ORIGINAL

EMBARQ

Embarq Corporation Mailstop: FLTLHO0102 1313 Blair Stone Rd. Tallahassee, FL 32301 EMBARQ.com

RECEIVED - FPSC

SEP 25 PH 4: 3

Voice Data Internet Wireless Entertainment

September 25, 2006

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 000121B-TP

Dear Mrs. Bayó:

COMEnclosed for filing on behalf of Embarq Florida, Inc. is an original and 2 copies of
Embarq's September 2006 Root Cause Analysis (RCA) report as required by Order
Number PSC-03-0176-CO-TP in Docket 000121B-TP. This order required that any
failure in three consecutive months to meet any performance for a given level of
disaggregation shall require a RCA by Sprint, which shall then be published on a
monthly basis. This report is for results for the period of May 2006 through July
2006 as published in the June, July and August reports.

 CA
 A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please stamp it to indicate that the original was

 SCR
 filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

 SGA
 SGA

SEC | Sincerely,

:MP

Susan & Masterton TH

Ju-Susan S. Masterton

Enclosures

cc: David Rich Jerry Hallenstein Tabitha Hunter Lisa Harvey

FILED FPSC-8UREAU OF RECORDS

Susan S. Masterton COUNSEL LAW AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS- REGULATORY Voice: (950) 599 JE60N T NUMBER - DAT Fax: (850) 878-0777 0 8 8 5 8 SEP 25 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. mail to all known parties of record this 25th day of September, 2006.

Felicia Banks Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

AT&T (GA) Virginia C. Tate/Lisa A. Riley 1200 Peachtree St., NE Suite 8100 Atlanta, GA 30309

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. Michael A. Gross 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. **Tracy Hatch** 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549

Pennington Law Firm Peter Dunbar/Karen Camechis P.O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P. Ms. Carolyn Marek **Time Warner Telecom 233 Bramerton Court** Franklin, TN 37069-4002

Susan S. Masterton



Root Cause Analysis Report (reflects July 2006 data published August 20) rvice Commission If there is non-compliance at the aggregate level in three consecutive months for a given level of disaggregation, Embarq shall provide a report of root cause analysis on a monthly basis. Embarq's root cause analysis shall include a plan for corrective action with key activities and anticipated completion dates for implementation. September 2006 Root Cause Analysis Report (reflects July 2006 data published August 20) Florida Public Service Commission

Background

and anticipated completion dates for implementation. 00

Measure 6: Average Jeopardy Notice Interval Submeasure 6.01.01: Residential POTS - Assignment

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
CLEC orders appear to have shorter jeopardy notice intervals than ILEC orders. In many situations ILEC customers will request a due date beyond the standard interval, particularly where new construction is performed. In these instances, orders are jeopardized due to lack of facilities which results in a long jeopardy notice intervals. Embarq's CLEC and retail service results do not contain a proportionate number of orders with requested due dates longer than the intervals offered thus the results are skewed.	2Q 2006	4Q 2006			Embarq will propose to exclude due dates requested for customer reasons in our 2006 Performance Measurement Plan filing which will help bring Embarq into compliance. If approved, a coding change will be implemented 4Q2006. This measure is compliant for July 2006.

Measure 7: Average Completed Interval Submeasure 7.02.02: Business POTS - No Field Work							
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan		
Orders from a certain ordering system are erroneously routing to the NEAC for completion and are creating a backlog. Additionally, the center has an unusually high volume of orders being routed back over the hot printer.	3Q 2006	4Q 2006	60-70% of orders		Investigation is in progress to determine the proper method for these orders to be completed and if the NEAC is really where these orders should be routed. If they should be routing to the ordering center a new process will be created to minimize the number of orders which are routed to the hot printer.		

Measure 11: Percent of Due Dates Missed Submeasure 11.101.01: UNE Loops x-DSL Provisioned – Field Work							
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan		
Embarq cannot currently identify UNE loops behind remote end offices prior to dispatch, which is causing	1Q 2004	3Q 2006 2Q 2006	40-50% of orders		During implementation of a process to identify UNE Loops behind remote end offices in September 2005, Embarq discovered		

1

90

R SEP

5 8

80

 \bigcirc



extended intervals and double dispatches.	4 Q-2005	20-30% of	that many of the indicators used to identify UNE Loops behind
	2Q 2005	orders	remote end offices were inaccurate. The data is now correct and
	1Q 2005	60-70% of	the indicators are currently in use however positive results are not
	4 Q 2004	days	expected until 2Q 2006. The Analysis team continues to address
	2Q-2004		the indicator issue with the National CLEC Provisioning Center.
	-	1	Follow up meeting have uncovered some coaching opportunities
		1 1	and incorrect TOS indicators. Additional meetings are scheduled
			with facilities to review the issue.

Measure 11: Percent of Due Dates Missed Submeasure 11.11.01: UNE Loops Non-Designed – Field Work

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Imprøvement Plan
Embarq cannot currently identify UNE loops behind remote end offices prior to dispatch, which is causing extended intervals and double dispatches.	1Q 2004	3Q 2006 2Q 2006 4 Q 2005 2Q 2005 1Q 2005 1Q 2005 4Q 2004 2Q 2004	70-80% of orders 60-70% of days		During implementation of a process to identify UNE Loops behind remote end offices in September 2005, Embarq discovered that many of the indicators used to identify UNE Loops behind remote end offices were inaccurate. The data is now correct and the indicators are currently in use however positive results are not expected until 2Q 2006. The Analysis team continues to address the indicator issue with the National CLEC Provisioning Center. Follow up meeting have uncovered some coaching opportunities and incorrect TOS indicators. Additional meetings are scheduled with facilities to review the issue.

Measure 17a: Percentage of Troubles within 5 days f Submeasure 17a.01: Residential POTS Description of Issue	or New Or Start Date	ders Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
A disproportionate number of CLEC customers are reporting facilities issues than ILEC customers.	2Q 2005	4Q 2006 2Q 2006 1Q 2006 4 Q 2005 3Q 2005	96% of trouble tickets		Embarq continues to emphasize completion testing on service orders and is replacing outside plant cables that contribute to trouble tickets. Embarq is also reaching out to CLECs with high levels of troubles to further investigate the issue. Meetings have begun to discuss CLEC arbitrage policies that affect Embarq's compliance. Embarq's account management and analysis team are working with affected CLECs to improve understanding and communication of repair issues.

Measure 18: Average Completion Notice Interval					
Submeasure 18.03: Electronic/Manual Mix		-			
Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	



Service center representatives are not clearing errors within the objective. Orders are not completed by Embarq's order routing system if they contain a specific indicator. This also prevents downstream systems from sending the orders back in a timely fashion.	4Q 2005	4Q 2006 2Q 2006	40-50% of orders 60-70% of orders 30-40% of orders	for corrective action. Enhancements were made to two systems in January to correct the issue and one system enhancement is scheduled for 4Q 2006. In the mean time Embarg has engaged a
An Embarq system which is responsible for notifying the CLEC of completion was unavailable for several hours this month.	2Q 2006	3Q 2006 2Q 2006	90% of orders	A Tiger team has been created by Network Services to investigate the outage and prevent a recurrence.

Measure 19: Customer Trouble Report Rate Submeasure 19.101: UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned

Submeasure 19.101: UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned	·····	····	·····		
Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	
Data is being accumulated to identify actionable causes	1Q 2006	2Q 2006	20% of	3Q 2006	Embarq has completed an equipment replacement project
for troubles. A 3-month study indicates that tickets are	4 Q 2005	1Q-2006	trouble		designed to lessen customer troubles. In addition, Embarq is
excluded with a disposition code of CPE a higher			tickets		making several improvement measures to mitigate troubles.
percentage of the time for retail troubles (34% average)					Load reduction - Continue to replace defective cable in
than CLEC troubles (17% average). Embarq is					areas with a high trouble rate
investigating the difference and impacts.					Preventive maintenance - Check and repair faulty pairs in
	· · ·				plant that can lead to trouble
					This measure is compliant for July 2006.
A disproportionate number of CLEC customers are	2Q 2006	2Q 2006	80% of	3Q 2006	Embarq has identified CLECs with high levels of troubles and is
reporting facilities issues than ILEC customers.			trouble		working with account management to discuss issues with the
			tickets		CLECs. Meetings have begun to discuss CLEC arbitrage policies
	1				that affect Embarq's compliance. Embarq's account management
					and analysis team are working with affected CLECs to improve
					understanding and communication of repair issues.
					This measure is compliant for July 2006.
An Embarq system erroneously defaults all UNE Loops	1Q 2006	4Q 2006	TBD	3Q 2006	
xDSL order codes to "other" which does not adequately			1		scheduled to be implemented in 4Q 2006. The enhancement will
differentiate the cause of troubles.					allow for further refinement of reporting by breaking out the
			1		tickets coded as "other" to provide a clearer picture of what was
		1			worked on.
					This measure is compliant for July 2006.

Measure 20: Percent of Customer Trouble Not Resolved Within Estimated Time									
Submeasure 20.101.01: UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned - Dispatch									
Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan				
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date					



Embarq technicians or contractors working the xDSL	3Q 2006	3Q 2006	60%	[Embarq is providing refresher training overviews with all Embarq
loops refer the trouble tickets to technicians with ISDN	-	-			technicians as well as contract companies on CLEC Methods &
knowledge. This results in longer intervals for a CLEC					Procedures to help bring awareness, education and attention to
that has installed ISDN on an xDSL loop than it does for				l .	these types of issues. CLEC tickets will be directed to Embarg
a Embarq customer that has xDSL equipment on an			(·		employees to ensure the highest level of expertise. Embarg has
xDSL loop.			l		converted many contractors to full time employees and are
					conducting additional training for those employees.

Measure 21: Average Time to Restore Submeasure 21, 101,01: UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned - Dispatch

Submeasure 21.101.01: UNE Loops XDSL Provisioned - Dispatch								
Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan			
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date				
Embarq technicians or contractors working the xDSL	1Q 2006	3Q 2006	60%		Embarq is providing refresher training overviews with all Embarq			
loops refer the trouble tickets to technicians with ISDN		2Q-2006			technicians as well as contract companies on CLEC Methods &			
knowledge. This results in longer intervals for a CLEC					Procedures to help bring awareness, education and attention to			
that has installed ISDN on an xDSL loop than it does for					these types of issues. CLEC tickets will be directed to Embarg			
a Embarq customer that has xDSL equipment on an					employees to ensure the highest level of expertise. Embarg has			
xDSL loop.					converted many contractors to full time employees and are			
					conducting additional training for those employees.			

Measure 39: E911 MS Database Update Interval Submeasure 39.02: Direct Gateway Input

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Embarq experienced issues centered on a Service Order Interface (SOI) server migration that prevented CLEC records from processing to the E911 database within 48 hours.		4Q 2006	2%	10/2006	Server migration projects will be completed in October 2006.