## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 DOCKET NO. 060582-TP 3 In the Matter of: 4 PETITION OF ALLTEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR DESIGNATION AS ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS 5 CARRIER (ETC) IN CERTAIN RURAL TELEPHONE COMPANY STUDY AREAS LOCATED ENTIRELY IN 6 ALLTEL'S LICENSED AREA. 7 8 9 10 11 ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE 12 A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, 13 THE .PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY. 14 AGENDA CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS: 15 ITEM NO. 4 16 CHAIRMAN LISA POLAK EDGAR BEFORE: COMMISSIONER MATTHEW M. CARTER, II 17 COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. McMURRIAN COMMISSIONER NANCY ARGENZIANO 18 COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP 19 Tuesday, October 9, 2007 20 DATE: Betty Easley Conference Center PLACE: 21 Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way 22 Tallahassee, Florida 23 JANE FAUROT, RPR 24 REPORTED BY: Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6732 25

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSES 485 OCT 16 &

1	PARTICIPATING:
2	BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, MIKE TWOMEY, ESQUIRE, and
3	STEVE MOWERY, representing ALLTEL Communications, Inc.
4	THOMAS M. MCCABE, representing TDS Telecom and
5	Quincy Telephone.
6	ADAM TEITZMAN, ESQUIRE, and BOB CASEY,
7	representing the Commission Staff.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

## PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: And we will be on Item 4.

MR. CASEY: Good morning, Commissioners. Bob Casey on behalf of staff.

Item Number 4 addresses AllTel Communication's petition for eligible telecommunications status in rural areas of Florida served by GT Com, TDS Telecom, and Frontier Communications. Staff is recommending that this docket be set for hearing to develop a formal record upon which the Commission can make an informed decision regarding Alltel's petition.

With us this morning we have Ms. Susan Masterton representing Embarg Florida; Ms. Beth Keating, Mr. Mike Twomey, and Mr. Steve Mowery on behalf of Alltel; and Mr. Tom McCabe representing TDS Telecom, all of whom would like to address the Commission on this item.

Staff is prepared to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you. Ms. Keating.

MS. KEATING: Good morning, Madam Chair,

Commissioners.

First, I want to thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today. And on behalf of Alltel, I'd like to say that we fully support your staff's recommendation to set this matter directly for hearing. We look forward to

presenting evidence and arguments through the formal hearing process that will, we believe, at the end of the day, demonstrate that Alltel should be granted ETC designation in the areas requested in the petition. If you approve staff's recommendation, we are fully prepared to move forward expeditiously according to your schedule and hope that this will, in fact, be placed on an expedited hearing track.

I would just note that staff has, as you are aware, identified some other parties that would like to address you on this item today. Alltel does not feel it necessary to raise an objection at this time, but we do want to make you aware that if this proceeding does move forward we may find it necessary to raise questions of standing with regard to certain parties' right to participate further in the hearing process.

But, with that, Madam Chair, Commissioners, we stand ready to address any questions that you may have.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Mr. McCabe.

1.0

MR. McCABE: Tom McCabe on behalf of TDS Telecom.

Generally, we support staff's recommendation in terms of taking this to hearing, although I think that these are important public policy decisions that you're going to be making, and I think making this more of a generic docket would be more appropriate.

We had a workshop on August 20th in which parties had

an opportunity to present their positions with respect to various issues relating to ETC designation requirements, things of that nature. And I think keeping those folks out of the opportunity to participate in establishing this public policy would not provide you with all the information I think that would be relevant for you to consider in making this decision. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Ms. Masterton.

MS. MASTERTON: Good morning, Commissioners. Thank you for the opportunity to make these comments.

Embarq agrees with what Mr. McCabe said about the need for a generic investigation of these issues. And, in fact, at the last agenda conference where you considered this docket you recommended to staff that they conduct such a proceeding. Embarq participated in the workshop that was conducted and intended to fully participate in additional proceedings as they went forward.

In considering Alltel's petition, you all recognized it is a case of first impression, and there's important policy issues related to the purpose of universal service and the effect on the universal service fund that you will be considering in this. We believe that the Commission needs to carefully scrutinize and develop the public interest standard that will apply to wireless ETC petitions, including Alltel's petition that's before you here. And while Embarq's territory

is not directly affected by this petition, we are affected by any decision that the Commission would make both by the precedent it would set for future petitions and also because of the potential effect on the federal USF fund. Therefore, Embarq intends to intervene in the proceeding and to participate to the extent that we are permitted to and as is appropriate to consider these issues before the Commission.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Mr. Teitzman, can you speak to the issues that Ms.

Masterton and Mr. McCabe have raised as regards the staff
recommendation to proceed to hearing versus the suggestion of a
generic docket.

MR. TEITZMAN: Well, first I would point out that until today we had not heard a request for a generic hearing.

Obviously we have received a request from Alltel to proceed in their docket with a hearing, so this would be the first time we've have had a formal request for a generic proceeding.

There are some significant policy issues to be addressed. We have not also received a petition to intervene by Embarq at this time in this proceeding, so we have not addressed those arguments either, whether or not it is appropriate for them to intervene in this proceeding. That is still a question to be answered.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At the end of the day, I guess, certainly we could

proceed in a generic proceeding if the Commission would like to. I think the important thing right now is just to proceed and move ahead with these issues. So that would be, I think, staff's primary goal at this time.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: And if the staff's recommendation were to be adopted to move this to the hearing stage, then requests for intervention and issues of standing would be addressed by the prehearing officer prior to that hearing?

MR. TEITZMAN: That is correct, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Commissioners, questions or discussion? No questions?

Commissioner McMurrian.

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: This is for staff.

If we were to move ahead as staff has recommended here with the petition by Alltel and make a decision on the issues that certainly include policy issues, although that may be precedent setting is some way, that doesn't mean there would be necessarily the same outcome if we had a different set of facts for a different company, right?

MR. TEITZMAN: That is correct. They would be taken up -- applications would be taken up on a case-by-case basis.

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: And if we were to have -Chairman -- if we were to have a generic docket, the time line
for that would probably be considerably more lengthy than if we

Т.	have a docket with respect to Africal's petition before us,
2	correct?
3	MR. TEITZMAN: I believe so. Right now we have
4	there are some dates available in December that we are
5	targeting for this proceeding. I don't know if it was
6	opened up to a generic proceeding, there would be some
7	different factors involved that would probably require us to
8	have a hearing going into 2008.
9	COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Commissioners, for what it's
10	worth, it just seems like at this point that perhaps it's
11	better to move along from my standpoint, to move along and
12	take up Alltel's petition, and then, I guess, see where that
13	leads us after that.
14	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: And that is my thinking, as well.
15	Commissioners, any other questions? Seeing none, is
16	there a motion?
17	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Move staff.
18	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: A motion and a second for the staff
20	recommendation on Item 4. Any further discussion?
21	Seeing none, all in favor say aye?
22	(Unanimous affirmative vote.)
23	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Opposed?
24	Show it adopted. Thank you.
25	MS. KEATING: Thank you, Commissioners.

1 \* \* \* \* \* \*

/

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	STATE OF FLORIDA )
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	COUNTY OF LEON )
4	T TANE BALIDOE DDD Chief Heaving Depositor Country
5	I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place
6	herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been
9	transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative
11	or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in
12	the action.
L3	DATED THIS 16th day of October, 2007.
L4 L5	(Jane) aurol
L6	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter
L7	(850) 413-6732
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
I	1