

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition To Determine Need For an Electrical Power Plant in Taylor County by Florida Municipal Power Agency, JEA, Reedy Creek Improvement District and City of Tallahassee. | DOCKET NO. 060635-EU
DATED: June 12, 2007

**FLORIDA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY, JEA, REEDY CREEK
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT AND CITY OF TALLAHASSEE'S (APPLICANTS')
OBJECTIONS TO THE SIERRA CLUB, JOHN HEDRICK, REBECCA J.
ARMSTRONG, BRIAN LUPIANI, AND ANTHONY VIEGBESIE'S
FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 1-8)**

Florida Municipal Power Agency, JEA, Reedy Creek Improvement District and the City of Tallahassee, collectively referred to as the "Applicants," pursuant to Rule 28-106.206, Florida Administrative Code, Rule 1.340, Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Order Establishing Procedure in this matter (No. PSC-06-0819-PCO-EU), hereby serve their Objections to the First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-8) propounded by the Sierra Club, John Hedrick, Rebecca J. Armstrong, Brian Lupiani, and Anthony Viegbesie.

OBJECTIONS

1. Please provide a detailed description and documentation of the modified assumptions used by the City of Tallahassee in its integrated resource planning process ("IRP") used to assess the impact of, and adjust for: (i) the inaccurate load point for Purdom unit 8 used in the TEC original analysis, (ii) the elevated projections of TEC output for Tallahassee, now reduced in deference to Tallahassee local generating units; and (iii) reduction of TEC as a fully dispatchable system resource.

OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1: Applicants object to this interrogatory as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The City of Tallahassee's internal integrated resource planning effort is not relevant to the sole issue to be addressed at the reconvened hearing: The updated modeling and results discussed in the supplemental testimony of Myron Rollins. Without waiving such objection, Applicants will provide the requested information.

3. Isn't it correct that in Tallahassee's updated IRP analysis of a natural gas expansion plan (Case 4), and in the TEC analysis of a gas expansion plan, the projections of natural gas prices were not updated beyond the 2005 market prices utilized in the original analysis of Case 4?

DOCKET NO. 060635-EI
APPLICANTS' OBJECTIONS TO SIERRA CLUB'S
FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 1-8)

OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3: Applicants object to this interrogatory as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The City of Tallahassee's internal integrated resource planning effort is not relevant to the sole issue to be addressed at the reconvened hearing: The updated modeling and results discussed in the supplemental testimony of Myron Rollins. Without waiving such objection, Applicants will provide the requested information.

4. Isn't it correct that the results of Tallahassee's revised IRP analysis of Case 4, using the 2005 gas market data, include a reduction in the cost of a natural gas generation option of more than \$14 million from the previous analysis of the gas option, and, a difference in excess of \$40 million between the increased cost of TEC in the revised IRP, and the revised cost of a gas plan? Please explain the basis of this result.

OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4: Applicants object to this interrogatory as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The City of Tallahassee's internal integrated resource planning effort is not relevant to the sole issue to be addressed at the reconvened hearing: The updated modeling and results discussed in the supplemental testimony of Myron Rollins. Without waiving such objection, Applicants will provide the requested information.

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of June, 2007.

HOPPING GREEN & SAMS, P.A.

/s/Gary V. Perko
Gary V. Perko
Carolyn S. Raepple
Virginia C. Dailey
Hopping Green & Sams, P.A.
123 S. Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, FL 32314
(850) 222-7500 (telephone)
(850) 224-8551 (facsimile)
Email: GPerko@hgslaw.com
CRaepple@hgslaw.com
VDailey@hgslaw.com

Attorneys for Florida Municipal Power
Agency, JEA, Reedy Creek Improvement
District, and the City of Tallahassee

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Applicants' Objections to The Natural Resources Defense Council's Third Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 9- 25) in Docket No. 060635-EU was served upon the following by electronic mail on this 12th day of June, 2007:

Jennifer Brubaker, Esq.
Katherine Fleming, Esq.
Legal Division
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

E. Leon Jacobs, Jr.
Williams, Jacobs & Associates, LLC
P.O. Box 1101
Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Jeanne Zokovitch Paben
Brett M. Paben
WildLaw
1415 Devils Dip
Tallahassee, FL 32308-5140

Suzanne Brownless
1975 Buford Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32308

Patrice L. Simms
Natural Resources Defense Council
1200 New York Ave., NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005

Harold A. McLean, Esq.
Office of Public Counsel
111 West Madison Street
Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Valerie Hubbard, Director
Department of Community Affairs
Division of Community Planning
2555 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-2100

Michael P. Halpin
Department of Environmental Protection
2600 Blairstone Road MS 48
Tallahassee, FL 32301

/s/Gary V. Perko

Attorney