

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

DOCKET NO. 070001-EI

Fuel and Capacity Cost Recovery Final True-Up for the Period January through December, 2006

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOSEPH MCCALLISTER

April 2, 2007

A. My name is Joseph McCallister. My business address is 410 South Wilmington Street, Raleigh, North Carolina 27601.

Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

Please state your name and business address.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

41

12

13

14

16

MP

OM

TR

CR

3CL

OPC

RCA

SCR .

SGA ________

OTH _____

SEC

Q.

A. I am employed by Progress Energy Carolinas in the capacity of Director,
Gas & Oil Trading.

Q. Have your duties and responsibilities remained the same since you last testified in this proceeding?

A. Yes, my responsibilities for the procurement and trading of natural gas and oil on behalf of Progress Energy Florida (PEF or the Company) have remained the same.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to summarize the results of PEF's hedging activity for 2006 and to provide the information required by Order No. PSC-

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

FPSC-COMM SSION CLERK

02800 APR-25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

02-1484-FOF-EI which approved the resolution of the hedging related issues pending before the Commission in Docket No. 011605-EI.

Q. Have you prepared exhibits to your testimony?

 A. Yes. I have attached exhibit JM-1T which summarizes hedging information for 2006.

Q. What are the primary objectives of PEF's hedging strategy?

A. The primary objectives of PEF's hedging strategy are to mitigate fuel price risk and volatility and provide greater price certainty to PEF's customers.

Q. What hedging activities did PEF undertake during 2006 for fuel and wholesale power.

PEF continued to perform the daily management activities outlined in its 14 Α. Risk Management Plan and executed physical and financial transactions in 15 accordance with established company risk management guidelines. With 16 respect to hedging natural gas prices for 2006, PEF had fixed price 17 physical contracts and financial instruments that resulted in net fuel cost 18 savings to customers of approximately \$62.1 million. With respect to 19 hedging heavy and light oil prices for 2006, PEF had fixed price financial 20 instruments that resulted in net fuel costs savings to customers of 21 approximately \$56.9 million. In total, the gas and oil hedging activity for 22 2006 resulted in net fuel cost savings to customers of approximately \$119 23 million. In addition, during 2006 PEF made economic energy purchases 24 and wholesale power sales to third parties that resulted in additional 25 savings to customers of \$24.4 million and \$2 million, respectively. 26

27

2

1
2

3 4

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes

Progress Energy Florida, Inc.					
070001-EI					
McCallister					
JM-1T					

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.

Hedging information provided as part of the 2006 Fuel Clause Final True-up Filing as required by Order No. PSC-02-1484-FOF-El, Issued October 30, 2002 in Docket No. 011605-El

Hedging Instrument	Fuel Type	Total 2006 Volume Hedged	Avg Period of Hedge	Total Cost of Hedge	Total Gain/(Loss) of Hedge
OTC Financial Instruments	Heavy Oil				
OTC Financial Instruments	Light Oil				
OTC Financial Instruments	Natural Gas				
Fixed Physical Price Contracts	Natural Gas				
Total Net Savings					\$118,999,150