlanta, GA 30339
(678) 454-1415 o; (678) 454-1429 f
pforbes@uscarrier.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion to Compel Responses to Interrogatories was served via electronic mail and first class United States mail this 1st day of March, 2006, to the following:

Felicia Banks
Michael Barrett
Linda King
Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee FL 32399-0850
fbanks@psc.state.fl.us
mbarrett@psc.state.fl.us
lking@psc.state.fl.us

Tracy Hatch
AT&T Communications of the Southern
States, LLC
101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549
Phone: (850) 425-6364
FAX: 425-6361

J. Jeffry Wahlen Ausley & McMullen, P.A. P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Phone: 850-425-5471 FAX: 222-7560 jwahlen@ausley.com

thatch@att.com

Nancy B. White/R. D. Lackey BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556 Phone: 850-577-5555

Phone: 850-577-5555 FAX: 222-8640

Nancy.sims@bellsouth.com Nancy.white@bellsouth.com Ken Hoffman/Martin McDonnell/M. Rule Rutledge Ecenia et al. P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551 Phone: 850-681-6788 FAX: 681-6515 ken@reuphlaw.com marty@reuphlaw.com

William R. Atkinson Sprint Nextel (GA) Mailstop GAATLD0602 3065 Cumberland Circle SE Atlanta, GA 30339 Phone: 404-649-4882 FAX: 404-649-1652 bill.atkinson@sprint.com

Charles V. Gerkin, Jr.
Friend, Hudak & Harris, LLP
Three Ravinia Drive, Suite 1450
Atlanta, GA 30346
Phone: 770-399-9500
FAX: 770-234-5965
cgerkin@fh2.com

Floyd Self Messer, Caparello & Self 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 1701 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: 850-222-0720 FAX: 850-224-4359 fself@lawfla.com Charles F. Palmer Verizon Wireless Troutman Sanders LLP 600 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 5200 Atlanta, GA 30308-2216

Michael A. Gross Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc. 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 mgross@fcta.com

> s/Vicki Gordon Kaufman Vicki Gordon Kaufman