1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	D	ETERMINATION OF CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY FACTORS
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JEFF HOUSEHOLDER
4		On behalf of
5		INDIANTOWN GAS COMPANY, INC.
6		DOCKET NO. 070004-GU
7 8	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS
9		ADDRESS.
10	A.	My name is Jeff Householder. I am President of Jeff Householder and
11		Company, Inc. My firm provides energy consulting, regulatory affairs and
12		business development services to natural gas utilities, natural gas
13		marketers, propane gas retailers, government agencies, and a number of
14		industrial and commercial clients. My business address is 2333 West
15		33 rd Street, Panama City, Florida, 32405.
16	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE AND
17		EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.
18	A.	Prior to beginning my consulting business in October 1999, I was Vice
19		President of Marketing and Sales for TECO Peoples Gas. While with
20		TECO, I was also responsible for the management of TECO Gas
21		Services, an unregulated energy marketing company. I joined Peoples
22		Gas subsequent to the TECO Energy acquisition of West Florida Natural
23		Gas Company. At West Florida Natural Gas, I served as Vice President
24		of Regulatory Affairs and Gas Management. Before that, I was Vice

President of Marketing and Sales at City Gas Company, a division of the NUI Corporation. Prior to joining City Gas, I was employed as Utility Administrative Officer for the City of Tallahassee, (an electric, gas, water and waste water utility). Early in my career, I was a Section Manager with the Florida Department of Community Affairs, responsible for administering the Florida Energy Code and related construction industry regulatory standards. I began my career as an analyst in the Florida Governor's Energy Office. From 1984 to 1995, concurrent with my other positions, I provided part-time consulting services to the natural gas, propane gas and homebuilding industries involving a variety of building code, marketing and energy regulatory matters. I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in 1978 from the Florida State University with an interdisciplinary major in Social Science (Economics and Business), and additional majors in Government and International Relations.

15 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS 16 PROCEEDING?

- 17 A. I will briefly describe the status of the Company's recently implemented
 18 conservation programs. In addition, I will propose cost recovery factors
 19 for the twelve-month period ending December 31, 2008.
- 20 Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH INDIANTOWN GAS COMPANY'S (THE
 21 COMPANY) ENERGY CONSERVATION PROGRAMS AND THE
 22 REVENUES AND COSTS RELATED TO THEIR IMPLEMENTATION?

1	A.	Yes. I was retained by the Company in January 2007 to develop its initial
2		energy conservation programs. I prepared the Company's RIM Test and
3		Participants Test analysis for each conservation program filed before the
4		Commission on April 5, 2007, in Docket No. 070246.

5 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CURRENT STATUS OF THE COMPANY'S 6 CONSERVATION PROGRAMS.

- A. Until recently, the Company had no Commission approved energy conservation programs and did not participate in the Commission's annual conservation cost recovery docket. As noted above, the Company petitioned the Commission in May of this year to implement the following conservation programs:
 - Residential New Construction Program
 - Residential Appliance Replacement Program
- Residential Appliance Retention Program
- Conservation Education Program

The Commission approved the Company's proposed conservation programs on June 26, 2007 in Order No. PSC-07-0531-TRF-EG. The Company has completed the modification of its billing system to accommodate the approved energy conservation cost recovery factors. The Company has modified its accounting system to address conservation related revenues and expenses. The Company has established internal administrative processing procedures for conservation incentive payments and has been working with local

1	appliances vendors and homebuilders to review the conservation
2	program features and administrative requirements. A mailing to
3	consumers announcing the new programs is scheduled for October

5 Q. HAS THE COMPANY BILLED CONSUMERS THE APPROVED 6 CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY FACTOR?

2007.

4

- Yes. The Commission established a June 5, 2007 effective date for the
 Company's tariff modification, including the cost recovery factors. The
 Company billed consumers the new conservation recovery factor in the
 months of July and August and will bill the month of September on or
 about October 1, 2007. The total conservation revenues received by the
 Company to date are \$6,735.19.
- 13 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CONSERVATION PROGRAM EXPENSES
 14 INCURRED BY THE COMPANY TO DATE.
- The program expenses incurred to date are related entirely to the development and filing of the programs before the Commission (consultant and legal expenses) and minor expenses to adjust the Company's billing system as described above. No program incentive payments have been made to consumers.
- 20 Q. IS THERE ANY REASON TO ANTICIPATE THAT PROJECTED 2008
 21 CONSERVATION REVENUES OR EXPENSES WOULD
 22 SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECT THE COMPANY'S RECENTLY APPROVED
 23 RECOVERY FACTORS?

No. In its May 25, 2007 conservation program petition, the Company provided several schedules that calculated the conservation cost recovery factors subsequently approved by the Commission. The schedules assumed a seven month (June 2007-December 2007) period. Much of the expense in the initial recovery period, as noted above, is related to program development and filing costs. The Company has no actual experience offering conservation programs in its market area, and so has no historical basis for estimating participation levels or actual expenses. There are no known projected material changes in the Company's 2008 number of customers, therm usage levels or other components used in calculating the recovery factors. If the Company were to file projections for 2008, they would not be substantively different from the projections used in its determining the existing recovery factors.

Α.

Α.

14 Q. WHAT CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY FACTORS IS THE 15 COMPANY PROPOSING FOR THE ANNUAL PERIOD ENDING 16 DECEMBER 31, 2008?

The Company proposes to continue the conservation factors approved by the Commission, effective September 14, 2007, through the annual period ending December 31, 2008. The Company would participate in the 2008 Conservation Cost Recovery docket with the benefit of actual expense and revenue history to guide the determination of its proposed recovery factors for the 2009 annual period.

- 1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 2 A. Yes.