

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
3	TESTIMONY OF GERARD J. YUPP
4	DOCKET NO. 070001-EI
5	SEPTEMBER 4, 2007

- 6 Q. Please state your name and address.
- A. My name is Gerard J. Yupp. My business address is 700 Universe
 Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida, 33408.

9 Q. By whom are you employed and what is your position?

- 10 A. I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as Director
- of Wholesale Operations in the Energy Marketing and Trading
 Division.
- 13 Q. Have you previously testified in this docket?
- 14 A. Yes.

15 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present and explain FPL's projections for (1) the dispatch costs of heavy fuel oil, light fuel oil, coal and natural gas, (2) the availability of natural gas to FPL, (3) generating unit heat rates and availabilities and (4) the quantities and costs of wholesale (off-system) power and purchased power transactions. Additionally, I provide a review of FPL's hedging program and present FPL's Risk Management Plan for fuel

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07965 SEP-48 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK 1 procurement in 2008.

Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your
 supervision, direction and control any exhibits in this
 proceeding?

5 A. Yes, I am sponsoring the following exhibits:

GJY-2 - Appendix I

Schedules E2 through E9 of Appendix II

8

7

6

9 FUEL PRICE FORECAST

Q. What forecast methodologies has FPL used for the 2008
 recovery period?

For natural gas commodity prices, the forecast methodology relies 12 Α. upon the NYMEX Natural Gas Futures contract prices (forward 13 curve). For light and heavy fuel oil prices, FPL utilizes Over-The-14 Counter (OTC) forward market prices. Projections for the price of 15 coal and the availability of natural gas are developed internally at 16 FPL. The forward curves for both natural gas and fuel oil represent 17 expected future prices at a given point in time and are consistent 18 with the prices at which FPL can transact its hedging program. The 19 basic assumption made with respect to using the forward curves is 20 that all available data that could impact the price of natural gas and 21 22 fuel oil in the future is incorporated into the curves at all times. The methodology allows FPL to execute hedges consistent with its 23

forecasting method and to optimize the dispatch of its units in
 changing market conditions. FPL utilized forward curve prices from
 the close of business on July 24, 2007 for its 2008 projection filing.
 This was the most recent date that allowed FPL adequate time to
 complete its filing.

Q. What are the key factors that could affect FPL's price for heavy
 fuel oil during the January through December 2008 period?

The key factors that could affect FPL's price for heavy oil are (1) 8 Α. worldwide demand for crude oil and petroleum products (including 9 domestic heavy fuel oil), (2) non-OPEC crude oil supply, (3) the 10 extent to which OPEC adheres to their guotas and reacts to 11 fluctuating demand for OPEC crude oil. (4) the political and civil 12 13 tensions in the major producing areas of the world like the Middle East and West Africa, (5) the availability of refining capacity, (6) the 14 price relationship between heavy fuel oil and crude oil, (7) the price 15 relationship between heavy oil and natural gas, (8) the supply and 16 demand for heavy oil in the domestic market, and (9) the terms of 17 FPL's fuel supply and transportation contracts. 18

19

The major driver for crude oil and petroleum product prices during the remainder of 2007 and 2008 will be the continued tensions in the Middle East, West Africa (in particular Nigeria) and other producing regions in the world. With limited spare OPEC production capacity, refineries running near capacity, and growing worldwide demand, any perceived or actual loss of supply due to political or civil unrest in these regions have been, and will continue to be, a major factor in the price of oil to FPL's customers.

5

World demand for crude oil and petroleum products is projected to 6 increase slightly in 2008 over 2007 average levels, primarily due to 7 increases in demand in the U.S., China and other Pacific Rim 8 countries. Although crude oil production and worldwide refining 9 capacity will be adequate to meet the projected increase in crude oil 10 and petroleum product demand, general adherence by OPEC 11 members to its most recent production accord, and limited spare 12 OPEC prevent significant production capacity, should 13 overproduction of crude oil which, in turn, will result in the continued 14 tight supply of crude oil and petroleum products during most of 15 2008. 16

Q. Please provide FPL's projection for the dispatch cost of heavy
 fuel oil for the January through December 2008 period.

A. FPL's projection for the system average dispatch cost of heavy fuel
 oil, by month, is provided on page 3 of Appendix I.

Q. What are the key factors that could affect the price of light fuel
oil?

A. The key factors are similar to those described above for heavy fuel

1 oil.

2	Q.	Please provide FPL's projection for the dispatch cost of light
3		fuel oil for the January through December 2008 period.
4	А.	FPL's projection for the system average dispatch cost of light oil, by
5		month, is provided on page 3 of Appendix I.
6	Q.	What is the basis for FPL's projections of the dispatch cost of
7		coal for St. Johns' River Power Park (SJRPP) and Plant
8		Scherer?
9	Α.	FPL's projected dispatch costs for both plants are based on FPL's
10		price projection for spot coal, delivered to the plants.
11		
12		Although FPL has historically burned petroleum coke at SJRPP,
13		current and projected delivered petroleum coke prices have risen
14		above the delivered price of coal, resulting in a projected 2008 fuel
15		mix of 100% coal for SJRPP.
16	Q.	Please provide FPL's projection for the dispatch cost of SJRPP
17		and Plant Scherer for the January through December 2008
18		period.
19	Α.	FPL's projection for the system average dispatch cost of coal for this
. 20		period, by plant and by month, is shown on page 3 of Appendix I.
21	Q.	What are the factors that can affect FPL's natural gas prices
22		during the January through December 2008 period?
23	A.	In general, the key physical factors are (1) North American natural

gas demand and domestic production, (2) LNG and Canadian natural gas imports, (3) heavy fuel oil and light fuel oil prices, and (4) the terms of FPL's natural gas supply and transportation contracts.

1

2

3

4

The major drivers for natural gas prices during 2008 are expected to 5 be: (1) projected natural gas demand in North America will continue 6 to grow moderately in 2008, primarily in the electric generation 7 sector; and (2) with continued increases in domestic rig activity in 8 9 the U.S. over the past few years, 2008 domestic natural gas production is expected to be slightly higher than average 2007 10 production levels, as a continued decline in the Gulf of Mexico 11 region is more than offset by increases in Rocky Mountain and Mid-12 Continent regions. The remaining balance of supply will come from 13 increased LNG imports. 14

Q. What are the factors that FPL expects to affect the availability
 of natural gas to FPL during the January through December
 2008 period?

A. The key factors are (1) the capacity of the Florida Gas Transmission (FGT) pipeline into Florida, (2) the capacity of the Gulfstream Natural Gas System (Gulfstream) pipeline into Florida, (3) the limited number of operational receipt points into the Gulfstream pipeline, (4) the portion of FGT and Gulfstream capacity that is contractually committed to FPL on a firm basis each month, (5) the assumed volume of natural gas which can move from the
 Gulfstream pipeline into FGT at the Hardee and Osceola
 interconnects, and (6) the natural gas demand in the State of
 Florida.

5

The current capacity of FGT into the State of Florida is about 6 2,030,000 million BTU per day and the current capacity of 7 Gulfstream is about 1,100,000 million BTU per day. For 2008, FPL 8 has firm natural gas transportation capacity on FGT ranging from 9 750,000 to 874,000 million BTU per day, depending on the month, 10 and 350,000 million BTU per day increasing to 535,000 million BTU 11 per day on July 1, 2008 of firm natural gas transportation on 12 Gulfstream. FPL projects that during the January through December 13 2008 period between 155,000 and 605,000 million BTU per day of 14 non-firm natural gas transportation capacity (varying by month) will 15 be available into the state. FPL projects that it could acquire some 16 of this capacity, if economic, to supplement FPL's firm allocation on 17 FGT and Gulfstream. This projection is based on the current 18 capability and availability of the two interconnections between 19 Gulfstream and FGT pipeline systems, as well as the availability of 20 capacity on each pipeline. 21

Q. Please provide FPL's projections for the dispatch cost and
 availability of natural gas for the January through December

1 **2008 period.**

FPL's projections of the system average dispatch cost and Α. 2 availability of natural gas, by transport type, by pipeline and by 3 month, are provided on page 3 of Appendix I. 4 5 PLANT HEAT RATES. OUTAGE FACTORS, PLANNED 6 OUTAGES, AND CHANGES IN GENERATING CAPACITY 7 Please describe how FPL developed the projected the Average Q. 8 Net Heat Rates shown on Schedule E4 of Appendix II. 9 The projected Average Net Heat Rates were calculated by the Α. 10 POWRSYM model. The current heat rate equations and efficiency 11 factors for FPL's generating units, which present heat rate as a 12 function of unit power level, were used as inputs to POWRSYM for 13 this calculation. The heat rate equations and efficiency factors are 14 updated as appropriate based on historical unit performance and 15 projected changes due to plant upgrades, fuel grade changes, 16 and/or from the results of performance tests. 17

Q. Are you providing the outage factors projected for the period
 January through December 2008?

20 A. Yes. This data is shown on page 4 of Appendix I.

21 Q. How were the outage factors for this period developed?

A. The unplanned outage factors were developed using the actual historical full and partial outage event data for each of the units. The historical unplanned outage factor of each generating unit was adjusted, as necessary, to eliminate non-recurring events and recognize the effect of planned outages to arrive at the projected factor for the period January through December 2008.

5 Q. Please describe the significant planned outages for the 6 January through December 2008 period.

A. Planned outages at FPL's nuclear units are the most significant in
relation to fuel cost recovery. Turkey Point Unit 4 is scheduled to be
out of service for refueling from March 30, 2008 until May 4, 2008 or
35 days during the period. St. Lucie Unit 1 is scheduled to be out of
service for refueling from October 10, 2008 until November 30, 2008
or 41 days during the projected period.

- Q. Please list any changes to FPL's generation capacity projected
 to take place during the January through December 2008
 period.
- A. There are no significant changes to FPL's generation capacity in
 2008.
- 18

19 WHOLESALE (OFF-SYSTEM) POWER AND PURCHASED

20 **POWER TRANSACTIONS**

Q. Are you providing the projected wholesale (off-system) power
 and purchased power transactions forecasted for January
 through December 2008?

A. Yes. This data is shown on Schedules E6, E7, E8, and E9 of
 Appendix II of this filing.

Q. In what types of wholesale (off-system) power transactions does FPL engage?

FPL purchases power from the wholesale market when it can 5 Α. displace higher cost generation with lower cost power from the 6 market. FPL will also sell excess power into the market when its 7 cost of generation is lower than the market. Purchasing and selling 8 power in the wholesale market allows FPL to lower fuel costs for its 9 customers because savings on purchases and gains on sales are 10 credited to the customer through the Fuel Cost Recovery Clause. 11 12 Power purchases and sales are executed under specific tariffs that allow FPL to transact with a given entity. Although FPL primarily 13 transacts on a short-term basis (hourly and daily transactions), FPL 14 continuously searches for all opportunities to lower fuel costs 15 through purchasing and selling wholesale power, regardless of the 16 duration of the transaction. FPL can also purchase and sell power 17 during emergency conditions under several types of Emergency 18 Interchange agreements that are in place with other utilities within 19 Florida. 20

Q. Please describe the method used to forecast wholesale (off system) power purchases and sales.

A. The quantity of wholesale (off-system) power purchases and sales

- 1 are projected based upon estimated generation costs, generation availability, expected market conditions and historical data. 2 Q. What are the forecasted amounts and costs of wholesale (off-3 system) power sales? 4 Α. FPL has projected 1,840,000 MWh of wholesale (off-system) power 5 sales for the period of January through December 2008. The 6 7 projected fuel cost related to these sales is \$117,801,650. The projected transaction revenue from these sales is \$140,663,083. 8 The projected gain for these sales is \$19,100,677. 9 Q. In what document are the fuel costs for wholesale (off-system) 10 11 power sales transactions reported? Α. Schedule E6 of Appendix II provides the total MWh of energy; total 12 13 dollars for fuel adjustment, total cost and total gain for wholesale (off-system) power sales. 14 What are the forecasted amounts and costs of wholesale (off-15 Q. system) power purchases for the January to December 2008 16 17 period? Α. The costs of these purchases are shown on Schedule E9 of 18
- Appendix II. For the period, FPL projects it will purchase a total of 1,490,963 MWh at a cost of \$106,086,827. If FPL generated this energy, FPL estimates that it would cost \$123,453,148. Therefore, these purchases are projected to result in savings of \$17,366,322
- 23 Q. Does FPL have additional agreements for the purchase of
 - 11

electric power and energy that are included in your
 projections?

A. Yes. FPL purchases coal-by-wire electrical energy under the 1988
Unit Power Sales Agreement (UPS) with the Southern Companies.
FPL has contracts to purchase and sell nuclear energy under the St.
Lucie Plant Nuclear Reliability Exchange Agreements with Orlando
Utilities Commission (OUC) and Florida Municipal Power Agency
(FMPA). FPL also purchases energy from JEA's portion of the
SJRPP Units.

10

Capacity that FPL purchases through short-term agreements will be 11 lower in 2008 compared with 2007, as FPL's agreements for the 12 output of 2 combustion turbines with Southern Power Company 13 (Desoto) and 3 combustion turbines with Reliant Energy Services 14 (Shady Hills) expired on May 31, 2007 and February 28, 2007 15 respectively. FPL's 2008 short-term capacity contracts involving the 16 output of specific generating units are with Southern Power 17 Company (Oleander) for the output of 1 combustion turbine and with 18 Reliant Energy Services (Indian River) for the output of three 19 conventional steam units totaling 576 MW. The Southern Power 20 Company (Oleander) agreement expires on May 31, 2012. The 21 Reliant Energy Services (Indian River) contract expires on 22 December 31, 2009. 23

Additionally, FPL has two short-term capacity arrangements with 2 Williams Power Company and Constellation Energy Commodities 3 Group, Inc. The transaction with Williams Power Company began 4 on March 3, 2006 and runs through December 31, 2009. This 5 transaction is for 106 MW of capacity. The transaction with 6 Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc. began on May 1, 7 2006 and runs through April 30, 2009. The capacity of this 8 transaction is projected to range from 48 MW to 93 MW depending 9 on the availability of transmission service. Lastly, FPL purchases 10 energy and capacity from Qualifying Facilities under existing tariffs 11 and contracts. 12

1

Q. Please provide the projected energy costs to be recovered
 through the Fuel Cost Recovery Clause for the power
 purchases referred to above during the January through
 December 2008 period.

A. Under the UPS agreement, FPL's capacity entitlement during the period from January through December 2008 is 931 MW. Based upon the alternate and supplemental energy provisions of UPS, an availability factor of 100% is applied to these capacity entitlements to project energy purchases. The projected UPS energy (unit) cost for this period, used as an input to POWRSYM, is based on data provided by the Southern Companies. UPS energy purchases are

projected to be 8,134,439 MWh for the period at an energy cost of
 \$194,489,000. The total UPS energy projections are presented on
 Schedule E7 of Appendix II.

- Energy purchases from the JEA-owned portion of SJRPP are projected to be 3,015,121 MWh for the period at an energy cost of \$78,569,000. FPL's cost for energy purchases under the St. Lucie Plant Reliability Exchange Agreements is a function of the operation of St. Lucie Unit 2 and the fuel costs to the owners. For the period, FPL projects purchases of 458,617 MWh at a cost of \$2,164,800. These projections are shown on Schedule E7 of Appendix II.
- 12

4

FPL projects to dispatch 545,523 MWh from its short-term capacity
 agreements at a cost of \$43,345,850. These projections are shown
 on Schedule E7 of Appendix II.

16

In addition, as shown on Schedule E8 of Appendix II, FPL projects
that purchases from Qualifying Facilities for the period will provide
5,929,307 MWh at a cost of \$188,840,508.

Q. What are the forecasted amounts and cost of energy being
 sold under the St. Lucie Plant Reliability Exchange Agreement?
 A. FPL projects the sale of 66,877 MWh of energy at a cost of
 \$1,807,900. These projections are shown on Schedule E6 of

- 1 Appendix II.
- 2 Q. How does FPL develop the projected energy costs related to 3 purchases from Qualifying Facilities?
- A. For those contracts that entitle FPL to purchase "as-available"
 energy, FPL used its fuel price forecasts as inputs to the
 POWRSYM model to project FPL's avoided energy cost that is used
 to set the price of these energy purchases each month. For those
 contracts that enable FPL to purchase firm capacity and energy, the
 applicable Unit Energy Cost mechanisms prescribed in the contracts
 are used to project monthly energy costs.
- 11

12 HEDGING/ RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN

13 Q. Please describe FPL's hedging objectives.

A. The primary objective of FPL's hedging program has been, and
remains, the reduction of fuel price volatility. Reducing fuel price
volatility helps deliver greater price certainty to FPL's customers.
FPL does not engage in speculative hedging strategies aimed at
"out guessing" the market.

Q. Does FPL expect that its hedging program will deliver fuel
 savings each year?

A. No. This is a point that I have emphasized in all my prior testimony
 on hedging. While FPL is extremely pleased when its hedging
 program generates net savings for its customers, it does not engage

in hedging for this purpose. FPL's hedging strategies are aimed at 1 reducing fuel price volatility. Speculative hedging strategies aimed 2 at "out guessing" the market in the hopes of potentially returning 3 savings to FPL's customers will lead to increased volatility in prices 4 to FPL's customers. FPL cannot predict future fuel prices as there 5 is no certainty in predicting the main drivers of fuel price, such as 6 weather, hurricanes or unstable conditions around the world. What 7 FPL can continue to do is execute a well-disciplined, independently 8 controlled hedging program that reduces fuel price volatility and 9 delivers greater price certainty to FPL's customers. 10 As a consequence of volatility reduction, the hedging program will show 11 12 savings in some years and losses in others, with the expectation that, over time, the cumulative impact of FPL's hedging program will 13 14 be neutral and not result in significant savings or losses to FPL's customers. FPL does expect, however, that over time its customers 15 will experience more stable rates as a result of FPL's hedging 16 activities. These objectives and consequences of hedging were 17 recognized and supported by Staff during last year's fuel hearing in 18 19 Docket No. 060001-EI, where Staff stated in reference to FPL's hedging program (Hearing Transcript, Volume 8, Page 1076): "Their 20 objective is to minimize price volatility. And there are going to be 21 times due to the uncertainty of gas prices when there will gains and 22 losses. Staff will continue to monitor those activities. We believe 23

- overall the minimization of price volatility as a goal is appropriate
 and will produce customer benefits."
- Q. Has FPL prepared a risk management plan for 2008, as
 required by Order PSC- 02-1484-FOF-EI issued on October 30,
 2002?
- A. Yes. FPL's 2008 Risk Management Plan is provided on pages 5
 and 6 of Appendix I.

8 Q. Is FPL seeking to recover projected incremental operating and 9 maintenance expenses with respect to maintaining an 10 expanded, non-speculative financial and/or physical hedging 11 program for the January through December 2008 period?

- 12 Α. Yes. FPL projects to incur incremental expenses of \$513,425 for its Trading and Operations Group and \$83,700 for its Systems Group. 13 By "incremental," I mean that these expenses are not reflected in 14 FPL's base rates. The expenses projected for the Trading and 15 Operations Group are primarily for salaries of the three personnel 16 who were added to support FPL's enhanced hedging program. The 17 expenses projected for the Systems Group are for incremental 18 19 annual license fees for FPL's volume forecasting software.
- 20 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

21 A. Yes it does.

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			
2		FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY			
3		TESTIMONY OF TERRY O. JONES			
4		DOCKET NO. 070001-EI			
5		September 4, 2007			
6					
7	Q.	Please state your name and address.			
8	Α.	My name is Terry O. Jones. My business address is 700 Universe			
9		Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408.			
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?			
11	A.	I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as the Vice			
12		President of Nuclear Plant Support.			
13	Q.	Please describe your educational background and business			
14		experience in the nuclear industry.			
15	A.	I received my technical training in the U.S. Naval Nuclear			
16		Propulsion Program, serving for eight years. I received my			
17		Bachelor of Science degree in Administration from Barry University			
18		and my Masters in Business Administration from the University of			
19		Miami. I joined FPL at Turkey Point Nuclear Power Plant in 1987			
20		and served in various roles of increasing responsibility until 2007.			
21		The positions held included Operations Manager, Maintenance			
		1			

Manager, Plant Manager, and Site Vice President. Early this year I 1 2 was appointed Vice President of Nuclear Plant Support. In my present position, have accountability for Emergency 3 Preparedness, Nuclear Security, Turbine Services, and Reactor 4 Services. 5

6 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

Α. My testimony presents and explains FPL's projections of nuclear fuel 7 costs for the thermal energy (MMBTU) to be produced by our nuclear 8 9 units and the costs of disposal of spent nuclear fuel. I am also updating the status of certain litigation that affects FPL's nuclear fuel 10 costs; plant security costs and new NRC security initiatives; events 11 that occurred during the Turkey Point Unit 3 outage extension in 12 2006; outage events; and the inspections and repairs to the reactor 13 pressure vessel heads since the issuance of NRC Bulletin (IEB) 14 2002-02. Both nuclear fuel and disposal of spent nuclear fuel costs 15 were input values to POWERSYM used to calculate the costs to be 16 included in the proposed fuel cost recovery factors for the period 17 18 January 2008 through December 2008.

Q. Have you prepared, or caused to be prepared under your
 direction, supervision or control, an exhibit in this
 proceeding?

- A. Yes, Exhibit TOJ-1 Corporate Security Investigative Report is
 attached to my testimony as a confidential exhibit.
- 3

4 Nuclear Fuel Costs

5 Q. What is the basis for FPL's projections of nuclear fuel costs?

A. FPL's nuclear fuel cost projections are developed using projected
 energy production at our nuclear units and their operating schedules,
 for the period January 2008 through December 2008.

9 Q. Please provide FPL's projection for nuclear fuel unit costs and
 10 energy for the period January 2008 through December 2008.

A. FPL projects the nuclear units will produce 268,189,146 MMBTU of
 energy at a cost of \$0.4233 per MMBTU, excluding spent fuel
 disposal costs, for the period January 2008 through December 2008.
 Projections by nuclear unit and by month are in Appendix II, on
 Schedule E-4, starting on page 15 of the Appendix II.

16

17 Spent Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs

- Q. Please provide FPL's projections for spent nuclear fuel disposal
 costs for the period January 2008 through December 2008 and
 explain the basis for FPL's projections.
- A. FPL's projections for spent nuclear fuel disposal costs of approximately \$22.3 million are provided in Appendix II, on Schedule

E-2, starting on page 9 of the Appendix. These projections are based on FPL's contract with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), which sets the spent fuel disposal fee at 0.9285 mills per net kWh generated, including transmission and distribution line losses.

5

6 Litigation Status Update

Q. Is there currently an unresolved dispute under FPL's nuclear
 fuel contracts?

- 9 A. Yes.
- 10

Spent Fuel Disposal Dispute. This dispute arose under FPL's 11 contract with the Department of Energy (DOE) for final disposal of 12 spent nuclear fuel. In 1995 FPL, along with a number of electric 13 utilities, states, and state regulatory agencies filed suit against DOE 14 over its obligation to accept spent nuclear fuel beginning in 1998. On 15 July 23, 1996, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia 16 Circuit (D.C. Circuit) held that DOE is required by the Nuclear Waste 17 Policy Act (NWPA) to take title to and dispose of spent nuclear fuel 18 from nuclear power plants beginning on January 31, 1998. 19

20

21 On January 11, 2002, based on the D.C. Circuit's ruling, the Court of 22 Federal Claims granted FPL's motion for partial summary judgment in

favor of FPL on contract liability. There is no trial date scheduled at this time for the FPL damages claim.

3

1

2

The Court of Federal Claims ruled on May 21, 2004 that another 4 nuclear plant owner, Indiana Michigan Power Company, was not 5 entitled to any damages arising out of the Government's failure to 6 begin disposal of spent nuclear fuel by January 31, 1998. On appeal, 7 the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit upheld the Court of 8 9 Federal Claims decision on September 9, 2005. The impact of this 10 decision, if any, on FPL's claims against the Government remains 11 unknown at this time.

12

13 Nuclear Plant Security Costs

Q. Please provide an update of the nuclear plant security costs to comply with NRC's requirements.

A. FPL has completed its initial Design Basis Threat (DBT) modifications
 and continues to maintain the ongoing modifications to comply with
 the NRC Orders.

Q. What is FPL's projection of the incremental security costs for
 the period January 2008 through December 2008?

A. FPL presently projects that it will incur \$29.5 million in incremental
 nuclear power plant security costs in 2008.

Q. Please provide a brief description of the items included in this
 projection.

A. The projection includes adding security personnel as a result of
 implementing NRC's Order EA03-038, which limits the number of
 hours security personnel may work in a week; additional personnel
 training; additional regulatory initiatives for fires, aircraft threat
 strategy; protection of spent fuel pools and containments and impacts
 of NRC Part 26 and 73 rulemaking initiatives.

Q. Is there a possibility of further NRC security-related initiatives in
 2008 and beyond, in addition to those included in FPL's
 projection?

Yes. As FPL has explained in prior testimony to the Commission, 12 Α. FPL is aware of NRC regulatory initiatives to revise requirements 13 regarding fires, propose aircraft-threat strategy revisions, make 14 potentially significant changes in requirements for protection of spent 15 fuel pools, conduct a study in conjunction with The Department of 16 Homeland Security to evaluate potential threats to nuclear facilities 17 from land, sea and air attacks, and conduct a study of buffer zones 18 around nuclear sites. There is also a NRC initiative to review and 19 update the Enhanced Adversary Characteristics (EAC) of the 20 Design Basis Threat (DBT). The DBT is the measure that all 21 nuclear stations are designed to defend against. Some of these 22

EAC/DBT enhancements could require extensive engineering
 support and significant modifications to station security defensive
 positions.

4

In addition, FPL is aware of two new NRC security-related initiatives.
The NRC is in the process of revising the current fatigue order by
issuing a rule under Part 26. The new rule will mandate "days off" for
the security officers at the St. Lucie and Turkey Point sites. The Part
26 rulemaking impacts costs are unknown in the industry at this
time, but may result in the need to add additional officers to meet
this revised requirement.

12

NRC Part 73.55 rulemaking may involve the need for significant 13 modifications to various areas of the site. Some examples include 14 redundant features for Central Alarm Station (CAS) and Secondary 15 Alarm Station (SAS), enhanced weaponry, Owner Controlled Area 16 (OCA) detection, and possible enhancements to assessment and 17 interdiction. Currently, the industry and the NRC view the impact 18 differently since the industry believes a literal interpretation of the 19 proposed rule varies greatly from the NRC's stated intent. Nuclear 20 Energy Institute (NEI) has 200 pages of comments discussing the 21 impact of this rule. NEI estimates that the cost of rulemaking, 22

based on literal interpretation, could range from \$20-60 million per
 site.

3

It is not feasible for FPL to estimate at this time the future costs that
 will be required to comply with these various developing regulatory
 requirements, but the Commission should be aware that nuclear
 security costs could increase significantly based on the issues
 mentioned above.

9

10 2006 Turkey Point Outage Extension (Pressurizer Piping Incident)

Q. Please provide a brief description of the outage extension at Turkey Point Unit 3 in March and April of 2006.

Α. Toward the end of Turkey Point Unit 3's spring 2006 refueling outage, 13 FPL personnel identified a small drilled hole in the pressurizer piping 14 on Unit 3 during of a series of tests and inspections that were 15 conducted to ensure that equipment was operating properly prior to 16 plant heat-up and restart. FPL conducted an extensive review of the 17 unit to ensure no other systems were damaged. Prompt and 18 effective corrective actions were taken by plant personnel to repair 19 the pressurizer piping and provide the appropriate assurances of 20 safety for restart. Unit 3 was restarted on April 10, 2006, which was 21 an extension of approximately 5 days to the planned refueling outage. 22

The FBI and FPL's Corporate Security Department have both 2 conducted investigations to determine who drilled the hole and 3 under what circumstances. Those investigations commenced 4 immediately after the drilled hole was discovered on March 31, 5 2006. FPL arranged to log access suspensions in the Nuclear 6 Energy Institute's Personnel Access Data Base ("PADS") for all 7 personnel who had entered the Turkey Point nuclear unit 8 containment area during the period March 9-31, 2006 and to 9 reinstate access for each person only after he or she had 10 completed an FBI interview and psychological screening tests. 11 This was an extraordinary measure, because it temporarily 12 removed a large number of qualified nuclear personnel from the 13 pool of available workers for plants around the country and hence 14 required a high level of cooperation from all levels of the nuclear 15 industry, including plant licensees and service vendors. The 16 investigations were extremely thorough and, as a result, lasted 17 more than a year. Both investigations are substantially complete at 18 this time. FPL's Corporate Security Department issued an 19 Investigative Report summarizing both its and the FBI's 20 21 investigation, which is attached as confidential Exhibit TOJ-1.

22

1

Q. What conclusions have the FBI and FPL reached about how the hole was drilled in the pressurizer piping?

FPL's and the FBI's investigations have reached the same A. 3 conclusion: the hole was drilled by a single individual, working alone. 4 The individual was employed by a contractor FPL hired to perform 5 services in support of Unit 3's Spring 2006 refueling outage. The 6 individual had been granted unescorted access to the Turkey Point 7 nuclear plant in early March 2006 after completing FPL's 8 comprehensive access authorization and fitness-for-duty screening. 9 Neither investigation has identified a definitive motive for this 10 individual's actions. 11

12 Q. What is "unescorted access"?

"Unescorted access" means that a person is permitted to enter Α. 13 specified portions of a nuclear unit's protected area in order to 14 perform assigned work, without having to be accompanied by a 15 The system of granting personnel unescorted security guard. 16 access upon successful completion of appropriate screening is 17 universally accepted and used within the nuclear industry. It is 18 logistically essential if the complex activities undertaken at the time 19 of a refueling outage are to be performed promptly and efficiently. 20

- Q. What actions has FPL taken with respect to the individual that
 FPL's and the FBI's investigations identified as having drilled
 the hole in the pressurizer piping?
- A. The individual's access to FPL's nuclear plants was revoked promptly
 upon discovery of the drilled hole and will not be renewed in the
 future.

7 Q. Has the NRC also investigated this incident?

A. Yes, it has. The NRC formed an Augmented Inspection Team (AIT)
that investigated this incident thoroughly. The AIT did not focus on
the specifics of how the hole was drilled, but rather on the
adequacy of FPL's security processes at Turkey Point and how
FPL ensured that Unit 3 was ready for restart once the drilled hole
was found.

14 Q. What were the findings of the AIT?

The AIT concluded that FPL's identification, classification, and Α. 15 response to the damage event were appropriate. In addition, the 16 AIT found that the planned actions to ensure restart readiness for 17 Unit 3 and continued operation of Unit 4 were effective and 18 thorough. The AIT also noted that FPL appropriately positioned 19 security officers at access points leading into containment, that 20 access authorization personnel were knowledgeable in the area of 21 access authorization, and that personnel were appropriately 22

cleared before gaining unescorted access to the site. No findings or
 violations were issued by the NRC. The NRC informed FPL that it
 had reacted well in a difficult situation.

Q. Please describe the process used by FPL to screen personnel
who will have unescorted access to protected areas within its
nuclear plants.

Pursuant to NRC regulations, FPL has access authorization and 7 Α. fitness-for-duty (FFD) programs that apply to all persons who are 8 granted unescorted access to nuclear power plant protected areas. 9 FPL requires all personnel with unescorted access to nuclear 10 facilities to pass a rigorous security screening. These processes are 11 consistent with the standards and processes used across the nuclear 12 13 industry and pursuant to applicable NRC requirements. Specifically, each individual who seeks unescorted access to an FPL nuclear plant 14 (whether an FPL employee or contractor employee) is subjected to 15 the following screening: 16

Plant access authorization approval in advance by an FPL supervisor. The FPL supervisor reviews the work requirements of the individual and selects access to only those areas of the plant that are necessary to accommodate the individuals' work requirements.

 Each individual is subject to a detailed background investigation, including verification of employment history, credit check, and a character verification including reference checks, and where applicable, education and military checks.

1

2

3

4

Each individual is required to pass a rigorous psychological
 examination consisting of nearly 600 questions, with the
 responses screened for psychological stability and other
 characteristics. As required, individuals may be subject to further
 psychological review including interviews by a licensed
 psychologist.

Each individual is required to successfully complete an FBI
 criminal history verification, including fingerprints, with no
 disqualifying criminal background.

Each individual must successfully complete drug and alcohol
 screening and is then subject to random drug and alcohol testing
 during the period of unescorted access.

Failure to successfully complete any of these steps will result in the individual being denied unescorted access to FPL's nuclear facilities.

Q. Had all personnel who access to Turkey Point Unit 3 during the
 Spring 2006 refueling outage been screened prior to that outage
 in accordance with these procedures?

A. Yes. In total, 1137 personnel entered the containment of Turkey
 Point Unit 3 during the outage. Each of these personnel, including
 the individual identified as having drilled the hole in the pressurizer
 piping, was subject to and successfully completed FPL's rigorous
 access and fitness for duty screening processes.

Q. What measures does FPL have in place to control access to
 nuclear power plant protected areas once unescorted access
 is granted?

FPL carefully controls access to its nuclear plants, especially within 9 Α. the vital areas such as the containment structure where the 10 pressurizer piping is located. Each individual granted unescorted 11 access to a nuclear plant is also screened by their supervisor for 12 access to vital areas. Even after access is granted through the 13 process that I described earlier, the access level for each individual 14 is reviewed monthly thereafter by their supervisor. In addition, all 15 individuals are subject to an ongoing behavioral observation program. 16 This program is specifically designed to detect and require the 17 18 reporting of behaviors which are not consistent with unescorted access, and also to identify changes in behavior, mood and other 19 relevant criteria which are reported to security and are the subject of 20 additional evaluation and management action, as may be required. 21 Additionally, each person with unescorted access to the plant is 22

required to complete re-qualification Plant Access Training for
 unescorted access as well as access to radiation controlled areas.
 During refueling outages, FPL deploys security officers to verify
 access into the containment structure. FPL also utilizes cameras to
 monitor work activities throughout the refueling outage.

6

All of the processes I have described were in full force and effect and 7 were applied to all personnel who had unescorted containment 8 access during the Spring 2006 Turkey Point Unit 3 refueling outage, 9 including the individual who drilled the hole in the pressurizer piping. 10 He had been authorized to have unescorted access to the area in 11 Unit 3 where the pressurizer piping is located. There was no report of 12 13 aberrant behavior by that individual that would have warranted revoking or limiting his access. 14

Q. In addition to access control and worker screening, does FPL
 have other security measures in place to protect the nuclear
 plant site from damage or theft?

A. Yes. FPL has an extensive security program to protect against acts of radiological sabotage and to prevent theft of nuclear material. The specifics of these programs constitute safeguards information, so I cannot discuss those specifics publicly. However, I can confirm that these programs conform in all respects to NRC

1 requirements, are inspected periodically by the NRC, and are internally audited by FPL Nuclear Assurance in order to assess and 2 determine compliance with the security requirements. 3 At all relevant times, including during the Spring 2006 Turkey Point Unit 3 4 refueling outage, FPL maintained these programs consistent with 5 Of course, it is infeasible to monitor the 6 NRC requirements. location and activities at all times for each of the hundreds of 7 personnel who have unescorted access during a refueling outage. 8

9 Q. Has the NRC or FPL Nuclear Assurance identified any
 10 deficiencies in FPL's security program that contributed to this
 11 event?

A. No. None of the previous NRC inspections or FPL Nuclear
 Assurance audits identified any uncorrected deficiencies that could
 have contributed to the drilled hole incident that occurred at Turkey
 Point Unit 3.

Q. From the results of the NRC's, the FBI's and FPL's internal investigations, do you conclude that FPL had appropriate measures in place to provide a high degree of protection for Turkey Point against the risk of criminal acts such as that which occurred?

A. Yes. FPL's security programs clearly provide a high degree of protection and represent a prudent response to the risk of such

criminal acts taking place. However, it is important to recognize that 1 no security program - at a nuclear plant or elsewhere - is infallible. 2 Even the most rigorous access-control, worker-screening and 3 security programs, can identify and prevent only a high percentage 4 of potential personnel problems; they can never provide 100% 5 protection against deliberate criminal acts, carried out by 6 individuals with no prior history of such acts. That is why both the 7 security systems and plant safety system have many layers of 8 defense to ensure the health and safety of the public. This is called 9 "Defense in Depth". 10

11 Q. Does FPL need to take additional measures to prevent 12 recurrence of tampering incidents?

A. As I mentioned previously, FPL will exclude the individual who drilled
 the hole from ever working at any FPL nuclear plant in the future.
 Beyond that, given the rigor of our existing security processes, FPL
 does not believe that systemic changes are warranted. The NRC has
 concurred in that conclusion.

Q. Should FPL be held responsible for the replacement power
 costs incurred as a result of the Turkey Point Unit 3 outage
 extension?

A. No. FPL witness Dubin discusses the regulatory policies associated with recovery of replacement power costs, but speaking from the

perspective of nuclear operations, I see nothing that could warrant 1 criticism in FPL's actions before or after the drilled hole was 2 FPL management took extensive, reasonable and discovered. 3 rigorous actions that complied fully with NRC requirements and 4 industry standards in order to prevent improper access and deliberate 5 FPL is not aware of, nor has anyone else indicated, criminal acts. 6 any reasonable actions that could have been taken to prevent the 7 criminal act that extended the Unit 3 outage. FPL took extensive 8 actions to swiftly and effectively investigate and inspect both 9 Turkey Unit 3 and Unit 4 after the criminal act was discovered, 10 enabling FPL to expeditiously return the plant to service with 11 minimal disruption in production. 12

13

14 2007 Outage Events

Q. Has FPL experienced in unplanned outages at its nuclear plants in 2007?

A. Yes. In June 2007, Turkey Point Unit 3 was shut down due to repetitive problems with the output signals from its rod position indicators (RPIs). These problems were traced to failures in a set of electrical connectors providing signals from the RPIs to the control room. The connectors were replaced and, because similar connectors had been used in Unit 4, that unit was shut down in July

1		2007 and the connectors were replaced proactively there as well.
2		The outages were for 17 days at Unit 3 and 6 days at Unit 4.
3		
4		St. Lucie Unit 2 shut down in August 2007 to investigate and repair
5		a leak in the reactor coolant system. Upon shutdown, the leak was
6		traced to a crack in the seal injection line that supplies the 2B1
7		Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) seal.
8		
9		FPL is in the process of investigating and evaluating these outages.
10		
11	Read	tor Pressure Vessel Head Inspection Status
11 12	<u>Reac</u> Q.	tor Pressure Vessel Head Inspection Status What is the status of the reactor heads for the St. Lucie and
12		What is the status of the reactor heads for the St. Lucie and
12 13	Q.	What is the status of the reactor heads for the St. Lucie and Turkey Point Units?
12 13 14	Q.	What is the status of the reactor heads for the St. Lucie and Turkey Point Units? As FPL has explained in prior testimony to the Commission, the NRC
12 13 14 15	Q.	What is the status of the reactor heads for the St. Lucie and Turkey Point Units? As FPL has explained in prior testimony to the Commission, the NRC issued IEB 2002-02 on August 9, 2002 to address concerns related to
12 13 14 15 16	Q.	What is the status of the reactor heads for the St. Lucie and Turkey Point Units? As FPL has explained in prior testimony to the Commission, the NRC issued IEB 2002-02 on August 9, 2002 to address concerns related to visual inspections of the reactor heads. This NRC Bulletin resulted in
12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	What is the status of the reactor heads for the St. Lucie and Turkey Point Units? As FPL has explained in prior testimony to the Commission, the NRC issued IEB 2002-02 on August 9, 2002 to address concerns related to visual inspections of the reactor heads. This NRC Bulletin resulted in all four FPL units being categorized as high susceptibility, requiring
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	What is the status of the reactor heads for the St. Lucie and Turkey Point Units? As FPL has explained in prior testimony to the Commission, the NRC issued IEB 2002-02 on August 9, 2002 to address concerns related to visual inspections of the reactor heads. This NRC Bulletin resulted in all four FPL units being categorized as high susceptibility, requiring ultrasonic testing in addition to visual inspections until the reactor

the same time the Unit 2 steam generators are replaced. The Turkey
 Point Unit 3 and 4 reactor vessel heads were replaced during the
 refueling outages beginning on September 26, 2004 and April 10,
 2005 respectively.

5 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

6 A. Yes it does.

Corporate Security Investigative Report CONFIDENTIAL document consisting of 4 pages

> TOJ – 1 DOCKET NO. 070001-EI EXHIBIT______ SEPTEMBER 4, 2007

	1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	2		FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
	3		TESTIMONY OF KOREL M. DUBIN
	4		DOCKET NO. 070001-EI
	5		September 4, 2007
	6		
	7	Q.	Please state your name and address.
	8	A.	My name is Korel M. Dubin and my business address is 9250 West
	9		Flagler Street, Miami, Florida 33174.
	10	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?
	11	A.	l am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as Manager
	12		of Cost Recovery Clauses in the Regulatory Affairs Department.
	13	Q.	Have you previously testified in this docket?
	14	A.	Yes, I have.
	15	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
	16	A.	My testimony addresses the following subjects:
:	17		- I present for Commission review and approval the Fuel Cost
:	18		Recovery (FCR) factors for the period January 2008 through
:	19		December 2008.
:	20		- I present for Commission review and approval a revised 2007
2	21		FCR estimated/actual true-up amount, which has been
2	22		updated to include July actual data and which is incorporated
2	23		into the calculation of the 2008 FCR Factors.
	24		- I present for Commission review and approval the Capacity

- 1Cost Recovery (CCR) factors for the period January 20082through December 2008.
- I present for Commission review and approval a revised 2007
 CCR estimated/actual true-up amount, which has been
 updated to include July actual data and which is incorporated
 into the calculation of the 2008 CCR Factors.
- I present for Commission review and approval FPL's
 projected incremental security costs for 2008, to be recovered
 through the CCR Clause.
- Finally, I provide on pages 70-71 of Appendix II FPL's proposed COG tariff sheets, which reflect 2008 projections of avoided energy costs for purchases from small power producers and cogenerators and an updated ten year projection of Florida Power & Light Company's annual generation mix and fuel prices.

Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your
 direction, supervision or control any exhibits in this
 proceeding?

- 19 A. Yes, I have. They are as follows:
- 20 KMD-5 -- Schedules E1, E1-A, E1-B, E1-C, E1-D E1-E, E2, E10,
- 21 H1, and pages 8a-8c and 70-71 included in Appendix II

22 - KMD-6 -- the entire Appendix III

Appendix II contains the FCR related schedules and Appendix III
 contains the CCR related schedules.

1		
2		FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
3	Q.	What is the proposed levelized fuel cost recovery (FCR) factor
4		for which the Company requests approval?
5	А.	5.553¢ per kWh. Schedule EI, Page 3 of Appendix II shows the
6		calculation of this twelve-month levelized FCR factor. Schedule E2,
7		Pages 9 and 10 of Appendix II shows the monthly fuel factors for
8		January 2008 through December 2008 and also the twelve-month
9		levelized FCR factor for the period.
10	Q.	Has the Company developed levelized FCR factors for its Time
11		of Use rates?
12	A.	Yes. Schedule E1-D, Page 6a of Appendix II, provides a twelve-
13		month levelized FCR factor of 6.011¢ per kWh on-peak and 5.354¢
14		per kWh off-peak for our Time of Use rate schedules. The time of use
15		rates for the Seasonal Demand Time of Use Rider (SDTR) are
16		provided on Schedule E-1D, Page 6b of Appendix II. The SDTR was
17		implemented pursuant to the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement
18		approved in Docket No. 050045-EI, which incorporates a different on-
19		peak period during the months of June through September.
20		
21		FCR factors by rate group for the periods January through December
22		2008 are presented on Schedule E1-E, Pages 7a and 7b of Appendix
23		II. FCR factors by rate group for the SDTR are provided on Schedule
24		E-1E, Page 7b of Appendix II.

1	Q.	Were these calculations made in accordance with the
2		procedures approved in predecessors to this Docket?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Has FPL calculated the residential fuel charges using the
5		inverted rate structure?
6	Α.	Yes.
7		
8	<u>Revis</u>	ed 2007 FCR Estimated/Actual True-up
9	Q.	Has FPL revised its 2007 FCR Estimated/Actual True-up amount
10		that was filed on August 6, 2007 to reflect July actual data?
11	A.	Yes. The 2007 FCR Estimated/actual True-up amount has been
12		revised to an under-recovery of \$25,577,700 reflecting July actual
13		data. The calculation of the revised 2007 FCR Estimated/actual true-
14		up amount is shown on Revised Schedule E1-B, on Pages 4a-4b of
15		Appendix II.
16	Q.	What is the revised net true-up amount that FPL is requesting to
17		include in the FCR factor for the January 2008 through
18		December 2008 period?
19	A.	FPL is requesting approval of a net true-up under-recovery of
20		\$79,322,258. This \$79,322,258 under-recovery represents the
21		revised estimated/actual under-recovery for the period January 2007
22		through December 2007 of \$25,577,700 plus the final true-up under-
23		recovery of \$53,744,558 that was filed on March 1, 2007 for the
24		period January 2006 through December 2006. This \$79,322,258

- under-recovery is to be included for recovery in the FCR factor for
 the January 2008 through December 2008 period.
- Q. What adjustments are included in the calculation of the
 levelized FCR factor shown on Schedule E1, Page 3 of Appendix
 II?
- As shown on line 29 of Schedule E1, Page 3 of Appendix II, the total 6 Α. net true-up to be included in the 2008 factors is a revised under-7 recovery of \$79,322,258. This amount divided by the projected retail 8 sales of 111,773,808 MWH for January 2008 through December 9 2008 results in an increase of .0710¢ per kWh before applicable 10 revenue taxes. The Generating Performance Incentive Factor (GPIF) 11 Testimony of FPL Witness Frank Irizarry, filed on April 1, 2007, 12 calculated a reward of \$9,001,300 for the period ending December 13 2006, which is being applied to the January 2008 through December 14 2008 period. This \$9,001,300 reward divided by the projected retail 15 sales of 111,773,808 MWh during the projected period results in an 16 increase of .0081¢ per kWh, as shown on line 33 of Schedule E1, 17 Page 3 of Appendix II. 18
- 19

20 Turkey Point Unit 3 Outage Extension (Pressurizer Piping)

21Q.In FPL witness Terry Jones' Testimony, he describes the 200622Turkey Point Unit 3 outage extension for the pressurizer piping23incident. What regulatory treatment did the Commission24approve last year for the replacement power costs resulting

from

1

from that outage extension?

Because sensitive investigations by FPL, the FBI and the NRC 2 Α. concerning the drilled hole in the pressurizer piping were in progress 3 at the time of last year's fuel adjustment proceedings, the 4 5 Commission deferred review of the outage extension to this year's proceedings. Consistent with its prior precedent, the Commission 6 approved FPL's request to recover through the 2007 FCR factor the 7 approximately \$6 million of replacement power costs associated with 8 the outage extension, subject to potential refund with interest if the 9 Commission were to determine subsequently that FPL is not entitled 10 to recover those costs. 11

Q. What standard has the Commission used to determine whether
 utilities may recover replacement power costs associated with
 nuclear unit outages?

The Commission has consistently based that determination on 15 Α. whether a utility's actions were prudent in whatever circumstances 16 led to the need for replacement power. These prudence 17 determinations essentially look to whether a utility acted reasonably 18 based on the information available to it at the time, without the benefit 19 of hindsight. So long as a utility's actions are prudent by this 20 measure, the utility is permitted to recover the replacement power 21 22 costs.

Q. Do you believe that this prudence standard is appropriate for
 determining whether replacement power costs may be

1 recovered?

2	a.	Yes, I do. Replacement power costs constitute out-of-pocket fuel
3		and/or purchased power costs actually incurred by a utility in
4		providing electric service to its customers. As such, they are properly
5		recoverable through the FCR Clause just like any other power costs,
6		unless they are shown to have been unnecessarily incurred because
7		the utility could have avoided them had it acted reasonably.

Q. Should FPL be entitled to recover the replacement power costs
 associated with the 2006 Turkey Point Unit 3 outage extension
 under the prudence standard?

Yes. As FPL witness Jones explains in his testimony, FPL complied Α. 11 12 fully with NRC requirements and industry standards in order to prevent improper access and deliberate criminal acts, and took 13 extensive actions to swiftly and effectively investigate and inspect 14 both Turkey Unit 3 and Unit 4 after the drilled hole in the pressurizer 15 piping was discovered, enabling FPL to expeditiously return the plant 16 to service with minimal disruption in production. FPL's actions at 17 each step in this process were unquestionably reasonable and 18 prudent. 19

20 Q. Would it be unfair to deny FPL recovery of its replacement power 21 costs even though its actions were prudent?

A. Yes. To deny recovery of replacement power costs even where a utility
 has acted prudently would be completely inconsistent with the purpose
 of the FCR Clause and would essentially make the utility a guarantor of

1		the reliability of its nuclear plants, with no corresponding reward for
2		taking on this large risk. Such a policy would create a major
3		disincentive to investments in new nuclear capacity which FPL believes
4		is important to help ensure energy security and fuel diversity.
5		
6		CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
7	Q.	Has FPL revised its 2007 CCR Estimated/Actual True-up amount
8		that was filed on August 6, 2007 to reflect July actual data?
9	Α.	Yes. The 2007 CCR Estimated/actual True-up amount has been
10		revised to an under-recovery of \$15,561,009 reflecting July actual
11		data. The calculation of the revised 2007 CCR Estimated/actual
12		true-up amount is shown on page 3b of Appendix III.
13	Q.	What is the revised net true-up amount that FPL is requesting to
		include in the CCR factor for the January 2008 through
14		
14 15		December 2008 period?
	A.	
15	A.	December 2008 period?
15 16	A.	December 2008 period? FPL is requesting approval of a net true-up under-recovery of
15 16 17	A.	December 2008 period? FPL is requesting approval of a net true-up under-recovery of \$19,591,292. This \$19,591,292 under-recovery represents the
15 16 17 18	A.	December 2008 period? FPL is requesting approval of a net true-up under-recovery of \$19,591,292. This \$19,591,292 under-recovery represents the revised estimated/actual under-recovery for the period January 2007
15 16 17 18 19	A.	December 2008 period? FPL is requesting approval of a net true-up under-recovery of \$19,591,292. This \$19,591,292 under-recovery represents the revised estimated/actual under-recovery for the period January 2007 through December 2007 of \$15,561,009 plus the final true-up under-
15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	December 2008 period? FPL is requesting approval of a net true-up under-recovery of \$19,591,292. This \$19,591,292 under-recovery represents the revised estimated/actual under-recovery for the period January 2007 through December 2007 of \$15,561,009 plus the final true-up under- recovery of \$4,030,283 that was filed on March 1, 2007 for the period
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	December 2008 period? FPL is requesting approval of a net true-up under-recovery of \$19,591,292. This \$19,591,292 under-recovery represents the revised estimated/actual under-recovery for the period January 2007 through December 2007 of \$15,561,009 plus the final true-up under- recovery of \$4,030,283 that was filed on March 1, 2007 for the period January 2006 through December 2006. This \$19,591,292 under-

payments for the projected period of January 2008 through
 December 2008?

3 Α. Yes. Page 3 of Appendix III provides this summary. Total Recoverable Capacity Payments are \$566,444,416 (line 16) and 4 5 include payments of \$205,560,816 to non-cogenerators (line1), Short-term Capacity Payments of \$48,647,490 (line 2), payments of 6 7 \$323,621,136 to cogenerators (line 3), and \$3,020,012 relating to the St. John's River Power Park (SJRPP) Energy Suspension Accrual 8 (line 4), \$33,297,815 in Incremental Power Plant Security Costs (line 9 6), and \$6,034,121 for Transmission of Electricity by Others (line 7). 10 This amount is offset by \$5,456,439 of Return Requirements on 11 SJRPP Suspension Payments (line 5), by Transmission Revenues 12 from Capacity Sales of \$3,760,758 (line 8), and by \$56,945,592 of 13 jurisdictional capacity related payments included in base rates (line 14 12). The resulting amount is then increased by a net under-recovery 15 of \$19,591,292 (line 13). The net under-recovery of \$19,591,292 16 includes the final under-recovery of \$4,030,283 for the January 2006 17 through December 2006 period that was filed with the Commission 18 on March 1, 2007, plus the estimated/actual under-recovery of 19 \$15,561,009 for the January 2007 through December 2007 period, 20 which includes actual data for January through July 2007 and revised 21 22 estimates for August through December 2007.

23

24 Incremental Power Plant Security

Q. Has FPL included a projection of its 2008 Incremental Power
 Plant Security Costs in calculating its Capacity Cost Recovery
 (CCR) Factors?

4 Α. Yes. FPL has included \$33,297,815 on Appendix III, page 3, Line 6 for projected 2008 Incremental Power Plant Security Costs in the 5 calculation of its CCR Factors. Section 14 of FPL's 2005 Rate Case 6 7 Stipulation contemplates the continued use of the CCR Clause to recover incremental power plant security costs throughout the term of 8 the stipulation. Of the total amount of projected 2008 costs, 9 \$29,527,430 is for nuclear power plant security, which is discussed in 10 Mr. Jones' testimony. \$1,420,104 is for fossil power plant security 11 and \$2,350,281 is for the North American Reliability Council (NERC) 12 Cyber Security Standards. All of the incremental security costs that 13 14 FPL has included in the calculation of the CCR Factors are post 9/11 15 power plant-related security measures required by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the Maritime Transportation Act, Coast 16 17 Guard rules, NERC and/or recommendations from the Department of Homeland Security authorities. These costs are not reflected in base 18 19 rates. They are tracked and segregated by work order and charged only to the CCR clause. 20

21

22 Calculation of CCR Factors

Q. Have you prepared a calculation of the allocation factors for
 demand and energy?

1	А.	Yes. Page 4 of Appendix III provides this calculation. The demand
2		allocation factors are calculated by determining the percentage each
3		rate class contributes to the monthly system peaks. The energy
4		allocators are calculated by determining the percentage each rate
5		contributes to total kWh sales, as adjusted for losses, for each rate
6		class.
7	Q.	Have you prepared a calculation of the proposed CCR factors by
8		rate class?
9	A.	Yes. Page 5 of Appendix III presents this calculation.
10	Q.	What effective date is the Company requesting for the new FCR
11		and CCR factors?
12	A.	FPL is requesting that the FCR and CCR factors become effective
13		with customer bills for January 2008 through December 2008. This
14		will provide for 12 months of billing on the CCR factors for all our
15		customers.
16	Q.	What will be the charge for a Residential customer using 1,000
17		kWh effective January 2008?
18	A.	The Residential 1,000 kWh Bill is \$102.49.This includes a base
19		charge of \$39.37, the fuel cost recovery charge is \$52.27, the
20		Capacity Cost Recovery charge is \$5.46, the Conservation charge is
21		\$1.45, the Environmental Cost Recovery charge is \$0.40, the Storm
22		charge is \$0.98 and the Gross Receipts Tax is \$2.56. A comparison
23		of the current Residential (1,000 kWh) Bill to FPL's 2008 projected
24		Residential (1,000 kWh) Bill is presented in Schedule E10, Page 68

- 1 of Appendix II.
- 2 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 3 A. Yes, it does.

APPENDIX I

FUEL COST RECOVERY

GJY-2 DOCKET NO. 070001-EI EXHIBIT_____ PAGES 1-6 SEPTEMBER 4, 2007

APPENDIX I

FUEL COST RECOVERY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE	DESCRIPTION	SPONSOR
3	Projected Dispatch Costs	G. Yupp
3	Projected Availability of Natural Gas	G. Yupp
4	Projected Unit Availabilities and Outage Schedules	G. Yupp
5, 6	2008 Risk Management Plan	G. Yupp

Florida Power and Light Company Projected Dispatch Costs and Projected Availability of Natural Gas January Through December 2008												
Heavy Oil	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>April</u>	Мау	June	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	<u>October</u>	<u>November</u>	December
1.0% Sulfur Grade (\$/Bbl)	62.01	62.53	62.53	61.27	61.35	61.81	62.62	62.62	62.62	62.44	62.62	62.98
1.0% Sulfur Grade (\$/mmBtu)	9.69	9.77	9.77	9.57	9.59	9.66	9.78	9.78	9.78	9.76	9.78	9.84
Light Oil	Light Oil January February March April May June July August September October November December											December
0.05% Sulfur Grade (\$/Bbl)	94.44	94.61	93.66	91.77	89.99	88.98	89.13	89.78	90.57	91.44	92.32	93.18
0.05% Sulfur Grade (\$/mmBtu)	16.20	16.23	16.07	15.74	15.44	15.26	15.29	15.40	15.54	15.68	15.83	15.98
Natural Gas Transportation	January	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	<u>October</u>	<u>November</u>	December
Firm FGT (mmBtu/Day)	750,000	750,000	750,000	839,000	874,000	874,000	874,000	874,000	874,000	839,000	750,000	750,000
Firm Gulfstream (mmBtu/Day)	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	535,000	535,000	535,000	535,000	535,000	535,000
Non-Firm FGT (mmBtu/Day)	140,000	140,000	140,000	110,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	110,000	140,000	140,000
Non-Firm Gulfstream (mmBtu/Day)		465,000	325,000	265,000	265,000	265,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	280,000	280,000
Total Projected Daily Availability (mmBtu/Day)	1,705,000	1,705,000	1,565,000	1,564,000	1,564,000	1,564,000	1,564,000	1,564,000	1,564,000	1,564,000	1,705,000	1,705,000
Natural Gas Dispatch Price	January	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	<u>October</u>	November	<u>December</u>
Firm FGT (\$/mmBtu)	9.06	9.08	8.93	8.40	8.37	8.36	8.46	8.54	8.59	8.72	9.11	9.61
Firm Gulfstream (\$/mmBtu)	8.81	8.83	8.68	8.17	8.14	8.13	8.23	8.30	8.36	8.48	8.86	9.35
Non-Firm FGT (\$/mmBtu)	9.28	9.30	9.15	8.67	8.79	8.90	9.00	9.08	9.01	8.99	9.33	9.83
Non-Firm Gulfstream (\$/mmBtu)	9.41	9.43	9.28	8.77	8.73	8.72	8.82	8.90	8.95	9.08	9.46	9.95
Coal	January	February	March	<u>April</u>	<u>May</u>	June	<u>July</u>	August	September	<u>October</u>		<u>December</u>
Scherer (\$/mmBtu)	2.12	2.13	2.13	2.13	2.14	2.14	2.15	2.15	2.15	2.16	2.16	2.16
SJRPP (\$/mmBtu)	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69	2.69

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT PROJECTED UNIT AVAILABILITIES & OUTAGE SCHEDULES <u>PERIOD OF: JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER, 2008</u>

	Forced Outage Factor (%)	Maintenance Outage Factor (%)	Planned Outage Factor (%)	Overhaul Date	Overhaul Date	Overhaul Date	Overhaul Date	Overhaul Date
Cape Canaveral 1	1.7	6.2	18.0	02/02/08 - 04/04/08				
Cape Canaveral 2	2.0	7.3	8.2	11/15/08 - 12/12/08				
Cutler 5	0.4	0.5	0.0	NONE				
Cutler 6	0.9	1.3	0.0	NONE				
Lauderdale 4	1.6	0.3	2.7	03/15/08 - 03/23/08				
Lauderdale 5	1.6	0.5	4.1	11/01/08 - 11/14/08				
Lauderdale GTs	1.0	7.2	0.0	NONE				
Fort Myers 2 CC	1.5	2.5	4.7	02/09/08 - 03/05/08	01/12/08 - 02/06/08 *			
Ft. Myers 3	1.2	2.0	0.0	NONE	01112100 02100100			
Ft. Myers GTs	0.3	1.3	1.8	09/27/08 - 10/01/08	10/11/08 - 11/09/08 *	10/18/08 - 11/28/08 *		
Manatee 1	1.0	4.0	8.2	11/15/08 - 12/12/08	10/11/00/00	10/10/00 - 11/20/00		
Manatee 2	1.1	4.0	0.0	NONE				
Manatee 3	1.3	2.5	3.8	05/03/08 - 05/16/08				
Martin 1	0.9	4.0	16.1	10/04/08 - 11/28/08				
Martin 2	1.1	4.0	0.0	NONE				
Martin 3	1.4	1.7	1.0	05/17/08 - 05/23/08				
Martin 4	1.6	2.1	13.4	03/01/08 - 04/18/08	•			
Martin 8 CC	1.3	2.5	2.9	03/15/08 - 03/28/08	05/24/08 - 06/06/08 •	06/07/08 - 06/20/08 *		
Port Everglades 1	2.2	1.9	17.2	04/26/08 - 06/24/08	00/21/00 00/00/00	00,01,00 00,20,00		
Port Everglades 2	2.9	1.7	0.0	NONE				
Port Everglades 3	3.0	5.2	0.0	NONE				
Port Everglades 4	2.7	6.1	6.3	11/29/08 - 12/19/08				
Port Everglades GTs	1.9	9.7	0.0	NONE				
Putnam 1	0.5	2.5	7.2	03/01/08 - 04/14/08	11/08/08 - 11/17/08			
Putnam 2	0.6	2.5	0.0	NONE				
Riviera 3	4.2	2.9	0.0	NONE				
Riviera 4	3.5	7.0	18.0	04/05/08 - 06/06/08				
Sanford 3	1.0	2.5	18.6	09/27/08 - 11/30/08				
Sanford 4 CC	1.6	2.5	1.9	02/02/08 - 02/29/08				
Sanford 5 CC	1.5	2.5	6.2	02/09/08 - 02/15/08	03/22/08 - 04/04/08	03/22/08 - 04/11/08 *	07/07/08 - 07/13/08 *	09/06/08 - 09/19/08 *
Turkey Point 1	2.8	3.5	8.5	10/18/08 - 11/14/08	00/22/00 0 1/0 1/00		01/01/00 01/10/00	00.00.00
Turkey Point 2	3.2	3.5	0.0	NONE				
Turkey Point 3	1.3	1.3	0.0	NONE				
Turkey Point 4	1.1	1.1	9.6	03/30/08 - 05/04/08				
Turkey Point 5	1.5	2.5	1.9	03/01/08 - 03/07/08				
St. Lucie 1	1.1	1.1	11.2	10/20/08 - 11/30/08				
St. Lucie 2	1.3	1.3	0.0	NONE				
Saint Johns River Power Park 1	1.7	1.0	0.0	NONE				
Saint Johns River Power Park 2	1.9	1.0	16.1	03/01/08 - 04/28/08				
Scherer 4	1.5	1.0	10.1	03/18/08 - 04/23/08				

2008 Risk Management Plan

- 1. Identify overall quantitative and qualitative risk management objectives.
 - A. FPL's risk management objectives are to effectively execute a well-disciplined and independently controlled fuel procurement strategy to achieve the goals of fuel price stability (volatility minimization), to potentially achieve fuel cost minimization, and to achieve asset optimization. FPL's fuel procurement strategy aims to mitigate fuel price increases and reduce fuel price volatility, while maintaining the opportunity to benefit from price decreases in the marketplace for FPL's customers. FPL plans to hedge a percentage of its residual fuel oil and natural gas purchases with a combination of fixed price transactions and options.
- 3. Identify and quantify each risk, general and specific, that the utility may encounter with its fuel procurement.
 - A. The potential risks that FPL encounters with its fuel procurement are supplier credit, fuel supply and transportation availability, product quality, delivery timing, weather, environmental and supplier failure to deliver. The utility determines acceptable levels of risk for fuel procurement by performing various analyses that include forecasted/expected levels of activity, forecasted price levels and price changes, price volatility, and Value-at-Risk (VaR) calculations. The analyses are then presented to the Exposure Management Committee for review and approval. Approval is given to remain within specified VaR limits. These VaR limits are specified in FPL's policies and procedures that were filed on a confidential basis with the Commission on June 24, 2002 as part of FPL's response to Staff's Second Request for Production of Documents in Docket No. 011605-EI. FPL's policies and procedures are updated as necessary.
- 4. Describe the utility's oversight of its fuel procurement activities.
 - A. The utility has a separate and independent middle office risk management department that provides oversight of fuel procurement activities at the deal level. In addition, an executive-level, Exposure Management Committee meets monthly to review performance and discuss current procurement/hedging activities and monitors daily results of procurement activity.
- 5. Verify that the utility provides its fuel procurement activities with independent and unavoidable oversight.
 - A. Please see response to No. 4.
- 6. Describe the utility's corporate risk policy regarding fuel procurement activities.
 - A. The utility has a written policy and procedures that define VaR and duration limits for all forward activity by portfolio. FPL's policies and procedures were filed on a confidential basis with the Commission on June 24, 2002 as part of FPL's response to Staff's Second Request for Production of Documents in Docket No. 011605-EI. FPL's policies and procedures are updated as necessary. In addition, individual procurement strategies must be documented and approved by front and middle office management prior to deal execution.
- Verify that the utility's corporate risk policy clearly delineates individual and group transaction limits and authorizations for all fuel procurement activities.
 A. Please see response to No. 6.

- Describe the utility's strategy to fulfill its risk management objectives.
 A. Please see response to No. 1.
- Verify that the utility has sufficient policies and procedures to implement its strategy.
 A. Please see response to No. 6.
- 13. Describe the utility's reporting system for fuel procurement activities.
 - A. The utility has sufficient systems capability for identifying, measuring, and monitoring all types of risk associated with fuel procurement activities. These systems include: deal capture, a database for maintaining current and historical pricing, deal information, and valuation models, and a reporting system that utilizes the information in the trade capture system and the database.
- 14. Verify that the utility's reporting system consistently and comprehensively identifies, measures, and monitors all forms of risk associated with fuel procurement activities.A. Please see response to No. 13.
- 15. If the utility has current limitations in implementing certain hedging techniques that would provide a net benefit to ratepayers, provide the details of a plan for developing the resources, policies, and procedures for acquiring the ability to use effectively the hedging techniques.

A. FPL does not believe that there are any such limitations currently.

APPENDIX II FUEL COST RECOVERY E SCHEDULES

KMD-5 DOCKET NO. 070001-EI FPL WITNESS: K. M. DUBIN EXHIB<u>IT</u> PAGES 1-71 SEPTEMBER 4, 2007 .

APPENDIX II FUEL COST RECOVERY E SCHEDULES January 2008 – December 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE(S)	DESCRIPTION	<u>SPONSOR</u>
3	Schedule E1 Fuel & Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause Calculation	K. M. Dubin
4	Schedule E1-A Calculation of Total True-up (Projected Period)	K. M. Dubin
4a-4b	Schedule E1-B REVISED 2007 Estimated/Actual True-up Calculation	K. M. Dubin
5	Schedule E1-C Calculation Generating Performance Incentive Factor and True-Up Factor	K. M. Dubin
6a-6b	Schedule E1-D Time of Use Rate Schedule	K. M. Dubin
7a-7b	Schedule E1-E Factors by Rate Group	K. M. Dubin
8a-8c	2006 Actual Energy Losses by Rate Class	K. M. Dubin
9-10	Schedule E2 Monthly Summary of Fuel & Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause Calculation	K. M. Dubin/ G. Yupp/T. Jones
11-14	Schedule E3 Monthly Summary of Generating System Data	G. Yupp/T. Jones
15-57	Schedule E4 Monthly Generation and Fuel Cost by Unit	G. Yupp/T. Jones
58-59	Schedule E5 Monthly Fuel Inventory Data	G. Yupp/T. Jones
60-61	Schedule E6 Monthly Power Sold Data	G. Yupp/T. Jones
62-63	Schedule E7 Monthly Purchased Power Data	G. Yupp
64-65	Schedule E8 Energy Payment to Qualifying Facilities	G. Yupp
66-67	Schedule E9 Monthly Economy Energy Purchase Data	G. Yupp
68	Schedule E10 Residential Bill Comparison	K. M. Dubin
69	Schedule H1 Three Year Historical Comparison	K. M. Dubin
70-71	Cogeneration Tariff Sheets	K. M. Dubin

·•• -

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

	ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD: JANUARY 2008 - DEC		(b)	(c)
		(a) DOLLARS		¢/KWH
1 2	Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3) Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs (E2)	\$5,674,081,865 22,330,882	103,303,869 24,050,491	5.4926 0.0929
3	Fuel Related Transactions (E2)	2,929,140	0	0.0000
4	Incremental Hedging Costs (E2)	597,125	0	
5	Fuel Cost of Sales to FKEC / CKW (E2)	(64,045,018)	(1,020,300)	6.2771
6	TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	\$5,635,893,994	102,283,569	5.5101
7	Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Exclusive of	318,568,650	12,153,700	2.6212
8	Economy) (E7) Energy Cost of Sched C & X Econ Purch (Florida) (E9)	28,723,111	401,992	7.1452
9	Energy Cost of Other Econ Purch (Non-Florida) (E9)	77,363,716	1,088,971	7.1043
10	Payments to Qualifying Facilities (E8)	188,840,506	5,929,307	3.1849
11	TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	\$613,495,983	19,573,970	3.1342
12	TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH (LINE 5 + LINE 13)		121,857,539	
13	Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E6)	(117,801,650)	(1,840,000)	6.4023
14	Gain on Economy Sales (E6A)	0	0	0.0000
15	Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (SL2 Partpts) (E6)	(1,807,900)	(66,877)	2.7033
16 17	Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E6) Revenues from Off-System Sales	0 (19,100,675)	0 (1,906,877)	0.0000 1.0017
18 19	TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES Net inadvertent Interchange	(\$138,710,225) 0	(1,906,877) 0	7.2742
20	TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (LINE 6 + 11 + 18)	\$6,110,679,752	119,950,662	5.0943
21	Net Unbilled Sales	689,154 **	13,528	0.0006
22	Company Use	18,332,039 **	359,852	0,0164
23	T & D Losses	397,194,184 **	7,796,793	0.3553
24	SYSTEM MWH SALES (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	\$6,110,679,752	111,780,489	5.4667
25	Wholesale MWH Sales (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	\$365,419	6,681	5.4667
26	Jurisdictional MWH Sales	\$6,110,314,333	111,773,808	5.4667
27	Jurisdictional Loss Multiplier	-	-	1.00065
28	Jurisdictional MWH Sales Adjusted for Line Losses	\$6,114,286,037	111,773,808	5.4702
29	FINAL TRUE-UP EST/ACT TRUE-UP JAN 06 - DEC 06 JAN 07 - DEC 07 \$53,744,558 \$25,577,700 underrecovery underrecovery	79,322,258	111,773,808	0.0710
30	TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL FUEL COST	\$6,193,608,295	111,773,808	5.5412
31	Revenue Tax Factor			1.00072
32	Fuel Factor Adjusted for Taxes	6,198,067,693		5.5452
33	GPIF ***	\$9,001,300	111,773,808	0.0081
34	Fuel Factor including GPIF (Line 32 + Line 33)	6,207,068,993	111,773,808	5.5533
35	FUEL FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 CENTS/KV	wн		5.553

** For informational Purposes Only *** Calculation Based on Jurisdictional KWH Sales

SCHEDULE E - 1A

CALCULATION OF TOTAL TRUE-UP (PROJECTED PERIOD) FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY FOR THE PERIOD: JANUARY 2008 - DECEMBER 2008

1.	Estimated/Actual over/(under) recovery (January 2007 - September 2007)	\$ (25,577,700)
2.	Final over/(under) recovery (January 2006 - December 2006)	\$ (53,744,558)
3.	Total over/(under) recovery to be included in the January 2008 - December 2008 projected period (Schedule E1, Line 29)	\$ (79,322,258)
4.	TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL SALES (MWH) (Projected period)	111,773,808

5. True-Up Factor (Lines 3/4) c/kWh:

(0.0710)

			CALCULATION OF ACTUAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY						
	-		FOR THE ESTIMATED/ACTUAL PERIOD JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER	·				·	
			2007						
				(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
INE				Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
NO.				January	February	March	April	May	June
A			Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions						
	1		Fuel Cost of System Net Generation	344,860,541	333,895,916	336,299,910	459,797,479	5 514,498,368	528,202,921
			Incremental Hedging Costs	53,226	39,493	23,534	30,791	24,115	37,136
		C	Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs	2,080,232	1,838,048	2,068,516	1,527,611	1,426,405	1,772,838
			Coal Car Investment	276,584	274,727	272,871	271,014	269,158	266,915
			Gas Pipelines Depreciation & Return	-	-		-		200,010
	_		DOE D&D Fund Payment	-	-		-	-	
	2		Fuel Cost of Power Sold (Per A6)	(6,942,952)	(13,948,405)	(8,140,711)	(9,714,574)	(5.956.867)	(3,424,938)
	_		Gains from Off-System Sales	(2,083,070)	(5,499,558)	(2,229,624)	(1,844,553)	(1,169,825)	(563,318)
	_3		Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Per A7)	21,506,997	22,969,094	17,804,660	21,444,941	23,110,057	24,916,606
	-	b	Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (Per A8)	14,369,588	14,062,127	14,320,595	7,235,184	14,106,491	15,289,372
				•	-	-		-	
	_4		Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Per A9)	5,725,484	2,528,336	2,649,522	5,722,004	7,237,907	5,509,560
	_5		Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	379,846,630	356,159,778	363,069,273	484,469,897	553,545,809	572,007,092
	6		Adjustments to Fuel Cost		·				012,001,032
			Sales to Fla Keys Elect Coop (FKEC) & City of Key West (CKW)	(4,265,087)	(4,514,513)	(4,274,683)	(4,521,659) \$	(5,446,650)	(6,025,887)
			Reactive and Voltage Control / Energy Imbalance Fuel Revenues	(46,608)	(141,687)	(37,683)	(85,101)	10,120	(117,212)
			Inventory Adjustments	(65,740)	(23,322)	151,823	165.860	44,370	45,870
	_	d	Non Recoverable Oil/Tank Bottoms	226,102	53,484	-	(18,211)	(76,294)	
	7		Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	375,695,297	351,533,740	358,908,730	480,010,786	548,077,355	565,909,863
В			kWh Sales						
	1		Jurisdictional kWh Sales	8,555,173,173	7,458,110,394	7,381,834,925	7,481,240,405	8,249,438,274	9,086,669,337
	2		Sale for Resale (excluding FKEC & CKW)	42,430,619	44,452,806	44,688,200	48,430,962	49,191,597	48,011,520
	3		Sub-Total Sales (excluding FKEC & CKW)	8,597,603,792	7,502,563,200	7,426,523,125	7,529,671,367	8,298,629,871	9,134,680,857
							.,	0,200,020,011	3,134,000,001
	4		Jurisdictional % of Total Sales (B1/B3)	99.50648%	99.40750%	99,39826%	99.35680%	99,40723%	99.47440%
С			True-up Calculation						
	I		Juris Fuel Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes)	495,538,005	424,858,917	420,150,299	426,184,984	462,649,668	512,234,106
	2		Fuel Adjustment Revenues Not Applicable to Period				f*	102,010,000	
		a	Prior Period True-up (Collected)/Refunded This Period	(7,583,913)	(7,583,913)	(7,583,913)	(7,583,913)	(7,583,913)	(7,583,913)
·			GPIF, Net of Revenue Taxes	(705,999)	(705,999)	(705,999)	(705,999)	(705,999)	(705,999)
		c	Other	-	-	-	(100,000)	(100,000/	(100,000)
	3		Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues Applicable to Period	487,248,093	416,569,005	411,860,387	417,895,072	454,359,756	503,944,194
	4	8	Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line A-7)	375,695,297	351,533,740	358.908.730	480,010,786	548,077,355	565,909,863
			Nuclear Fuel Expense - 100% Retail	-	001,000,140		400,010,700	040,011,000	000,909,000
+		c							
-+	_	đ	D&D Fund Payments -100% Retail						
			Adj Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions - Excluding 100% Retail						
			Items (C4a-C4b-C4c-C4d)	375,695,297	351,533,740	358,908,730	480,010,786	548,077,355	565,909,863
	5		Jurisdictional Sales % of Total kWh Sales (Line B-6)	99.50648%	99.40750%	99.39826%	99.35680%	99.40723%	99.47440%
	6		Jurisdictional Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line C4e x C5 x		00%	00.0002076	00.0000/8		
	Ű		1.00054) +(Lines C4b,c,d)	374,043,040	349,639,606	356,941,677	477,180,895	545,122,724	563,239,426
	7		True-up Provision for the Month - Over/(Under) Recovery (Line C3 - Line			Ĩ	T T		
	- 1		C6)	113,205,053	66,929,399	54,918,710	(59,285,823)	(90,762,968)	(59,295,232)
	8		Interest Provision for the Month	(370,119)	56,650	357,189	382,426	88,490	(207,150)
	9		True-up & Interest Provision Beg. of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(91,006,958)	29,411,889	103,981,851	166,841,663	115,522,179	32,431,614
	-	-	Deferred True-up Beginning of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(53,744,558)	(53,744,558)	(53,744,558)	(53,744,558)	(53,744,558)	(53,744,558)
-+	10		Prior Period True-up Collected/(Refunded) This Period	7,583,913	7,583,913	7,583,913	7,583,913	7,583,913	7,583,913
			End of Period Net True-up Amount Over/(Under) Recovery (Lines C7	.,,		.,			.,000,010
	- 4								

.

	+	CALCULATION OF ACTUAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY							
		FOR THE ESTIMATED/ACTUAL PERIOD JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER							
	-	2007							
			(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
NE	-		Actual	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Total
0.			July	August	September	October	November	December-07	Period
A		Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions							
1	a	Fuel Cost of System Net Generation	\$578,361,756	\$567,774,607	\$542,667,734	\$524,304,978	\$395,882,639	\$434,886,417	5,561,433
	Ъ	Incremental Hedging Costs	26,004	36,253	51,580	36,253	36,253	36,253	430
		Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs	1,872,419	1,985,276	1,468,214	1,502,983	1,495,010	1,655,567	20,693
		Coal Car Investment	264,673	263,588	261,732	259,875	258,019	256,162	20,093
		Gas Pipelines Depreciation & Return	204,013	203,300	201,/32	239,075	250,019	230,102	3,195
		DOE D&D Fund Payment		-	-		-		
		Fuel Cost of Power Sold (Per A6)	(4 500 504)				-	-	
		Gains from Off-System Sales	(4,562,581)	(9,198,924)	(2,940,862)	(4,921,156)	(9,383,626)	(22,015,976)	(101,151
			(838,551)	(1,623,193)	(379,296)	(526,914)	(1,208,004)	(4,268,792)	(22,234
		Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Per A7)	28,862,020	24,806,768	24,087,957	24,683,677	21,653,045	22,277,344	278,123
	b	Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (Per A8)	14,465,306	17,851,000	17,828,000	15,323,000	15,590,000	18,815,000	179,255
	_		-	-	-	-		-	
4		Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Per A9)	7,475,360	6,698,749	10,882,073	11,118,854	13,170,507	8,877,386	87,595
5	.	Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	625,926,406	608,594,124	-593,927,132	571,781,550	437,493,843	460,519,361	6,007,340
6		Adjustments to Fuel Cost				1			
		Sales to Fla Keys Elect Coop (FKEC) & City of Key West (CKW)	(5,888,231)	(6,013,680)	(6,126,625)	(5,883,116)	(5,418,624)	(4,834,992)	(63,213
	b	Reactive and Voltage Control / Energy Imbalance Fuel Revenues	(55,860)	-	-	-	-	-	(474
	c	Inventory Adjustments	22,507	-	-	-	-	-	341
	d	Non Recoverable Oil/Tank Bottoms	-		-	-	-	-	185
7	· · ·	Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	620,004,822	602.580.444	587,800,507	565,898,434	432.075.219	455,684,369	5,944,179
	1		1						
B	-	kWh Sales							
	-	Jurisdictional kWh Sales	10,150,866,208	10,333,103,000	10,270,876,000	0.015 174 000		0.000 (05 000	405 000 000
		Sale for Resale (excluding FKEC & CKW)				9,645,174,000	8,610,646,000	8,680,135,000	105,903,266
2			43,849,746	48,816,612	43,527,178	45,220,055	47,828,782	580,148	507,028
3		Sub-Total Sales (excluding FKEC & CKW)	10,194,715,954	10,381,919,612	10,314,403,178	9,690,394,055	8,658,474,782	8,680,715,148	106,410,294
			_						
4		Jurisdictional % of Total Sales (B1/B3)	99.56988%	99.52979%	99.57800%	99.53335%	99.44761%	99.99332%	N/A
C		True-up Calculation	-		T				
1		Juris Fuel Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes)	575,795,562	582,160,889	578,655,057	543,403,378	485,118,684	489,033,653	5,995,783
2		Fuel Adjustment Revenues Not Applicable to Period							
	-	Prior Period True-up (Collected)/Refunded This Period	(7,583,913)	(7,583,913)	(7,583,913)	(7,583,913)	(7,583,913)	(7,583,913)	(91,000
		GPIF, Net of Revenue Taxes	(705,999)	(705,999)	(705,999)	(705,999)	(705,999)	(705,999)	(8,471
		Other	(100,000)	(100,899)	(103,333)	(103,333)	(103,838)	(103,333)	
	c		507 505 650	572 870 077	570 DEE 445	535,113,466	476,828,772	480,743,741	5,896,304
3		Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues Applicable to Period	567,505,650	573,870,977	570,365,145				
4		Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line A-7)	620,004,822	602,580,444	587,800,507	565,898,434	432,075,219	455,684,369	5,944,179
	b	Nuclear Fuel Expense - 100% Retail	•	-	-	-	-	-	
	c		-	-	-	-		-	
	d	D&D Fund Payments -100% Retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	-	Adj Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions - Excluding 100% Retail							
	e	Items (C4a-C4b-C4c-C4d)	620,004,822	602,580,444	587,800,507	565,898,434	432,075,219	455,684,369	5,944,179
5		Jurisdictional Sales % of Total kWh Sales (Line B-6)	99.56988%	99.52979%	99.57800%	99.53335%	99.44761%	99.99332%	N/A
6	_	Jurisdictional Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line C4e x C5 x							
l "		1,00054) +(Lines C4b,c,d)	617,671,420	600,070,914	585,636,061	563,561,829	429,920,510	455,899,982	5,918,92
			0111011,120						
1		True-up Provision for the Month - Over/(Under) Recovery (Line C3 - Line	150 105 330	100 100 007	445 070 040	(00.440.000)	40,000,000	24,843,759	(22,62
_		C6)	(50,165,770)	(26,199,937)	(15,270,916)	(28,448,363)	46,908,262		
8		Interest Provision for the Month	(414,320)	(548,176)	(607,999)	(672,992)	(602,509)	(415,364)	(2,95
9	а	True-up & Interest Provision Beg. of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(19,486,855)	(62,483,032)	(81,647,232)	(89,942,233)	(111,479,675)	(57,590,009)	(91,00
	b	Deferred True-up Beginning of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(53,744,558)	(53,744,558)	(53,744,558)	(53,744,558)	(53,744,558)	(53,744,558)	(53,74
10		Prior Period True-up Collected/(Refunded) This Period	7,583,913	7,583,913	7,583,913	7,583,913	7,583,913	7,583,913	91,00
		End of Period Net True-up Amount Over/(Under) Recovery (Lines C7		i					
11		through C10)	(116,227,590)	(135,391,790)	(143,686,791)	(165,224,233)	(111,334,567)	(79,322,258)	(79,32
		lanough o ior	110,221,000)	(100,001,100)	(140,000,101)	1.00,00,000/1	1	V1	1

46

Schedule EI-B Revised

.

SCHEDULE E - 1C

CALCULATION OF GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR AND TRUE - UP FACTOR FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY FOR THE PERIOD: JANUARY 2008 - DECEMBER 2008

1. TOTAL AMOUNT OF ADJUSTMENTS:	88,323,558
A. GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE REWARD (PENALTY)	\$9,001,300
B. TRUE-UP (OVER)/UNDER RECOVERED	\$ 79,322,258

2. TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL SALES (MWH)

111,773,808

3. ADJUSTMENT FACTORS c/kWh:	0.0791
A. GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR	0.0081
B. TRUE-UP FACTOR	0.0710

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SCHEDULE E - 1D Page 1 of 2

Ν.

DETERMINATION OF FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR TIME OF USE RATE SCHEDULES

JANUARY 2008 - DECEMBER 2008

NET ENERGY FOR LOAD (%)

OFF-PEAK

	-)	FUEL COST (%)
ON PEAK	30.36	32.90
OFF PEAK	69.64	67.10
	100.00	100.00

FUEL RECOVERY CALCULATION

	TOTAL	ON-PEAK	OFF-PEAK
1 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANS	\$6,110,679,752	\$2,010,413,638	\$4,100,266,114
2 MWH SALES	111,780,489	33,936,556	77,843,933
3 COST PER KWH SOLD	5.4667	5.9240	5.2673
4 JURISDICTIONAL LOSS FACTOR	1.00065	1.00065	1.00065
5 JURISDICTIONAL FUEL FACTOR	5.4702	5.9279	5.2707
6 TRUE-UP	0.0710	0.0710	0.0710
7			
8 TOTAL	5.5412	5.9989	5.3417
9 REVENUE TAX FACTOR	1.00072	1.00072	1.00072
10 RECOVERY FACTOR	5.5452	6.0032	5.3455
11 GPIF	0.0081	0.0081	0.0081
11A			
12 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	5.5533	6.0113	5.3536
13 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED	5.553	6.011	5.354
TO NEAREST .001 c/KWH			
HOURS: ON-PEAK	24.68	%	

75.32 %

SCHEDULE E - 1D Page 2 of 2

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

DETERMINATION OF SEASONAL DEMAND TIME OF USE RIDER (SDTR) FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS

ON PEAK: JUNE 2008 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 2008 - WEEKDAYS 3:00 PM TO 6:00 PM OFF PEAK: ALL OTHER HOURS

	FUEL COST (%)
23.51	25.61
76.49	74.39
100.00	100.00
	76.49

SDTR FUEL RECOVERY CALCULATION

	TOTAL	ON-PEAK	OFF-PEAK
1 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANS 2 MWH SALES 3 COST PER KWH SOLD 4 JURISDICTIONAL LOSS FACTOR 5 JURISDICTIONAL FUEL FACTOR 6 TRUE-UP 7 8 TOTAL 9 REVENUE TAX FACTOR	\$6,110,679,752 111,780,489 5.4667 1.00065 5.4702 0.0710 5.5412 1.00072	\$1,564,945,084 26,279,593 5.9550 1.00065 5.9589 0.0710 6.0299 1.00072 6.0342	\$4,545,734,668 85,500,896 5.3166 1.00065 5.3200 0.0710 5.3910 1.00072 5.3949
10 SDTR RECOVERY FACTOR 11 GPIF 12 SDTR RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	5.5452 0.0081 5.5533	6.0342 0.0081 6.0423	0.0081 5.4030
13 SDTR RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 c/KWH	5.553	6.042	5.403
HOURS: ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	19.84 80.16	%	

Note: All other months served under the otherwise applicable rate schedule. See Schedule E-1D, Page 1 of 2.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS - BY RATE GROUP (ADJUSTED FOR LINE/TRANSFORMATION LOSSES)

SCHEDULE E - 1E Page 1 of 2

JANUARY 2008 - DECEMBER 2008

(1)	(2) RATE	(3) AVERAGE	(4) FUEL RECOVERY	(5) FUEL RECOVERY
GROUP	SCHEDULE	FACTOR	LOSS MULTIPLIER	FACTOR
A	RS-1 first 1,000 kWh all additional kWh	5.553 5.553	1.00207 1.00207	5.227 6.227
А	GS-1, SL-2, GSCU-1	5.553	1.00207	5.565
A-1*	SL-1, OL-1, PL-1	5.459	1.00207	5.470
В	GSD-1	5.553	1.00201	5.564
С	GSLD-1 & CS-1	5.553	1.00091	5.558
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2 & MET	5.553	0.99379	5.519
E	GSLD-3 & CS-3	5.553	0.95688	5.314
А	RST-1, GST-1 ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	6.011 5.354	1.00207 1.00207	6.024 5.365
В	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), ON-PEAK HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) OFF-PEAK	6.011 5.354	1.00201 1.00201	6.023 5.364
С	GSLDT-1, CST-1, ON-PEAK HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) OFF-PEAK	6.011 5.354	1.00103 1.00103	6.017 5.359
D	GSLDT-2, CST-2, ON-PEAK HLFT-3 (2,000+) OFF-PEAK	6.011 5.354	0.99551 0.99551	5.984 5.330
E	GSLDT-3,CST-3, ON-PEAK CILC -1(T) OFF-PEAK & ISST-1(T)	6.011 5.354	0.95688 0.95688	5.752 5.123
F	CILC -1(D) & ON-PEAK ISST-1(D) OFF-PEAK	6.011 5.354	0.99302 0.99302	5.969 5.316

* WEIGHTED AVERAGE 16% ON-PEAK AND 84% OFF-PEAK

SCHEDULE E - 1E Page 2 of 2

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

DETERMINATION OF SEASONAL DEMAND TIME OF USE RIDER (SDTR) FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS

ON PEAK: JUNE 2008 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 2008 - WEEKDAYS 3:00 PM TO 6:00 PM OFF PEAK: ALL OTHER HOURS

(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) SDTR
GROUP		RWISE APPLICABLE	AVERAGE FACTOR	FUEL RECOVERY LOSS MULTIPLIER	FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR
В	GSD(T)-1	ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	6.042 5.403	1.00201 1.00201	6.054 5.414
С	GSLD(T)-1	ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	6.042 5.403	1.00106 1.00106	6.049 5.409
D	GSLD(T)-2	ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	6.042 5.403	0.99565 0.99565	6.015 5.379

Note: All other months served under the otherwise applicable rate schedule. See Schedule E-1E, Page 1 of 2.

Florida Power & Light Company 2006 Actual Energy Losses by Rate Class

Line No	Rate Class	Voltage Level (Note 1)	Delivered MWH Sales	Expansion Factor	Delivered Energy at Generation	Delivered Efficiency	Losses	Fuel Cost Recovery Multiplier
1 F 2	RS-1	S	54,531,749	1.07349429	58,539,522	0.931537	4,007,772	1.00207
	CILC-1D	Р	1,178,825	1.04702619	1,234,261	0.955086	55,436	
	CILC-1D	S	2,042,176	1.07349429	2,192,264	0.931537	150,088	
	CILC-1D Total		3,221,001	1.06380747	3,426,525	0.940020	205,524	0.99302
6 7 C	CILC-1G	Р	0	1.04702619	0	0.000000	0	
	CILC-1G	S	211,903	1.07349429	227,477	0.931537	15,574	
9 0	CILC-1G Total		211,903	1,07349429	227,477	0.931537	15,574	1.00207
	DILC-1T	Т	1,554,701	1.02508821	1,593,705	0.975526	39,005	0.95688
12 13 C	CS-1	Р	48,622	1.04702619	50,908	0.955086	2,286	
	S-1	S	176,822	1.07349429	189,817	0.931537	12,995	
	CS-1 Total		225,443	1.06778586	240,725	0.936517	15,282	0.99674
16			10 500	4 0 4700040	44 ECE	0.955086	2 002	
17 C)S-2)S-2	P S	42,563 81,373	1.04702619 1.07349429	44,565 87,353	0.955088	2,002 5,980	
	CS-2 Total	3	123,936	1.06440441	131,918	0.939493	7,982	0.99358
20			120,000					
	CS-3	т	20,985	1.02508821	21, 51 2	0.975526	526	0.95688
23 G 24	SS-1	S	6,138,415	1.07349429	6,589,553	0.931537	451,138	1.00207
	SSCU-1	S	22,504	1.07349429	24,158	0.931537	1,654	1.00207
	SSD-1	Р	48,900	1.04702619	51,199	0.955086	2,300	
	SSD-1	S	22,822,901	1.07349429	24,500,254	0.931537	1,677,353	
29 G 30	SSD-1 Total		22,871,801	1.07343770	24,551,454	0.931586	1,679,653	1.00201
	SSLD-1	Р	241,520	1.04702619	252,878	0.955086	11,358	
	SLD-1	S	5,712,823	1.07349429	6,132,682	0.931537	419,860	
33 🤆	SLD-1 Total		5,954,343	1.07242069	6,385,560	0.932470	431,218	1.00106
34			000 000	4.04700040	074 700	0.055000	10.000	
	SSLD-2	P	262,393	1.04702619 1.07349429	274,733 802,486	0.955086 0.931537	12,339 54,940	
	SLD-2 SLD-2 Total	S	747,546 1,009,939	1.06661759	1,077,219	0.937543	67,280	0.99565
38			1,003,503	1.00001703	1,017,213	0.007040	07,200	0.00000
	SSLD-3	т	218,817	1.02508821	224,307	0.975526	5,490	0.95688
	ILFT-1	Р	8,234	1.04702619	8,622	0.955086	387	
42 H	ILFT-1	S	910,679	1.07349429	977,609	0.931537	66,930	
	ILFT-1 Total		918,913	1.07325711	986,230	0.931743	67,317	1.00185
44 45 H	ILFT-2	Р	167,614	1.04702619	175,497	0.955086	7,882	
	ILFT-2	S	4,514,089	1.07349429	4,845,849	0.931537	331,760	
	ILFT-2 Total	<u> </u>	4,681,704	1.07254668	5,021,346	0.932360	339,642	1.00118
48			, ,,,,,,,,		· · · · ·		· · · · · ·	
	ILFT-3	Р	218,370	1.04702619	228,639	0.955086	10,269	
	ILFT-3	S	619,422	1.07349429	664,946	0.931537	45,524	

Florida Power & Light Company 2006 Actual Energy Losses by Rate Class

22 P 93,758 1.04702619 98,167 0.955086 4,409 0.977 55 OL-1 S 106,276 1.07349429 114,087 0.931537 7,811 1.007 56 OL-1 S 106,276 1.07349429 114,087 0.931537 7,811 1.007 56 OS-2 S - 1.07349429 - 0.000000 - 56 OS-2 S - 1.07349429 - 0.000000 - 57 OS-2 S - 1.04702619 19,412 0.955086 64 61 STDR-1A P 1,145 1.04702619 0 0.031537 8,049 62 STDR-1A Total 110,058 1.07320219 0 0.000000 0 0 63 STDR-1A S 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 1.007 64 STDR-2A P 19,356 1.04702619 0.2266	Line No	Rate Class	Voltage Level (Note 1)	Delivered MWH Sales	Expansion Factor	Delivered Energy at Generation	Delivered Efficiency	Losses	Fuel Cost Recovery Multiplier
53 MET P 93,758 1.04702619 98,167 0.955086 4,409 0.977 55 OL-1 S 106,276 1.07349429 114,087 0.931537 7,811 1.007 56 OS-2 P 13,541 1.04702619 19,412 0.95086 672 0.977 57 OS-2 S - 1.07349429 - 0.00000 - 0.977 56 OS-2 S - 1.07349429 1.019 0.955086 572 0.977 57 OS-2 DS 1.004702619 1.198 0.955086 572 0.977 60 STDR-1A S 109,518 1.07349429 117,567 0.931537 8,049 1.007 64 TDR-1B S 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 1.007 65 STDR-1B S 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 2.840 1.0107 75 STDR-		3 Total		837,792	1.06659541	893,585	0.937563	55,793	0.99563
55 OL-1 S 106,276 1.07349429 114,067 0.931537 7,811 1.007 56 OS-2 P 18,541 1.04702619 19,412 0.955086 672 56 OS-2 S - 1.07349429 - 0.00000 - 56 OS-2 S - 1.07349429 1.142 0.955086 672 0.97 60 STDR-1A P 1,145 1.04702619 1,199 0.955086 54 57 OS-1 - 0.00000 - - 0.97 68 STDR-1A S 109,518 1.07349429 111,876 0.931537 8,049 66 STDR-1B P 0 1.04702619 0 0.00000 0 67 STDR-1A S 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 1.007 68 STDR-1A S 818 1.07349429 41,482 0.931537 60 1.007	53 MET		Р	93,758	1.04702619	98,167	0.955086	4,409	0.97736
58 OS-2 S 1.07349429 0.000000 - 59 OS-2 Total 18,541 1.04702619 19,412 0.955086 872 0.97 61 STDR-1A P 1,145 1.04702619 1,199 0.955086 54 62 STDR-1A S 109,613 1.07349429 117,567 0.931537 8,049 63 STDR-1A S 109,613 1.07329032 118,766 0.931537 8,004 64 STDR-1B S 818 1.07349429 877 0.931537 60 0 65 STDR-1B S 818 1.07349429 878 0.3931537 60 1000 68 STDR-2A P 19,356 1.04702619 20,266 0.965066 569 100 72 Total 57,969 1.04702619 12,667 0.955086 569 100 74 STDR-2A S 1,49429 1.0630 0.931537 110 75	55 OL-1		S	106,276	1.07349429	114,087	0.931537	7,811	1.00207
59 DS-2 Total 18,541 1.04702619 19,412 0.955086 872 0.97 60 STDR-1A P 1,145 1.07324929 117,567 0.931537 8,049 62 STDR-1A S 109,618 1.07324929 117,667 0.931537 8,049 63 STDR-1A Total 110,663 1.07324929 878 0.931537 80.049 64 STDR-1B S 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 1.007 65 STDR-1B S 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 1.007 69 STDR-2A P 19,356 1.04702619 2.0266 0.955086 910 0.931537 1.007	57 OS-2		Р	18,541	1.04702619	19,412	0.955086	872	
00 1,145 1,04702819 1,199 0.955086 54 61 STDR-1A S 109,518 1.07349429 117,667 0.931537 8,049 63 STDR-1A Total 110,663 1.07322032 118,766 0.931737 8,103 1.007 64 100,663 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 1.007 65 STDR-1B S 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 1.007 69 STDR-2A P 19,356 1.04702619 20,268 0.955086 910 70 STDR-2A P 19,356 1.04702619 20,268 0.955086 910 70 STDR-2A S 36,642 1.07349429 41,482 0.931537 60 1.007 71 STDR-2B P 12,098 1.04702619 12,667 0.955086 569 74 STDR-2B S 1,4391 1.04902385 14,270 0.955086 10381 <td>58 OS-2</td> <td></td> <td>S</td> <td>-</td> <td>1.07349429</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.000000</td> <td></td> <td></td>	58 OS-2		S	-	1.07349429	-	0.000000		
61 STDR-1A P 1.145 1.04702619 1.199 0.955086 54 62 STDR-1A Total 110,651 1.07349429 117,657 0.931537 8,049 63 STDR-1A Total 110,663 1.0732032 118,766 0.931537 8,103 1.00 64	59 OS-2	Total		18,541	1.04702619	19,412	0.955086	872	0.97736
22 STDR-1A S 109,618 107,662 0.931537 8,049 63 STDR-1A Total 110,663 1.07322032 118,766 0.931775 8,103 1.00 64 110,663 1.07322032 118,767 0.931775 8,103 1.00 65 STDR-1A S 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 1.00 65 STDR-1B Otal 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 1.00 66 STDR-2A P 19,356 1.04702619 20,266 0.955086 910 70 STDR-2A S 38,642 1.07349429 41,462 0.931537 2.840 71 STDR-2B P 12,068 1.04702619 12,667 0.955086 569 74 STDR-2B P 12,068 1.04702619 1.603 0.931537 100 75 STDR-3A P 5,347 1.04702619 5,598 0.955086		1.0	D	4 4 4 5	4.04702610	1 100	0.055096	54	
BS DR-1A Total 110,663 1.07322032 118,766 0.931775 8,103 1.00 64 0 0 1.04702619 0 0.000000 0 65 STDR-1B S 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 66 STDR-1B Total 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 1.000 68 0.931537 60 1.001 0 0.931537 60 1.001 68 0.931537 60 1.007349429 878 0.931537 60 1.001 68 107349429 1.04702619 2.0266 0.95086 910 7 70 STDR-2A Total 5.7986 1.04466107 61.748 0.939266 3.760 0.992 72 5 STDR-2B S 1.493 1.07349429 1.603 0.931537 110 7 75 STDR-3A P 5.347 1.04702619 5.958 0.955086 251 7									
64 P 0 1.04702619 0 0.000000 0 65 STDR-1B S 818 1.07349429 678 0.931537 60 67 STDR-1B Total 818 1.07349429 678 0.931537 60 1.003 68 STDR-2A P 19,356 1.04702619 20,266 0.955086 910 70 STDR-2A S 38,642 1.07349429 41,482 0.931537 2.840 71 STDR-2A S 38,642 1.04702619 12,667 0.955086 569 73 STDR-2B P 12,068 1.04702619 12,667 0.955086 569 74 STDR-2B S 1,493 1.07349429 1,603 0.931537 110 75 STDR-3A P 5,347 1.04702619 5,598 0.955086 251 78 STDR-3A S 8,663 1.07349429 0.955086 1,232 75 STDR-3B </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.00181</td>									1.00181
66 STDR-1B P 0 1.04702619 0 0.000000 0 66 STDR-1B S 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 67 STDR-1B S 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 69 STDR-1B S 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 70 STDR-2A P 19,356 1.04702619 20,266 0.955086 910 70 STDR-2A S 3.8,642 1.07349429 41,482 0.931537 100 71 STDR-2B P 12,098 1.04702619 12,667 0.955086 569 73 STDR-3B P 1.04903385 1.4270 0.955086 569 74 STDR-3A P 5.347 1.04702619 5.598 0.955086 251 75 STDR-3A P 5.147 0.931537 651 78 STDR-3B P 2.6,197 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.01022002</td> <td>110,100</td> <td>0.001770</td> <td>0,100</td> <td>1.00101</td>					1.01022002	110,100	0.001770	0,100	1.00101
66 STDR-1B S 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 67 STDR-1B Total 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 1.001 69 STDR-1B Total 818 1.07349429 878 0.931537 60 1.001 69 STDR-2A P 19,356 1.04702619 20,266 0.955086 910 70 STDR-2A S 38,642 1.07349429 41,482 0.931537 2.840 71 STDR-2B P 12,098 1.04702619 12,667 0.955086 569 72 TDR-2B S 1,493 1.07349429 1.603 0.931537 110 75 STDR-3A P 5,347 1.04702619 5,598 0.955086 251 78 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 80 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232		-1B	Р	0	1.04702619	0	0.000000	0	
68 P 19,356 1.04702619 20,266 0.955086 910 05 TDR-2A S 38,642 1.07349429 41,482 0.931537 2,840 71 STDR-2A Total 57,998 1.06466107 61,748 0.93266 3,750 0.991 73 STDR-2B P 12,098 1.04702619 12,667 0.955086 569 74 STDR-2B S 1,493 1.07349429 1,603 0.931537 110 75 STDR-3A P 5,347 1.04702619 5,598 0.965086 251 78 STDR-3A S 8,663 1.07349429 9,514 0.931537 651 79 STDR-3A S 8,663 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 81 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.977 82 STDR-3B S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>818</td> <td>1.07349429</td> <td>878</td> <td>0.931537</td> <td></td> <td></td>				818	1.07349429	878	0.931537		
69 STDR-2A P 19,356 1.04702619 20,266 0.955086 910 70 STDR-2A S 38,642 1.07349429 41,462 0.931537 2,840 71 STDR-2A Total 57,998 1.06466107 61,748 0.939266 3,750 0.999 72 Total 57,998 1.04702619 12,667 0.955086 569 74 STDR-2B S 1.493 1.07349429 1,603 0.931537 110 75 STDR-2B S 1.493 1.07349429 1,613 0.955086 251 75 STDR-3A P 5,347 1.04702619 5,598 0.955086 251 76 STDR-3A S 8,863 1.07349429 9,514 0.931537 651 79 STDR-3A S 8,0613 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 81 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086				818	1.07349429	878	0.931537	60	1.00207
TO STDR-2A S 38,642 1.07349429 41,482 0.931537 2,840 71 STDR-2A Total 57,998 1.08466107 61,748 0.939266 3,750 0.993 72 TDR-2B P 12,098 1.04702619 12,667 0.955086 569 74 STDR-2B S 1,493 1.07349429 1,603 0.931537 110 75 STDR-2B S 1,493 1.07349429 1,603 0.931537 110 76 STDR-2B S 1,493 1.07349429 1,603 0.931537 110 76 STDR-3A P 5,347 1.04702619 5,598 0.955086 251 78 STDR-3A S 8,863 1.07349429 9,514 0.931537 651 79 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.971 81 STDR-3B S 0 1.07349429 60,934 0.9315	68						····		
71 STDR-2A Total 57,998 1.06466107 61,748 0.939266 3,750 0.993 73 STDR-2B P 12,098 1.04702619 12,667 0.955086 569 74 STDR-2B S 1,493 1.07349429 1,603 0.931537 110 75 STDR-2B Total 13,591 1.04993385 14,270 0.952441 679 0.986 76 STDR-2B Total 13,591 1.04702619 5,598 0.955086 251 77 STDR-3A P 5,347 1.04702619 5,598 0.955086 251 78 STDR-3A S 8,663 1.07349429 9,514 0.931537 651 79 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1.232 83 STDR-3B S 0 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1.232 0.977 84 SL-1 S 451,283 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1.232 0.977 85 SL-1 S<	69 STDR-	-2A	P	19,356	1.04702619	20,266	0.955086	910	
72 73 STDR-2B P 12,098 1.04702619 12,667 0.955086 569 74 STDR-2B S 1,493 1.07349429 1,603 0.931537 110 75 STDR-2B Total 13,591 1.04993385 14,270 0.955086 251 76 STDR-3A P 5,347 1.04702619 5,598 0.955086 251 78 STDR-3A S 8,863 1.07349429 9,514 0.931537 651 78 STDR-3A S 8,863 1.07349429 9,514 0.931537 651 79 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 82 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 84 S S.L-1 S 451,283 1.07349429 484,450 0.931537 33,167 1.001 85 S.L-1 S 56,762 1.07349429 <td>70 STDR-</td> <td>-2A</td> <td>S</td> <td>38,642</td> <td>1.07349429</td> <td>41,482</td> <td>0.931537</td> <td>2,840</td> <td></td>	70 STDR-	-2A	S	38,642	1.07349429	41,482	0.931537	2,840	
73 STDR-2B P 12,098 1.04702619 12,667 0.955086 569 74 STDR-2B S 1,493 1.07349429 1,603 0.931537 110 75 STDR-2B Total 13,591 1.04993385 14,270 0.952441 679 0.986 76 STDR-3A P 5,347 1.04702619 5,598 0.955086 251 77 STDR-3A S 8,863 1.07349429 9,514 0.931537 661 79 STDR-3A Total 14,210 1.06353474 15,113 0.940261 903 0.992 80 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 81 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 82 STDR-3B S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 0 84 StL-2 S 56,762 1.07349429 68,934 0.931537 4,172 1.007 85 St-1D		2A Total		57,998	1.06466107	61,748	0.939266	3,750	0.99382
74 STDR-2B S 1,493 1.07349429 1,603 0.931537 110 75 STDR-3D 13,591 1.04993385 14,270 0.952441 679 0.981 76 STDR-3A P 5,347 1.04702619 5,598 0.955086 251 78 STDR-3A S 8,863 1.07349429 9,514 0.931537 651 79 STDR-3A S 8,863 1.07349429 9,514 0.940261 903 0.992 80 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.973 81 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.973 81 STDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.973 84 5 0 1.07349429 484,450 0.931537 3,167 1.003 85 SL-2 S 56,762 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 <									
75 STDR-2B Total 13,591 1.04993385 14,270 0.952441 679 0.986 76 77 STDR-3A P 5,347 1.04702619 5,598 0.955086 251 78 STDR-3A S 8,863 1.07349429 9,514 0.931537 651 79 STDR-3A Total 14,210 1.06353474 15,113 0.940261 903 0.992 80 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 81 STDR-3B S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 83 STDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 84 StL-1 S 451,283 1.07349429 0 0.931537 33,167 1.002 85 SL-1 S 451,283 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 4,172 1.002 86 SST-1D P 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 90 SST-1D S 0									
76 77 STDR-3A P 5,347 1.04702619 5,598 0.955086 251 78 STDR-3A S 0,863 1.07349429 9,514 0.931537 651 79 STDR-3A Total 14,210 1.06353474 15,113 0.940261 903 0.992 80 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 81 STDR-3B S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 83 STDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 84 StDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 84 StDr-3B StDr-3D StDr-3D 9.955086 1,232 0.97 85 SL-1 S 451,283 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 4,172 1.007 86 SST-1D P 7,863 1.04702619 8,233			S						
77 STDR-3A P 5,347 1.04702619 5,598 0.955086 251 78 STDR-3A S 8,863 1.07349429 9,514 0.931537 651 79 STDR-3A Total 14,210 1.06353474 15,113 0.940261 903 0.993 80 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 81 STDR-3B S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 83 STDR-3B S 0 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 84 STDR-3B S 0 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 85 SL-1 S 451,283 1.07349429 484,450 0.931537 4,172 1.002 86 SST-1D S 56,762 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 4,172 1.002 87 SL-2 S 56,762 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 98 SST-1D S		2B Total		13,591	1.04993385	14,270	0.952441	679	0.98007
78 STDR-3A S 8,863 1.07349429 9,514 0.931537 651 79 STDR-3A Total 14,210 1.06353474 15,113 0.940261 903 0.992 80 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 82 STDR-3B S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 83 STDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.971 84 STDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.971 84 StDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.971 85 SL-1 S 451,283 1.07349429 484,450 0.931537 3,167 1.007 86 SL-2 S 56,762 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 4,172 1.007 89 SST-1D P 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.977 93 SST		24	Б	E 247	1 04702610	E E00	0.055096	251	×.
79 STDR-3A Total 14,210 1.06353474 15,113 0.940261 903 0.995 80 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 82 STDR-3B S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 83 STDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 84 StDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 84 StDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 84 StDR-3B Total S 451,283 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 33,167 1.007 85 SL-2 S 56,762 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 4,172 1.007 89 SST-1D P 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.97 90 SST-1D S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 93 SST-1T <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
80 P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 81 STDR-3B S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 82 STDR-3B S 0 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 84 STDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 84 StDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.97 84 StDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,007 85 SL-1 S 451,283 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 4,172 1.007 86 ST-D P 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 007 87 SL-2 S 0 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.97 90 SST-1D S 0 1.04702619 8,233									0.99277
81 STDR-3B P 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 82 STDR-3B S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 83 STDR-3B S 0 1.07349429 0 0.955086 1,232 0.97 84 StDR-3B S 451,283 1.07349429 484,450 0.931537 33,167 1.003 85 SL-1 S 451,283 1.07349429 484,450 0.931537 33,167 1.003 86 SL-2 S 56,762 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 4,172 1.003 87 SL-2 S 56,762 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 4,172 1.003 88 SST-1D P 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.97 90 SST-1D S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 91 SST-1D T 151,007 1.02508821 154,796 0.975526 3,788 0.956	and the second second			14,210		10,110	0.040201		0.00211
S 0 1.07349429 0 0.00000 0 83 STDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.977 84 85 SL-1 S 451,283 1.07349429 484,450 0.931537 33,167 1.002 86 87 SL-2 S 56,762 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 4,172 1.002 88 SST-1D P 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0 90 SST-1D S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 91 SST-1D Total 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.977 92 SST-1T Total 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.977 93 SST-1T T T 151,007 1.02508821 154,796 0.975526 3,788 0.996 94 95 Rate Class Groups - 96 <td></td> <td>3B</td> <td>Р</td> <td>26,197</td> <td>1.04702619</td> <td>27,429</td> <td>0.955086</td> <td>1.232</td> <td></td>		3B	Р	26,197	1.04702619	27,429	0.955086	1.232	
83 STDR-3B Total 26,197 1.04702619 27,429 0.955086 1,232 0.977 84 85 SL-1 S 451,283 1.07349429 484,450 0.931537 33,167 1.002 86 87 SL-2 S 56,762 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 4,172 1.002 88 89 SST-1D P 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 90 90 SST-1D S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 91 SST-1D S 0 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.977 92 SST-1D S 0 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.977 93 SST-1T T 151,007 1.02508821 154,796 0.975526 3,788 0.956 94 95 Rate Class Groups - 96 97 0.107249429 0 0.939492 221,098 0.993 999 931592 1,746,969 1.002508821 154,796 <						•			
85 SL-1 S 451,283 1.07349429 484,450 0.931537 33,167 1.007 86 87 SL-2 S 56,762 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 4,172 1.007 88 89 SST-1D P 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 90 90 SST-1D S 0 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.975 90 SST-1D S 0 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.977 91 SST-1D Total 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.977 92 93 SST-1T T 151,007 1.02508821 154,796 0.975526 3,788 0.956 94 95 Rate Class Groups - 96 97 CILC-1D / CILC-1G 3,432,904 1.06440541 3,654,002 0.931592 221,098 0.993 98 99 GSDT-1 / HLFT-1 23,790,715 1.07343073 25,537,684 0.931592 1,746,969 1.002 </td <td>83 STDR-</td> <td>3B Total</td> <td></td> <td>26,197</td> <td>1.04702619</td> <td>27,429</td> <td>0.955086</td> <td>1,232</td> <td>0.97736</td>	83 STDR-	3B Total		26,197	1.04702619	27,429	0.955086	1,232	0.97736
86 S 56,762 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 4,172 1.002 88 89 SST-1D P 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 90 90 SST-1D S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 90 91 SST-1D S 0 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.97 92 SST-1D Total 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.97 93 SST-1T T 151,007 1.02508821 154,796 0.975526 3,788 0.956 94 95 Rate Class Groups - 96 97 CILC-1D / CILC-1G 3,432,904 1.06440541 3,654,002 0.939492 221,098 0.993 99 GSDT-1 / HLFT-1 23,790,715 1.07343073 25,537,684 0.931592 1,746,969 1.002									
87 SL-2 S 56,762 1.07349429 60,934 0.931537 4,172 1.002 88 89 SST-1D P 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 90 90 SST-1D S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 91 SST-1D S 0 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.97 92 SST-1D Total 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.97 93 SST-1T T 151,007 1.02508821 154,796 0.975526 3,788 0.956 94 95 Rate Class Groups - 96 97 CILC-1D / CILC-1G 3,432,904 1.06440541 3,654,002 0.939492 221,098 0.993 97 GSDT-1 / HLFT-1 23,790,715 1.07343073 25,537,684 0.931592 1,746,969 1.002	85 SL-1		S	451,283	1.07349429	484,450	0.931537	33,167	1.00207
88 9 SST-1D P 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 90 SST-1D S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 91 SST-1D Total 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.97 92 SST-1D Total 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.97 93 SST-1T T 151,007 1.02508821 154,796 0.975526 3,788 0.956 94 95 Rate Class Groups - 96 97 CILC-1D / CILC-1G 3,432,904 1.06440541 3,654,002 0.939492 221,098 0.993 99 GSDT-1 / HLFT-1 23,790,715 1.07343073 25,537,684 0.931592 1,746,969 1.002			-					=-	
89 SST-1D P 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 90 SST-1D S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 91 SST-1D Total 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.97 92 SST-1D Total 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.97 92 SST-1T T 151,007 1.02508821 154,796 0.975526 3,788 0.956 94 0.975526 3,788 0.956 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>S</td><td>56,762</td><td>1.07349429</td><td>60,934</td><td>0.931537</td><td>4,172</td><td>1.00207</td></td<>			S	56,762	1.07349429	60,934	0.931537	4,172	1.00207
90 SST-1D S 0 1.07349429 0 0.000000 0 91 SST-1D Total 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.97 92 93 SST-1T T 151,007 1.02508821 154,796 0.975526 3,788 0.956 94 95 Rate Class Groups - 96 97 CILC-1D / CILC-1G 3,432,904 1.06440541 3,654,002 0.939492 221,098 0.993 99 GSDT-1 / HLFT-1 23,790,715 1.07343073 25,537,684 0.931592 1,746,969 1.002		`	-	7 000	1 04700040	0.000	0.055000	070	
91 SST-1D Total 7,863 1.04702619 8,233 0.955086 370 0.97 92 93 SST-1T T 151,007 1.02508821 154,796 0.975526 3,788 0.956 94 95 Rate Class Groups - 96 97 CILC-1D / CILC-1G 3,432,904 1.06440541 3,654,002 0.939492 221,098 0.993 98 99 GSDT-1 / HLFT-1 23,790,715 1.07343073 25,537,684 0.931592 1,746,969 1.002									
92 93 SST-1T T 151,007 1.02508821 154,796 0.975526 3,788 0.956 94 95 Rate Class Groups - 96 97 CILC-1D / CILC-1G 3,432,904 1.06440541 3,654,002 0.939492 221,098 0.992 98 99 GSDT-1 / HLFT-1 23,790,715 1.07343073 25,537,684 0.931592 1,746,969 1.002								_	0.97736
93 SST-1T T 151,007 1.02508821 154,796 0.975526 3,788 0.956 94 95 Rate Class Groups - 96 97 CILC-1D / CILC-1G 3,432,904 1.06440541 3,654,002 0.939492 221,098 0.992 98 99 GSDT-1 / HLFT-1 23,790,715 1.07343073 25,537,684 0.931592 1,746,969 1.002					1.047.02019	0,200			0.37130
95 Rate Class Groups - 96 96 97 CILC-1D / CILC-1G 3,432,904 1.06440541 3,654,002 0.939492 221,098 0.993 98 99 GSDT-1 / HLFT-1 23,790,715 1.07343073 25,537,684 0.931592 1,746,969 1.002	93 SST-11	Г	т	151,007	1.02508821	154,796	0.975526	3,788	0.95688
97 CILC-1D / CILC-1G 3,432,904 1.06440541 3,654,002 0.939492 221,098 0.993 98	95 <u>Rate C</u>	<u> Class Groups -</u>							
99 GSDT-1 / HLFT-1 23,790,715 1.07343073 25,537,684 0.931592 1,746,969 1.002	97 CILC	-1D / CILC-1G		3,432,904	1.06440541	3,654,002	0.939492	221,098	0.99358
	99 GSD	T-1 / HLFT-1		23,790,715	1.07343073	25,537,684	0.931592	1,746,969	1.00201

8b

Florida Power & Light Company 2006 Actual Energy Losses by Rate Class

Line No	Rate Class	Voltage Level (Note 1)	Delivered MWH Sales	Expansion Factor	Delivered Energy at Generation	Delivered Efficiency	Losses	Fuel Cost Recovery Multiplier
101 102	GSDT-1, CILC-1G & HLFT-1		24,002,618	1.07343129	25,765,161	0.931592	1,762,543	1.00201
102 103 104	GSLD-1 / CS-1		6,179,786	1.07225161	6,626,285	0.932617	446,499	1.00091
10 4 105 106	GSLDT-1, CST-1 & HLFT-2		10,861,490	1.07237880	11,647,631	0.932506	786,142	1.00103
107 108	GSLD-2 / CS-2		1,133,875	1.06637568	1,209,137	0.937756	75,262	0.99542
109 110	GSLDT-2, CST-2 & HLFT-3		1,971,667	1.06646905	2,102,722	0.937674	131,055	0.99551
111 112	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2 & MET		1,246,174	1.06463201	1,326,716	0.939292	80,543	0.99379
113 114	GSLD-3 / CS-3		239,802	1.02508821	245,818	0.975526	6,016	0.95688
115 116	GSLDT-3, CST-3 & CILC-1T		1,794,503	1.02508821	1,839,524	0.975526	45,021	0.95688
117 118	OL-1 / SL-1		557,560	1.07349429	598,537	0.931537	40,977	1.00207
119 120	SL-2 / GSCU-1		79,266	1.07349429	85,091	0.931537	5,826	1.00207
	Total FPSC		103,652,914	1.07197254	111,113,078	0.932860	7,460,164	1.00065
	Total FERC Sales		1,560,007	1.02508821	1,599,145	0.975526	39,138	
125	Total Company		105,212,921	1.07127738	112,712,222	0.933465	7,499,302	
	Company Use		130,169	1.07349429	139,736	0.931537	9,567	S
128 129 130	Total FPL		105,343,090	1.07128012	112,851,958	0.933463	7,508,868	1.00000
131 132	Summary of Sales by Voltage:							
133 134	Transmission		3,505,517	1.02508821	3,593,464	0.975526	87,947	
	Primary		2,401,346	1.04702619	2,514,272	0.955086	112,926	
	Secondary		99,306,058	1.07349429	106,604,486	0.931537	7,298,428	
	Total		105,212,921	1.07127738	112,712,222	0.933465	7,499,302	

141

142 Note 1:

143T = Transmission Voltage144P = Primary Voltage

145 S = Secondary Voltage

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FUEL & PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 2008 - DECEMBER 2008

SCHEDULE E2 Page 1 of 2

LINE	(a)	(b)	(c) ESTIMATED	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g) 6 MONTH	LINE
NO.	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	SUB-TOTAL	NO.
A1 FUEL COST OF SYSTEM GENERATION	\$362,114,711	\$333,929,194	\$377,331,956	\$456,038,095	\$500,811,308	\$547,658,370	\$2,577,883,636	A1
1a NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL	2,029,287	1,898,366	1,998,131	1,463,957	1,934,349	1,915,663	11,239,753	1a
1b COAL CAR INVESTMENT	254,306	252,449	250,593	248,736	246,880	245,023	1,497,987	1b
1c DOE DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING COSTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1c
1d INCREMENTAL HEDGING COSTS	45,361	45 264	78,962	45 004	45 004	45.004	005 707	
2 FUEL COST OF POWER SOLD	(15,044,550)	45,361	•	45,361	45,361	45,361	305,767	1d
2a REVENUES FROM OFF-SYSTEM SALES	(15,044,550) (3,285,417)			· · · /			(69,149,300)	
3 FUEL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	(3,285,417) 26,665,786	(3,048,460) 25,148,400	(1,846,369) 23,920,400				(10,993,699)	
3a QUALIFYING FACILITIES	16,349,455	16,076,340	16,824,686	24,393,492 9,441,000	25,522,549 15,695,539	26,911,000 16,654,538	152,561,627	3
4 ENERGY COST OF ECONOMY PURCHASES	5,348,821	4,670,225	6.668.872	9,441,000 8,542,197			91,041,558	3a
4a FUEL COST OF SALES TO FKEC / CKW					12,993,091	8,293,181	46,516,387	4
4a FUEL COST OF SALES TO FRECT CRW	(4,683,838)	(4,680,818)	(4,593,067)	(4,995,207)	(5,189,917)	(5,507,004)	(29,649,852)	4a
5 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (SUM OF LINES A-1 THRU A-4)	\$389,793,923	\$359,020,957	\$407,189,414	\$482,523,907	\$544,554,736	\$588,170,927	\$2,771,253,864	5
6 SYSTEM KWH SOLD (MWH)	8,915,668	7,884,258	7,946,690	7,874,435	8,928,163	9,904,616	51,453,830	6
(Exci sales to FKEC / CKW)	**************************************						*****	
7 COST PER KWH SOLD (¢/KWH)	4.3720	4.5536	5.1240	6.1277	6.0993	5.9384	5.3859	7
7a JURISDICTIONAL LOSS MULTIPLIER	1.00065	1.00065	1.00065	1.00065	1.00065	1.00065	1.00065	7a
7b JURISDICTIONAL COST (¢/KWH)	4.3749	4.5566	5.1273	6.1317	6.1033	5.9422	5.3894	7b
9 TRUE-UP (¢/KWH)	0.0741	0.0838	0.0832	0.0840	0.0740	0.0667	0.0771	9
10 TOTAL	4.4490	4.6404	5.2105	6.2157	6.1773	6.0089	5.4665	10
11 REVENUE TAX FACTOR 0.00072	0.0032	0.0033	0.0038	0.0045	0.0044	0.0043	0.0039	11
12 RECOVERY FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	4.4522	4.6437	5.2143	6.2202	6.1817	6.0132	5.4704	12
13 GPIF (¢/KWH)	0.0084	0.0095	0.0094	0.0095	0.0084	0.0076	0.0087	13
14 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	4.4606	4.6532	5.2237	6.2297	6.1901	6.0208	5.4791	14
15 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 ¢/KWH	4.461	4.653	5.224	ć 6.230	6.190	6.021	5.479	15

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FUEL & PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 2008 - DECEMBER 2008

SCHEDULE E2 Page 2 of 2

LINE NO.	(h) JULY	(i) AUGUST	(i) ESTIMATED SEPTEMBER	(k) OCTOBER	(I) NOVEMBER	(m) DECEMBER	(n) 12 MONTH PERIOD	LINE NO.
A1 FUEL COST OF SYSTEM GENERATION 1a NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL 1b COAL CAR INVESTMENT 1c DOE DECONTAMINATION AND	\$625,756,004 1,979,519 243,167 0	\$618,772,815 1,979,519 241,310 0	\$554,563,834 1,915,663 239,454 0	\$512,754,484 1,760,770 237,597 0	\$403,939,592 1,426,371 235,741 0	\$380,411,498 2,029,287 233,884 0	\$5,674,081,865 \$22,330,882 \$2,929,140 \$0	A1 1a 1b 1c
DECOMMISSIONING COSTS 1d INCREMENTAL HEDGING COSTS 2 FUEL COST OF POWER SOLD 2a REVENUES FROM OFF-SYSTEM SALES	45,361 (8,966,900) (923,500)	45,361 (8,881,400)	64,553 (4,081,450)	45,361 (3,646,250)	45,361 (8,802,450)	45,361 (16,081,800)	\$0 \$0 \$597,125 (\$119,609,550) (\$19,100,675	1d) 2
3 FUEL COST OF PURCHASED POWER 3a QUALIFYING FACILITIES 4 ENERGY COST OF ECONOMY PURCHASES 4a FUEL COST OF SALES TO FKEC / CKW	29,596,801 17,507,830 8,097,021 (5,837,067)	29,396,834 17,493,095 6,728,751	26,626,917 16,993,141 10,721,706	25,917,300 14,096,095 10,946,801	26,781,700 14,843,774 14,433,936	27,687,471 16,865,013 8,642,224	\$318,568,650 \$188,840,506 \$106,086,825 (\$64,045,018	3 3a 4
5 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (SUM OF LINES A-1 THRU A-4) 6 SYSTEM KWH SOLD (MWH)	\$667,498,236	\$658,318,347		\$555,728,498	\$446,233,335 8,954,144		\$6,110,679,750 111,780,490	
(Excl sales to FKEC / CKW) 7 COST PER KWH SOLD (¢/KWH) 7a JURISDICTIONAL LOSS MULTIPLIER	6.2251	5.8892		5.4944	4.9835		5.4667	
7b JURISDICTIONAL COST (¢/KWH)	6.2291	5.8930		,			5.4702	
9 TRUE-UP (¢/KWH) 10 TOTAL	0.0616 6.2907		0.0614 5.6399	0.0654 5.5634	0.0738 5.0606		5.5412	
11 REVENUE TAX FACTOR 0.00072 12 RECOVERY FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	0.0045 6.2952			0.0040 5.5674			0.0040 5.5452	
13 GPIF (¢/KWH) 14 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	0.0070		ι				0.0081	
15 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 ¢/KWH	6.302				5.073	4.881	5.553	3 15

10

ív s

Florida Power & Light Company 9/04/07	Generating Syste	em Compai	rative Data	by Fuel T	vpe	Schedule Page 1
	Jan-08	Feb-08	Mar-08	Apr-08	May-08	Jun-08
Fuel Cost of System Net Generat	tion (\$)			•	•	
1 Heavy Oil	\$21,351,163	\$5,017,136	\$5,793,683	\$38,899,817	\$54,996,920	\$90,644,692
2 Light Oil	\$66,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3 Coal	\$14,872,000	\$13,929,000	\$7,936,000	\$4,426,000	\$14,522,000	\$14,399,000
4 Gas	\$316,327,548	\$306,130,058	\$354,299,273	\$405,651,278	\$421,235,388	\$432,626,678
5 Nuclear	\$9,498,000	\$8,853,000	\$9,303,000	\$7,061,000	\$10,057,000	\$9,988,000
6 Total	\$362,114,711	\$333,929,194	\$377,331,956	\$456,038,095	\$500,811,308	\$547,658,370
System Net Generation (MWH)						
7 Heavy Oil	230,132	60,061	82,891	424,770	593,463	966,129
8 Light Oil	328	0	0	. 0	0	, 0
9 Coal	654,060	611,863	349,870	184,668	631,106	624,452
10 Gas	4,304,277	4,166,430	4,829,092	5,701,253	5,782,361	5,974,638
11 Nuclear	2,185,554	2,044,551	2,151,999	1,576,690	2,083,305	2,063,180
12 Total	7,374,351	6,882,905	7,413,852	7,887,381	9,090,235	9,628,399
Units of Fuel Burned						
13 Heavy Oil (BBLS)	365,565	96,459	128,415	654,478	924,040	1,497,034
14 Light Oil (BBLS)	701	0	0	0	0	0
15 Coal (TONS)	345,358	322,877	185,425	90,656	337,357	331,928
16 Gas (MCF)	32,281,420	31,287,650	36,677,176	43,368,399	45,344,792	46,200,764
17 Nuclear (MBTU)	24,370,624	22,798,320	23,990,398	17,490,402	23,218,316	23,002,796
BTU Burned (MMBTU)						
18 Heavy Oil	2,339,618	617,335	821,854	4,188,661	5,913,855	9,581,015
19 Light Oil	4,086	0	0	0	0	0
20 Coal	6,522,030	6,101,245	3,487,608	1,834,807	6,360,091	6,281,765
21 Gas	32,281,420	31,287,650	36,677,176	43,368,399	45,344,792	46,200,764
22 Nuclear	24,370,624	22,798,320	23,990,398	17,490,402	23,218,316	23,002,796
23 Total	65,517,778	60,804,550	64,977,036	66,882,269	80,837,054	85,066,340

•

Florida Power & Light Company 9/04/07

Generating System Comparative Data by Fuel Type

Schedule E 3 Page 2 of 4

					···) · · · · · · j		
		Jan-08	Feb-08	Mar-08	Apr-08	May-08	Jun-08
	Generation Mix (%MWH)						
	24 Heavy Oil	3.12%	0.87%	1.12%	5.39%	6.53%	10.03%
	25 Light Oil	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	26 Coal	8.87%	8.89%	4.72%	2.34%	6.94%	6.49%
	27 Gas	58.37%	60.53%	65.14%	72.28%	63.61%	62.05%
	28 Nuclear	29.64%	29.70%	29.03%	19.99%	22.92%	21.43%
	29 Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	Fuel Cost per Unit						
	30 Heavy Oil (\$/BBL)	58.4059	52.0131	45.1169	59.4364	59.5179	60.5495
	31 Light Oil (\$/BBL)	94.1512	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	32 Coal (\$/ton)	43.0626	43.1403	42.7990	48.8219	43.0464	43.3799
•	33 Gas (\$/MCF)	9.7991	9.7844	9.6599	9.3536	9.2896	9.3641
	34 Nuclear (\$/MBTU)	0.3897	0.3883	0.3878	0.4037	0.4331	0.4342
	Fuel Cost per MMBTU (\$/MMBTU)						
	35 Heavy Oil	9.1259	8.1271	7.0495	9.2869	9.2997	9.4609
	36 Light Oil	16.1527	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	37 Coal	2.2803	2.2830	2.2755	2.4122	2.2833	2.2922
	38 Gas	9.7991	9.7844	9.6599	9.3536	9.2896	9.3641
	39 Nuclear	0.3897	0.3883	0.3878	0.4037	0.4331	0.4342
	BTU burned per KWH (BTU/KWH)						
	40 Heavy Oil	10,166	10,278	9,915	9,861	9,965	9,917
	41 Light Oil	12,457	0	0	0	0	0
	42 Coal	9,972	9,972	9,968	9,936	10,078	10,060
	43 Gas	7,500	7,509	7,595	7,607	7,842	7,733
	44 Nuclear	11,151	11,151	11,148	11,093	11,145	11,149
	Generated Fuel Cost per KWH (cents/KWH)						
	45 Heavy Oil	9.2778	8.3534	6.9895	9.1579	9.2671	9.3823
	46 Light Oil	20.1220	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	47 Coal	2.2738	2.2765	2.2683	2.3967	2.3010	2.3059
	48 Gas	7.3491	7.3475	7.3368	7.1151	7.2848	7.2411
	49 Nuclear	0.4346	0.4330	0.4323	0.4478	0.4827	0.4841
	50 Total	, 4.9105	4.8516	5.0896	5.7819	5.5093	5.6879

Florida Power & Light Company 9/04/07	Generating Syst	em Compa	arative Dat	a by Fuel 1	Гуре		Schedule E 3 Page 3 of 4
	Jul-08	Aug-08	Sep-08	Oct-08	Nov-08	Dec-08	Total
Fuel Cost of System Net Generatio	n (\$)	-	-				
1 Heavy Oil	\$136,085,556	\$126,970,556	\$88,374,556	\$66,705,536	\$17,056,889	\$22,632,718	\$674,529,222
2 Light Oil	\$0	\$12,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$78,000
3 Coal	\$14,897,000	\$14,915,000	\$14,451,000	\$14,950,000	\$14,565,000	\$15,068,000	\$158,930,000
4 Gas	\$464,488,448	\$466,625,259	\$441,854,278	\$421,822,948	\$364,423,703	\$331,524,780	\$4,727,009,643
5 Nuclear	\$10,285,000	\$10,250,000	\$9,884,000	\$9,276,000	\$7,894,000	\$11,186,000	\$113,535,000
6 Total	\$625,756,004	\$618,772,815	\$554,563,834	\$512,754,484	\$403,939,592	\$380,411,498	\$5,674,081,865
System Net Generation (MWH)							
7 Heavy Oil	1,436,715	1,339,559	938,508	717,171	186,582	237,835	7,213,816
8 Light Oil	0	60	0	0	0	0	388
9 Coal	645,267	645,267	624,452	645,267	632,961	654,060	6,903,293
10 Gas	6,508,748	6,540,231	6,136,471	5,703,431	5,069,171	4,419,779	65,135,881
11 Nuclear	2,131,954	2,131,954	2,063,180	1,896,360	1,536,210	2,185,554	24,050,491
12 Total	10,722,684	10,657,071	9,762,611	8,962,229	7,424,924	7,497,228	103,303,869
Units of Fuel Burned							
13 Heavy Oil (BBLS)	2,214,499	2,069,060	1,452,813	1,096,243	290,345	373,724	11,162,675
14 Light Oil (BBLS)	0	137	0	0	0	0	838
15 Coal (TONS)	342,782	342,571	331,317	342,150	332,148	343,005	3,647,574
16 Gas (MCF)	50,089,712	50,127,193	47,221,904	44,277,408	37,153,784	32,662,462	496,692,663
17 Nuclear (MBTU)	23,769,566	23,769,566	23,002,796	21,181,082	17,224,656	24,370,624	268,189,146
BTU Burned (MMBTU)							
18 Heavy Oil	14,172,794	13,241,982	9,298,004	7,015,953	1,858,206	2,391,832	71,441,109
19 Light Oil	0	798	0	0	0	0	4,884
20 Coal	6,491,157	6,491,157	6,281,765	6,491,157	6,311,638	6,522,031	69,176,451
21 Gas	50,089,712	50,127,193	47,221,904	44,277,408	37,153,784	32,662,462	496,692,663
22 Nuclear	23,769,566	23,769,566	23,002,796	21,181,082	17,224,656	24,370,624	268,189,146
23 Total	94,523,229	93,630,696	85,804,469	78,965,600	62,548,284	65,946,949	905,504,253

.

/

Florida Power & Light Company 9/04/07 G	enerating Syste	em Compa	rative Data	ı by Fuel T	уре		Schedule E 3 Page 4 of 4
	Jul-08	Aug-08	Sep-08	Oct-08	Nov-08	Dec-08	Total
Generation Mix (%MWH)							
24 Heavy Oil	13.40%	12.57%	9.61%	8.00%	2.51%	3.17%	6.989
25 Light Oil	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00
26 Coal	6.02%	6.05%	6.40%	7.20%	8.52%	8.72%	6.68
27 Gas	60.70%	61.37%	62.86%	63.64%	68.27%	58.95%	63.05
28 Nuclear	19.88%	20.01%	21.13%	21.16%	20.69%	29.15%	23.28
29 Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00
Fuel Cost per Unit							
30 Heavy Oil (\$/BBL)	61.4521	61.3663	60.8300	60.8492	58.7470	60.5600	60.42
31 Light Oil (\$/BBL)	0.0000	87.5912	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	93.07
32 Coal (\$/ton)	43.4591	43.5384	43.6168	43.6943	43.8509	43.9294	43.57
33 Gas (\$/MCF)	9.2731	9.3088	9.3570	9.5268	9.8085	10.1500	9.51
34 Nuclear (\$/MBTU)	0.4327	0.4312	0.4297	0.4379	0.4583	0.4590	0.42
Fuel Cost per MMBTU (\$/MMBTU)							
35 Heavy Oil	9.6019	9.5885	9.5047	9.5077	9.1792	9.4625	9.44
36 Light Oil	0.0000	15.0376	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	15.97
37 Coal	2.2950	2.2977	2.3005	2.3031	2.3076	2.3103	2.29
38 Gas	9.2731	9.3088	9.3570	9.5268	9.8085	10.1500	9.51
39 Nuclear	0.4327	0.4312	0.4297	0.4379	0.4583	0.4590	0.42
BTU burned per KWH (BTU/KWH)							
40 Heavy Oil	9,865	9,885	9,907	9,783	9,959	10,057	9,9
41 Light Oil	0	13,300	0	0	0	0	12,5
42 Coal	10,060	10,060	10,060	10,060	9,972	9,972	10,0
43 Gas	7,696	7,664	7,695	7,763	7,329	7,390	7,6
44 Nuclear	11,149	11,149	11,149	11,169	11,212	11,151	11,1
Generated Fuel Cost per KWH (cents/K	•						
45 Heavy Oil	9.4720	9.4785	9.4165	9.3012	9.1418	9.5161	9.35
46 Light Oil	0.0000	20.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	20.10
47 Coal	2.3087	2.3114	2.3142	2.3169	2.3011	2.3038	2.30
48 Gas	7.1364	7.1347	7.2005	7.3960	7.1890	7.5009	7.2
49 Nuclear	0.4824	0.4808	0.4791	0.4891	0.5139	0.5118	0.47
50 Total	5.8358	5.8062	5.6805	5.7213	5.4403	5.0740	5.4

Company:

Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 1

				Estimated F	For The Pe	riod of :	J	an-08					
 (A)	(B)	• (C)	(D)	(E)	 (F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cosi per KWH (C/KWH)
TURKEY POINT 1	380	21,897 3,374	8.9	93.2	51.6	10,307	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	34,066 42,472	6,400,047 1,000,000	218,024 42,472	1,991,962 412,786	9.0970 12.2329
TURKEY POINT 2	380	15,970 5,547	7.6	93.3	50.6	10,379	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	24,850 64,303	6,400,000 1,000,000	159,040 64,303	1,453,065 627,717	9.0987 11.3155
TURKEY POINT 3	717	520,110	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nucle	ar Othr->	5,893,410	1,000,000	5,893,410	2,559,500	0.492
TURKEY POINT 4	717	520,110	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nucle	ar Othr->	5,893,410	1,000,000	5,893,410	1,956,000	0.376
TURKEY POINT 5	1,104	674,212	82.1	96.0	82.1	6,990	Gas	MCF ->	4,713,180	1,000,000	4,713,180	46,194,872	6.851
LAUDERDALE 4	443	229,577	69.7	98.1	69.7	8,301	Gas	MCF ->	1,905,915	1,000,000	1,905,915	18,948,965	8.253
LAUDERDALE 5	443	237,584	72.1	97.8	72.1	8,214	Gas	MCF>	1,951,574	1,000,000	1,951,574	19,479,332	8.198
) / PT EVERGLADES 1 	207	1,752 308	1.3	95.2	66.3	10,556	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	2,802 3,812	6,399,358 1,000,000	17,931 3,812	163,515 37,045	9.3330 12.0237
PT EVERGLADES 2	207	1,750 308	1.3	95.4	66.3	10,501	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	2,785 3,792	6,398,923 1,000,000	17,821 3,792	162,578 36,827	9.2902 11.960
PT EVERGLADES 3	376	22,598 17,851	14.5	91.8	43.4	10,697	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	35,960 202,584	6,399,917 1,000,000	230,141 202,584	2,099,180 1,979,095	9.2892 11.0863
5 PT EVERGLADES 4	376	19,898 15,340	12.6	90.6	46.6	10,553	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	31,335 171,335	6,399,968 1,000,000	200,543 171,335	1,829,192 1,679,852	
9 9 RIVIERA 3 9	275	10,199 3,483	6.7	93.0	55.9	10,550	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	16,083 41,405	6,400,174 1,000,000	102,934 41,405	939,033 402,122	9.207 11.546

/

Date: 9/04/2007 Company: Florida Power & Light

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	Já	an-08						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	. .	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MVV)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	-	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 RIVIERA 4 33 34	286	13,020 39,381	24.6	87.1	28.6	11,422	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		 22,143 456,821	6,399,991 1,000,000	 141,715 456,821	1,292,806 4,444,375	9.9294 11.2856
35 ST LUCIE 1 36	853	618,763	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,987	Nuclea	ar Oth	- r ->	6,798,424	1,000,000	6,798,424	2,453,600	0.3965
37 ST LUCIE 2	726	526,572	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Oth	r->	5,785,382	1,000,000	5,785,382	2,528,800	0.4802
38 39 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 40	380	21,997 12,022	12.0	90.4	49.2	10,423	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		 34,400 134,439	6,400,087 1,000,000	220,163 134,439	2,009,447 1,315,407	9.1351 10.9420
41 42 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 43 44	380	21,213 6,250	9.7	89.9	53.9	10,280	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		32,834 72,208	6,399,951 1,000,000	210,136 72,208	1,917,943 705,061	9.0414 11.2808
45 CUTLER 5 46	69	227	0.4	99.2	82.2	12,806	Gas	MCF	->	2,905	1,000,000	2,905	28,225	12.4449
47 CUTLER 6	138		0.0	97.7		0			-	<u></u>				(; ; ; ; ; ; ; : : : : : : : : : : : : :
48 49 FORT MYERS 2	1,422	498,692	47.1	75.2	78.6	7,360	Gas	MCF	->	3,670,628	1,000,000	3,670,628	35,724,414	7.1636
50 51 FORT MYERS 3A_B	328	1,304	0.5	96.8	99.4	11,016	Gas	MCF	->	14,366	1,000,000	14,366	 146,085	11.2020
52 53 SANFORD 3	140	997	1.0	95.6	54.8	11,871	Gas	MCF	->	 11,840	1,000,000	11,840	120,301	12.0615
54 55 SANFORD 4	955	470,753	66.3	95.9	85.9	7,237	Gas	MCF	->	3,406,951	1,000,000	3,406,951	33,189,502	7.0503
56 57 SANFORD 5	955	383,868	54.0	95.8	84.8	7,296	Gas	MCF	- ->	2,801,019	1,000,000	2,801,019	27,349,761	7.1248
58 59 PUTNAM 1	244	44,987	24.8	96.8	74.0	9,517	Gas	MCF	- ->	428,152	1,000,000	428,152	4,268,464	9.4882
60 61 PUTNAM 2 62	244	55,993	30.8	96.9	72.6	9,556	Gas	MCF	- ->	535,112	1,000,000	535,112	5,326,733	9.5132

Company:

				Estimated F	for The Pe	riod of :		Jan-08						
(A)	(B)	(C)	 (D)	(E)	 (F)	(G)		(H)		(I)		(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH))	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
63 MANATEE 1 64 65	805	11,597 2,287	2.3	94.6	57.5	10,574	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		 19,220 23,816	6,399,948 1,000,000	123,007 23,816	1,122,332 231,425	9.6778 10.1178
66 MANATEE 2 67 68	805	26,230 5,612	5.3	94.9	54.2	10,650	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		43,841 58,536	6,399,968 1,000,000	280,581 58,536	2,560,030 568,805	9.7599 10.1361
69 MANATEE 3	1,104	608,265	74.1	96.0	80.3	7,128	Gas	MCF	->	4,335,814	1,000,000	4,335,814	42,310,909	6.9560
70 71 MARTIN 1 72	820	18,890 14,308	5.4	94.1	47.6	10,731	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF	-> ->	 29,264 168,959	6,400,082 1,000,000	 187,292 168,959	1,708,618 1,640,705	9.0451 11.4670
73 74 MARTIN 2 75 76	820	23,120 20,724	7.2	94.9	45.7	10,743	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		35,983 240,751	6,399,967 1,000,000	230,290 240,751	2,100,895 2,340,890	9.0869 11.2954
77 MARTIN 3	470	123,234	35.2	96.9	80.4	7,507	Gas	MCF	->	925,187	1,000,000	925,187	8,989,184	7.2944
78 79 MARTIN 4	470	138,336	39.6	95.8	80.9	7,484	Gas	MCF	->	1,035,345	1,000,000	1,035,345	10,059,645	7.2719
80 81 MARTIN 8	1,104	689,337	83.9	96.1	83.9	7,044	Gas	MCF	->	4,856,268	1,000,000	4,856,268	47,749,796	6.9269
82 83 FORT MYERS 1-12	627	328	0.1	98.4	26.1	12,462	 Light	Oil BBLS	->	694	5,829,971	4,046	65,300	19.9085
84 85 LAUDERDALE 1-24	766	115	0.0	 91.8	15.1	16,769	Gas	MCF	->	1,936	1,000,000	1,936	18,749	16.2470
86 87 EVERGLADES 1-12	383		0.0			0								
88 89 ST JOHNS 10	130	94,715	97.9	97.3	97.9	9,626	Coal	TONS	->	 38,447	23,715,192	911,778	2,448,400	2.5850
90 91 ST JOHNS 20	130	94,067	97.3	96.5	97.3	9,707	 Coal	TONS	->	 38,505	23,715,180	913,153	2,452,100	2.6068

Date: 9/04/2007													
Company:	Florida Powe	er & Light		 ,				-			Schedule E4 Page:	4	
				Estimated I	For The Pe	eriod of :		Jan-08					
(A)	(B)	(C)	 (D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	(J)	 (K)	(L)	(M)
Plant. Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)		Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
93 SCHERER 4 94	640	465,279	97.7	97.0	97.7	10,095	Coal	TONS ->	268,406	17,499,978	4,697,099	9,971,500	2.1431
95 TOTAL	21,819 	7,374,352 =====				8,885 =======					65,517,744 ======	362,112,845 ======	4.9104 ======

Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 5

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	F	eb-08					
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	 (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
 1 TURKEY POINT 1 2 3	380	12,903 5,206	6.9	93.2	45.8	10,435	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 20,149 60,027	6,399,921 1,000,000	128,952 60,027	1,049,184 588,150	8.1313 11.2984
4 TURKEY POINT 2 5	380	9,323 11,022	7.7	93.3	44.2	10,560	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	14,602 121,405	6,400,082 1,000,000	93,454 121,405	760,313 1,199,363	8.1552 10.8818
7 TURKEY POINT 3	717	486,554	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nucle	ar Othr->	5,513,183	1,000,000	5,513,183	2,385,600	0.4903
9 TURKEY POINT 4	717	486,554	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nucle	ar Othr ->	5,513,183	1,000,000	5,513,183	 1,822,700	0.3746
10 11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,104	663,114	86.3	96.0	86.3	6,939	Gas	MCF ->	4,601,670	1,000,000	4,601,670	45,105,099	6.8020
12 13 LAUDERDALE 4	443	224,858	72.9	98.1	72.9	8,223	Gas	MCF ->	 1,849,171	1,000,000	1,849,171	18,324,171	8.1492
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	443	230,378	74.7	97.8	74.7	8,166	Gas	MCF ->	1,881,322	1,000,000	1,881,322	18,676,589	8.1069
16 17 PT EVERGLADES 1	207		0.0	95.2		0							
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	207		0.0	95.4		0					49 ka ang bing bing ang bing ang bing ang bing bing bing bing bing bing bing bi		******
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3 22	376	4,502 34,448	14.9	91.8	41.1	11,033	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 7,183 383,767	6,399,972 1,000,000	45,971 383,767	373,303 3,813,246	8.2919 11.0697
23 24 PT EVERGLADES 4 25	376	3,576 30,465	13.0	90.6	43.5	10,863	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	5,640 333,697	6,399,468 1,000,000	36,093 333,697	293,090 3,328,080	8.1960 10.9243
26 27 RIVIERA 3 28	275	11,999 38,057	26.2	93.0	31.3	11,408	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	20,308 441,087	6,399,892 1,000,000	129,969 441,087	1,055,707 4,295,461	8.7983 11.2870
29 30 RIVIERA 4 31	286	2,296	1.2	87.1	44.6	11,072	Gas	MCF ->	25,424	1,000,000	25,424	254,418	11.0800

					Estimated For The Period of :									
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		 (H)	-	(I)	(J)	 (K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Т	⁻ uel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 ST LUCIE 1	853	578,843	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,987	Nuclea	r Othr	->	6,359,814	1,000,000	6,359,814	2,286,400	0.3950
33 34 ST LUCIE 2	726	492,599	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	r Othr	->	5,412,140	1,000,000	5,412,140	2,358,600	0.4788
35 36 CAPE CANAVERAL 1	380		0.0	0.0		0								
37 38 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 39	380	3,225 20,127	8.8	89.9	40.2	10,917	Heavy (Gas	Dil BBLS MCF		 5,126 222,141	6,399,727 1,000,000	32,805 222,141	266,611 2,208,006	8.2670 10.9702
40 41 CUTLER 5	69		0.0	99.2		0								
42 43 CUTLER 6	138		0.0	97.7		0				#~### # ~~~				
44 45 FORT MYERS 2	1,422	452,278	45.7	66.1	79.9	7,359	Gas	MCF	->	3,328,649	1,000,000	3,328,649	32,315,229	7.1450
46 47 FORT MYERS 3A_B	328		0.0	96.8	<u></u>	0						*****		
48 49 SANFORD 3	140	·	0.0	95.6		0	<u> </u>							<u></u>
50 51 SANFORD 4	955	348,208	52.4	72.7	76.1	7,444	Gas	MCF	->	2,592,240	1,000,000	2,592,240	25,162,070	7.2262
52 53 SANFORD 5	955	354,524	53.3	90.0	88.6	5 7,282	Gas	MCF	>	2,581,738	1,000,000	2,581,738	25,081,416	7.0747
54 55 PUTNAM 1	244	57,057	33.6	96.8	78.5	5 9,321	Gas	MCF	->	531,846	1,000,000	531,846	5,276,705	9.2482
56 57 PUTNAM 2	 244	61,509	36.2	· 96.9	81.3	9,231	Gas	MCF	->	567,802	1,000,000	567,802	5,629,402	9.1521
58 59 MANATEE 1 60 61	805	4,940 5,164	1.8	94.6	48.3	10,570	Heavy (Gas	Dil BBLS MCF		 8,283 53,796	6,400,338 1,000,000	 53,014 53,796	430,691 537,677	8.7184 10.4116

Date: 9/04/2007 Company: Florida Power & Light

				Estimated F	or The Pe	riod of :]	-eb-08					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH))	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
62 MANATEE 2 63 64	805	3,600 778	0.8	94.9	68.0	10,636	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 6,045 7,870	6,400,331 1,000,000	 38,690 7,870	314,308 79,985	8.7308 10.2835
65 MANATEE 3	1,104	642,100	83.6	96.0	84.5	7,057	Gas	MCF ->	4,531,441	1,000,000	4,531,441	44,098,056	6.8678
66 67 MARTIN 1 68 69	820	2,992 1,282	0.8	94.1	65.1	10,696	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	4,495 16,946	6,400,445 1,000,000	 28,770 16,946	233,664 164,109	7.8096 12.8000
70 MARTIN 2 71 72	820	3,001 41,570	7.8	94.9	48.5	10,776	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	4,628 450,719	6,399,741 1,000,000	29,618 450,719	240,566 4,455,465	8.0162 10.7179
73 MARTIN 3	470	129,393	39.6	96.9	89.4	7,406	Gas	MCF ->	958,294	1,000,000	958,294	9,290,245	7.1799
74 75 MARTIN 4	470	139,672	42.7	95.8	88.2	7,415	Gas	MCF ->	1,035,700	1,000,000	1,035,700	10,040,678	7.1888
76 77 MARTIN 8	1,104	672,925	87.6	96.1	87.6	7,000	Gas	MCF ->	4,710,898	1,000,000	4,710,898	46,207,665	6.8667
78 79 FORT MYERS 1-12	627		0.0	98.4		0				##±			
80 81 LAUDERDALE 1-24	766		0.0	91.8		0						u wa wa ma cafatu ka a a w	
82 83 EVERGLADES 1-12	383		0.0	88.4		0				<i>++#</i> ¥34##		We G V all in a constant	*****
84 85 ST JOHNS 10	130	88,604	97.9	97.3	97.9	9,626	Coal	TONS ->	35,867	23,780,996	852,953	2,290,400	2.5850
86 87 ST JOHNS 20	130	87,998	97.3	96.5	97.3	9,707	Coal	TONS ->	35,921	23,781,075	854,240	2,293,900	2.6068
88 89 SCHERER 4	640	435,261		97.0	97.7	10,095	Coal	TONS ->	251,089	17,499,978	4,394,052	9,344,800	2.1469
90 91 TOTAL	13,949	3,556,209				7,838					27,874,222	225,961,648	6.3540

Florida Power & Light

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	M	lar-08						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	-	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	-	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1	380	31,946 15,886	16.9	93.2		10,253	Heavy Gas	oil BBL MCF		48,865 177,695	6,399,939 1,000,000	312,733 177,695	2,206,089 1,742,331	6.9057 10.9676
4 TURKEY POINT 2 5	380	22,146 21,813	15.6	93.3	58.7	10,306	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		33,762 236,978	6,400,065 1,000,000	216,079 236,978	1,524,231 2,338,384	6.8826 10.7200
7 TURKEY POINT 3	717	520,110	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nuclea	ar Oth	 Ir ->	5,893,410	1,000,000	5,893,410	2,540,600	0.4885
9 TURKEY POINT 4	717	486,554	91.2	94.4	97.5	11,331	Nuclea	ar Oth	 ar ->	5,513,183	1,000,000	5,513,183	1,815,500	0.3731
10 11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,104	551,311	67.1	74.3	87.8	6,933	Gas	MCF		3,822,403	1,000,000	3,822,403	36,885,452	6.6905
12 13 LAUDERDALE 4	443	173,631	52.7	66.4	77.8	8,129	Gas	MCF	>	1,411,574	1,000,000	1,411,574	13,785,447	7.9395
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	443	261,450	79.3	97.8	79.3	8,089	Gas	MCF	 ->	2,114,869	1,000,000	2,114,869	20,699,925	7.9174
16 17 PT EVERGLADES 1	207	1,434	0.9	95.2	86.6	10,851	Gas	MCF		15,560	1,000,000	15,560	157,201	10.9632
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	207	1,488	1.0	95.4	89.8	10,768	Gas	MCF		16,023	1,000,000	16,023	162,099	10.8945
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3 22	376	5,853 76,021	29.3	91.8	71.2	10,421	Heavy Gas	Oil BBI MCF		8,867 796,482	6,400,023 1,000,000	56,749 796,482	399,430 7,959,030	
23 24 PT EVERGLADES 4 25	376	5,098 70,824	27.1	90.6	63.7	10,449	Heavy Gas	Oil BBI MCF		7,762 743,631	6,400,412 1,000,000	49,680 743,631	349,734 7,404,815	
26 27 RIVIERA 3 28	275	5,908 3,969	4.8	93.0	63.0	10,396	Heavy Gas	Oil BBI MCF		9,201 43,786	6,400,065 1,000,000	58,887 43,786	414,591 430,809	7.0175 10.8557
29 30 RIVIERA 4 31	286	68,687	32.3	87.1	38.9	11,030	Gas	MCF		757,669	1,000,000	757,669	7,401,904	10.7764

				Estimated I	For The Pe	eriod of :	N	/lar-08						
(A)	 (B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 ST LUCIE 1 33	853	618,763	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 10,987	Nucle	ar Othr	->	6,798,424	1,000,000	6,798,424	2,433,800	0.3933
34 ST LUCIE 2	726	526,572	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 10,986	Nucle	ar Othr	->	5,785,382	1,000,000	5,785,382	2,513,200	0.4773
35 36 CAPE CANAVERAL 1	380		0.0	0.0		0						***********		
37 38 CAPE CANAVERAL 2	 380	64,511	22.8	89.9	57.9	9 10,540	Gas	MCF	->	679,954	1,000,000	679,954	6,761,276	10.4808
39 40 CUTLER 5	69		0.0	99.2		0		<u> </u>				<u>.</u>		
41 42 CUTLER 6	138		0.0	97.7		0								
43 44 FORT MYERS 2	1,422	818,201	77.3	90.7	88.7	7 7,206	Gas	MCF	->	5,896,191	1,000,000	5,896,191	56,467,099	6.9014
45 46 FORT MYERS 3A_B	328		0.0	96.8		0								
47 48 SANFORD 3	140		0.0	95.6		0								
49 50 SANFORD 4	955	574,260	80.8	95.9	90.3	3 7,149	Gas	MCF	->	4,105,522	1,000,000	4,105,522	39,291,176	6.8421
51 52 SANFORD 5	955	343,333	48.3	62.3	91.7	7,174	Gas	MCF	->	2,463,104	1,000,000	2,463,104	23,617,825	6.8790
53 54 PUTNAM 1	244	38,333	21.1	53.5	53.1	10,744	Gas	MCF	->	411,874	1,000,000	411,874	4,022,806	10.4944
55 56 PUTNAM 2	244	81,211	44.7	96.9	91.7	9,126	Gas	MCF	->	 741,158	1,000,000	741,158	 7,237,385	8.9118
57 58 MANATEE 1 59	805	3,716 2,477	1.0	94.6	85.5	5 10,534	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		 6,254 25,216	6,399,584 1,000,000	40,023 25,216	281,905 255,716	7.5862 10.3224
60 61 MANATEE 2 62 63	805	7,065 4,710	2.0	94.9	81.3	3 10,562	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		 11,946 47,917	6,399,883 1,000,000	 76,453 47,917	538,423 485,866	7.6210 10.3156

Company:

Florida Power & Light

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :		Mar-08						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)		(1)	(J)	 (K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
64 MANATEE 3 65	1,104	704,821	85.8	96.0	85.8	7,042	Gas	MCF ·	>	4,963,946	1,000,000	4,963,946	47,543,150	6.7454
66 MARTIN 1	820	36,288	6.0	94.1	56.0	10,993	Gas	MCF	->	398,917	1,000,000	398,917	3,926,348	10.8200
67 68 MARTIN 2 69 70	820	1,161 73,819	12.3	94.9	60.2	10,810	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS		 1,758 799,336	6,399,317 1,000,000	11,250 799,336	79,179 7,859,889	6.8199 10.6476
71 MARTIN 3	470	187,644	53.7	96.9	94.8	7,336	Gas	MCF	->	1,376,660	1,000,000	1,376,660	 13,135,680	7.0003
72 73 MARTIN 4	470	LJULLINGULUU .	0.0	0.0		0	<u></u>							
74 75 MARTIN 8	1,104	652,980	79.5	85.2	80.4	7,091	Gas	MCF	->	4,630,793	1,000,000	4,630,793	44,730,139	6.8502
76 77 FORT MYERS 1-12	627		0.0	98.4		0							-laitein Alassa du ant	
78 79 LAUDERDALE 1-24	766		0.0	91.8		0								
80 81 EVERGLADES 1-12	383		0.0	88.4		0								
82 83 ST JOHNS 10	130	94,715	97.9	97.3	97.9	9,626	 Coal	TONS	->	38,234	23,847,309	911,778	2,448,400	2.5850
84 85 ST JOHNS 20	130		0.0	0.0		0							- 11 21 - 21 10 20 - 47 10 - 67 20 10 10 10	
86 87 SCHERER 4	640	255,156	53.6	53.2	97.7	10,095	Coal	TONS	->	 147,190	17,500,027	2,575,829	5,487,800	2.1508
88 89 TOTAL	14,329	3,944,400				7,645						30,155,923	264,170,062	6.6973

Company:

Schedule E4 Page: 11

				Estimated F	For The Pe	riod of :		Apr-08					
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	 (F)	(G)	<u></u> ,	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1 2	378	93,373 7,621	37.1	93.2	78.4	9,906	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	140,620 100,532	6,400,021 1,000,000	899,971 100,532	8,365,829 959,957	8.9596 12.5960
4 TURKEY POINT 2 5	378	52,282 29,632	30.1	93.3	71.1	10,135	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 79,124 323,855	6,399,980 1,000,000	506,392 323,855	4,707,226 3,122,176	9.0035 10.5365
7 TURKEY POINT 3	693	486,491	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,330	Nucle	ear Othr->	5,512,394	1,000,000	5,512,394	2,368,100	0.4868
8 9 TURKEY POINT 4	693		0.0	0.0		0					•		
10 11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,080	704,560	90.6	96.0	90.6	6,948	Gas	MCF ->	4,895,603	1,000,000	4,895,603	45,047,397	6.3937
12 13 LAUDERDALE 4	432	267,937	86.1	98.1	86.1	7,973	Gas	MCF ->	2,136,430	1,000,000	2,136,430	20,408,342	7.6168
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	432	272,605	87.6	97.8	87.6	7,933	Gas	MCF ->	2,162,773	1,000,000	2,162,773	20,862,222	7.6529
16 17 PT EVERGLADES 1	205	1,584	1.1	79.3	96.6	10,726	Gas	MCF ->	16,987	1,000,000	16,987	 166,385	10.5068
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	205	1,583	1.1	95.4	96.5	5 10,783	Gas	MCF ->	17,065	1,000,000	17,065	 167,167	10.5635
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3 22	374	52,524 78,341	48.6	91.8	79.7	10,121	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 79,334 816,770	6,399,967 1,000,000	507,735 816,770	4,712,132 7,952,958	8.9714 10.1517
23 24 PT EVERGLADES 4 25	374	46,191 76,530	45.6	90.6	82.4	10,125	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 69,534 797,569	6,399,963 1,000,000	445,015 797,569	4,130,022 7,777,455	8.9412 10.1626
26 27 RIVIERA 3 28	273	58,941 43,189	52.0	93.0	60.6	5 10,322	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	92,504 462,250	6,400,015 1,000,000	592,027 462,250	5,495,218 4,383,377	9.3233 10.1493
29 30 RIVIERA 4 31	284	1,511	0.7	11.6	66.5	10,867	Gas	MCF ->	16,424	1,000,000	16,424	 157,996	10.4536

Florida Power & Light

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :		Apr-08					
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	 (E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	(J)	 (K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MVVH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 ST LUCIE 1	839	588,980	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,987	Nucle	ear Othr ->	6,471,126	1,000,000	6,471,126	2,308,300	0.3919
33 34 ST LUCIE 2	714	501,219	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nucle	ar Othr->	5,506,882	1,000,000	5,506,882	2,385,000	0.4758
35 36 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 37 38	378	32,956 49,965	30.5	72.3	77.0	10,164	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 49,727 524,629	6,400,004 1,000,000	318,253 524,629	2,955,488 5,113,787	8.9680 10.2348
30 39 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 40 41	378	11,271 52,507	23.4	89.9	73.7	10,360	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 16,999 551,975	6,400,082 1,000,000	108,795 551,975	1,010,301 5,391,619	8.9637 10.2684
42 CUTLER 5 43	68		0.0	99.2	********	0							
44 CUTLER 6 45	137	539	0.6	97.7	98.3	12,493	Gas	MCF ->	6,728	1,000,000	6,728	61,644	11.4452
46 FORT MYERS 2	1,405	877,669	86.8	95.8	89.9	7,194	Gas	MCF ->	6,314,108	1,000,000	6,314,108	58,069,671	6.6164
47 48 FORT MYERS 3A_B	316	2,984	1.3	96.8	99.4	11,078	Gas	MCF ->	33,058	1,000,000	33,058	315,711	10.5805
49 50 SANFORD 3	138	856	0.9	95.6	77.6	11,463	Gas	MCF ->	9,816	1,000,000	9,816	95,877	11.1980
51 52 SANFORD 4	936	608,626	90.3	95.9	90.3	7,169	Gas	MCF ->	4,363,776	1,000,000	4,363,776	40,280,074	6.6182
53 54 SANFORD 5	936	500,280	74.2	80.1	90.7	7,182	Gas	MCF ->	3,593,238	1,000,000	3,593,238	33,372,321	6.6707
55 56 PUTNAM 1	239	64,734	37.6	73.7	74.0	9,719	Gas	MCF ->	629,207	1,000,000	629,207	5,988,480	9.2510
57 58 PUTNAM 2	239	104,636	60.8	96.9	94.0	9,063	Gas	MCF ->	948,377	1,000,000	948,377	8,997,750	8.5991
59 60 MANATEE 1 61 62	793	32,123 21,950	9.5	94.6	65.6	10,685	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	55,178 224,671	6,400,051 1,000,000	353,142 224,671	3,278,459 2,194,630	10.2060 9.9985

Company:

Florida Power & Light

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :		Apr-08						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	-	(1)	(J)	 (K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)		Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH))	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
53 MANATEE 2 54 55	793	13,614 9,076	4.0	94.9	69.8	10,788	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS		23,785 92,557	6,400,000 1,000,000	152,224 92,557	1,413,168 908,507	10.3803 10.0101
66 MANATEE 3	1,084	698,400	89.5	96.0	89.5	7,057	Gas	MCF	->	4,928,791	1,000,000	4,928,791	45,667,043	6.5388
57 58 MARTIN 1 59 70	815	9,924 68,490	13.4	94.1	70.7	10,918	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS		15,010 760,120	6,400,200 1,000,000	96,067 760,120	891,733 7,243,145	8.9856 10.5755
71 MARTIN 2 72 73	815	21,571 111,941	22.8	94.9	74.8	10,726	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS		32,663 1,223,016	6,399,933 1,000,000	209,041 1,223,016	1,940,309 11,640,799	8.9950 10.3991
74 MARTIN 3	456	250,040	76.2	96.9	92.9	7,310	Gas	MCF	->	1,827,937	1,000,000	1,827,937	16,729,450	6.6907
76 MARTIN 4 77	456	81,474	24.8	38.3	95.0	7,331	Gas	MCF	->	597,364	1,000,000	597,364	5,466,540	6.7095
8 MARTIN 8	1,084	711,998	91.2	96.1	91.2	7,012	Gas	MCF	->	4,992,804	1,000,000	4,992,804	47,109,765	6.6166
9 0 FORT MYERS 1-12	552		0.0	98.4		0		******	-					*****
31 32 LAUDERDALE 1-24	684		0.0	91.8	<u></u>	0			-					
33 34 EVERGLADES 1-12	342		0.0			0			-					
35 36 ST JOHNS 10	127	89,544	97.9	97.3	97.9	9,692	 Coal	TONS	->	36,294	23,913,870	867,930	2,330,700	2.6029
37 38 ST JOHNS 20	127	5,929	6.5	6.4	97.3	9,773	 Coal	TONS	:->	2,423	23,914,569	57,945	155,600	2.6244
39 30 SCHERER 4	634	89,195	19.6	19.4	97.7	10,190	 Coal	TONS	->	51,939	17,499,990	908,932	1,939,900	2.1749
91 92 TOTAL	13,554	4,439,370			144 an a' d'an af ag a' air a tha bha an a	7,625			-			33,851,618	302,493,196	6.8139

Company:

				Estimated F	or The Pe	riod of :	Ma	iy-08 					
(A)	 (B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(·	(i)	(J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MVV)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Ť	uel ype	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1 2 3	378	28,872 8,403	13.3	93.2	65.7	10,070	Heavy C Gas	Dil BBLS -> MCF ->	44,277 91,998	6,400,050 1,000,000	283,375 91,998	2,638,661 863,569	9.1392 10.2768
4 TURKEY POINT 2 5	378	18,446 11,841	10.8	93.3	62.1	10,204	Heavy C Gas	Dil BBLS -> MCF ->	28,427 127,123	6,399,972 1,000,000	181,932 127,123	1,694,058 1,208,629	9.1839 10.2075
7 TURKEY POINT 3	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,330	Nuclea	r Othr->	5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	2,437,400	0.4849
9 TURKEY POINT 4	693	454,058	88.1	84.9	97.5	11,330	Nuclea	r Othr->	5,144,894	1,000,000	5,144,894	2,787,000	0.6138
10 11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,080	669,728	83.4	96.0	83.3	7,054	Gas	MCF ->	4,724,391	1,000,000	4,724,391	42,401,389	6.3311
12 13 LAUDERDALE 4	432	248,823	77.4	98.1	77.4	8,222	Gas	MCF ->	2,045,921	1,000,000	2,045,921	19,474,004	7.8265
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	432	250,736	78.0	97.8	78.0	8,200	Gas	MCF ->	2,056,263	1,000,000	2,056,263	19,701,480	7.8575
16 17 PT EVERGLADES 1	205		0.0	0.0		0	<u>_</u>						
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	205	6,600	4.3	95.4	63.1	10,428	Heavy C)il BBLS ->	10,754	6,400,409	68,830	638,222	9.6700
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3 22	374	41,090 20,839	22.3	91.8	64.4	10,155	Heavy C Gas)il BBLS -> MCF ->	63,114 224,998	6,400,022 1,000,000	403,931 224,998	3,755,245 2,131,065	9.1391 10.2262
23 24 PT EVERGLADES 4 25 26	374	40,751 24,506	23.5	90.6	64.4	10,147	Heavy C Gas	Dil BBLS -> MCF ->	62,562 261,823	6,399,955 1,000,000	400,394 261,823	3,722,366 2,493,180	9.1344 10.1737
26 27 RIVIERA 3 28 29	273	5,588 2,472	4.0	93.0	92.3	10,275	Heavy C Gas	Dil BBLS -> MCF ->	8,516 28,319	6,399,601 1,000,000	54,499 28,319	506,761 273,834	9.0687 11.0761
30 RIVIERA 4	284		0.0	0.0	<u> </u>	0					-		

Company:

.

Florida Power & Light

				Estimated I	For The Pe	eriod of :	N	May-C)8					
 (A)	 (B)	(C)	 (D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	•	(H)		(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned . (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 ST LUCIE 1	839	608,613	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 10,986	Nucle	ear (Othr>	6,686,833	1,000,000	6,686,833	2,375,800	0.3904
33 34 ST LUCIE 2	714	517,926	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 10,986	Nucle	ear (Othr ->	5,690,445	1,000,000	5,690,445	2,456,600	0.4743
35 36 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 37 38	378	16,915 44,609	21.9	90.4	62.8	10,357	Heavy Gas		 BBLS -> ICF ->	 26,042 470,536	6,400,046 1,000,000	166,670 470,536	1,550,466 4,535,435	9.1662 10.1671
30 39 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 40 41	378	16,795 2,761	7.0	89.9	62.3	3 10,073	Heavy Gas		 3BLS -> ICF ->	 25,891 31,297	6,399,907 1,000,000	165,700 31,297	1,541,446 284,708	9.1780 10.3136
42 CUTLER 5	68	773	1.5	99.2	71.0) 12,027	Gas	М	ICF ->	9,296	1,000,000	9,296	84,997	10.9972
43 44 CUTLER 6	137	1,302	1.3	97.7	59.4	12,243	Gas	M	ICF ->	15,944	1,000,000	15,944	147,960	11.3606
45 46 FORT MYERS 2	1,405	897,097	85.8	95.8	85.8	3 7,235	Gas	М	ICF ->	6,491,090	1,000,000	6,491,090	59,867,051	6.6734
47 48 FORT MYERS 3A_B	316	5,183	2.2	96.8	99.4	11,119	Gas	М	ICF ->	57,626	1,000,000	57,626	561,488	10.8341
49 50 SANFORD 3	138	1,807	1.8	95.6	50.4	11,706	Gas	M	 ICF ->	 21,158	1,000,000	21,158	203,141	11.2394
51 52 SANFORD 4	936	601,823	86.4	95.9	86.4	7,224	Gas	M	 ICF ->	4,347,708	1,000,000	4,347,708	40,131,939	6.6684
53 54 SANFORD 5	936	599,692	86.1	95.8	86.1	7,228	 Gas	М	 ICF ->	4,334,957	1,000,000	4,334,957	40,113,776	6.6891
55 56 PUTNAM 1	239	37,659	21.2	96.8	98.5	5 9,170	Gas	M	ICF ->	345,354	1,000,000	345,354	3,309,509	8.7880
57 58 PUTNAM 2	239	42,267	23.8	96.9	98.3	9,167	Gas	M	 ICF ->	387,506	1,000,000	387,506	3,698,522	8.7503
59 60 MANATEE 1 61 62	793	141,234 160,891	51.2	94.6	51.2	2 10,313	Heavy Gas		BBLS ->	221,687 1,697,082	6,400,010 1,000,000	1,418,799 1,697,082	13,194,551 16,081,497	9.3423 9.9953

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	٦	/lay-08					
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)		Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH))	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
63 MANATEE 2 64 65	793	120,726 148,319	45.6	94.9	45.6	10,363	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	190,252 1,570,709	6,399,985 1,000,000	1,217,610 1,570,709	11,323,554 14,823,242	9.3795 9.9941
66 MANATEE 3	1,084	366,470	45.4	52.7	82.9	7,163	Gas	MCF ->	2,625,211	1,000,000	2,625,211	24,553,905	6.7001
67 68 MARTIN 1 69 70	815	76,225 205,797	46.5	94.1	60.7		Heavy ⁄Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	118,275 2,197,889	6,400,017 1,000,000	756,962 2,197,889	7,038,389 20,658,181	9.2337 10.0381
70 ———— 71 MARTIN 2 72 73 ————	815	80,222 233,258	51.7	94.9	64.9	10,446	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	124,243 2,479,622	6,399,974 1,000,000	795,152 2,479,622	7,393,460 23,343,515	9.2162 10.0076
74 MARTIN 3	456	266,363	78.5	85.9	78.5	7,425	Gas	MCF ->	1,977,754	1,000,000	1,977,754	18,015,736	6.7636
75 76 MARTIN 4	456	288,584	85.1	95.8	85.1	7,366	Gas	MCF ->	2,125,731	1,000,000	2,125,731	19,413,893	6.7273
77 78 MARTIN 8	1,084	640,378	79.4	89.9	79.4	7,179	Gas	MCF ->	4,597,695	1,000,000	4,597,695	42,860,000	6.6929
79 80 FORT MYERS 1-12	552		0.0	98.4		0		, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>					
81 82 LAUDERDALE 1-24	684		0.0	91.8		0						9 19 19 20 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	
83 84 EVERGLADES 1-12	342		0.0	88.4		0							
85 86 ST JOHNS 10	127	87,077	92.2	97.3	92.2	9,730	 Coal	TONS ->	35,332	23,981,122	847,301	2,275,300	2.6130
87 88 ST JOHNS 20	127	85,687	90.7	96.5	90.7	· 9,817	Coal	TONS ->	35,080	23,980,929	841,251	2,259,000	2.6363
89 90 SCHERER 4	634	458,341	97.2	97.0		10,192	Coal	TONS ->	266,945	17,500,006	4,671,539	9,988,200	2.1792
91 92 TOTAL	13,554 ======	5,566,731		-		8,268 ======					46,027,943	383,166,960 ======	6.8832

Company:

				Estimated F	For The Pe	riod of :	J	un-08					
(A)	 (B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(i)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
TURKEY POINT 1	378	82,346 12,693	34.9	93.2	65.6	10,003	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 126,411 141,747	6,399,981 1,000,000	809,028 141,747	7,663,033 1,310,896	9.3059 10.3275
TURKEY POINT 2	378	56,986 29,144	31.7	93.3	63.1	10,143	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	87,721 312,286	6,400,030 1,000,000	561,417 312,286	5,317,704 2,985,014	9.3316 10.2422
TURKEY POINT 3	693	486,491	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,330	Nucle	ar Othr->	5,512,394	1,000,000	5,512,394	2,350,500	0.4832
TURKEY POINT 4	693	486,491	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,330	Nucle	ar Othr->	5,512,394	1,000,000	5,512,394	2,977,200	0.6120
TURKEY POINT 5	1,080	672,482	86.5	96.0	86.5	6,999	Gas	MCF ->	4,707,327	1,000,000	4,707,327	42,753,806	6.3576
LAUDERDALE 4	432	247,054	79.4	98.1	79.4	8,156	Gas	MCF ->	2,015,073	1,000,000	2,015,073	19,528,069	7.9044
LAUDERDALE 5	432	250,964	80.7	97.8	80.7	8,113	Gas	MCF ->	2,036,260	1,000,000	2,036,260	19,793,435	7.8870
PT EVERGLADES 1	205	2,023	1.4	9.5	89.7	10,207	Heavy	Oil BBLS ->	3,226	6,401,116	20,650	194,466	9.6128
PT EVERGLADES 2	205	7,290	4.9	95.4	64.7	10,395	Heavy	Oil BBLS ->	11,841	6,400,135	75,784	715,028	9.8083
PT EVERGLADES 3	374	84,053 12,504	35.9	91.8	67.9	9,984	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	128,700 140,355	6,400,000 1,000,000	823,680 140,355	7,789,499 1,287,740	9.2674 10.2990
PT EVERGLADES 4	374	73,052 12,605	31.8	90.6	66.0	10,024	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	112,043 141,611	6,399,998 1,000,000	717,075 141,611	6,781,342 1,306,954	9.2829 10.3689
RIVIERA 3	273	15,530 6,733	11.3	93.0	92.7	10,268	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	23,659 77,183	6,400,017 1,000,000	151,418 77,183	1,432,186 753,648	9.2221 11.1928
RIVIERA 4	284	21,067	10.3	61.0	91.6	10,583	Gas	MCF ->	222,953	1,000,000	222,953	2,197,854	10.4328

Company:

					Estimated F	For The Pe	riod of :	. Ju	n-08						
	 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)		(F)	(G)		(H)		(1)		(K)	(L)	(M)
	Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Т	uel ype		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
	2 ST LUCIE 1	839	588,980	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,987	Nuclea	r Oth	· ->	6,471,126	1,000,000	6,471,126	2,290,100	0.3888
3	3 4 ST LUCIE 2	714	501,219	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	r Othi	· ->	5,506,882	1,000,000	5,506,882	2,370,200	0.4729
3 3	5 5 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 7 3	378	82,789 21,528	38.3	90.4	66.2	10,037	Heavy C Gas	Dil BBL MCF		127,081 233,759	6,400,013 1,000,000	813,320 233,759	7,696,273 2,195,559	9.2963 10.1989
3 4	O CAPE CANAVERAL 2	378	49,283 11,571	22.4	89.9	63.6	10,081	Heavy C Gas	Dil BBL MCF		 75,884 127,828	6,399,992 1,000,000	485,657 127,828	4,595,671 1,195,633	9.325 10.3328
4	2 CUTLER 5	68		0.0	99.2		0			-					
4	3 4 CUTLER 6	137		0.0	97.7		0			-					
4	5 6 FORT MYERS 2	1,405	874,102	86.4	95.8	86.4	7,226	Gas	MCF	->	6,316,925	1,000,000	6,316,925	58,393,566	6.6804
4	7 B FORT MYERS 3A_B	316	9,894	4.4	96.8	99.4	11,093	Gas	MCF	->	109,759	1,000,000	109,759	1,070,330	10.8179
5	9 D SANFORD 3	138	1,610	1.6	95.6	50.7	11,741	Gas	MCF	->	18,906	1,000,000	18,906	184,080	11.4321
5	1 2 SANFORD 4	936	586,567	87.0	95.9	87.0	7,214	Gas	MCF	->	4,231,741	1,000,000	4,231,741	39,323,747	6.7041
-	3 4 SANFORD 5	936	584,756	86.8	95.8	86.8	7,218	Gas	MCF	- >	4,220,974	1,000,000	4,220,974	39,340,783	6.7277
5	5 6 PUTNAM 1	239	50,134		96.8	98.5	9,164	Gas	MCF	->	459,440	1,000,000	459,440	4,479,467	8.9350
5	7 8 PUTNAM 2	239	53,218	30.9	96.9	98.5	9,158	Gas	MCF		487,405	1,000,000	487,405	4,752,323	8.9299
6	9 0 MANATEE 1 1 2	793	151,131 138,578	50.7	94.6	50.7	10,282	Heavy (Gas	Dil BBL MCF		237,135 1,461,186		1,517,662 1,461,186	14,356,964 13,922,593	

Company:

Florida Power & Light

				Estimated F	For The Pe	eriod of :		Jun-08						
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	 (E)	 (F)	(G)	. <u>-</u>	(H)		(I)	 (J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
63 MANATEE 2 64 65	793	120,243 140,357	45.6	94.9	45.6	10,355	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		 189,451 1,486,072	6,399,998 1,000,000	1,212,486 1,486,072	11,470,060 14,136,228	9.5391 10.0716
66 MANATEE 3 67	1,084	659,655	84.5	96.0	84.5	7,128	Gas	MCF	->	4,702,433	1,000,000	4,702,433	44,216,985	6.7030
67 68 MARTIN 1 69 70	815	131,877 142,260	46.7	94.1	62.6	10,366	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		 204,407 1,533,762	6,400,006 1,000,000	1,308,206 1,533,762	12,373,497 14,324,222	9.3826 10.0691
71 MARTIN 2 72 73	815	109,525 194,980	51.9	94.9	66.4	10,404	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		169,474 2,083,443	6,399,991 1,000,000	1,084,632 2,083,443	10,258,857 19,704,598	9.3667 10.1059
74 MARTIN 3	456	284,051	86.5	96.9	86.5	7,351	Gas	MCF	->	2,088,234	1,000,000	2,088,234	19,154,711	6.7434
75 76 MARTIN 4	456	281,690	85.8	95.8	85.8	7,354	Gas	MCF	->	2,071,563	1,000,000	2,071,563	 19,001,869	6.7457
77 78 MARTIN 8	1,084	674,453	86.4	80.1	86.4	7,076	Gas	MCF	->	4,772,685	1,000,000	4,772,685	45,313,783	6.7186
79 80 FORT MYERS 1-12	552		0.0	98.4		0				Manual Aurora Carlo Barranda Caranda			diā - Ale Polorana no alko anava	
81 82 LAUDERDALE 1-24	684		0.0	91.8		0						******		
83 84 EVERGLADES 1-12	342		0.0	88.4		0								
85 86 ST JOHNS 10	127	89,544	97.9	97.3	9 7.9	9,692	 Coal	TONS	;->	36,091	24,048,378	867,930	2,330,700	2.6029
87 88 ST JOHNS 20	127	88,930	97.3	 96.5	97.3	9,773	Coal	TONS	;->	 36,143	24,048,474	869,184	2,334,000	2.6245
89 90 SCHERER 4	634	445,978	97.7	97.0	97.7	10,190	Coal	TONS	; ->	259,694	17,500,023	4,544,651	9,734,200	2.1827
91 92 TOTAL	13,554	5,874,388		<u></u>		8,182	844.					48,062,764	405,968,867	6.9108

Company:

				Estimated F	For The Pe	riod of :		Jul-08					
 (A)	 (B)	(C)	(D)	 (E)	 (F)	(G)	<u></u>	(H)	(I)	(J)		(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH		Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
 1 TURKEY POINT 1 2 3	378	104,243 17,579	43.3	93.2	72.6	9,941	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 158,859 194,428	6,400,015 1,000,000	1,016,700 194,428	9,774,004 1,835,673	9.3762 10.4424
3 4 TURKEY POINT 2 5 6	378	84,839 28,911	40.5	93.3	73.2	9,989	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	129,208 309,385	6,399,998 1,000,000	826,931 309,385	7,949,687 2,982,706	9.3703 10.3169
7 TURKEY POINT 3	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,330	Nucle	ar Othr->	5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	2,419,700	0.4813
8 9 TURKEY POINT 4	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,330	Nucle	ar Othr->	5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	3,066,800	0.6101
10 11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,080	726,253	90.4	96.0	90.4	6,951	Gas	MCF ->	5,048,282	1,000,000	5,048,282	45,201,812	6.2240
12 13 LAUDERDALE 4	432	282,879	88.0	98.1	88.0	7,933	Gas	MCF ->	2,244,259	1,000,000	2,244,259	21,262,660	7.5165
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	432	285,166	88.7	97.8	88.7	7,912	Gas	MCF ->	2,256,451	1,000,000	2,256,451	21,729,662	7.6200
16 17 PT EVERGLADES 1	205	27,728	18.2	95.2	70.1	10,242	Heavy	Oil BBLS ->	44,376	6,399,901	284,002	2,721,046	9.8134
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	205	27,853	18.3	95.4	69.0	10,327	Heavy	Oil BBLS ->	44,946	6,399,969	287,653	2,755,369	9.8925
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3 22	374	123,784 12,056	48.8	91.8	73.8	9,899	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 188,322 139,456	6,399,990 1,000,000	1,205,259 139,456	11,568,845 1,278,955	9.3460 10.6086
23 24 PT EVERGLADES 4 25	374	116,460 12,914	46.5	90.6	73.3	9,907	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	177,255 147,383	6,400,011 1,000,000	1,134,434 147,383	10,888,976 1,363,118	9.3500 10.5558
26 27 RIVIERA 3 28	273	17,996 6,826	12.2	93.0	94.7	10,235	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	27,386 78,805	6,399,949 1,000,000	175,269 78,805	1,682,605 774,861	9.3499 11.3513
29 30 RIVIERA 4 31 32	284	6,219 27,239	15.8	87.1	92.0	10,493	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	9,463 290,533	6,400,190 1,000,000	60,565 290,533	581,471 2,876,929	9.3499 10.5618

:

34

Florida Power & Light

				Estimated F	or The Pe	riod of :	J	ul-08						
(A)	(B)	(C)	 (D)	 (E)	(F)	(G)		(H)		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)		Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	-	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
33 ST LUCIE 1 34	. 839	608,613	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Oth	- r ->	6,686,833	1,000,000	6,686,833	2,357,100	0.3873
35 ST LUCIE 2 36	714	517,926	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Oth	- r ->	5,690,445	1,000,000	5,690,445	2,441,800	0.4715
37 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 38 39	378	105,498 21,386	45.1	90.4	71.7	9,968	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		160,975 234,585	6,400,000 1,000,000	1,030,240 234,585	9,894,894 2,228,919	9.3792 10.4223
40 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 41 42	378	88,888 10,242	35.3	89.9	70.7	9,950	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		135,864 116,898	6,400,025 1,000,000	869,533 116,898	8,351,389 1,070,208	9.3954 10.4492
43 CUTLER 5 44	68	6,538	12.9	99.2	83.6	11,852	Gas	MCF	->	77,498	1,000,000	77,498	713,131	10.9071
45 CUTLER 6 46	137	12,536	12.3	97.7	74.4	11,956	Gas	MCF	->	149,884	1,000,000	149,884	1,393,362	11.1153
47 FORT MYERS 2 48	1,405	938,288	89.8	95.8	89.8	7,185	Gas	MCF	>	6,742,499	1,000,000	6,742,499	63,210,970	6.7368
49 FORT MYERS 3A_B 50	316	35,179	15.0	96.8	99.4	11,049	Gas	MCF	>	388,699	1,000,000	388,699	3,681,184	10.4642
50 51 SANFORD 3 52 53	138	759 14,211	14.6	95.6	57.1	11,417	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		 1,261 162,848	6,402,062 1,000,000	8,073 162,848	 79,703 1,591,549	10.5011 11.1993
54 SANFORD 4	936	630,556	90.6	95.9	90.5	7,165	Gas	MCF	- ->	4,518,199	1,000,000	4,518,199	42,332,312	6.7135
55 56 SANFORD 5	936	603,932	86.7	90.4	86.7	7,213	Gas	MCF	>	4,356,436	1,000,000	4,356,436	41,224,767	6.8261
57 58 PUTNAM 1	239	68,493	38.5	96.8	98.5	9,131	Gas	MCF	>	 625,425	1,000,000	625,425	5,922,383	8.6467
59 60 PUTNAM 2	239	75,118	42.2	96.9	98.5	9,111	Gas	MCF	>	684,419	1,000,000	684,419	6,481,591	8.6286
61 62 MANATEE 1 63 64	793	209,169 133,080	58.0	94.6	58.0	10,162	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		325,819 1,393,033	6,400,001 1,000,000	2,085,242 1,393,033	20,021,496 13,355,993	9.5719 10.0360

Florida Power & Light

								-				•		
				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	* -1	Jul-08						
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)		(i)	(J)	(K)	(L)	. (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH))	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
65 MANATEE 2 66 67	793	168,498 128,944	50.4	94.9	50.4	10,210	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		263,117 1,353,074	6,399,997 1,000,000	1,683,948 1,353,074	 16,168,496 12,864,845	9.5957 9.9771
68 MANATEE 3	1,084	721,565	89.5	96.0	89.5	7,056	Gas	MCF	->	5,091,976	1,000,000	5,091,976	45,625,157	6.3231
69 70 MARTIN 1 71 72	815	184,755 167,034	58.0	94.1	69.8	10,253	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		 285,283 1,781,223	6,400,010 1,000,000	1,825,814 1,781,223	17,527,794 16,630,261	9.4870 9.9562
72 73 MARTIN 2 74 75	815	170,025 198,699	60.8	94.9	72.3	10,272	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		262,364 2,108,422	6,400,009 1,000,000	1,679,132 2,108,422	16,119,589 19,840,987	9.4807 9.9855
76 MARTIN 3	456	306,470	90.3	96.9	90.3	7,296	Gas	MCF	->	2,236,115	1,000,000	2,236,115	20,478,876	6.6822
77 78 MARTIN 4	456	302,871	89.3	95.8	89.3	7,303	Gas	MCF	->	2,211,997	1,000,000	2,211,997	20,447,043	6.7511
79 80 MARTIN 8	1,084	733,816	91.0	96.1	91.0	7,015	Gas	MCF	->	5,147,824	1,000,000	5,147,824	46,093,067	6.2813
81 82 FORT MYERS 1-12	552		0.0	98.4		0					****			
83 84 LAUDERDALE 1-24	684		0.0	91.8		0								
85 86 EVERGLADES 1-12	342		0.0	88.4		0								
87 88 ST JOHNS 10	127	92,529	97.9	97.3	97.9	9,692	 Coal	TONS	;->	37,189	24,116,271	896,860	2,408,300	2.6028
89 90 ST JOHNS 20	127	91,895	97.3	96.5	97.3	9,773	Coal	TONS	;->	37,242	24,116,804	898,158	2,411,800	2.6245
91 92 SCHERER 4	634	460,844	97.7	97.0	97.7	10,190	 Coal	TONS	;->	268,351	17,499,987	4,696,139	10,076,500	2.1865
93 94 TOTAL	21,286	10,722,713				8,815				<u></u>		94,523,553	625,760,345	5.8358

Company:

Florida Power & Light

				Estimated F	or The Pe	riod of :	/	\ug-08					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	 (E)	 (F)	(G)		(H)	(1)	(J)	 (K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1 2	378	93,608 13,409	38.1	93.2	70.6	9,951	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 142,931 150,184	6,400,011 1,000,000	914,760 150,184	8,782,112 1,404,405	9.3818 10.4738
4 TURKEY POINT 2	378	79,598 18,025	34.7	93.3	70.8	9,980	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	121,512 196,603	6,400,018 1,000,000	777,679 196,603	7,466,108 1,870,760	9.3798 10.3790
7 TURKEY POINT 3	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,330	Nucle	ear Othr->	5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	2,410,600	0.4795
8 9 TURKEY POINT 4	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,330	Nucle	ear Othr->	5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	3,057,700	0.6082
10 11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,080	733,224	91.3	96.0	91.3	6,940	Gas	MCF ->	5,088,791	1,000,000	5,088,791	45,772,126	6.2426
12 13 LAUDERDALE 4	432	286,147	89.0	98.1	89.0	7,902	Gas	MCF ->	2,261,216	1,000,000	2,261,216	21,541,006	7.5279
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	432	287,479	89.4	97.8		7,889	Gas	MCF ->	2,268,062	1,000,000	2,268,062	21,954,138	7.6368
16 17 PT EVERGLADES 1	205	34,783	22.8	95.2	67.6	10,292	Heavy	Oil BBLS ->	55,939	6,400,061	358,013	 3,424,770	9.8461
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	205	31,339	20.6	95.4	67.3	10,349	Heavy	Oil BBLS ->	50,679	6,399,968	324,344	3,102,850	9.9009
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3 22	374	108,126 14,135	43.9	91.8	71.7	9,944	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 164,824 160,999	6,399,996 1,000,000	1,054,873 160,999	 10,111,613 1,494,443	9.3517 10.5726
23 24 PT EVERGLADES 4 25	374	104,146 15,396	43.0	90.6	70.4	9,949	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	158,917 172,274	6,399,982 1,000,000	1,017,066 172,274	9,749,214 1,611,831	9.3611 10.4693
26 27 RIVIERA 3 28	273	25,446 9,908	17.4	93.0	94.5	10,236	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	38,725 114,080	6,400,052 1,000,000	247,842 114,080	2,376,028 1,127,699	9.3375 11.3814
29 30 RIVIERA 4 31 32	284	5,443 35,259	19.3	87.1	91.9	10,511	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	8,283 374,840	6,400,217 1,000,000	53,013 374,840	508,282 3,734,455	9.3383 10.5915

Company:

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	A	ug-08					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	 (E)	(F)	(G)		(H <u>)</u>	(1)	 (J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	•	Fuei Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
33 ST LUCIE 1 34	839	608,613	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Othr->	6,686,833	1,000,000	6,686,833	2,347,700	0.3857
34 35 ST LUCIE 2 36	714	517,926	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Othr->	5,690,445	1,000,000	5,690,445	2,433,800	0.4699
37 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 38 39	378	92,381 26,083	42.1	90.4	70.4	9,998	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 141,130 281,272	6,399,986 1,000,000	903,230 281,272	8,663,299 2,712,730	9.3778 10.4003
40 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 41 42	378	74,621 11,591	30.7	89.9	69.7	9,969	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	114,139 129,035	6,400,004 1,000,000	730,490 129,035	7,006,471 1,210,206	9.3894 10.4412
43 CUTLER 5 44	68	7,368	14.6	99.2	78.5	11,852	Gas	MCF ->	87,326	1,000,000	87,326	806,785	10.9501
45 CUTLER 6 46	137	16,231	15.9	97.7	69.3	11,966	Gas	MCF ->	194,214	1,000,000	194,214	1,809,509	11.1486
40 47 FORT MYERS 2 48	1,405	942,462	90.2	95.8	90.2	7,178	Gas	MCF ->	6,765,084	1,000,000	6,765,084	63,669,460	6.7557
49 FORT MYERS 3A_B	316	31,724	13.5	96.8	99.4	11,049	Gas	MCF ->	350,548	1,000,000	350,548	3,334,665	10.5115
50 51 SANFORD 3 52 53	138	519 15,838	15.9	95.6	55.6	11,478	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 865 182,213	6,402,312 1,000,000	5,538 182,213	54,601 1,786,907	10.5204 11.2825
54 SANFORD 4	936	634,478	91.1	95.9	91.1	7,157	Gas	MCF ->	4,540,971	1,000,000	4,540,971	42,768,645	6.7408
55 56 SANFORD 5	936	632,274	90.8	95.8	90.8	7,160	Gas	MCF ->	4,527,688	1,000,000	4,527,688	42,890,481	6.7835
57 58 PUTNAM 1	239	62,373	35.1	96.8	98.5	9,137	Gas	MCF ->	569,930	1,000,000	569,930	5,420,927	8.6911
59 60 PUTNAM 2	239	66,405	37.3	96.9	98.5	9,123	Gas	MCF ->	605,833	1,000,000	605,833	 5,762,637	8.6781
61 62 MANATEE 1 63 64	793	206,293 125,726	56.3	94.6	56.3	10,175	Heavy Gas	 Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	321,895 1,318,336	6,400,009 1,000,000	2,060,131 1,318,336	19,753,670 12,639,083	9.5755 10.0529

Company:

				Estimated I	For The Pe	eriod of :	/	Aug-08					
 (A)	(B)	(C)	 (D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	 (J)	·	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH))	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
65 MANATEE 2 66 67	793	150,828 137,736	48.9	94.9	48.9	10,258	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	236,118 1,448,983	6,399,991 1,000,000	1,511,153 1,448,983	14,489,787 13,885,675	9.6068 10.0813
68 MANATEE 3	1,084	729,408	90.4	96.0	90.4	7,043	Gas	MCF ->	5,137,571	1,000,000	5,137,571	46,281,701	6.3451
69 70 MARTIN 1 71 72	815	162,647 156,308	52.6	94.1	68.1	10,298	 Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	251,111 1,677,706	6,400,010 1,000,000	1,607,113 1,677,706	15,407,349 15,731,924	9.4729 10.0647
72 73 MARTIN 2 74 75	815	169,780 170,606	56.1	94.9	71.0	10,287	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	261,990 1,825,140	6,400,004 1,000,000	1,676,737 1,825,140	16,074,835 17,132,525	9.4680 10.0422
76 MARTIN 3	456	309,777	91.3	96.9	91.3	7,280	Gas	MCF ->	2,255,409	1,000,000	2,255,409	20,743,895	6.6964
77 78 MARTIN 4	456	306,114	90.2	95.8	90.2	2 7,287	Gas	MCF ->	2,230,849	1,000,000	2,230,849	20,647,840	6.7452
79 80 MARTIN 8	1,084	744,749	92.3	96.1	92.3	6,998	Gas	MCF ->	5,212,039	1,000,000	5,212,039	46,880,740	6.2948
81 82 FORT MYERS 1-12	552	60	0.0	98.4	10.8	13,404	 Light	Oil BBLS ->	130	5,830,769	758	 11,600	19.3333
83 84 LAUDERDALE 1-24	684		0.0	91.8		0							
85 86 EVERGLADES 1-12	342		0.0	88.4		0						n mered a sian diki di di di B	
87 88 ST JOHNS 10	12 7	92,529	97.9	97.3	97.9	9,692	 Coal	TONS ->	37,084	24,184,554	896,860	2,408,300	2.6028
89 90 ST JOHNS 20	127	91,895	97.3	96.5	97.3	9,773	Coal	TONS ->	37,137	24,184,991	898,158	2,411,800	2.6245
91 92 SCHERER 4	634	460,844	97.7	97.0	97.7	, <u>10,190</u>	 Coal	TONS ->	268,351	17,499,987	4,696,139	10,094,400	2.1904
93 94 TOTAL	21,286	10,657,071				8,786					93,630,659	618,774,087	5.8062

Company:

Florida Power & Light

				Estimated F	For The Pe	riod of :		iep-08					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1 2 3	378	50,450 14,694	23.9	93.2	67.1	10,036	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	77,267 159,325	6,399,977 1,000,000	494,507 159,325	4,705,852 1,526,664	9.3278 10.3900
4 TURKEY POINT 2 5	378	46,797 17,135	23.5	93.3	68.2	10,040	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	71,632 183,458	6,400,017 1,000,000	458,446 183,458	4,362,743 1,768,753	9.3227 10.3226
7 TURKEY POINT 3	693	486,491	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 11,330	Nucle	ar Othr->	5,512,394	1,000,000	5,512,394	2,324,000	0.4777
8 9 TURKEY POINT 4	693	486,491	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 11,330	Nucle	ar Othr->	5,512,394	1,000,000	5,512,394	2,949,700	0.6063
10 11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,080	695,707	89.5	96.0	89.5	6,961	Gas	MCF ->	4,842,830	1,000,000	4,842,830	43,843,061	6.3019
12 13 LAUDERDALE 4	432	265,912	85.5	98.1	85.5	5 7,989	Gas	MCF ->	2,124,400	1,000,000	2,124,400	20,313,733	7.6393
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	432	269,315	86.6	97.8	86.6	5 7,956	Gas	MCF ->	2,142,804	1,000,000	2,142,804	20,639,877	7.6638
16 17 PT EVERGLADES 1	205	7,993	5.4	95.2	67.2	2 10,292	Heavy	Oil BBLS ->	12,855	6,400,078	82,273	780,249	9.7617
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	205	7,722	5.2	95.4	66.1	10,367	Heavy	Oil BBLS ->	12,509	6,400,032	80,058	759,175	9.8313
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3 22	374	81,010 12,520	34.7	91.8	69.5	5 9,968	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 123,765 140,234	6,400,000 1,000,000	792,096 140,234	7,526,054 1,314,942	9.2903 10.5027
23 24 PT EVERGLADES 4 25	374	71,029 10,187	30.2	90.6	68.7	7 9,965	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	108,564 114,539	6,399,985 1,000,000	694,808 114,539	6,601,647 1,069,212	9.2943 10.4954
26 27 RIVIERA 3 28	273	10,136 4,344	7.4	93.0	94.7	7 10,247	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 15,424 49,672	6,400,091 1,000,000	98,715 49,672	938,103 491,165	.9.2552 11.3070
29 30 RIVIERA 4 31 32	284	2,570 14,545	8.4	87.1	91.3	3 10,505	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 3,912 154,765 	6,399,796 1,000,000	25,036 154,765	237,925 1,540,567	9.2578 10.5918

Schedule E4 Page: 27

				Estimated I	For The Pe	eriod of :	S	ep-08						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	. <u></u> .	(H)	-	 (I)	 (L)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH	-	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
33 ST LUCIE 1	839	588,980	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,987	Nuclea	ar Oth	- r ->	6,471,126	1,000,000	6,471,126	2,262,300	0.3841
94 95 ST LUCIE 2 96	714	501,219	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Oth	- r ->	5,506,882	1,000,000	5,506,882	2,348,100	0.4685
90 87 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 88 99	378	63,046 21,790	31.2	90.4	68.0	10,040	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		96,516 234,065	6,399,975 1,000,000	617,700 234,065	5,872,638 2,259,040	9.3148 10.3675
60 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 11 12	378	45,385 9,051	20.0	89.9	65.8	10,039	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		 69,675 100,579	6,399,957 1,000,000	 445,917 100,579	4,239,484 950,351	9.3412 10.4995
I3 CUTLER 5	68	2,740	5.6	99.2	79.0	11,775	Gas	MCF	~>	32,265	1,000,000	32,265	299,707	10.9378
14 15 CUTLER 6 16	137	4,002	4.1	97.7	69.5	11,923	Gas	MCF	->	47,717	1,000,000	47,717	447,940	11.1943
7 FORT MYERS 2	1,405	895,161	88.5	95.8	88.5	7,200	Gas	MCF	->	6,445,431	1,000,000	6,445,431	61,032,805	6.8181
18 19 FORT MYERS 3A_B	316	8,167	3.6	96.8	99.4	11,104	Gas	MCF	->	90,684	1,000,000	90,684	867,896	10.6274
0 1 SANFORD 3	138	4,455	4.5	82.9	58.7	11,422	Gas	MCF	>	50,888	1,000,000	50,888	502,166	11.2710
52 53 SANFORD 4	936	603,183	89.5	95.9	89.5	7,179	Gas	MCF	>	4,330,568	1,000,000	4,330,568	40,974,874	6.7931
55 SANFORD 5	936		81.8	84.6	81.8	7,282	Gas	MCF	->	4,013,882	1,000,000	4,013,882	38,321,185	6.9531
6 7 PUTNAM 1	239	46,603	27.1	96.8	98.5	9,151	Gas	MCF	->	426,501	1,000,000	426,501	4,081,745	8.7585
58 59 PUTNAM 2	239	51,570	30.0	96.9	98.5	9,147	Gas	MCF	->	471,726	1,000,000	471,726	4,514,728	8.7546
0 1 MANATEE 1 2 3	793	172,961 141,787	55.1	94.6	55.1	10,239	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		270,632 1,490,853	6,399,993 1,000,000	1,732,043 1,490,853	16,461,925 14,309,382	9.5177 10.0922

								-						
				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	5	Sep-08						
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)		(1)	 (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
64 MANATEE 2 65 66	793	138,273 135,291	47.9	94.9	47.9	10,297	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS		217,106 1,427,485	6,400,007 1,000,000	1,389,480 1,427,485	13,206,033 13,610,015	9.5507 10.0598
67 MANATEE 3 68	1,084	690,478	88.5	96.0	88.5	7,069	Gas	MCF	->	4,881,292	1,000,000	4,881,292	44,204,678	6.4020
69 MARTIN 1 70 71	815	125,127 175,025	51.2	94.1	65.4	10,354	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		193,663 1,868,387	6,400,004 1,000,000	1,239,444 1,868,387	11,778,133 17,720,856	9.4129 10.1248
72 MARTIN 2 73 74	815	116,008 207,178	55.1	94.9	68.6	10,369	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS		179,294 2,203,743	6,400,013 1,000,000	1,147,484 2,203,743	10,904,321 20,958,451	9.3996 10.1162
75 MARTIN 3	456	292,209	89.0	96.9	89.0	7,314	Gas	MCF	->	2,137,341	1,000,000	2,137,341	19,795,890	6.7746
76 77 MARTIN 4	456	289,036	88.0	95.8	88.0	7,320	Gas	MCF	->	2,115,829	1,000,000	2,115,829	19,766,684	6.8388
78 79 MARTIN 8	1,084	703,249	90.1	96.1	90.1	7,025	Gas	MCF	->	4,940,646	1,000,000	4,940,646	44,728,689	6.3603
80 81 FORT MYERS 1-12	552		0.0	97.3		0								464
82 83 LAUDERDALE 1-24	684		0.0	91.8		0								
84 85 EVERGLADES 1-12	342		0.0			0								8484-2000dudud
86 87 ST JOHNS 10	127	89,544	97.9	97.3	97.9	9,692	Coal	TONS	->	35,785	24,254,017	867,930	2,330,700	2.6029
88 89 ST JOHNS 20	127	88,930	97.3	96.5	97.3	9,773	Coal	TONS	->	35,837	24,253,816	869,184	2,334,000	2.6245
90 91 SCHERER 4	634	445,978	97.7	97.0	97.7	10,190	Coal	TONS	->	259,694	17,500,023	4,544,651	9,786,000	2.1943
92 93 TOTAL	21,286	9,762,612				8,789						85,804,474	554,564,138	5.6805

				Estimated F	For The Pe	eriod of :	0	Oct-08						
(A)	 (B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)		(I)	 (J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	-	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1	378	53,680 3,928	20.5	51.1	84.2	9,754	Heavy (Gas	Oil BBI MCF		 80,756 45,106	6,400,020 1,000,000	516,840 45,106	4,919,488 438,437	9.1645 11.1630
4 TURKEY POINT 2 5	378	46,354 23,451	24.8	93.3	81.0	9,923	Heavy Gas	Oil BB MCI		 69,952 245,015	6,399,989 1,000,000	447,692 245,015	4,261,289 2,406,600	9.1929 10.2622
7 TURKEY POINT 3	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 11,330	Nuclea	ar Oth	 nr ->	5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	2,391,800	0.4758
9 TURKEY POINT 4	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 11,330	Nuclea	ar Oth	 nr ->	 5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	3,038,300	0.6044
0 11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,080	772,054	96.1	96.0	96.1	6,895	Gas	MCI	 F ->	5,323,904	1,000,000	5,323,904	49,164,667	6.3680
2 3 LAUDERDALE 4	432	306,591	95.4	98.1	95.4	7,809	Gas	MCI	 F ->	2,394,452	1,000,000	2,394,452	23,061,134	7.5218
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	432	306,715	95.4	97.8	95.4	1 7,807	Gas	MCI	 F ->	2,394,678	1,000,000	2,394,678	23,229,870	7.5738
I6 I7 PT EVERGLADES 1	205	8,278	5.4	95.2	77.7	⁷ 10,659	Gas	MCI	 F ->	88,247	1,000,000	88,247	860,024	10.3889
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	205	4,287	2.8	95.4	80.4	10,684	Gas	MCI	 F ->	45,808	1,000,000	45,808	446,768	10.4210
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3 22	374	45,001 62,318	38.6	91.8	83.7	10,012	Heavy Gas	Oil BB MCI		67,783 640,696	6,400,041 1,000,000	433,814 640,696	4,122,702 6,382,418	9.1614 10.2418
23 24 PT EVERGLADES 4 25 26	374	28,973 73,325	36.8	90.6	84.9	9 10,059	Heavy Gas	oil BB MCI		43,580 750,177	6,400,000 1,000,000	278,912 750,177	2,650,607 7,495,684	9.1485 10.2226
26 27 RIVIERA 3 28 29	273	8,591 3,682	6.0	93.0	93.7	7 10,255	Heavy Gas	Oil BB MCI		13,079 42,166	6,399,801 1,000,000	83,703 42,166	795,615 410,171	9.2610 11.1402
29 30 RIVIERA 4 31	284	18,763	8.9	87.1	91.8	3 10,586	Gas	MCI	F ->	198,630	1,000,000	198,630	1,936,532	10.3208

				Estimated F	For The Pe	riod of :	C	Oct-08						
 (A)	(B)	(C)	 (D)	(E)	 (F)	(G)		(H)		(i)	(J)	(К)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MVVH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	-	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 ST LUCIE 1	839	373,019	59.8	59.8	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Oth	 NF>	4,098,349	1,000,000	4,098,349	1,427,000	0.3826
33 34 ST LUCIE 2	714	517,926	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Oth	 1r ->	5,690,445	1,000,000	5,690,445	2,418,400	0.4669
35 36 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 37 38	378	18,491 76,611	33.8	90.4	83.0	10,126	Heavy Gas	Oil BBI MCF		27,861 784,739	6,400,093 1,000,000	178,313 784,739	1,695,669 7,797,125	9.1702 10.1776
30 39 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 40 41	378	4,357 51,905	20.0	89.9	78.3	10,233	Heavy Gas	Oil BBI MCF		6,587 533,589	6,399,727 1,000,000	42,155 533,589	400,831 5,329,927	9.1997 10.2686
42 CUTLER 5 43	68		0.0	99.2		0								
43 44 CUTLER 6 45	137		0.0	97.7		0								
46 FORT MYERS 2	1,405	564,445	54.0	95.8	97.3	7,226	Gas	MCF	>	4,079,105	1,000,000	4,079,105	39,271,089	6.9575
47 48 FORT MYERS 3A_B	316	3,298	1.4	96.8	99.4	11,113	Gas	MCF		36,653	1,000,000	36,653	350,622	10.6314
49 50 SANFORD 3	138		0.0	0.0		0			-		<u></u>			
51 52 SANFORD 4	936	514,969	74.0	95.9	96.2	7,182	Gas	MCF		3,698,607	1,000,000	3,698,607	35,720,107	6.9364
53 54 SANFORD 5	936	416,245	59.8	95.8	96.7	7,233	Gas	MCF	 >	3,010,898	1,000,000	3,010,898	 29,117,064	6.9952
55 56 PUTNAM 1	239	36,749	20.7	96.8	97.9	9,156	Gas	MCF		336,479	1,000,000	336,479	3,220,080	8.7623
57 58 PUTNAM 2	239	41,240	23.2	96.9	98.0	9,152	Gas	MCF	- >	377,471	1,000,000	377,471	3,610,527	8.7549
59 60 MANATEE 1 61 62	793	219,001 171,105	66.1	94.6	66.1	10,054	Heavy Gas	Oil BBI MCF		336,666 1,767,608	6,399,999 1,000,000	2,154,662 1,767,608	20,483,055 17,227,862	9.3530 10.0686

Schedule E4 Page: 31

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :		Oct-08					
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	 (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH))	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
G3 MANATEE 2 64 65	793	185,124 151,055	57.0	94.9	57.0	10,077	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	285,098 1,563,285	6,400,003 1,000,000	1,824,628 1,563,285	17,345,687 15,167,192	9.3698 10.0408
6 MANATEE 3	1,084	728,448	90.3	96.0	95.7	7,000	Gas	MCF ->	5,099,562	1,000,000	5,099,562	47,273,323	6.4896
67 68 MARTIN 1 69 70	815	4,545 32,083	6.0	9.1	62.4	10,250	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 6,974 330,831	6,399,914 1,000,000	 44,633 330,831	424,203 3,185,691	9.3334 9.9295
71 MARTIN 2 72 73	815	103,055 336,049	72.4	94.9	74.6	10,199	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	157,907 3,468,209	6,399,989 1,000,000	1,010,603 3,468,209	9,605,616 33,513,047	9.3209 9.9727
74 MARTIN 3	456	122,236	36.0	96.9	97.5	7,382	Gas	MCF ->	902,445	1,000,000	902,445	8,522,770	6.9724
75 76 MARTIN 4	456	93,176	27.5	95.8	97.3	7,414	Gas	MCF ->	690,874	1,000,000	690,874	6,555,743	7.0358
77 78 MARTIN 8	1,084	780,437	96.8	96.1	96.8	6,955	Gas	MCF ->	5,428,334	1,000,000	5,428,334	50,129,067	6.4232
79 80 FORT MYERS 1-12	552		0.0	88.9		0						-	
31 32 LAUDERDALE 1-24	684		0.0	91.8		0							
33 34 EVERGLADES 1-12	342		0.0	88.4		0	_					,	
35 36 ST JOHNS 10	127	92,529	97.9	97.3	97.9	9,692	Coal	TONS ->		24,322,946	896,860	2,408,300	2.6028
37 38 ST JOHNS 20	127	91,895	97.3	96.5	97.3	9,773	 Coal	TONS ->	36,926	24,323,187	898,158	2,411,800	2.6245
39 90 SCHERER 4	634	460,844	97.7	97.0	97.7	10,190	 Coal	TONS ->	268,351	17,499,987	4,696,139	10,130,000	2.1981
91 92 TOTAL	13,554	5,204,791				8,241					42,891,787		6.9437

-

Company:

Florida Power & Light

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	M	lov-08					
 (A)	(B)	(C)	 (D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	 (J)	(K)	(L)	. (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1	380	4,902 5,801	3.9	40.4	38.1	10,948	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 7,851 66,938	6,399,949 1,000,000	50,246 66,938	461,790 658,479	9.4204 11.3503
4 TURKEY POINT 2	380	18,471 11,835	11.1	93.3	52.5	10,327	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	28,479 130,721	6,400,084 1,000,000	182,268 130,721	1,675,062 1,301,235	9.0686 10.9953
7 TURKEY POINT 3	717	503,332	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nucle	ear Othr->	5,703,297	1,000,000	5,703,297	2,386,300	0.4741
8 9 TURKEY POINT 4	717	503,332	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nucle	ear Othr->	5,703,297	1,000,000	5,703,297	3,033,000	0.6026
10 11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,103	712,323	89.7	96.0	89.7	6,905	Gas	MCF ->	4,919,276	1,000,000	4,919,276	47,237,858	6.6315
12 13 LAUDERDALE 4	443	262,688	82.4	98.1	82.4	7,993	Gas	MCF ->	2,099,778	1,000,000	2,099,778	20,951,584	7.9758
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	443	130,519	40.9	48.9	81.8	7,997	Gas	MCF ->	1,043,859	1,000,000	1,043,859	10,457,009	8.0119
16 17 PT EVERGLADES 1 18	207	1,544 0	1.0	95.2	93.2	10,247	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	2,394 500	6,400,585 1,000,000	15,323 500	140,565 4,863	9.1040
19 20 PT EVERGLADES 2 21	207	1,829 454	1.5	95.4	52.5	5 10,673	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	2,959 5,438	6,399,797 1,000,000	 18,937 5,438	173,764 53,235	9.5005 11.7180
22 23 PT EVERGLADES 3 24	376	31,332 38,960	26.0	91.8	51.9) 10,483	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	48,718 425,082	6,399,955 1,000,000	311,793 425,082	2,860,856 4,228,383	9.1308 10.8532
25 26 PT EVERGLADES 4 27	376	27,274 28,890	20.8	84.6	49.6	5 10,498	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	42,488 317,734	6,399,972 1,000,000	271,922 317,734	2,495,045 3,162,290	9.1481 10.9459
28 29 RIVIERA 3 30 31	275	5,886 625	3.3	93.0	94.7	10,092	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 8,922 8,617	6,399,910 1,000,000	57,100 8,617	524,015 85,978	8.9027 13.7543

Date: 9/04/2007 Company:

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	N	ov-08						
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)		(1)	 (J)		(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	-	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 RIVIERA 4 33 34	286	4,552 1,689	3.0	87.1	80.8	10,230	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL: MCF		6,926 19,521	6,399,653 1,000,000	44,324 19,521	406,798 195,474	8.9367 11.5727
35 ST LUCIE 1	853	19,960	3.3	3.2	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Othr	>	219,303	1,000,000	219,303	102,400	0.5130
36 37 ST LUCIE 2	726	509,586	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Othr	->	5,598,761	1,000,000	5,598,761	2,372,200	0.4655
38 39 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 40	380	22,049 24,239	16.9	90.4	50.5	10,487	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		 34,230 266,375	6,400,058 1,000,000	219,074 266,375	2,011,340 2,651,737	9.1221 10.9399
41 42 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 43 44	380	14,248 11,800	9.5	41.9	56.7	10,291	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		21,877 128,048	6,400,101 1,000,000	140,015 128,048	1,285,503 1,276,560	9.0223 10.8187
45 CUTLER 5	69		0.0	99.2		0								
46	138	453	0.5	97.7	82.1	12,741	Gas	MCF	->	5,777	1,000,000	5,777	56,549	12.4832
48 49 FORT MYERS 2	1,422	757,151	74.0	95.8	90.6	7,201	Gas	MCF	->	5,452,433	1,000,000	5,452,433	54,159,507	7.1531
50 51 FORT MYERS 3A_B	328	1,304	0.6	96.8	99.4	11,016	Gas	MCF	->	14,366	1,000,000	14,366	 144,101	11.0498
52 53 SANFORD 3	140		0.0	0.0		0			•				99 kar - First antaratara na karda antara di 20	
54 55 SANFORD 4		603,229	87.7	95.9	90.9	7,116	Gas	MCF	->	4,293,066	1,000,000	4,293,066	42,823,249	7.0990
56 57 SANFORD 5	955	518,286	75.4	95.8	92.6	7,154	Gas	MCF	->	3,708,141	1,000,000	3,708,141	37,057,168	7.1499
58 59 PUTNAM 1		55,185	31.4	79.0	74.9	9,596	Gas	MCF	->	529,604	1,000,000	529,604	5,261,360	9.5341
60	244	77,349	44.0	96.9	89.3	9,114	Gas	MCF	->	705,004	1,000,000	705,004	6,997,863	9.0472

•

Date: 9/04/2007 Company: Florida Power & Light

				Estimated F	or The Pe	riod of :	l 	Nov-08						
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH))	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
63 MANATEE 1 64 65	805	9,830 3,920	2.4	44.1	63.3	10,206	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS		 15,641 40,239	6,399,910 1,000,000	100,101 40,239	918,818 402,057	9.3471 10.2558
66 MANATEE 2 67 68	805	22,589 3,001	4.4	94.9	72.2	10,259	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS		36,230 30,673	6,399,972 1,000,000	231,871 30,673	2,128,245 306,501	9.4216 10.2130
59 MANATEE 3 70	1,104	705,773	88.8	96.0	88.8	6,998	Gas	MCF	->	4,939,374	1,000,000	4,939,374	47,451,166	6.7233
1 MARTIN 1	820		0.0	0.0		0								
72 73 MARTIN 2 74 75	820	22,074 28,507	8.6	94.9	55.1	10,499	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS		33,630 315,855	6,400,030 1,000,000	215,233 315,855	1,975,180 3,119,851	8.9480 10.9443
76 MARTIN 3	470	174,538	51.6	96.9	95.7	7,332	Gas	MCF	->	1,279,865	1,000,000	1,279,865	12,552,027	7.1916
78 MARTIN 4	470	189,121	55.9	95.8	95.4	7,320	Gas	MCF	->	1,384,458	1,000,000	1,384,458	13,594,369	7.1882
79 BO MARTIN 8	1,104	721,548	90.8	96.1	90.8	6,961	Gas	MCF	->	5,023,225	1,000,000	5,023,225	48,236,004	6.6851
31 32 FORT MYERS 1-12	627		0.0	87.2		0						anto ta da al de la Regenta en antona	*****	******
33 34 LAUDERDALE 1-24	766		0.0	91.8		0						************	a to with which and the local section of the local	
35 36 EVERGLADES 1-12	383		0.0	88.4		0								
87 88 ST JOHNS 10	130	91,659	97.9	97.3	97.9	9,626	Coal	TONS	;->	36,173	24,392,945	882,366	2,369,400	2.5850
39 90 ST JOHNS 20	130	91,032	97.3	96.5	97.3	9,707	 Coal	TONS	;->	36,228	24,392,624	883,696	2,373,000	2.6068
91 92 SCHERER 4	640	450,270	 97.7	97.0	97.7	10,095	 Coal	TONS	;->	259,747	17,500,013	4,545,576	9,822,500	2.1815

Date: 9/04/200 Company:)7 Florida Powe	er & Light								Schedule E4 Page:	35	
				Estimated I		eriod of :	Nov-08					
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	 (H)	 (ł)	(J)	(K)	 (L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
94 TOTAL	21,818	7,424,936				8,424 ======				62,548,465	403,942,238	5.4403 =======

t

.

Company:

				Estimated F	For The Pe	riod of :	D	ec-08						
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	-	(I)	 (J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MVVH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Г	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1 2 3	380	33,411 6,241	14.0	93.2	44.4	10,329	Heavy (Gas	Dil BBLS MCF		52,448 73,927	6,400,053 1,000,000	335,670 73,927	3,179,505 751,420	9.5163 12.0410
4 TURKEY POINT 2 5	380	25,939 8,673	12.2	93.3	39.9	10,526	Heavy (Gas	Dil BBLS MCF		41,199 100,676	6,399,961 1,000,000	263,672 100,676	 2,497,587 1,018,412	9.6287 11.7422
7 TURKEY POINT 3	717	520,110	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nuclea	ar Othr	->	5,893,410	1,000,000	5,893,410	2,455,800	0.4722
9 TURKEY POINT 4	717	520,110	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nuclea	ar Othr	->	5,893,410	1,000,000	5,893,410	3,124,100	0.6007
10 11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,103	711,870	86.8	96.0	86.7	6,935	Gas	MCF	->	4,937,473	1,000,000	4,937,473	49,281,865	6.9229
12 13 LAUDERDALE 4	443	250,695	76.1	98.1	76.1	8,136	Gas	MCF	->	2,039,722	1,000,000	2,039,722	20,991,659	8.3734
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	443	258,363	78.4	97.8	78.4	8,065	Gas	MCF	->	2,083,952	1,000,000	2,083,952	21,522,688	8.3304
16 17 PT EVERGLADES 1 18	207	2,005 450	1.6	95.2	47.4	10,748	Heavy (Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		3,273 5,441	6,399,328 1,000,000	 20,945 5,441	198,076 55,432	9.8791 12.3100
19 20 PT EVERGLADES 2 21 22	207	1,826 446	1.5	95.4	43.9	10,870	Heavy (Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		3,009 5,438	6,399,801 1,000,000	19,257 5,438	182,123 55,229	9.9739 12.3749
22 23 PT EVERGLADES 3 24 25	376	38,556 15,511	19.3	91.8	46.4	10,527	Heavy (Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		60,748 180,429	6,399,980 1,000,000	388,786 180,429	3,676,856 1,834,778	9.5364 11.8288
25 26 PT EVERGLADES 4 27 28	376	350 4,032	1.6	29.2	23.3	12,206	Heavy (Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		617 49,542	6,398,703 1,000,000	3,948 49,542	37,288 503,857	10.6537 12.4958
29 RIVIERA 3 30 31	275	10,013 2,104	5.9	93.0	58.0	10,305	Heavy (Gas	Oil BBLS MCF		15,697 24,411	6,399,885 1,000,000	100,459 24,411	950,214 248,785	

Company:

Florida Power & Light

				Estimated F	or The Pe	riod of :	D	ec-08						
(A)	 (B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	 (F)	(G)		(H)		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	-	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 RIVIERA 4 33 34	286	10,121 3,025	6.2	87.1	45.1	10,540	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		 16,123 35,385	6,399,864 1,000,000	103,185 35,385	975,970 360,825	9.6430 11.9269
35 ST LUCIE 1 36	853	618,763	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,987	Nuclea	ar Oth	- r ->	6,798,424	1,000,000	6,798,424	3,162,600	0.5111
37 ST LUCIE 2	726	526,572	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Oth	- r ->	5,785,382	1,000,000	5,785,382	2,443,200	0.4640
38 39 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 40 41	380	34,257 9,790	15.6	90.4	46.7	10,396	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		53,633 114,702	6,399,996 1,000,000	343,251 114,702	3,248,201 1,167,437	9.4819 11.9244
41 42 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 43 44	380	8,412 4,277	4.5	49.3	42.3	10,571	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF		 13,390 48,451	6,400,000 1,000,000	85,696 48,451	810,970 493,368	9.6406 11.5359
45 CUTLER 5	69		0.0	99.2		0			-					
46 47 CUTLER 6	138	208	0.2	97.7	37.7	15,037	Gas	MCF	->	3,133	1,000,000	3,133	31,952	15.3615
48 49 FORT MYERS 2	1,422	537,100	50.8	95.8	87.6	7,274	Gas	MCF	->	3,906,871	1,000,000	3,906,871	40,273,438	7.4983
50 51 FORT MYERS 3A_B	328	1,304	0.5	96.8	99.4	11,016	Gas	MCF	>	14,366	1,000,000	14,366	147,903	11.3414
52 53 SANFORD 3	140	1,364	1.3	86.4	39.0	12,153	Gas	MCF	- ->		1,000,000	16,580	171,806	12.5976
54 55 SANFORD 4	955	459,497	64.7	95.9	91.0	7,203	Gas	MCF	->	3,309,956	1,000,000	3,309,956	34,174,991	7.4375
56 57 SANFORD 5	955	382,207	53.8	95.8	91.0	7,245	Gas	MCF	- ->	2,769,304	1,000,000	2,769,304	28,352,175	7.4180
58 59 PUTNAM 1	244	57,949	31.9	96.8		9,251	Gas	MCF	- : ->	536,123	1,000,000	536,123	5,516,425	9.5194
60 61 PUTNAM 2	244	 55,751		96.9	82.2	9,228	Gas	MCF	- >	514,485	1,000,000	514,485	 5,296,999	9.5012

Company:

Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 38

				Estimated I	For The Pe	eriod of :]	Dec-08					
(A)	 (B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	 (J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb · (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH))	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
63 MANATEE 1 64 65	805	8,979 1,465	1.7	51.9	51.9	10,305	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 14,449 15,156	6,399,958 1,000,000	92,473 15,156	 874,874 154,646	9.7436 10.5560
66 MANATEE 2	805		0.0	94.9		0			44888			New York and a state of the second	
68 MANATEE 3	1,104	632,697	77.0	96.0	86.6	7,051	 Gas	MCF ->	4,461,285	1,000,000	4,461,285	44,551,963	7.0416
69 70 MARTIN 1 71 72	820	26,901 22,431	8.1	91.1	43.9	10,695	 Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 41,959 259,105	6,399,986 1,000,000	268,537 259,105	2,540,023 2,635,167	9.442 ⁷ 11.7480
72 73 MARTIN 2 74 75	820	37,065 24,230	10.1	94.9	48.5	10,459	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	57,180 275,187	6,400,017 1,000,000	365,953 275,187	3,461,403 2,801,367	9.3387 11.5616
76 MARTIN 3 77	470	133,568	38.2	96.9	87.7	7,423	Gas	MCF ->	991,601	1,000,000	991,601	10,110,658	7.5697
78 MARTIN 4	470	131,507	37.6	95.8	89.4	7,398	Gas	MCF ->	972,933	1,000,000	972,933	9,940,151	7.5586
79 80 MARTIN 8	1,104	703,032	85.6	96.1	88.7	6,993	Gas	MCF ->	4,916,911	1,000,000	4,916,911	49,080,315	6.981
81 82 FORT MYERS 1-12	627		0.0	98.4		0							
83 84 LAUDERDALE 1-24	766		0.0	91.8		0							
85 86 EVERGLADES 1-12	383		0.0	88.4		0							
87 88 ST JOHNS 10	130	94,715	97.9	97.3	97.9	9,626	Coal	TONS ->	37,272	24,462,841	911,779	2,448,400	2.5850
89 90 ST JOHNS 20	130	94,067	97.3	96.5	97.3	9,707	 Coal	TONS ->	37,328	24,462,950	913,153	2,452,100	2.6068
91 92 SCHERER 4	640	465,279	97.7	97.0	 97.7	10,095	 Coal	TONS ->	 268,406	17,499,978	4,697,099	 10,167,800	2.1853

Date: 9/04/2 Company:	2007 Florida Pow	er & Light								Schedule E4 Page:	39	
				Estimated F	For The Pe	eriod of :	Dec-08					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)		(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	(J)	 (K)	 (L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
94 TOTAL	21,818	 7,497,239 				8,796 ======				65,947,032	 380,412,801 	5.0740

Company:

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	Ja	an-08	Thru	Dec-08			
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	 (J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	٦	Fuel Гуре	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1	379	611,631 114,835	21.8	85.3	65.8	10,028	Heavy (Gas	Dil BBLS -> MCF ->	934,500 1,304,379	6,400,006 1,000,000	5,980,806 1,304,379	55,737,509 12,492,767	9.1129 10.8789
4 TURKEY POINT 2 5	379	477,151 217,028	20.9	93.3	64.1	10,122	Heavy (Gas	Dil BBLS -> MCF ->	 730,468 2,351,808	6,400,009 1,000,000	4,675,002 2,351,808	43,669,073 22,829,749	9.1520 10.5192
7 TURKEY POINT 3	703	6,020,517	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nuclea	ar Othr->	68,218,468	1,000,000	68,218,468	29,029,900	0.4822
8 9 TURKEY POINT 4 10	703	5,451,821	88.3	88.2	97.6	11,331	Nuclea	ar Othr->	61,774,597	1,000,000	61,774,597	29,628,000	0.5435
11 12 TURKEY POINT 5 13	1,090	8,286,836	86.6	94.1	88.3	6,954	Gas	MCF ->	 57,625,129	1,000,000	57,625,129	538,889,404	6.5030
14 LAUDERDALE 4	437	3,046,792	79.4	95.4	81.7	8,050	Gas	MCF ->	24,527,910	1,000,000	24,527,910	238,590,774	7.8309
15 16 LAUDERDALE 5	437	3,041,275	79.3	93.8	82.7	8,021	Gas	MCF ->	24,392,869	1,000,000	24,392,869	238,746,227	7.8502
17 18 PT EVERGLADES 1 19 20	206	77,828 12,054 0	5.0	78.8	69.4	10,343	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	124,865 130,547 0	6,400,008 1,000,000	799,137 130,547 0	7,622,687 1,280,950 0	9.7943 10.6266 0.0000
21 22 PT EVERGLADES 2 23 24	206	86,209 8,566	5.2	95.4	66.7	, 10,406 10,406	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	139,482 93,563	6,399,994 1,000,000	892,684 93,563	8,489,109 921,325	9.8471 10.7555
25 26 PT EVERGLADES 3 27	375	638,429 395,503	31.4	91.8	65.6	5 10,162	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	977,318 4,251,851	6,399,993 1,000,000	6,254,828 4,251,851	58,995,715 41,657,053	9.2408 10.5327
28 29 PT EVERGLADES 4 30 31	375	536,798 375,014	27.7	84.9	66.5	5 10,146	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	820,297 4,001,314	6,399,987 1,000,000	5,249,890 4,001,314	49,528,523 39,196,328	9.2267 10.4520

Date: 9/04/2007 Company:

Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 41

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	J.	an-08	Thru	Dec-08			
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	 (F)	(G)		(H)	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	-	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 RIVIERA 3 33 34	274	186,233 125,392	13.0	93.0	59.8	10,476	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	289,504 1,411,781	6,399,988 1,000,000	1,852,822 1,411,781	17,110,076 13,677,910	9.1875 10.9081
35 RIVIERA 4 36 37	285	41,925 233,463	11.0	71.4	50.4	10,824	Heavy Gas	 Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	 66,850 2,552,963	6,399,970 1,000,000	427,838 2,552,963	4,003,252 25,101,329	9.5486 10.7518
38 39 ST LUCIE 1	845	6,420,890	86.5	86.6	97.4	10,987	Nuclea	ar Othr->	70,546,615	1,000,000	70,546,615	25,807,100	0.4019
40 41 ST LUCIE 2	719	6,157,262	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,987	Nuclea	ar Othr->	67,649,473	1,000,000	67,649,473	29,069,900	0.4721
42 43 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 44 45	379	490,379 308,022 0	24.0	74.1	66.3	10,132	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	751,595 3,279,101 0	6,400,008 1,000,000	4,810,214 3,279,101 0	45,597,715 31,977,176 0	9.2985 10.3815 0.0000
46 47 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 48	379	337,698 256,592	17.9	82.5	64.0	10,195	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	518,266 2,742,003	6,399,993 1,000,000	3,316,899 2,742,003	31,426,620 26,876,923	9.3061 10.4746
49 50 CUTLER 5 51	68	17,646 0	2.9	99.2	79.6	11,861	Gas	MCF ->	 209,290 0	1,000,000	209,290 0	1,932,845 0	10.9536 0.0000
52 53 CUTLER 6 54	137	35,270 0	2.9	97.7	70.5	12,005	Gas	MCF ->	 423,397 0	1,000,000	423,397 0	3,948,916 0	11.1963 0.0000
55 56 FORT MYERS 2	1,412	9,052,644	73.0	91.3	87.9	7,225	Gas	MCF ->	65,409,013	1,000,000	65,409,013	622,454,299	6.8759
57 58 FORT MYERS 3A_B 59	321	100,340 0	7.1	96.8	100.0	11,064	Gas	MCF ->	 1,110,125 0	1,000,000	1,110,125 0	 10,619,985 0	10.5840 0.0000
60 61 SANFORD 3 62 63	139	41,140 1,278 0	3.5	77.9	55.2	11,501		MCF -> Oil BBLS ->	474,249 2,126 0	1,000,000 6,402,164	474,249 13,611 0	4,655,827 134,304 0	11.3172 10.5089 0.0000

64 ---

Company:

Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 42

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	•	Jan-08	Thru		Dec-08			
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	 (F)	(G)		(H)		(I)	 (J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH))	Fuel Type	B	Fuel urned Jnits)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
65 SANFORD 4	944	6,636,148	80.0	94.0	88.9	7,194	Gas	MCF ->	47	,739,304	1,000,000	47,739,304	456,172,686	6.8741
66 67 SANFORD 5	944	5,870,537	70.8	89.8	88.4	7,219	Gas	MCF ->	42	,381,379	1,000,000	42,381,379	405,838,722	6.9131
68 69 PUTNAM 1	241	620,256	29.3	89.8	83.3	9,399	Gas	MCF ->	· 5	,829,935	1,000,000	5,829,935	56,768,351	9.1524
70 71 PUTNAM 2	241	766,267	36.2	96.9	91.1	9,170	 Gas	MCF ->	· 7	,026,297	1,000,000	7,026,297	68,306,460	8.9142
72 73 MANATEE 1 74	798	1,170,974 908,431	29.7	86.8	56.2	10,215	 Heavy Gas	· Oil BBLS -: MCF ->		,832,859 ,510,991	6,400,001 1,000,000	11,730,299 9,510,991	 111,178,740 91,312,561	9.4946 10.0517
75 76 MANATEE 2 77 78	798	956,790 864,879	26.0	94.9	49.6	10,269	 Heavy Gas	· Oil BBLS -: MCF ->		,502,989 ,087,161	6,399,996 1,000,000	9,619,124 9,087,161	90,957,791 86,836,861	9.5066 10.0403
79 80 MANATEE 3	1,092	7,888,079	82.2	92.4	87.4	7,061	Gas	MCF ->	· 55	,698,693	1,000,000	55,698,693	523,778,036	6.6401
81 82 MARTIN 1 83 84	817	743,883 1,021,306	24.6	79.0	63.9	10,399	Heavy Gas	- Oil BBLS - MCF ->		,150,441 ,993,846	6,400,014 1,000,000	7,362,838 10,993,846	69,923,403 103,860,609	9.3998 10.1694
85 86 MARTIN 2 87	817	856,607 1,641,560	34.8	94.9	67.4	10,379	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS - MCF ->		,321,114 ,473,443	6,399,997 1,000,000	8,455,125 17,473,443	80,154,210 166,711,384	9.3572 10.1557
88 89 MARTIN 3	462	2,579,522	63.6	95.9	88.6	7,349	Gas	MCF ->	18	,956,843	1,000,000	18,956,843	177,519,122	6.8819
90 91 MARTIN 4 92	462	2,241,581	55.3	83.0	88.2	7,349	Gas	MCF ->	• 16	,472,643	1,000,000	16,472,643	154,934,455	6.9118
93 94 MARTIN 8	1,092	8,428,903	87.8	93.3	88.2	7,027	Gas	MCF ->	- 59	,230,120	1,000,000	59,230,120	559,119,030	6.6334

Date: 9/04/2007 Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 43

				Estimated I	For The Pe	eriod of :		Jan-08	Thru	Dec-08			
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)		Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH))	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
96 FORT MYERS 1-12 97 98	583	 388 0	0.0	96.6	22.2	12,381	Light	Oil BBLS ->	824 0	5,830,097	 4,804 0	 76,900 0	19.8196 0.0000
99 LAUDERDALE 1-24 100 101	718	115 0	0.0	91.8	16.1	16,775	Gas	MCF ->	 1,936 0	1,000,000	 1,936 0	 18,749 0	16.2470 0.0000
102 EVERGLADES 1-12 103	359	0	0.0	88.4	0.0) 0	_		0		0	0	0.0000
105 104 ST JOHNS 10 105	128	1,097,704	97.4	97.3	97.4	9,668	Coal	TONS ->	440,641	24,083,835	10,612,325	28,497,300	2.5961
106 ST JOHNS 20 107 108	128	912,325	81.0	80.9	96.5	5 9,751	Coal	TONS ->	368,770	24,124,197	8,896,280	23,889,100	2.6185
109 SCHERER 4 110	636	4,893,269	87.5	87.0	97.7	7 10,150	Coal	TONS ->	2,838,163	17,499,997	49,667,845	106,543,600	2.1774
111 TOTAL	21,508	103,303,984 				8,765 ======					905,505,407 ======	5,674,097,340 ======	5.4926 ======

57

Schedule: E5 Page : 1 of 2

System Generated Fuel Cost Inventory Analysis Estimated For the Period of : January 2008 thru June 2008

		January 2008	February 2008	March 2008	April 2008 	May 2008	June 2008
Heavy Oil							· .
Purchases:							
Units	(BBLS)	375,564	136,460	398,414	899,478	1,351,040	1,897,033
Unit Cost	(\$/BBLS)	62.0880	63.0734	62.6534	61.3445	61.4319	61.8292
Amount	(\$)	23,318,000	8,607,000	24,962,000	55,178,000	82,997,000	117,292,000
Burned:		365,564	96,460	128,414	654,478	924,040	1,497,033
Units Unit Cost	(BBLS) (\$/BBLS)	58.4061	52.0230	45.1094	59.4379	59.5190	60.5502
Amount	(\$)	21,351,163	5,018,136	5,792,683	38,900,817	54,997,920	90,645,692
	(•)			,			
Ending Inve	ntory:						
Units	(BBLS)	3,120,000	3,160,000	3,430,000	3,675,000	4,102,000	4,501,999
Unit Cost	(\$/BBLS)	63.0022	63.0187	62.9921	62.8879	62.7506	62.6679
Amount	(\$)	196,567,000	199,139,000	216,063,000	231,113,000	257,403,000	282,131,000
icht Oil							
Light Oil							
Purchases:							
Units	(BBLS)	701	0	0	0	0	0
Unit Cost	(\$/BBLS)	94.1512	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Amount	(\$)	66,000	0	0	0	0	0
Burned:	(BBI C)	701	0	0	D	0	0
Units Unit Cost	(BBLS) (\$/BBLS)	701 94.1512	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Unit Cost Amount	(\$/BBLS) (\$)	94.1512 66,000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
anount	(*/	00,000	J	5	0	J	5
Ending inver	ntory:						
Units	(BBLS)	756,762	756,762	756,762	756,762	756,762	756,762
Unit Cost	(\$/BBLS)	92.3646	92.3646	92.3646	92.3646	92.3646	92.3646
Amount	(\$)	69,898,000	69,898,000	69,898,000	69,898,000	69,898,000	69,898,000
	•						
Coal - SJRP	r.						
^o urchases:							
Units	(Tons)	76,954	71,790	38,234	38,717	70,411	72,233
Unit Cost	(\$/Tons)	63.6874	63.8529	64,0268	64,2095	64.3933	64.5827
Amount	(\$)	4,901,000	4,584,000	2,448,000	2,486,000	4,534,000	4,665,000
Burned:	(Tere)	70.05	74 700	aa oo -	00 747	70 444	70 000
Units Unit Cost	(Tons) (\$/Tons)	76,954 63.6874	71,790 63.8529	38,234 64,0268	38,717 64.2095	70,411 64.3933	72,233 64.5827
Amount	(\$/Tons) (\$)	4,901,000	4,584,000	2,448,000	2,486,000	4,534,000	4,665,000
:	(•)	-100 1,000		2,	2,	.,,	.,
Ending Inver	itory:						
Units	(Tons)	57,502	57,502	57,500	57,500	57,499	57,499
Unit Cost	(\$/Tons)	56.4154	56.4154	56.4174	56.4174	56.4184	56,4184
Amount	(\$)	3,244,000	3,244,000	3,244,000	3,244,000	3,244,000	3,244,000
Coal - SCHE	DED						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
ourchases:							· ·
Units	(MBTU)	4,697,105	4,394,058	2,575,825	908,933	4,671,538	4,544,645
Unit Cost	(\$/MBTU)	2.1228	2.1267	2.1306	2.1344	2.1381	2.1419
Amount	(\$)	9,971,000	9,345,000	5,488,000	1,940,000	9,988,000	9,734,000
Burned:							
Jnits	(MBTU)	4,697,105	4,394,058	2,575,825	908,933	4,671,538	4,544,645
Unit Cost	(\$/MBTU)	2.1228	2.1267	2,1306	2.1344	2.1381	2.1419
Amount	(\$)	9,971,000	9,345,000	5,488,000	1,940,000	9,988,000	9,734,000
	• • •			,			
Inding Inven							
Jnits	(MBTU)	4,629,415	4,629,415	4,629,415	4,629,433	4,629,415	4,629,415
Unit Cost	(\$/MBTU)	2.1191	2.1191	2.1191	2,1191	2.1191	2.1191
Amount	(\$)	9,810,000	9,810,000	9,810,000	9,810,000	9,810,000	9,810,000
ias							
umed:							
Jnits	(MCF)	32,281,426	31,287,649	36,677,166	43,368,397	45,345,802	46,202,269
Jnit Cost	(\$/MCF)	9.7991	9.7844	9.6599	9.3536	9.2896	9.3640
Amount	(\$)	316,327,548	306,131,058	354,299,273	405,650,278	421,244,388	432,639,678
turine-							
luciear							
lumed:		24,370,626	22,798,320	23,990,399	17,490,402	23,218,316	23,002,796
Jnits	(MBTU)						
	(MBTU) (\$/MBTU) (\$)	0.3898 9,499,000	0.3884 8,854,000	0.3878 9,303,000	0.4037 7,061,000	0.4331 10,057,000	0.4342 9,987,000

58

System Generated Fuel Cost Inventory Analysis Estimated For the Period of : July 2008 thru December 2008

		July 2008	August 2008	September 2008	October 2008	November 2008	December 2008	Total
Heavy Oil								
Purchases: Units Unit Cost Arnount	(BBLS) (\$/BBLS) (\$)	2,213,236 62,6422 138,642,000	2,068,190 62.6422 129,556,000	1,152,811 62.6417 72,214,000	683,830 62.4775 42,724,000	84,760 62.6711 5,312,000	229,617 63.0267 14,472,000	11,490,4 62.24 715,274,0
Burned: Units Unit Cost Amount	(BBLS) (\$/BBLS) (\$)	2,214,497 61.4566 136,095,556	2,069,055 61.3732 126,984,556	1,452,811 60.8321 88,377,556	1,096,238 60.8495 66,705,536	290,346 58.7502 17,057,889	373,723 60.5601 22,632,718	11,162,6 60.43 674,560,2
Ending Invent Units Unit Cost Amount Light Oil	tory: (BBLS) (\$/BBLS) (\$)	4,500,739 62.6673 282,049,000	4,499,871 62.6671 281,994,000	4,199,871 62.6695 263,204,000	3,787,460 62.6929 237,447,000	3,581,880 62.6961 224,570,000	3,437,774 62.6836 215,492,000	3,437,7 62.68 215,492,0
Purchases: Units Unit Cost Amount	(BBLS) (\$/BBLS) (\$)	 0,0000 0	137 87.5912 12,000	0 0.0000 0	0 0.0000 0	0 0.0000 0	0 0.0000 0	8 93.07 78,0
Burned: Units Unit Cost Amount	(BBLS) (\$/BBLS) (\$)	0 0,0000 0	137 87.5912 12,000	0 0.0000 0	0 0.0000 0	0 0.0000 0	0 0000.0 0	8 93.07 78,0
Ending Invent Units Unit Cost Amount	ory: (BBLS) (\$/BBLS) (\$)	756,762 92.3646 69,898,000	756,762 92.3646 59,898,000	756,762 92,3646 69,898,000	756,762 92.3646 69,898,000	756,762 92.3646 69,898,000	756,762 92.3646 69,898,000	756,7 92.36 69,898,0
Coal - SJRPP								
Purchases: Units Unit Cost Amount	(Tons) (\$/Tons) (\$)	74,430 64.7588 4,820,000	74,219 64.9429 4,820,000	71,621 65.1345 4,665,000	73,798 65.3134 4,820,000	72,403 65.5083 4,743,000	74,601 65.6962 4,901,000	809,4 64.72 52,387,0
Burned: Units Unit Cost Amount	(Tons) (\$/Tons) (\$)	74,430 64.7588 4,820,000	74,219 64.9429 4,820,000	71,621 65.1345 4,665,000	73,798 65.3134 4,820,000	72,403 65,5083 4,743,000	74,601 65.6962 4,901,000	809,4 64.72 52,387,0
Ending Invento Units Unit Cost Amount	ory: (Tons) (\$/Tons) (\$)	57,499 58,4184 3,244,000	57,499 58,4184 3,244,000	57,499 56,4184 3,244,000	57,499 56.4184 3,244,000	57,502 56,4154 3,244,000	57,502 56.4154 3,244,000	57,5 56,41 3,244,0
Coal - SCHER	ER							
Purchases: Units Unit Cost Amount	(MBTU) (\$/MBTU) (\$)	4,696,143 2.1458 10,077,000	4,696,143 2.1494 10,094,000	4,544,645 2.1533 9,786,000	4,696,143 2.1571 10,130,000	4,545,573 2.1610 9,823,000	4,697,105 2.1647 10,168,000	49,667,8 2.14 106,544,0
Burned: Units Unit Cost Amount	(MBTU) (\$/MBTU) (\$)	4,696,143 2.1458 10,077,000	4,696,143 2.1494 10,094,000	4,544,645 2.1533 9,766,000	4,696,143 2.1571 10,130,000	4,545,573 2.1610 9,823,000	4,697,105 2.1647 10,168,000	49,667,8 2.14 106,544,0
Ending Invento Units Unit Cost Amount Gas	ory: (MBTU) (\$/MBTU) (\$)	4,629,415 2,1191 9,810,000	4,629,415 2.1191 9,810,000	4,629,415 2.1191 9,810,000	4,629,415 2.1191 9,810,000	4,629,415 2.1191 9,810,000	4,629,415 2.1191 9,810,000	4,629,4 2.11 9,810,0
Bumed: Units Unit Cost Amount Nuclear	(MCF) (\$/MCF) (\$)	50,096,214 9.2730 464,541,448	50,135,695 9.3086 466,694,259	47,223,909 9.3569 441,870,278	44,277,405 9.5268 421,821,948	37,153,788 9.8085 364,423,703	32,662,468 10.1501 331,525,780	496,712,1 9.51 4,727,169,6
Burned: Units Unit Cost Amount	(MBTU) (\$/MBTU) (\$)	 23,769,566 0.4327 10,286,000	23,769,566 0.4313 10,251,000	23,002,796 0.4297 9,884,000	21,181,082 0.4379 9,275,000	17,224,658 0.4582 7,893,000	24,370,626 0.4590 11,186,000	268,189,1 0.42 113,536,0

Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule: E6 Page : 1 of 2

.

POWER SOLD

Estimated for the Period of : January 2008 thru December 2008

(1)	(2)	(3) Type	(4) Total	(5) MWH	(6) MWH From	(7A) Fuel	(7B) Total	(8) Total \$ For	(9) Total	(10) \$ Gain
Month	Sold To	& Schedule	MWH Sold	Wheeled From Other Systems	Own Generation	Cost (Cents / KWH)	Cost Cents / KWH	Fuel Adjustment	Cost \$ (6)*(7B)	From Off System Sales
January 2008	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	280,000 6,864		280,000 6,864	5.306 2.743	6.675 2.743		18,688,652 188,300	 3,285,417 0
Total			286,864	0	286,864	5.244	6.580	15,044,550	18,876,952	3,285,417
February 2008	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	275,000 6,421		275,000 6,421	5.489 2.738	6.796 2.738		18,687,719 175,800	 3,048,460 0
Total			281,421	0	281,421	5.426	6.703	15,270,100	18,863,519	3,048,460
March 2008	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	210,000 6,864		210,000 6,864	6.313 2.724	7.385 2.724		15,508,164 187,000	 1,846,369 0
Total			216,864	0	216,864	6.200	7.237	13,444,750	15,695,164	1,846,369
April 2008	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	150,000 6,533		150,000 6,533		8.516 2.719	11,207,150 177,600	12,773,439 177,600	 1,268,974 0
Total			156,533	0	156,533	7.273	8.274	11,384,750	12,951,039	1,268,974
May 2008	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	90,000 6,755		90,000 6,755		8.348 2.711	 6,430,200 183,100	7,513,198 183,100	 891,124 0
Total			96,755	0	96,755	6.835	7.954	6,613,300	7,696,298	891,124
June 2008	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	100,000 6,533		100,000 6,533		8.103 2.700	7,215,450 176,400	8,102,593 176,400	
Total			106,533	0	106,533	6.939	7.771	7,391,850	8,278,993	653,355

Company: Florida Power & Light

POWER SOLD

		Estimated for	 the Period o	f: January 2008	- thru Decembe	er 2008				
(1) Month	(2) Sold To	(3) Type & Schedule	(4) Total MWH Sold	(5) MWH Wheeled From Other Systems	(6) MWH From Own Generation	(7A) Fuel Cost (Cents / KWH)	(7B) Total Cost Cents / KWH	(8) Total \$ For Fuel Adjustment (6) * (7A)	(9) Total Cost \$ (6)*(7B)	(10) \$ Gain From Off System Sales
July 2008	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	110,000 6,755		110,000 6,755		9.041 2.691	8,785,100 181,800	9,945,263 181,800	923,500 0
Total			116,755	0	116,755	7.680	8.674	8,966,900	10,127,063	923,500
August 2008	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	110,000 6,755		110,000 6,755		9.409 2.685	8,700,000 181,400	10,349,468 181,400	 1,389,673 0
Total			116,755	0	116,755	7.607	9.020	8,881,400	10,530,868	1,389,673
September 2008	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	50,000 6,533		50,000 6,533		9.068 2.674	3,906,750 174,700	4,533,921 174,700	513,287 0
Total			56,533	0	56,533	7.220	8.329	4,081,450	4,708,621	513,287
October 2008	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	50,000 0		50,000 0		8.392 0.100	3,646,250 0	4,196,045 0	 445,416 0
Total			50,000	0	50,000	7.292	8.392	3,646,250	4,196,045	445,416
November 2008	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	135,000 0		 135,000 0		7.603 0.100	8,802,450 0	10,264,164 0	 1,194,714 0
Total			135,000	0	135,000	6.520	7.603	8,802,450	10,264,164	1,194,714
December 2008	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	280,000 6,864		280,000 6,864		7.179 2.649	15,900,000 181,800	20,100,456 181,800	 3,640,386 0
Total			286,864	0	286,864	5.606	7.070	16,081,800	20,282,256	3,640,386
Period	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	1,840,000 66,877		1,840,000 66,877		7.645 2.703	117,801,650 1,807,900	140,663,083 1,807,900	 19,100,677 0
Total			1,906,877	0	1,906,877	6.273	7.471	119,609,550	142,470,983	19,100,677

Date: 9/04/2007 Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule: E7 Page : 1 of 2

Purchased Power

(Exclusive of Economy Energy Purchases)

Estimated for the Period of : January 2008 thru December 2008

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	 (8A)	(8B)	(9)
Month	Purchase From	Type & Schedule	Total Mwh Purchased	Mwh For Other Utilities	Mwh For Interruptible	Mwh For Firm	Fuel Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Totai Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total \$ For Fuel Adj (7) x (8A)
2008 January	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rei. SJRPP PPAs		687,934 39,221 283,171 31,648			687,934 39,221 283,171 31,648	2.391 0.480 2.596 8.467		16,448,000 188,300 7,350,000 2,679,486
Total			1,041,974			1,041,974	2.559		26,665,786
2008 February	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rel. SJRPP PPAs		647,275 36,691 264,901 33,761			647,275 36,691 264,901 33,761	2.391 0.479 2.595 7.765		15,476,000 175,800 6,875,000 2,621,600
Total			982,628			982,628	2.559	·	25,148,400
2008 March	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rel. SJRPP PPAs		688,217 39,221 142,072 47,266			688,217 39,221 142,072 47,266	2.391 0.477 2.585 7.630		16,455,000 187,000 3,672,000 3,606,400
Total			916,776			916,776	2.609		23,920,400
2008 April	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rel. SJRPP PPAs		669,595 37,333 143,210 59,149			669,595 37,333 143,210 59,149	2.391 0.476 2.603 7.571		16,010,000 177,600 3,728,000 4,477,892
Totai			909,287			909,287	2.683		24,393,492
2008 May	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rel. SJRPP PPAs		667,599 38,577 259,224 34,941			667,599 38,577 259,224 34,941	2.391 0.475 2.624 7.371		15,962,000 183,100 6,802,000 2,575,449
Total			1,000,341			1,000,341	2.551		25,522,549
2008 June	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rei. SJRPP PPAs		668,425 37,333 267,712 50,396			668,425 37,333 267,712 50,396	2.391 0.473 2.613 7.454		15,982,000 176,400 6,996,000 3,756,600
Total			1,023,866			1,023,866	2.628		26,911,000
Period Total	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rel. SJRPP PPAs		4,029,045 228,376 1,360,290 257,161			4,029,045 228,376 1,360,290 257,161	2.391 0.476 2.604 7.667		96,333,000 1,088,200 35,423,000 19,717,427
Total			5,874,872 			5,874,872	2.597		152,561,627

Date: 9/04/2007 Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule: E7 Page : 2 of 2

Purchased Power

(Exclusive of Economy Energy Purchases)

Estimated for the Period of : January 2008 thru December 2008

(1)	(2)	(3) (4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8A)	(88)	(9)
Month	Purchase From	Type Total & Mwh Schedule Purchased	Mwh For Other Utllities	Mwh For Interruptible	Mwh For Firm	Fuel Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total \$ For Fuel Adj (7) x (8A)
2008	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)	691,915			691,915	2.391		16,543,000
July	St. Lucie Rel.	38,577			38,577	0.471		181,800
•	SJRPP	276,637			276,637	2.613		7,229,000
	PPAs	68,091			68,091	8.287		5,643,001
Total		1,075,220			1,075,220	2.753		29,596,801
2008	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)	691,915			691,915	2.391		16,543,000
August	St. Lucie Rel.	38,577			38,577	0.470		181,400
	SJRPP	276,637			276,637	2.613		7,229,000
	PPAs	64,824			64,824	8.397		5,443,434
Total		1,071,953			1,071,953	2.742		29,396,834
2008	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)	669,329			669,329	2.391		16,003,000
September	St. Lucie Rel.	37,333			37,333	0.468		174,700
	SJRPP	267,712			267,712	2.613		6,996,000
	PPAs	42,942			42,942	8.042		3,453,217
Total		1,017,316			1,017,316	2.617		26,626,917
2008	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)	691,915			691,915	2.391		16,543,000
October	St. Lucie Rel.	38,577			38,577	0.467		180,100
	SJRPP	276,637			276,637	2.613		7,229,000
	PPAs	26,593			26,593	7.390		1,965,200
Total		1,033,722			1,033,722	2.507		25,917,300
2008	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)	669,584			669,584	2.391		16,009,000
November	St. Lucie Rel.	37,956			37,956	0.466		176,800
	SJRPP	274,037			274,037	2,596		7,113,000
	PPAs	44,202			44,202	7.880		3,482,900
Total		1,025,779			1,025,779	2.611		26,781,700
2008	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)	690,736			690,736	2.391		16,515,000
December	St. Lucie Rel.	39,221			39,221	0.464		181,800
	SJRPP	283,171			283,171	2.596		7,350,000
	PPAs	41,710			41,710	8.729		3,640,671
Total		1,054,838			1,054,838	2.625		27,687,471
	Sou. Co. (UPS + R)	8,134,439			8,134,439	2.391		194,489,000
Period	St. Lucie Rel.	458,617			458,617	0.472		2,164,800
Total	SJRPP	3,015,121			3,015,121	2.606		78,569,000
	PPAs	545,523			545,523	7.946		43,345,850
Total		12,153,700			12,153,700	2.621		318,568,650

.

Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule: E8 Page : 1 of 2

				Energy Payn	nent to Qual	fying Facilities				
				Estimated fo	r the Period	of: January 200	08 thru Decen	nber 2008		
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8A)	(8B)	(9)
Month	P	urchase From	Type & Schedule	Total Mwh Purchased	Mwh For Other Utilities	Mwh For Interruptible	Mwh For Firm	Fuei Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total \$ For Fuel Adj (7) x (8A)
2008 January	Qual	. Facilities		535,830			535,830	3.051	3.051	16,349,455
Total				535,830			535,830	3.051	3.051	16,349,455
2008 February	Qual	. Facilities		520,438			520,438	3.089	3.089	16,076,340
Total				520,438			520,438	3.089	3.089	16,076,340
2008 March	Qual.	Facilities		537,100			537,100	3.133	3.133	16,824,686
Total				537,100			537,100	3.133	3.133	16,824,686
2008 April	Qual.	Facilities		259,921			259,921	3.632	3.632	9,441,000
Total				259,921			259,921	3.632	3.632	9,441,000
2008 May	Qual.	Facilities		499,307			499,307	3.143	3,143	15,695,539
Total				499,307			499,307	3.143	3.143	15,695,539
2008 June	Qual.	Facilities		524,723			524,723	3.174	3.174	16,654,538
Total		Ma 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 8 4		524,723			524,723	3.174	3.174	16,654,538
Period Total	Qual.	Facilities		2,877,319			2,877,319	3.164	3.164	91,041,559
Total				2,877,319			2,877,319	3.164	3.164	91,041,559

Company: Florida Power & Light

Schedule: E8 Page : 2 of 2

				Energy Paym	nent to Quali	ifying Facilities				
				Estimated for	r the Period	of: January 20	08 thru Decen	1ber 2008		
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8A)	(8B)	(9)
Month	Pu	urchase From	Type & Schedule	Total Mwh Purchased	Mwh For Other Utilities	Mwh For Interruptible	Mwh For Firm	Fuel Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total \$ For Fuel Adj (7) x (8A)
2008 July	Qual.	. Facilities		545,570			545,570	3.209	3.209	17,507,830
Total				545,570			545,570	3.209	3.209	17,507,830
2008 August	Qual.	Facilities		546,183			546,183	3.203	3.203	17,493,095
Total				546,183			546,183	3.203	3.203	17,493,095
2008 September	Qual.	Facilities		531,345			531,345	3.198	3.198	16,993,141
Total			***********	531,345			531,345	3.198	3.198	16,993,141
2008 October	Qual.	Facilities		432,075			432,075	3.262	3.262	14,096,095
Totai			••••••••	432,075			4 3 2,075	3.262	3.262	14,096,095
2008 November	Qual.	Facilities		453,462			453,462	3.273	3.273	14,843,774
Total				453,462			453,462	3.273	3.273	14,843,774
2008 December	Qual.	Facilities		543,353			543,353	3.104	3.104	16,865,013
Total				543,353			543,353	3.104	3.104	16,865,013
Period Total	Qual.	Facilities		5,929,307			5,929,307	3.185	3.185	188,840,508
Total				5,929,307 			5,929,307 	3.185	3.185 	188,840,508

Company: Florida Power & Light

Economy Energy Purchases

		Estimated F	or the Period o	of : January 2008	Thru Decembe	er 2008		
(1) Month	(2) Purchase From	(3) Type & Schedule	(4) Total MWH Purchased	(5) Transaction Cost (Cents/KWH)	(6) Total \$ For Fuel ADJ (4) * (5)	(7A) Cost If Generated (Cents / KWH)	(7B) Cost If Generated (\$)	(8) Fuel Savings (7B) - (6)
January 2008	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	23,216 70,673	5.496 5.763	1,275,901 4,072,921	6.650 6.671	1,543,940 4,714,639	268,039 641,718
Total			93,889	5.697	5,348,821	6.666	6,258,578	909,757
February 2008	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	12,456 61,110	5.910 6.438	736,146 3,934,079		897,060 4,439,646	160,914 505,567
Total			73,566	6.348	4,670,225	7.254	5,336,706	666,481
March 2008	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	18,109 76,962	7.004 7.017	1,268,321 5,400,551	8.086 8.101	1,464,233 6,234,903	195,912 834,352
Total			95,071	7.015	6,668,872	8.098	7,699,137	1,030,264
April 2008 Total	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	19,993 102,925 122,918	7.246 6.892 6.950	1,448,573 7,093,625 8,542,197	8.497	1,716,516 8,745,625 10,462,142	267,944 1,652,001 1,919,945
May	Florida	 OS	30,654	7.549	2,314,059		2,548,953	234,895
2008 Total	Non-Florida	OS	147,424 178,077	7.244 7.296	10,679,033 12,993,091		12,263,922 14,812,875	1,584,889 1,819,784
June 2008	 Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	33,502 77,137	7.723 7.397	2,587,200 5,705,981		2,772,600 6,385,471	185,400 679,489
Total			110,639	7.496	8,293,181	8.277	9,158,070	864,889
Period Total	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	137,929 536,231	6.982 6.879	9,630,199 36,886,189		10,943,303 42,784,205	1,313,104 5,898,016
Total			674,159	6.900	46,516,388	7.970	53,727,508	7,211,120

66

__.

39

40 41 Total

8.280 123,453,148 17,366,322

Economy Energy Purchases

				Econo	my Energy Purcl	nases			
			Estimated F	or the Period o	of : January 2008	Thru Decemb	er 2008		
	(1) Month 	(2) Purchase From	(3) Type & Schedule	(4) Total MWH Purchased	(5) Transaction Cost (Cents/KWH)	(6) Total \$ For Fuel ADJ (4) * (5)	(7A) Cost If Generated (Cents / KWH)	(7B) Cost If Generated (\$)	(8) Fuel Savings (7B) - (6)
1 2 3	July 2008	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	26,220 78,641	7.670 7.739	2,011,020 6,086,001		2,312,028 7,041,393	301,008 955,392
3 4 5	Total			104,861	7.722	8,097,021	8.920	9,353,421	1,256,400
6 7 8 9	August 2008	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	12,315 75,526	7.515 7.684	925,530 5,803,221		1,060,280 6,654,994	134,750 851,773
10 11	Total			87,841	7.660	6,728,751	8.783	7,715,274	986,523
12 13 14 15	September 2008	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	50,726 89,377	7.660 7.649	3,885,392 6,836,314		4,437,394 7,818,481	552,002 982,167
16 17	Total			140,103	7.653	10,721,706	8.748	12,255,876	1,534,169
18 19 20 21	October 2008	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	47,939 99,239	7.558 7.380	3,623,286 7,323,515		4,573,129 9,324,097	949,843 2,000,582
21 22 23	Total			147,178	7.438	10,946,801	9.442	13,897,226	2,950,425
24 25 26 27	November 2008	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	68,369 130,740	7.274 7.236	4,973,135 9,460,801	8.263 8.194	5,649,503 10,713,287	676,368 1,252,486
28 29	Total			199,109	7.249	14,433,936	8.218	16,362,790	1,928,855
30 31 32 33	December 2008	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	58,494 79,218	6.282 6.271	3,674,550 4,967,674		4,339,752 5,801,301	665,203 833,627
34 35	Total		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	137,712	6.276	8,642,224	7.364	10,141,053	1,498,830
36 37 38	Period Total	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	401,992 1,088,971	7.145 7.104	28,723,111 77,363,716	8.288 8.277	33,315,390 90,137,759	4,592,279 12,774,043

7.115 106,086,827

1,490,963

----- ---

COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SCHEDULE E10

.

	MAY 23, 2007 - DEC 2007	JAN 2008 - DEC 2008	DIFFEI \$	RENCE <u>%</u>
BASE	\$39.37	\$39.37	\$0.00	0.00%
FUEL	\$52.95	\$52.27	-\$0.68	-1.28%
CONSERVATION	\$1.69	\$1.45	(\$0.24)	-14.20%
CAPACITY PAYMENT	\$5.57	\$5.46	(\$0.11)	-1.97%
ENVIRONMENTAL	\$0.24	\$0.40	\$0.16	66.67%
STORM RESTORATION SURCHA	RGE <u>\$1.02</u>	<u>\$0.98</u>	<u>(\$0.04)</u>	<u>-3.92%</u>
SUBTOTAL	\$100.84	\$99.93	(\$0.91)	-0.90%
GROSS RECEIPTS TAX	<u>\$2.59</u>	<u>\$2.56</u>	<u>-\$0.03</u>	<u>-1.16%</u>
TOTAL	<u>\$103.43</u>	<u>\$102.49</u>	<u>-\$0.94</u>	<u>-0.91%</u>

Schedule H1

GENERATING SYSTEM COMPARATIVE DATA BY FUEL TYPE

			PERIOD	
	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED/ACTUAL	PROJECTED
	JAN - DEC	JAN - DEC	JAN - DEC	JAN - DEC
	2005 - 2005	2006 - 2006	2007 - 2007	2008 - 2008
	(COLUMN 1)	(COLUMN 2)	(COLUMN 3)	(COLUMN 4)
FUEL COST OF SYSTEM NET				
HEAVY OIL	1,189,534,130	792,923,918	717,220,025	674,529,222
LIGHT OIL	21,649,472	3,022,019	1,687,752	78,000
COAL	101,261,934	130,156,710	156,980,213	158,930,000
GAS	3,104,658,880	3,988,536,281	4,595,482,132	4,727,009,643
NUCLEAR	75,683,285	96,843,144	89,863,159	113,535,000
OTHER	0	0	0	0
TOTAL (\$)	4,492,787,701	5,011,482,072	5,561,433,281	5,674,081,865
SYSTEM NET GENERATION		}		
HEAVY OIL	19,069,057	9,585,826	7,483,157	7,213,816
	186,425	25,951	9,121	388
COAL	5,765,059	6,168,129	7,149.755	6,903,293
GAS	47,113,904	56,985,272	61,095,973	65,135,881
NUCLEAR	21,405,553	23,532,578	22,187,420	24,050,491
OTHER	0		0	0
		ca ca=		400.000.000
	93,539,998	96,297,756	97,925,426	103,303,869
UNITS OF FUEL BURNED		40		
HEAVY OIL (Bbi)	30,217,452	15,296,754	11,970,501	11,162,675
LIGHT OIL (Bbl)	344,163	39,600	23,285	838
COAL (TON)	695,245	749,567	833,181	3,647,574
GAS (MCF)	345,850,962	437,700,179	470,102,070	496,692,663
NUCLEAR (MMBTU)	235,447,135	257,691,698	244,797,746	268,189,146
OTHER (TONS)	0	0	0	0
BTU'S BURNED (MMBTU)				
HEAVY OIL	192,970,149	97,243,909	76,246,450	71,441,109
	1,790,210	217,781	118,282	4,884
COAL	58,749,974	64,086,288	72,686,121	69,176,451
SAS	363,861,486	452,949,944	477,709,622	496,692,663
IUCLEAR	235,447,135	257,691,698	244,797,746	268,189,146
OTHER	0	0	0	0
BENERATION MIX (%MWH)	20.39	9.95	7.64	6.98
IGHT OIL	0.20	0.03	0.01	0.00
COAL	6.16	6.41	7.30	6.68
GAS	50.37	59.18	62.39	63.05
UCLEAR	22.88	24.44	22.66	23.28
DTHER	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTAL (%)	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
FUEL COST PER UNIT				····
IEAVY OIL (\$/Bbi)	39.3658	51.8361	59.9156	60.4272
IGHT OIL (\$/Bb!)	62.9047	76.3139	81.0716	93.0788
COAL (\$/TON)	44.4710	47.8288	54.0756	43.5714
SAS (\$/MCF)	8.9769	9,1125	9.7755	9.5170
UCLEAR (\$/MMBTU)	0.3214	0.3758	0.3671	0.4233
THER (\$/TON)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
UEL COST PER MMBTU (\$/MM				
EAVY OIL	6.1643	8.1540	9.4066	9.4418
	12.0933	13.8764	15.9598	15.9705
OAL	1.7236	2.0310	2,1597	2.2975
AS	8.5325	8.8057	9.6198	9.5170
UCLEAR	0.3214	0.3758	0.3671	0.4233
THER	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
OTAL (\$/MMBTU)	5.2682	5.7459	6.3810	6.2662
TU BURNED PER KWH (BTU/				
EAVY OIL	10,120	10,145	10,189	9,903
IGHT OIL	9,603	8,392	12,968	12,588
OAL	10,191	10,390	10,166	10,021
AS	7,723	7,949	7,819	7,625
UCLEAR	10,999	10,950	11,033	11,151
THER	0	0	0	0
	9,117	9,057	8,900	8,765
		· · · · · ·		
ENERATED FUEL COST PER			9.5845	9.3505
ENERATED FUEL COST PER	6.2380	B.2718		
ENERATED FUEL COST PER IEAVY OIL IGHT OIL	6.2380 11.6130	11.6453	20.6968	20.1031
SENERATED FUEL COST PER IEAVY OIL IGHT OIL COAL	6.2380 11.6130 1.7565	11.6453 2.1101	2.1956	2.3022
SENERATED FUEL COST PER IEAVY OIL IGHT OIL COAL SAS	6.2380 11.6130 1.7565 6.5897	11.6453 2.1101 6.9992	2.1956 7.5217	2.3022 7,2572
OTAL (BTU/KWH) SENERATED FUEL COST PER IEAVY OIL IGHT OIL COAL BAS IUCLEAR	6.2380 11.6130 1.7565 6.5897 0.3536	11.6453 2.1101 6.9992 0.4115	2.1956 7.5217 0.4050	2.3022 7.2572 0.4721
ENERATED FUEL COST PER IEAVY OIL IGHT OIL IOAL IAS	6.2380 11.6130 1.7565 6.5897	11.6453 2.1101 6.9992	2.1956 7.5217	2.3022 7,2572
ENERATED FUEL COST PER EAVY OIL IGHT OIL OAL AS UCLEAR	6.2380 11.6130 1.7565 6.5897 0.3536	11.6453 2.1101 6.9992 0.4115	2.1956 7.5217 0.4050	2.3022 7.2572 0.4721

DIFFERENCE (%) FROM PRIOR PERIOD (COLUMN 2) (COLUMN 3) (COLUMN 4) (COLUMN 1) (COLUMN 2) (COLUMN 3) (33.3) (9.6) (6.0) (86.0) (37.5) (95.9) 28.5 20.6 1.2 28.5 15.2 2.9 26.3 28.0 (7.2) 0.0 0.0 0.0 11.6 11.0 2.0 (49.7) (21.9) (3.6) (86.1) (64.9) (95.8) 7.0 15,9 (3.5) 21.0 7.2 6.6 9.9 **B.4** (5.7) 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.0 1.7 5.5 (49.4) (21.7) (6.8) (88.5) (41.2) (96.4) 7.8 11.2 337.8 26.6 7.4 5.7 9.5 (5.0) 9.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 (49.6) (21.6) (6.3) (45.7) (95,9) (87.8) (4.8) 9.1 13,4 4.0 9.6 24.5 5.5 9.5 (5.0) 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.3 (0.1) 3.9 . -• . . • • • . . . ł . 31.7 15.6 0.9 21.3 14.8 6.2 7.6 13.1 (19.4) (2.6) 15.3 1.5 7.3 16.9 (2.3) 0.0 0.0 0.0 32.3 15.4 0.4 14.7 15.0 0.1 17.8 6.3 6.4 3.2 9.3 (1.1) 15.3 16.9 (2.3)0.0 0.0 0.0 9.1 11.1 (1.8) 0.3 0.4 (2.8) (12.6) 54.5 (2.9) 2.0 (2.2) (1.4) 2.9 (1.6) (2.5) (0.5) 8.0 1.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 (0.7) (1.7) (1.5) 15.9 77.7 32.6 (2,4) 0.3 (2.9) 4.9 20.1 4.1 (3.5) 16.6 6.2 7.5 16.4 (1.6) 0.0 0.0 0.0

8.4

9.1

(3.3)

Note: Scherer coal is reported in MMBTU's only. Scherer coal is not included in TONS.

69

(Continued from Sheet No. 10.100)

ESTIMATED AS-AVAILABLE AVOIDED ENERGY COST

For informational purposes only, the estimated incremental As-Available Energy costs for the next four periods are as follows. In addition, As-Available Energy cost payments will include .0012¢/kWh for variable operation and maintenance expenses.

Applicable Period	On-Peak ¢∕KWH	Off-Peak ¢/KWH	Average ¢/KWH
October 1, 2007 - March 31, 2008	7.87	6.74	7.08
April 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008	8.16	7.60	7.77
October 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009	8.41	7.10	7.50
April 1, 2009 – September 30, 2009	8.76	7.88	8.15

A MW block size ranging from 58 MW to 65 MW has been used to calculate the estimated As-Available Energy cost.

DELIVERY VOLTAGE ADJUSTMENT

The Company's actual hourly As-Available Energy costs shall be adjusted according to the delivery voltage by the following multipliers:

Delivery Voltage	Adjustment Factor
Transmission Voltage Delivery	1.0000
Primary Voltage Delivery	1.0214
Secondary Voltage Delivery	1.0472

For informational purposes the Company's projected annual generation mix and fuel prices are as follows:

PROJECTED ANNUAL GENERATION MIX AND FUEL PRICES

			tion by F (%)	uel Type	Price by Fuel Type (\$/MMBTU)	
Year	Nuclear	<u>Oil</u>	Gas	<u>Coal</u>	Purchased Power	<u>Nuclear Oil Gas Coal</u>
2008	20	6	54	6	14	.42 9.74 8.55 2.29
2009	19	5	57	6	13	.63 8.21 7.14 1.82
2010	17	6	60	5	11	.71 8.71 6.64 1.79
2011	18	6	61	6	9	.77 8.41 6.04 1.83
2012	19	2	64	5	9	.79 8.29 6.32 1.87
2013	19	2	65	5	9	.81 8.21 6.50 1.91
2014	19	3	64	5	9	.84 8.52 7.01 2.16
2015	19	2	67	5	8	.84 8.52 7.01 2.16
2016	18	2	72	5	3	.85 9.16 7.44 2.20
2017	18	2	73	5	3	.87 9.76 7.98 2.24

NOTE: The Company's forecasts are for illustrative purposes, and are subject to frequent revisions. Amounts may not add to 100% due to rounding.

(Continued on Sheet No. 10.102)

70

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Customer		Customer	
Rate Schedule	Charge(\$)	Rate Schedule	Charge(\$)
GS- 1	8.51	CST-1	104.04
GST-1	11.64	GSLD-2	160.77
GSD-1	33.10	GSLDT-2	160.77
GSDT-1	39.24	CS-2	160.77
RS-1	5.34	CST-2	160.77
RST-1	8.47	GSLD-3	378.28
GSLD-1	38.78	CS-3	378.28
GSLDT-1	38.78	CST-3	378.28
CS-1	104.04	GSLDT-3	378.28

(Continued from Sheet No. 10.102)

B. Interconnection Charge for Non-Variable Utility Expenses:

The Qualifying Facility shall bear the cost required for interconnection, including the metering. The Qualifying Facility shall have the option of (i) payment in full for the interconnection costs upon completion of the interconnection facilities (including the time value of money during the construction) and providing a surety bond, letter of credit or comparable assurance of payment acceptable to the Company adequate to cover the interconnection costs, (ii) payment of monthly invoices from the Company for actual costs progressively incurred by the Company in installing the interconnection facilities, or (iii) upon a showing of credit worthiness, making equal monthly installment payments over a period no longer than thirty-six (36) months toward the full cost of interconnection. In the latter case, the Company shall assess interest at the rate then prevailing for the thirty (30) days highest grade commercial paper rate, such rate to be specified by the Company thirty (30) days prior to the date of each installment payment by the Qualifying Facility.

C. Interconnection Charge for Variable Utility Expenses:

The Qualifying Facility shall be billed monthly for the cost of variable utility expenses associated with the operation and maintenance of the interconnection facilities. These include (a) the Company's inspections of the interconnection facilities and (b) maintenance of any equipment beyond that which would be required to provide normal electric service to the Qualifying Facility if no sales to the Company were involved.

In lieu of payments for actual charges, the Qualifying Facility may pay a monthly charge equal to a percentage of the installed cost of the interconnection facilities necessary for the sale of energy to the Company. The applicable percentages are as follows:

Equipment Type	Charge
Metering Equipment	0.193%
Distribution Equipment	0.262%
Transmission Equipment	0.123%

D. Taxes and Assessments

The Qualifying Facility shall be billed monthly an amount equal to any taxes, assessments or other impositions, for which the Company is liable as a result of its purchases of As-Available Energy produced by the Qualifying Facility. In the event the Company receives a tax benefit as a result of its purchases of As-Available Energy produced by the Qualifying Facility, the Qualifying Facility shall be entitled to a refund in an amount equal to such benefit.

TERMS OF SERVICE

(1) It shall be the Qualifying Facility's responsibility to inform the Company of any change in the Qualifying Facility's electric generation capability.

(Continue on Sheet No. 10.104)

APPENDIX III

CAPACITY COST RECOVERY

KMD-6 DOCKET NO. 070001-EI FPL WITNESS: K. M. DUBIN EXHIBIT PAGES 1-7 SEPTEMBER 4, 2007

APPENDIX III CAPACITY COST RECOVERY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE(S)	DESCRIPTION	<u>SPONSOR</u>
3	Projected Capacity Payments	K. M. Dubin
3a-3b	REVISED – 2007 Capacity Estimated/actual True-up Calculation	K. M. Dubin
4	Calculation of Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class	K. M. Dubin
5	Calculation of Capacity Recovery Factor	K. M. Dubin
6-7	Capacity Costs – 2008 Projections	G. J. Yupp

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY PROJECTED CAPACITY PAYMENTS JANUARY 2008 THROUGH DECEMBER 2008

۲

	PROJECTED												
	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	TOTAL
1. CAPACITY PAYMENTS TO NON-COGENERATORS	\$17,130,068	\$17,130,068	\$17,130,068	\$17,130,068	\$17,130,068	\$17,130,068	\$17,130,068	\$17,130,068	\$17,130,068	\$17,130,068	\$17,130,068	\$17,130,068	\$205,560,816
2. SHORT TERM CAPACITY PAYMENTS	\$4,077,250	\$4,077,250	\$3,619,804	\$3,619,804	\$3,619,804	\$4,579,180	\$4,579,180	\$4,579,180	\$4,579,180	\$3,619,804	\$3,619,804	\$4,077,250	\$48,647,490
3. CAPACITY PAYMENTS TO COGENERATORS	\$26,968,428	\$26,968,428	\$26,968,428	\$26,968,428	\$26,968,428	\$26,968,428	\$26,968,428	\$26,968,428	\$26,968,428	\$26,968,428	\$26,968,428	\$26,968,428	\$323,621,136
4. SJRPP SUSPENSION ACCRUAL	\$251,668	\$251,668	\$251,668	\$251,668	\$251,668	\$251,668	\$251,668	\$251,668	\$251,668	\$251,668	\$251,668	\$251,668	\$3,020,012
5. RETURN REQUIREMENTS ON SJRPP SUSPENSION LIABILITY	(\$441,902)	(\$444,230)	(\$446,557)	(\$448,885)	(\$451,212)	(\$453,540)	(\$455,867)	(\$458,194)	(\$460,522)	(\$462,849)	(\$465,177)	(\$467,504)	(\$5,456,439)
6. INCREMENTAL PLANT SECURITY COSTS	\$2,774,818	\$2,774,818	\$2,774,818	\$2,774,818	\$2,774,818	\$2,774,818	\$2,774,818	\$2,774,818	\$2,774,818	\$2,774,818	\$2,774,818	\$2,774,818	\$33,297,815
7. TRANSMISSION OF ELECTRICITY BY OTHERS	\$514,233	\$531,030	\$518,280	\$509,781	\$501,368	\$503,591	\$487,788	\$487,692	\$484,465	\$491,034	\$495,328	\$509,531	\$6,034,121
8. TRANSMISSION REVENUES FROM CAPACITY SALES	(\$546,986)	(\$544,959)	(\$404,045)	(\$297,315)	(\$191,874)	(\$233,788)	(\$236,664)	(\$259,795)	(\$113,884)	(\$104,378)	(\$267,000)	(\$560,070)	(\$3,760,758)
9. SYSTEM TOTAL	\$50,727,577	\$50,744,073	\$50,412,464	\$50,508,367	\$50,603,068	\$51,520,425	\$51,499,419	\$51,473,865	\$51,614,221	\$50,668,593	\$50,507,937	\$50,684,189	\$610,964,193
10. JURISDICTIONAL % •													98.76048%
11. JURISDICTIONALIZED CAPACITY PAYMENTS													\$603,391,170
12. SJRPP CAPACITY PAYMENTS INCLUDED IN THE 1988 TAX SAVINGS REFUND DOCKET													(\$56,945,592)
13. FINAL TRUE-UP – overrecovery/(underrecovery) JANUARY 2006 - DECEMBER 2006 (\$4,030,283)		EST \ ACT TRUE JANUARY	-UP overrecove (2007 - DECEM (\$15,561,009)	IBER 2007)								(\$19,591,292)
14. TOTAL (Lines 10+11+12)													\$566,036,870
15. REVENUE TAX MULTIPLIER													1.00072
16. TOTAL RECOVERABLE CAPACITY PAYMENTS													<u>\$566,444,416</u>
<u>*CALCULATION OF JURISDICTIONAL %</u> AVG. 12 CP													

	AT GEN.(MW)	%
FPSC	18,625	98.76048%
FERC	234	1.23952%
TOTAL	18,859	<u>100.00000%</u>

* BASED ON 2006 ACTUAL DATA

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. ŝ 11.

| CAPAC | CITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| CALCU | LATION OF ESTIMATED/ACTUAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT | | | | | | |
| | HE PERIOD JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 2007 | - | | | | ~ | |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | |
| I DIV | | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | ACTUAL | (5)
ACTUAL | (6)
ACTUAL |
| LINE
NO. | | JAN
2007 | FEB 2007 | MAR | APR 2007 | MAY | JUN |
| | Parmente to blas accompany (IBC & CIDDD) | | | | | 2007 | 2007 |
| | Payments to Non-cogenerators (UPS & SJRPP) | \$16,383,755.80 | \$17,018,383.02 | \$17,030,951.30 | \$16,722,795.02 | \$13,815,313.68 | \$15,877,507.40 |
| 2. | Short Term Capacity Purchases CCR | 7,021,345.00 | 7,021,345.00 | 4,249,275.00 | 4,152,555.00 | 4,350,955.00 | 4,475,730.00 |
| 3. | QF Capacity Charges | 26,843,421.90 | 26,186,843.62 | 26,596,355.75 | 26,564,028.95 | 26,849,667.90 | 26,815,949.19 |
| 48. | SJRPP Suspension Accrual | 294,744.00 | 294,744.00 | 294,744.00 | 294,744.00 | 294,744.00 | |
| | Return on SIDPP Summerion Liability | | | | | | 294,744.00 |
| 40. | Return on SJRPP Suspension Liability | (409,391.73 | (412,117.54) | (414,843.36) | (417,569.17) | (420,295.01) | (423,020.82) |
| 5. | Okeelanta Settlement (Capacity) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 6. | Incremental Plant Security Costs-Order No. PSC-02-1761 | 2,433,623.62 | 1,534,657.13 | 1,346,516.33 | 1,876,545.08 | 1,594,391.85 | 1,783,662.27 |
| 7. | Transmission of Electricity by Others | 421,248.94 | 990,592.74 | 291,995.92 | 268,353.39 | 502,665.81 | 477,099.83 |
| 8. | | | | | | | |
| | | (332,907.6 | (584,809.76) | (359,555.43) | (303,874.29) | (271,113.11) | (258,390.77) |
| 9 | Total (Lines 1 through 8) | \$52,655,839.94 | \$ 52,049,638.21 | \$ 49,035,439.51 | \$ 49,157,577.98 | \$ 46,716,330.12 | \$ 49,043,281.10 |
| 10. | Jurisdictional Separation Factor (a) | 98.68536 | % 98.68536% | 98.68536% | 98.63536% | 98.68536% | 98.68536% |
| 11. | Jurisdictional Capacity Charges | 51,963,605.2 | 51,365,372.85 | 48,390,800.01 | 48,511,332.80 | 46,102,178.56 | 48,398,538.51 |
| | | | | | 10,011,052.00 | 46,102,178.50 | 40,570,538.31 |
| 12. | Capacity related amounts included in Base
Rates (FPSC Portion Only) (b) | (4,745,466.0 |) (4,745,466.00) | (4,745,466.00) | (4,745,466.00) | (4,745,466.00) | (4,745,466.00) |
| 13. | Jurisdictional Capacity Charges Authorized | \$ 47,218,139.2 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | \$ 41,356,712.56 | \$ 43,653,072.51 |
| 14 | Capacity Cost Recovery Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes) | <u>\$ 41,977,411.0</u> | 5 S 37,923,419.72 | \$ 37,558,509.62 | \$ 37,852,638.71 | \$ 41,380,033.07 | \$ 45,114,346.14 |
| | | <i>(</i> 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | | |
| . 15. | Prior Period True-up Provision | (1,242,480.0 | 0) (1,242,480.00) | (1,242,480.00) | (1,242,480.00) | (1,242,480.00) | (1,242,480.00) |
| 16. | Capacity Cost Recovery Revenues Applicable
to Current Period (Net of Revenue Taxes) | \$ 40,734,931.0 | 5 \$ 36,680,939.72 | \$ 36,316,029.62 | F 26 610 150 71 | £ 40 127 552 07 | \$ 43,871,866.14 |
| | | 3 40,734,551.0 | 5 50,080,939.12 | 1 30,310,023.02 | <u>\$</u> 36,610,158.71 | \$ 40,137,553.07 | 3 43,631,000,14 |
| 17. | True-up Provision for Month - Over/(Under)
Recovery (Line 16 - Line 13) | (6,483,208.1 | 6) (9,938,967.12 | (7,329,304.39) | (7,155,708.08) | (1,219,159.49) | 719 702 (3 |
| | - | | | | | | |
| 18. | Interest Provision for Month | (94,596.2 | 8) (125,466.82 |) (158,416.87) | (185,411.38) | (199,132.82) | (197,126.00) |
| 19. | | (14,909,758.0 | 0) (20,245,082.44 |) (29,067,036.38) | (35,312,277.64) | (41,410,917.11) | (41,586,729.41) |
| | Month - Over/(Under) Recovery | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| 20. | Deferred True-up - Over/(Under) Recovery | (4,030,283.0 | 0) (4,030,283.00 |) (4,030,283.00) |) (4,030,283.00) | (4,030,283.00) | (4,030,283.00 |
| 21. | | | · | | | | |
| | - Collected/(Refunded) this Month | 1,242,480.0 | 0 1,242,480.00 | 1,242,480.00 | 1,242,480.00 | 1,242,480.00 | 1,242,480.00 |
| | End of Period True-up - Over/(Under) | | | | | | |
| 22. | | \$ (24,275,365.4 | 4) \$ (33,097,319.38 |) \$ (39,342,560.64 |) \$ (45,441,200.11) | \$ (45,617,012.41) | \$(44,352,864.78 |
| | Recovery (Sum of Lines 17 through 21) | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | † · · · |
| | Recovery (Sum of Lines 17 through 21) | | | | | | |
| | Recovery (Sum of Lines 17 through 21)
(a) Per K. M. Dubin's Testimony Appendix III Page 3, filed (b) Per FPSC Order No. PSC-94-1092-FOF-EI, Docket No. | September 1, 2006
940001-EI, as adjusted in | August 1993, per E.L. I | Ioffman's Testimony | | | |
| | Recovery (Sum of Lines 17 through 21) e: (a) Per K. M. Dubin's Testimony Appendix III Page 3, filed | September 1, 2006
940001-EI, as adjusted in | August 1993, per E.L. I | Ioffman's Testimony | | | |
| | Recovery (Sum of Lines 17 through 21)
(a) Per K. M. Dubin's Testimony Appendix III Page 3, filed (b) Per FPSC Order No. PSC-94-1092-FOF-EI, Docket No. | September 1, 2006
940001-EI, as adjusted in | 1 August 1993, per E.L. I | Ioffman's Testimony | | | |
| | Recovery (Sum of Lines 17 through 21)
(a) Per K. M. Dubin's Testimony Appendix III Page 3, filed (b) Per FPSC Order No. PSC-94-1092-FOF-EI, Docket No. | September 1, 2006
940001-EI, as adjusted in | 1 August 1993, per E.L. I | Joffman's Testimony | | | |
| | Recovery (Sum of Lines 17 through 21)
(a) Per K. M. Dubin's Testimony Appendix III Page 3, filed (b) Per FPSC Order No. PSC-94-1092-FOF-EI, Docket No. | September 1, 2006
940001-EI, as adjusted in | 1 August 1993, per E.L. J | ioffman's Testimony | | | |
| | Recovery (Sum of Lines 17 through 21)
(a) Per K. M. Dubin's Testimony Appendix III Page 3, filed (b) Per FPSC Order No. PSC-94-1092-FOF-EI, Docket No. | September 1, 2006
940001-EI, as adjusted in | August 1993, per E.L. I | | | | |
| | Recovery (Sum of Lines 17 through 21)
(a) Per K. M. Dubin's Testimony Appendix III Page 3, filed (b) Per FPSC Order No. PSC-94-1092-FOF-EI, Docket No. | September 1, 2006
940001-EI, as adjusted in | August 1993, per E.L. I | | 2 | | |
| | Recovery (Sum of Lines 17 through 21)
(a) Per K. M. Dubin's Testimony Appendix III Page 3, filed (b) Per FPSC Order No. PSC-94-1092-FOF-EI, Docket No. | September 1, 2006
940001-EI, as adjusted in | August 1993, per E.L. I | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |

↓ ÷

| PACITY | COST RECOVERY CLAUSE | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------|
| | TON OF ESTIMATED/ACTUAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT | | | | | | | | | |
| | ERIOD JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 2007 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | |
| LINE | | | ACTUAL
JUL | ESTIMATED
AUG | ESTIMATED
SEP | ESTIMATED | ESTIMATED
NOV | ESTIMATED | | |
| NO. | | | 2007 | 2007 | 2007 | OCT
2007 | 2007 | DEC 2007 | TOTAL | LINE
NO. |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Pay | yments to Non-cogenerators (UPS & SJRPP) | . | \$15,880,348.98 | \$16,463,784.67 | \$16,463,784.67 | \$16,463,784.67 | \$16,463,784.67 | \$16,463,784.67 | \$195,047,978.53 | 1. |
| 2. She | ort Term Capacity Purchases CCR | | 4,318,980.00 | 4,318,980.00 | 4,338,230.00 | 3,377,820.00 | 3,380,570.00 | 3,828,930.00 | 54,834,715.00 | 2. |
| | | | | 4210200 | 1,550,250,00 | 2,517,020,00 | | 3,020,930.00 | 34,034,113.00 | Z. |
| 3. QF | Capacity Charges | _ | 26,844,696.10 | 26,277,828.50 | 26,277,828.50 | 26,277,828.50 | 26,277,828.50 | 26,277,828.50 | 318,090,105.96 | 3. |
| 4a. SJF | RPP Suspension Accrual | | 294,744.00 | 294,744.00 | 294,744.00 | 294,744.00 | 294,744.00 | | 1 516 039 00 | |
| | | | 23 (371.00 | 201,111.00 | 254,749.00 | 234,744.00 | 234,744.00 | 294,744.00 | 3,536,928.00 | 4a. |
| 4b. Ret | turn on SJRPP Suspension Liability | | (425,746.64) | (428,472,45) | (431,198.27) | (433,924.08) | (436,649.91) | (439,375.72) | (5,092,604.70) | 4b. |
| 5. Ok | ceclanta Settlement (Capacity) | ┼┼── | 0.00 | | | | | | | |
| | | ++ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6b. |
| 6. Inc | cremental Plant Security Costs-Order No. PSC-02-1761 | | 1,888,267.19 | 2,952,369.66 | 2,952,369.66 | 2,952,369.66 | 2,952,369.66 | 2,952,369.66 | 27,219,511.78 | бс. |
| 7. Tra | | | | | | | | | | |
| /. 11 | ansmission of Electricity by Others | ╎╎ | 441,724.07 | 488,717.04 | 476,963.53 | 249,936.75 | 261,457.90 | 275,943.28 | 5,146,699.20 | 7. |
| 8. Tri | ansmission Revenues from Capacity Sales | | (321,199.04) | (428,302.16) | (204,091.65) | (124,415.88) | (269,612.50) | (662,149.53) | (4,120,421.77) | 8. |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. To | otal (Lines 1 through 8) | 5 | 48,921,814.66 | \$ 49,939,649.26 | \$ 50,168,630.44 | \$ 49,058,143.61 | \$ 48,924,492.32 | \$ 48,992,074.85 | \$594,662,912.00 | 9. |
| 10. Jur | risdictional Separation Factor (a) | ┼┼─┄ | 98.68536% | 98.68536% | 98.68536% | 98.68536% | 98.68536% | 98.68536% | N/A | 10. |
| | | | | | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 20.0055070 | | | |
| <u>11.</u> Ju | risdictional Capacity Charges | | 48,278,668.92 | 49,283,122.66 | 49,509,093.55 | 48,413,205.63 | 48,281,311.38 | 48,348,005.44 | 586,845,235.49 | 11. |
| 12. Ca | apacity related amounts included in Base | ++ | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | ates (FPSC Portion Only) (b) | | (4,745,466.00) | (4,745,466.00) | (4,745,466.00) | (4,745,466.00) | (4,745,466.00) | (4,745,466.00) | (56,945,592.00) | 12. |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13. Ju | risdictional Capacity Charges Authorized | 5 | 43,533,202.92 | \$ 44,537,656.66 | \$ 44,763,627.55 | 5 43,667,739.63 | \$ 43,535,845.38 | \$ 43,602,539.44 | \$529,899,643.49 | 13. |
| 14. Ča | apacity Cost Recovery Revenues | s | 50,160,132.37 | \$ \$1.037.095.31 | \$ 51,625,306.94 | * AR 480 203 01 | 5 43 380 366 68 | P 43 630 644 27 | 530,920,186.88 | 14. |
| | (Net of Revenue Taxes) | ·[-]* | | 3 31,336,063.31 | 3 31,023,300.34 | 3 46,460,233.01 | 3 43,280,300.08 | 3 43,029,044.21 | 350,920,160.88 | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Pr | rior Period True-up Provision | ++ | (1,242,480.00) | (1,242,480.00 |) (1,242,480.00) | (1,242,480.00) | (1,242,480.00) | (1,242,478.00) | (14,909,758.00) | 15. |
| 16. Ca | apacity Cost Recovery Revenues Applicable | + + | | | | | | | | |
| | Current Period (Net of Revenue Taxes) | S | 48,917,652.37 | \$ 50,695,605.31 | \$ 50,382,826.94 | \$ 47,237,813.01 | \$ 42,037,886.68 | \$ 42,387,166.27 | \$516,010,428.88 | 16. |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | rue-up Provision for Month - Over/(Under)
ecovery (Line 16 - Line 13) | ++ | 5,384,449.46 | 6,157,948.65 | 5,619,199,38 | 3,570,073.38 | (1,497,958.70) | (1,215,373.16) | (13,889,214.61) | 17. |
| | ceovery (Luke 10 - Luke 13) | ++- | 3,364,449.40 | 0,137,940.03 | 3,019,199,38 | 3,370,073.38 | (1,491,936.10) | (1,213,373.10) | (13,885,214.01) | 1 11 |
| 18. In | nterest Provision for Month | | (179,889.37) | (149,364.50 |) (118,877.79 | (93,908.15) | (84,368.60) | (85,235.62) | (1,671,794.19) | 18. |
| | | 44- | | | + | | (16 162 222 60 | (1) C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | (11 000 750 00) | |
| | rue-up & Interest Provision Beginning of
(onth - Over/(Under) Recovery | | (40,322,581.78) | (33,875,541.70 |) (26,624,477.55 | (19,881,675.95) | (15,163,030.72) | (15,502,878.02) | (14,909,758.00) | 19. |
| | | + | | | | | | | | |
| 20. D | eferred True-up - Over/(Under) Recovery | | (4,030,283.00) | (4,030,283.00 |) (4,030,283.00 | (4,030,283.00) | (4,030,283.00) | (4,030,283.00 | (4,030,283.00) | 20. |
| 21 2 | vier Deriod True us Dravision | - <u>+</u> - <u>+</u> | | | <u> </u> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | ├ ── | |
| | rior Period True-up Provision
Collected/(Refunded) this Month | + | 1,242,480.00 | 1,242,480.00 | 1,242,480.00 | 1,242,480.00 | 1,242,480.00 | 1,242,478.00 | 14,909,758.00 | 21. |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ad of Period True-up - Over/(Under) | | - | | | l | | | | |
| R | Recovery (Sum of Lines 17 through 21) | S | (37,905,824.70) | <u>5</u> (30,654,760.55 | 5 (23,911,958.95 | <u>) \$ (19,193,313.72</u> | 5 (19,533,161.02 | 5 (192,291,291,81) \$ |) \$ (19,591,291.81) |) 22. |
| | ······································ | + | | + | | + | | | <u> </u> | 1 |
| Notes: 6 | (a) Per K. M. Dubin's Testimony Appendix III Page 3, filed | d Sep | | t · | | <u></u> | | | | |
| | | | | +···· | | | | | | T |

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY CALCULATION OF ENERGY & DEMAND ALLOCATION % BY RATE CLASS JANUARY 2008 THROUGH DECEMBER 2008

| Rate Schedule | (1)
AVG 12CP
Load Factor
at Meter
(%) | (2)
Projected
Sales at
Meter
(kwh) | (3)
Projected
AVG 12 CP
at Meter
(kW) | (4)
Demand
Loss
Expansion
Factor | (5)
Energy
Loss
Expansion
Factor | (6)
Projected
Sales at
Generation
(kwh) | (7)
Projected
AVG 12 CP
at Generation
(kW) | (8)
Percentage
of Sales at
Generation
(%) | (9)
Percentage
of Demand at
Generation
(%) |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| RS1/RST1 | 64.061% | 58,804,147,081 | 10,478,766 | 1.09370109 | 1.07349429 | 63,125,916,120 | 11,460,638 | 52.68401% | 57.06444% |
| GS1/GST1 | 65.694% | 6,619,341,251 | 1,150,231 | 1.09370109 | 1.07349429 | 7,105,825,036 | 1,258,009 | 5.93042% | 6.26384% |
| GSD1/GSDT1/HLFT1 (21-499 kW) | 74.508% | 25,774,860,665 | 3,949,020 | 1.09361402 | 1.07343073 | 27,667,527,500 | 4,318,704 | 23.09093% | 21.50355% |
| OS2 | 57.663% | 19,993,143 | 3,958 | 1.05919630 | 1.04702619 | 20,933,344 | 4,192 | 0.01747% | 0.02087% |
| GSLD1/GSLDT1/CS1/CST1/HLFT2 (500-1,999 kW) | 77.165% | 11,789,652,172 | 1,744,121 | 1.09222289 | 1.07237880 | 12,642,973,049 | 1,904,969 | 10.55165% | 9.48516% |
| GSLD2/GSLDT2/CS2/CST2/HLFT3(2,000+ kW) | 90.280% | 2,169,713,444 | 274,351 | 1.08471538 | 1.06646905 | 2,313,932,235 | 297,593 | 1.93118% | 1.48177% |
| GSLD3/GSLDT3/CS3/CST3 | 89.044% | 258,589,835 | 33,151 | 1.03077723 | 1.02508821 | 265,077,391 | 34,171 | 0.22123% | 0.17014% |
| ISST1D | 84.918% | 0 | 0 | 1.05919630 | 1.04702619 | 0 | 0 | 0.00000% | 0.00000% |
| ISST1T | 131.296% | 0 | 0 | 1.03077723 | 1.02508821 | 0 | 0 | 0.00000% | 0.00000% |
| SST1T | 131.296% | 162,838,087 | 14,158 | 1.03077723 | 1.02508821 | 166,923,403 | 14,594 | 0.13931% | 0.07267% |
| SST1D1/SST1D2/SST1D3 | 84.918% | 8,479,038 | 1,140 | 1.05919630 | 1.04702619 | 8,877,775 | 1,207 | 0.00741% | 0.00601% |
| CILC D/CILC G | 89.894% | 3,701,861,702 | 470,095 | 1.08178491 | 1.06440541 | 3,940,281,623 | 508,542 | 3.28850% | 2.53212% |
| CILC T | 90.295% | 1,676,506,768 | 211,952 | 1.03077723 | 1.02508821 | 1,718,567,321 | 218,475 | 1.43429% | 1.08782% |
| MET | 66.435% | 101,103,804 | 17,373 | 1.05919630 | 1.04702619 | 105,858,331 | 18,401 | 0.08835% | 0.09162% |
| OL1/SL1/PL1 | 210.146% | 601,242,889 | 32,661 | 1.09370109 | 1.07349429 | 645,430,808 | 35,721 | 0.53867% | 0.17786% |
| SL2, GSCU1 | 126.155% | 85,476,122 | 7,735 | 1.09370109 | 1.07349429 | 91,758,129 | 8,460 | 0.07658% | 0.04212% |
| TOTAL | | 111,773,806,000 | 18,388,712 | | | 119,819,882,065 | 20,083,676 | 100.00% | 100.00% |

4

AVG 12 CP load factor based on actual calendar data.
 Projected kwh sales for the period January 2008 through December 2008.
 Calculated: Col(2)/(8760 hours * Col(1))
 Based on 2006 demand losses.
 Based on 2006 energy losses.
 Col(2) * Col(5).
 Col(3) * Col(4).
 Col(6) / total for Col(6)
 Col(7) / total for Col(7)

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY CALCULATION OF CAPACITY PAYMENT RECOVERY FACTOR JANUARY 2008 THROUGH DECEMBER 2008

| Rate Schedule | (1)
Percentage
of Sales at
Generation
(%) | (2)
Percentage
of Demand at
Generation
(%) | (3)
Energy
Related Cost
(\$) | (4)
Demand
Related Cost
(\$) | (5)
Total
Capacity
Costs
(\$) | (6)
Projected
Sales at
Meter
(kwh) | (7)
Billing KW
Load Factor
(%) | (8)
Projected
Billed KW
at Meter
(kw) | (9)
Capacity
Recovery
Factor
(\$/kw) | (10)
Capacity
Recovery
Factor
(\$/kwh) |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| R\$1/R\$T1 | 52.68401% | 57.06444% | \$22,955,817 | \$298,373,866 | \$321,329,683 | 58,804,147,081 | - | _ | - | 0.00546 |
| GS1/GST1 | 5.93042% | 6.26384% | \$2,584,042 | \$32,751,842 | \$35,335,884 | 6,619,341,251 | - | - | - | 0.00534 |
| GSD1/GSDT1/HLFT1 (21-499 kW) | 23.09093% | | \$10,061,330 | \$112,436,010 | \$122,497,340 | 25,774,860,665 | 46.94990% | 75,203,628 | 1.63 | - |
| OS2 | 0.01747% | 0.02087% | \$7,612 | \$109,137 | \$116,749 | 19,993,143 | - | - | - | 0.00584 |
| GSLD1/GSLDT1/CS1/CST1/HLFT2 (500-1,999 kW) | 10.55165% | 9.48516% | \$4,597,633 | \$49,595,229 | \$54,192,862 | 11,789,652,172 | 61.11976% | 26,423,874 | 2.05 | - |
| GSLD2/GSLDT2/CS2/CST2/HLFT3 (2,000+ kW) | 1.93118% | 1.48177% | \$841,464 | \$7,747,734 | \$8,589,198 | 2,169,713,444 | 68.57238% | 4,334,413 | 1.98 | - |
| GSLD3/GSLDT3/CS3/CST3 | 0.22123% | 0.17014% | \$96,396 | \$889,631 | \$986,027 | 258,589,835 | 66.95647% | 529,049 | 1.86 | - |
| ISST1D | 0.00000% | 0.00000% | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 63.96565% | 0 | ** | - |
| ISST1T | 0.00000% | 0.00000% | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 19.18899% | 0 | ** | - |
| SST1T | 0.13931% | 0.07267% | \$60,702 | \$379,950 | \$440,652 | 162,838,087 | 19.18899% | 1,162,468 | ** | - |
| SST1D1/SST1D2/SST1D3 | 0.00741% | 0.00601% | \$3,228 | \$31,424 | \$34,652 | 8,479,038 | 63.96565% | 18,158 | ** | - |
| CILC D/CILC G | 3.28850% | 2.53212% | \$1,432,888 | \$13,239,720 | \$14,672,608 | 3,701,861,702 | 74.34374% | 6,821,077 | 2.15 | - |
| CILC T | 1.43429% | 1.08782% | \$624,959 | \$5,687,923 | \$6,312,882 | 1,676,506,768 | 74.83860% | 3,068,717 | 2.06 | - |
| MET | 0.08835% | 0.09162% | \$38,496 | \$479,064 | \$517,560 | 101,103,804 | 58.38177% | 237,229 | 2.18 | - |
| OL1/SL1/PL1 | 0.53867% | 0.17786% | \$234,712 | \$929,984 | \$1,164,696 | 601,242,889 | - | - | - | 0.00194 |
| SL2/GSCU1 | 0.07658% | 0.04212% | \$33,368 | \$220,253 | \$253,621 | 85,476,122 | - | - | - | 0.00297 |
| TOTAL | | | \$43,572,647 | \$522,871,768 | \$566,444,416 | 111,773,806,000 | | 117,798,613 | | |

U

| Note:There are currently no customers taking service on Schedules ISST1(D) and ISST1(T). Should any customer begin |
|--|
| taking service on these schedules during the period, they will be billed using the applicable SST1 factor. |
| |

~

(1) Obtained from Page 2, Col(8)
(2) Obtained from Page 2, Col(9)
(3) (Total Capacity Costs/13) * Col (1)
(4) (Total Capacity Costs/13 * 12) * Col (2)
(5) Col (3) + Col (4)
(6) Projected kwh sales for the period January 2008 through December 2008
(7) (kWh sales / 8760 hours)/((avg customer NCP)(8760 hours))
(8) Col (6) / ((7) *730)
(9) Col (5) / (8)
(10) Col (5) / (6)

Totals may not add due to rounding.

CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTORS FOR STANDBY RATES

| Demand =
Charge (RDD) | (Total col 5)/(Doc 2, Total col 7)(.10) (Doc 2, col 4)
12 months | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sum of Daily
Demand =
Charge (DDC) | (Total col 5)/(Doc 2, Total col 7)/(21 onpeak days) (Doc 2, col 4)
12 months | | | | | | | |
| CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR | | | | | | | | |
| | RDC | SDD | | | | | | |
| | <u>** (\$/kw)</u> | ** (\$/kw) | | | | | | |
| ISST1D | \$0.25 | \$0.12 | | | | | | |
| ISST1T | \$0.24 | \$0.12 | | | | | | |
| SST1T | \$0.24 | \$0.12 | | | | | | |
| SST1D1/SST1D2/SST1D3 | \$0.25 | \$0.12 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

.

Florida Power & Light Company Schedule E12 - Capacity Costs Page 1 of 2

Projected 2008

| | Capacity | Term | Contract | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|------------|------------|------|--|
| Contract | MW | Start | End | Туре | |
| Cedar Bay | 250 | 1/25/1994 | 12/31/2024 | QF | |
| Indiantown | 330 | 12/22/1995 | 12/1/2025 | QF | |
| Palm Beach Solid Waste Authority | 47.5 | 4/1/1992 | 3/31/2010 | QF | |
| Broward North - 1987 Agreement | 45 | 4/1/1992 | 12/31/2010 | QF | |
| Broward North - 1991 Agreement | 11 | 1/1/1993 | 12/31/2026 | QF | |
| Broward South - 1987 Agreement | 50.6 | 4/1/1991 | 8/1/2009 | QF | |
| Broward South - 1991 Agreement | 3.5 | 1/1/1993 | 12/31/2026 | QF | |
| Southern Co UPS | 931 | 7/20/1988 | 5/31/2010 | UPS | |
| JEA - SJRPP | 375 | 4/2/1982 | 9/30/2021 | JEA | |

QF = Qualifying Facility

UPS= Unit Power Sales Agreement with Southern Company

JEA = SJRPP Purchased Power Agreements

Ch 2007 Capacity in Dollars

| | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December | Year-to-date |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Cedar Bay | 10,042,500 | 10,042,500 | 10,042,500 | 10,042,500 | 10,042,500 | 10,042,500 | 10,042,500 | 10,042,500 | 10,042,500 | 10,042,500 | 10,042,500 | 10,042,500 | 120,510,000 |
| ICL | 10,407,630 | 10,407,630 | 10,407,630 | 10,407,630 | 10,407,630 | 10,407,630 | 10,407,630 | 10,407,630 | 10,407,630 | 10,407,630 | 10,407,630 | 10,407,630 | 124,891,556 |
| SWAPBC | 2,072,188 | 2,072,188 | 2,072,188 | 2,072,188 | 2,072,188 | 2,072,188 | 2,072,188 | 2,072,188 | 2,072,188 | 2,072,188 | 2,072,188 | 2,072,188 | 24,866,250 |
| BN-SOC | 1,914,638 | 1,914,638 | 1,914,638 | 1, 914,638 | 1,914,638 | 1,914,638 | 1,914,638 | 1,914,638 | 1,914,638 | 1,914,638 | 1,914,638 | 1,914,638 | 22,975,650 |
| BN-NEG | 287,210 | 287,210 | 287,210 | 287,210 | 287,210 | 287,210 | 287,210 | 287,210 | 287,210 | 287,210 | 287,210 | 287,210 | 3,446,520 |
| BS-SOC | 2,152,878 | 2,152,878 | 2,152,878 | 2,152,878 | 2,152,878 | 2,152,878 | 2,152,878 | 2,152,878 | 2,152,878 | 2,152,878 | 2,152,878 | 2,152,878 | 25,834,538 |
| BS-NEG | 91,385 | 91,385 | 91,385 | 91,385 | 91,385 | 91,385 | 91,385 | 91,385 | 91,385 | 91,385 | 91,385 | 91,385 | 1,096,620 |
| SoCo | 11,097,447 | 11,097,447 | 11,097,447 | 11,097,447 | 11,097,447 | 11,097,447 | 11,097,447 | 11,097,447 | 11,097,447 | 11,097,447 | 11,097,447 | 11,097,447 | 133,169,369 |
| SJRPP | 6,032,621 | 6,032,621 | 6,032,621 | 6,032,621 | 6,032,621 | 6,032,621 | 6,032,621 | 6,032,621 | 6,032,621 | 6,032,621 | 6,032,621 | 6,032,621 | 72,391,448 |
| Total | 44,098,496 | 44,098,496 | 44,098,496 | 44,098,496 | 44,098,496 | 44,098,496 | 44,098,496 | 44,098,496 | 44,098,496 | 44,098,496 | 44,098,496 | 44,098,496 | 529,181,951 |

CONFIDENTIAL

1 Florida Power & Light Company

2 Docket No. 070001-EI

3 Schedule E12

4 Page 2 of 2

6 Contract Counterparty Identification **Contract End Date** Southern Power Company (Oleander) Other Entity May 31, 2012 Reliant Energy Services (Indian River) Other Entity December 31, 2009 Williams Power Company Other Entity December 31, 2009 Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc. Other Entity April 30, 2009 13 Capacity in MW 14 Contract <u>Jan-08</u> Feb-08 Mar-08 Apr-08 May-08 Jun-08 Jul-08 Aug-08 Sep-08 Nov-08 Oct-08 Dec-08 Total 22 Capacity in Dollars 23 Contract Jan-08 Feb-08 Mar-08 Apr-08 May-08 <u>Jun-08</u> <u>Jul-08</u> <u>Sep-08</u> Oct-08 Aug-08 <u>Nov-08</u> Dec-08 Total 4,077,250 4,077,250 3,619,804 3,619,804 3,619,804 4,579,180 4,579,180 4,579,180 4,579,180 3,619,804 3,619,804 4,077,250 Total Short Term Capacity Payments for 2007 48,647,490 (1) (1) September 4, 2007 Projection Filing, Appendix III, page 3, line 2

-