BEFORE THE 1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 DOCKET NO. 070052-EI In the Matter of: 3 PETITION BY PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, 4 INC. TO RECOVER COSTS OF CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3 UPRATE THROUGH FUEL CLAUSE. 5 6 7 8 ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE 9 A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, 10 THE .PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY. 11 12 PREHEARING PROCEEDINGS: 13 COMMISSIONER MATTHEW M. CARTER, II 14 BEFORE: PREHEARING OFFICER 15 DATE: Monday, July 23, 2007 16 Commenced at 9:30 a.m. TIME: Concluded at 9:55 a.m. 17 Betty Easley Conference Center 18 PLACE: Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way 19 Tallahassee, Florida 20 REPORTED BY: LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR Official FPSC Reporter 21 (850) 413-6734 22 23 24

25

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSI 6 309 JUL 24 5

APPEARANCES:

J. MICHAEL WALLS, ESQUIRE, and DIANNE M. TRIPLETT,

ESQUIRE, Carlton Fields Law Firm, Post Office Box 3239, Tampa,

Florida 33601-3239, appearing on behalf of Progress Energy

Florida, Inc.

TIMOTHY R. QUALLS, ESQUIRE, Young van Assenderp, 225 South Adams Street, Suite 200, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of the Florida Retail Federation.

JOSEPH A. McGLOTHLIN, ESQUIRE, and PATRICIA
CHRISTENSEN, ESQUIRE, Office of the Public Counsel, c/o The
Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812,
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1499, appearing on behalf of the
Citizens of the State of Florida.

JOHN W. MCWHIRTER, JR., ESQUIRE, McWhirter, Reeves & Davidson, P.A., 400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450, Tampa, Florida 33601-3350, appearing on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

JAMES W. BREW, ESQUIRE, Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C., 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW, Eighth Floor, West Tower, Washington, DC 20007-5201, appearing on behalf of PCS Phosphate - White Springs.

Т	APPEARANCES (CONCINUED):
2	MICHAEL B. TWOMEY, SR., ESQUIRE, Post Office Box
3	5256, Tallahassee, Florida 32314-5256, appearing on behalf of
4	AARP.
5	LISA BENNETT, ESQUIRE, and KEINO YOUNG, ESQUIRE, FPSC
6	General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
7	Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the
8	Florida Public Service Commission Staff.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1

PROCEEDINGS

2	l	
	и	

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Let's go on the record. this hearing to order.

4

3

Staff, would you please read the notice.

5

this time and place has been set for a prehearing in Docket

MR. YOUNG: Pursuant to notice issued June 27, 2007,

6 7

Number 070052-EI regarding the petition by Progress Energy

8

Florida to recover costs for the Crystal River 3 uprate through

9

the fuel clause.

Retail Federation.

10

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. We'll take appearances

11

from my right to left and then we'll go to staff.

12

recognized.

13

MR. WALLS: Hello, Commissioner. My name is Mike

14

Walls. I'm here with Dianne Triplett with the firm of Carlton

Fields representing Progress Energy Florida.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. QUALLS: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner. is Timothy Qualls. I'm here on behalf of Schef Wright from the firm of Young van Assenderp on behalf of the Federal (sic.)

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Good morning. Joe McGlothlin for the Office of Public Counsel.

MR. McWHIRTER: John McWhirter for FIPUG.

MR. BREW: Good morning. James Brew of the firm of Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone for PCS White Springs.

MR. TWOMEY: Good morning, Commissioner Carter. Mike

Twomey on behalf of AARP. 1 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Staff. 2 MR. YOUNG: Keino Young, Lisa Bennett, Samantha 3 4 Cibula for staff. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Did I forget anyone 5 on -- okay. Good. Excellent. 6 7 Staff, are there any preliminary matters? MR. YOUNG: Commissioner, at this point there are no 8 preliminary matters and none is expected. 9 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Thank you. Most of you 10 have been before me before as a prehearing officer and you know 11 I like to get through it and just kind of zero in on wherever 12 there may be some issues. So as such, we'll just go through 13 the draft prehearing order. I'll stop at Section VI. And just 14 first of all see if there's any questions on anything from 15 Section I through V. 16 Hearing none, Section VI, the order of witnesses. 17 Any questions? 18 MR. McWHIRTER: Mr. Carter, FIPUG has a concern that 19 this hearing may not be completed in one day as anticipated. 20 And Mr. Pollock, who is the last direct witness, needs to get 21 22 on on that specific day, and I was wondering if we could 23 quarantee that his testimony will be on Tuesday.

word "guarantee." You know, do you want to -- let's rephrase

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. McWhirter, you used the

24

1	<pre> it. Let's see if is it possible, that's what you're asking;</pre>
2	right?
3	MR. McWHIRTER: Well, what, what I would request is
4	that say we get to after lunch and there are four remaining
5	witnesses, that we take Mr. Pollock out of order. And perhaps
6	if OPC would consent to having him go first, that would be
7	sufficient.
8	MR. McGLOTHLIN: If, if there's a need to accommodate
9	Mr. Pollock's schedule at the time, we would not object to
10	that.
11	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Any, any other parties
12	want to be heard on this?
13	MR. WALLS: No objection.
14	MR. TWOMEY: No objection from here.
15	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Staff?
16	MR. YOUNG: No objection, Commissioner.
17	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Then we'll just try to
18	work it out then. How about that, Mr. McWhirter?
19	MR. McWHIRTER: Thank you very much.
20	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Excellent. Excellent.
21	MR. QUALLS: Mr. Commissioner, I'm sorry to
22	interrupt.
23	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir. You're recognized.
24	MR. QUALLS: I just noticed a couple of mistakes on
25	the appearances page. First of all, my name is spelled wrong.

It's Timothy, take the N out. And then it's the Florida Retail 1 2 Federation, not the Federal Retail Federation. 3 COMMISSIONER CARTER: They're probably just hazing 4 you since it's your first time. 5 MR. QUALLS: I needed it. Yes. 6 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Staff will make the necessary 7 corrections. MR. QUALLS: Thank you. 8 9 (Laughter.) 10 MR. McGLOTHLIN: And while we're backing up for just a second, let me enter the appearance of Patricia Christensen 11 12 who is also present today. 13 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Good. I thought I saw her come in earlier. Good morning. 14 15 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Good morning. 16 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Staff will make the necessary 17 adjustments. 18 Any other preliminary matters we need to do? Okay. Excellent. Section VII -- everyone is cool on Section VI and 19 20 the order of witnesses? Any questions or concerns? 21 Okay. Let's move forward. Section VII, basic positions. No questions, no concerns? 22 23 We are on now, hearing none, Section VIII, issues and 24 positions. As I understand it, there is a dispute among the 25 parties about the wording of the first sentence in Issue 1; is

that correct?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, Commissioner Carter. And others may have something to say about it too, but I thought I would --

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Hang on a second here. Let me get staff to kind of set this up in terms of where we're going here.

MR. YOUNG: Yes, Commissioner Carter. The exact wording for the first sentence in Issue 1 is disputed. The two options presented by staff and the parties are as follows:

"Should the Commission authorize clause recovery in lieu of base rates of the prudent and reasonable costs of the following," that's Option 1. And the parties in favor of that position are OPC, the Florida Retail Federation and AARP.

Option 2, "Should the Commission authorize clause recovery of the prudent and reasonable costs of the following?"

And this issue is -- parties in favor of this issue are White Springs, Progress Energy Florida, FIPUG and staff.

The parties may wish to speak to -- on the exact wording of the first sentence in Issue 1, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Let me, let me do this.

You guys can split up your time however you wish, but let's

just give five minutes per side on this, on Option 1 and Option

2. So who wants to be heard on Option 1?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I do if --

COMMISSIONER CARTER: You've got five minutes per side.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I won't need the full five minutes.

First of all, Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Hang on a second. Let me get the clock here so I can see it.

You are recognized.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: First of all, Commissioner, it's not disputed that the types of costs being considered here, the costs of the uprated capacity of the nuclear unit, ordinarily are the costs that would be base rate related, recovered through base rates. And absent the special dispensation sought by the petitioner they would be recovered through base rates.

Also, in our testimony we make the point that the vast majority of these costs will not be incurred until after 2009, and the company therefore has an opportunity, a full opportunity to file a base rate proceeding and request any warranted increase to incorporate those costs prior to their incurrence.

So we contend that the first issue should be worded to recognize that there are two alternatives: Base rate recovery or clause recovery. And that is the issue here; this Option 2 excludes any administrative performance. And we think that's a mistake, first of all, because absent some reference to base rate recovery, the reader could receive the mistaken

impression that absent clause recovery there is no recovery at all, and that's not true. If clause recovery is not provided by the Commission, if their petition is not granted, those costs will be covered through base rates. That's the way it works.

I would also make the point that in Issue 2, which is the following issue which says, "If clause recovery is provided, should it be through the fuel clause or the capacity cost recovery clause," in that wording both alternatives are recognized. And with respect to the testimony offered by the parties, Progress Energy Corporation proposes to recover it through the fuel cost recovery clause, FIPUG proposes to recover it through the capacity cost recovery clause, and to tee that issue up in a neutral way both alternatives are recognized. And that's the same thing we're asking with respect to Issue 1. We contend that fairness, among other things, requires that to the extent a party such as OPC who, who contends that base rate recovery is the way to go, that should be, should have equal time with, with the company's request, which is clause recovery.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: You have three minutes remaining.

This is on Option 1, for Option 1. This is the side that's speaking now, for Option 1.

MR. McWHIRTER: Mr. Carter, when FIPUG agreed to

Option 2, we thought that it would be implicit that the utility would be entitled to recover its prudent expenses through base rates should cost recovery be denied and we assented to that.

Having considered and listened to Mr. McGlothlin's presentation, I think his phraseology is technically more explicit and I think I now would favor that language as opposed to the language we originally agreed to.

MR. TWOMEY: And, Commissioner --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. Twomey, you're recognized. You have two minutes remaining.

Just briefly on the same side as Public MR. TWOMEY: Counsel and FIPUG. The neutral statement should be key here, Commissioner Carter. And as Mr. McGlothlin said, there is the risk by a reader, particularly a new reader not yet fully versed in all the aspects of rate regulation, that if you look at the wording in the Alternative 2, that it appears to be an all-or-nothing proposition. Either it's through the clause as requested by the company, and if they don't get it, that they're out of luck. And as Mr. McGlothlin said clearly, that if they don't get it through one of these recovery clauses, whether it's fuel conservation or one of the others, that they will still get their money in due time through base rates. AARP joins Public Counsel in saying that by picking the one advanced by, by us and worded primarily by Public Counsel, it puts both sides of the story up there -- clause, rate base,

pick one. It's a Chinese menu. You get one or the other but 1 you don't get left out. You don't go without food. 2 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. One minute remaining. 3 You're recognized. 4 MR. BREW: Thank you, Commissioner. From White 5 Springs' perspective the case is about how the costs are 6 recovered. 7 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Are you arguing for Option 1? 8 MR. BREW: I'm arguing for Option 1. White Springs 9 would agree with the phrasing of the issue --10 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. 11 MR. BREW: -- that OPC has put forth. 12 I would agree with Mr. McWhirter that we had taken 13 the Option 2 as implicitly offering the alternative for base 14 rate recovery, but the phrasing in Option 1 is more 15 appropriate. 16 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Thank you. You -- perfect 17 timing. Perfect timing. 18 For Option 2 you have five minutes. You are 19 recognized. 20 MR. WALLS: Thank you, Commissioner. It looks like 21 we lost some of our people during the course of this exchange. 22 MR. McGLOTHLIN: You're welcome to join the move. 23 MR. WALLS: We believe that Option 2 states the issue 24 in a way that's consistent with the petition that's in front of 25

the Commission, and that petition is a request by the company under long-standing Commission policy referenced in Order 14546 which provides for cost recovery through the fuel clause of costs that are not recognized or anticipated in the cost levels used to determine PEF's current base rates and if a cost incurred generates fuel savings. That's what the petition requests. We believe the evidence supports that request and that Option 2 is more consistent with that request. It is basically asking do we meet the terms of the Commission's policy in that order, yes or no? It's a very simple, straightforward issue. And we believe that the inclusion of language about in lieu of base rate recovery takes away from that and complicates it when it is really a straightforward issue: Do we meet the terms of the policy in Order 14546 or do we not?

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Let me think about it for a second here. Staff, you don't -- staff does not wish to be heard on this?

MS. BENNETT: No, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Good. Let me, let me -- give me a moment to think about this.

Let me ask you this. Does the -- staff, I'm thinking about probably making a ruling on this before the end of this hearing. Does this impact further proceedings or should I just make a ruling now or think about it for five minutes?

MS. BENNETT: It doesn't impact further proceedings. 1 It is certainly within your discretion to take it under 2 advisement. 3 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Let's do that. 4 We'll 5 come back to that. Okay. Section IX, exhibit list. 6 MR. YOUNG: Yes, Commissioner Carter. The issue 7 regarding the exhibit list will be addressed in Section, 8 9 Section XI as it relates to pending motions. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Section X. 10 There are no stipulations at this time. 11 MR. YOUNG: COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. So we proceed on to 12 Section XI, pending motions. 13 MR. YOUNG: Yes, Commissioner. FIPUG has filed a 14 motion for the Commission to take official notice pursuant to 15 Section 90.201 of the Florida Statutes for the following as 16 indicated in their motion. 17 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. McWhirter. 18 MR. McWHIRTER: Mr. Carter, as you're obviously 19 familiar, the evidence code permits the Commission to take 20 official notice of certain things such as its own records and 21 also matters which are factually so certain no one disputes. 2.2 23 And in our request for official notice of certain items we do

orders and talks about them. And for that reason we thought it

that because we have no specific witness that attaches those

24

would be cleaner to, at the outset of the hearing, designate certain things that we would like to go into the record as exhibits for the Commission's consideration. And the Ten-Year Site Plans filed by the utility for 2005, 2006 and 2007 are relevant to these proceedings. In addition to that there are certain Commission orders that are also relevant. And although with respect to the orders they could probably come in without any independent ruling, we'd like to get our full case on the table so people understand where we're coming from, and that was the reason.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: What is the basis of your utilizing this information? Is this for impeachment purposes? What's the basis of your -- what do you plan to use this information for?

MR. McWHIRTER: I didn't understand the question, Mr. Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: I'm saying is that -- are you going to use the information contained within these documents for impeachment purposes or what other purposes?

MR. McWHIRTER: Yes, sir. The information contained in the documents.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Well, I don't think you really need to have that -- us take official notice for you to impeach someone with that. I think that you could still do that. I see here you're asking for notice on Rule 22 -- 25 --

1	correction Rule 25-22.071 and Rule 25-6.035. I see how that
2	would be appropriate. But I'm going to have to deny your
3	motion on the rest. I think you can get it in under
4	impeachment.
5	MR. McWHIRTER: You're denying it with respect to
6	Ten-Year Site Plans?
7	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Well, all the remaining of
8	your, your motion.
9	MR. McWHIRTER: All right, sir. Well, I'd like to
10	make an official proffer of that information into the record.
11	COMMISSIONER CARTER: You wanted to use the
12	information as a basis for impeachment purposes?
13	MR. McWHIRTER: Yes, sir, among other things.
14	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Among other things?
15	MR. McWHIRTER: Yes, sir.
16	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay.
17	MR. YOUNG: Commissioner Carter?
18	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir.
19	MR. YOUNG: Maybe if, if Progress Energy has no
20	objections, it can possibly be stipulated into the record.
21	MR. WALLS: We have no objections to the authenticity
22	of the documents that are identified as Progress Energy Florida
23	documents. However, I am a bit confused about how
24	Mr. McWhirter intends to use them, so I certainly don't want to
25	waive any objections to relevance at the time he attempts to

use them at trial or at the hearing.

MR. McWHIRTER: I think that's appropriate. That, that objection would always be available if the purpose for which we presented it was not relevant. That objection would be a good objection and we would accede to it.

MR. TWOMEY: And AARP would support that kind of stipulation. I think there can be -- I think, Commissioner Carter, that it would probably streamline things as opposed to Mr. McWhirter trying to have people identify these documents. And obviously the company is not going to be able to deny the authenticity because it's their documents, these Ten-Year Site Plans and that kind of stuff. So I would suggest to you that such a stipulation would streamline the hearing process and still allow Mr. McWhirter to meet his ends. We would join in that.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: We will -- in lieu of your motion, we'll just allow this to be a stipulation.

MR. McWHIRTER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: That way I won't have to go through and surgically take -- is that all right with all the parties? Do you understand my motion -- my ruling?

MR. YOUNG: Yes, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Good.

MR. YOUNG: Staff will prepare a comprehensive exhibit list to be entered into the record as to FIPUG's motion

to include FIPUG's items. 1 COMMISSIONER CARTER: And also duly noted from the 2 company's perspective on their objections of relevancy in those 3 areas. 4 If we could kind of step back for a moment. 5 In terms of -- on Section VIII, the issues and positions, I'm 6 accepting Option 2. That's my ruling on that. I didn't need 7 the full five minutes. 8 Section, Section XII, pending confidentiality 9 motions. 10 MR. YOUNG: Yes, Commissioner. There are two 11 confidentiality requests. The motions will be addressed by 12 written order. 13 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Posthearing procedures. 14 MR. YOUNG: Staff would recommend that we limit 15 opening statements to 15 minutes per side. 16 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. 17 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Commissioner, I'd like to address 18 that, if I may. 19 COMMISSIONER CARTER: You're recognized. 20 MR. McGLOTHLIN: You see five lawyers here on one 21 side of the case; 15 minutes, that's three minutes a side, a 22 person, and in my experience it's difficult to say much more 23

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER CARTER: You guys did a great job on the

than your name.

24

five minutes per side.

1.9

2.0

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Well, there's a lot more to be said about the subject matter than the limited issue of the phrasing of the issue.

Also, in this case FIPUG has a witness that addresses an issue which is separate and apart from anything that we address and so we're not as completely aligned or speaking with one voice as sometimes is the case. So with that in mind, I'd request that 25 minutes would be more appropriate given the number of parties and the, and the complexity of the issues.

MR. TWOMEY: May I chime in, Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER CARTER: You're recognized, Mr. Twomey.

MR. TWOMEY: Thank you. We would attempt to be as brief as possible. AARP, as you've noticed on our positions, will fully support the Office of Public Counsel, although I wouldn't want to go without saying something --

COMMISSIONER CARTER: I wouldn't expect you to.
(Laughter.)

MR. TWOMEY: -- as you, as you may guess. But I would defer to Mr. McGlothlin for most of it. And I think 25 minutes is fine and that -- and irrespective of how much time you give those of us on the customer side collectively, of course, out of fairness the company should have the same amount of time.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Fairness is always

appreciated.

I'm going to take this under advisement because I'm really, really -- you know, I'm thinking that 15 minutes is appropriate, so I'll just have to make a ruling on that later because I just don't see any compelling -- I mean, unless I'm missing something, and I don't think I am, that would force me to go outside of my usual recommendation on that because really the opening is just setting up the case. You know, you don't make your case. You're just, you're setting up the case. And all the Commissioners as well as staff will have an opportunity to fully look at the documentation and the evidence and the witnesses and et cetera. So, I mean, I'm less likely to -- I'll take it under advisement, but I'm less likely to grant more than 15 minutes per side.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I have a similar request with respect to the limitation of 50 words in the posthearing statement. Fifty words has become a convention. I've never understood why because it's so confining it's difficult to say anything without finding a way to use contractions and double words and leave out prepositions and things like that. And where each of the issues in this case covers so much ground, I request that we be given 100 words. That's still a short paragraph on each issue.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mary Anne -- one second. Mary Anne, can you advise on this 50-word deal?

MS. HELTON: I think, given the broad nature of the 1 issues, I think 100 words is reasonable, Commissioner. 2 I think there are some instances where 50 words is 3 appropriate, but I agree with Mr. McGlothlin in this case that 4 100 words would be appropriate. 5 COMMISSIONER CARTER: You got it. 50 -- 100 words it 6 7 is. Okay. You were going to say you agree with that, 8 9 weren't you? MR. TWOMEY: Yes, sir. 10 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Are there any other 11 matters before us in this case? 1.2 MR. YOUNG: Yes, Commissioner. If we can back up to 13 Section VI. 14 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. 15 MR. YOUNG: Order of witnesses. Progress Energy 16 Florida has indicated that its witness Daniel Roderick can 17 present his direct and rebuttal testimony at the same time, if 18 there are no objections by the parties. 19 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Are there any? 20 MR. McGLOTHLIN: No. 21 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Let's make it so. 22 The only outstanding matter then would be the ruling 23 on the 15 minutes per side versus 25 minutes per side. I'll, 24 I'll make that ruling as expeditiously as possible and have 25

staff communicate it to the parties as soon as possible. 1 need to think about that. I'm just -- I'm wrestling with that, 2 but I'll have to think about it and, and I'll rule as soon as 3 possible on that. And I don't mean like in the -- I mean, 4 within the next, you know, day or so and so all the parties can 5 proceed as, as necessary in this matter. 6 Any other matters in this case pertaining to us today 7 we need to take care of? 8 MR. YOUNG: Not that I know of, Commissioner. 9 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any of the parties? 10 11 MR. TWOMEY: No, sir. COMMISSIONER CARTER: We're going to get your name 12 13 correct in that. MR. QUALLS: Thanks, Commissioner. 14 COMMISSIONER CARTER: You're officially -- you 15 16 survived your hazing treatment. We'll take care of that. And 17 thank you all for being here today. It's good to see 18 everybody. We are adjourned. (Prehearing Conference adjourned at 9:55 a.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was
5	heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been
7	transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said
8	proceedings.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative
10	or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in
11	the action.
12	DATED THIS 24/2 day of July, 2007.
13	
14	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR
15	FPSC Official Commission Reporter (850) 413-6734
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	