## **Ruth Nettles**

From:

Keating, Beth [beth.keating@akerman.com]

Sent:

Friday, November 02, 2007 12:26 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Subject:

Docket No. 070299

Attachments: PDF\_Scan.pdf; Updated brief for 070299 (TL139713).DOC

Attached for filing in the referenced Docket, are a PDF and a Word version of the Post Hearing Statement of the Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc. If you have any questions whatsoever, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely, Beth Keating Akerman Senterfitt (850) 224-9634 (850) 521-8002 (direct) beth.keating@akerman.com

A. Filed by: **Beth Keating** Akerman Senterfitt 106 East College Ave., Suite 1200 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 224-9634 (850) 521-8002 (direct) beth.keating@akerman.com

- B. Docket No. 070299 Review of 2007 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Gulf Power Company.
- C. On behalf of: Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc.
- D. Number of pages: 8
- E. Description: PDF and Word versions of FCTA's Post Hearing Statement



www.akerman.com | Bio | V Card

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The information contained in this transmission may be privileged and confidential information, and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately reply to the sender that you have received this communication in error and then delete it. Thank you.

CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE: To comply with U.S. Treasury Department and IRS regulations, we are required to advise you that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this transmittal, is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any person for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed in this e-mail or attachment.

DOCUMENT HUMBER-DATE

39994 NOV-25

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Review of 2007 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule

25-6.0342, Florida Administrative Code, submitted by Gulf Power Company.

Docket No. 070299-EI

Filed: November 2, 2007

POST HEARING STATEMENT OF THE FLORIDA CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION, INC.

Pursuant to the Order Consolidating Dockets and Establishing Procedure, Order No. PSC-07-

0573-PCO-EI, issued July 10, 2007, and in accordance with the Prehearing Order, Order No. PSC-07-

0796-PHO-EI, issued September 28, 2007, the Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc.

(FCTA) hereby submits its Post Hearing Statement. In view of the approved Process to Engage Third

Party Attachers and the Stipulation reached between FCTA and Gulf Power, FCTA offers the

following abbreviated statement on the issues:

A. <u>Basic Position</u>

\* Gulf's Storm Hardening Plan should be approved by the Commission. Furthermore,

consistent with Gulf's position on Issue 38, as clarified, the Attachment Standards and Procedures

included with the Plan should not be approved by the Commission.\*

B. Issues

27. Does the Company's Plan address the extent to which, at a minimum, the Plan complies with

the National Electric Safety Code (ANSI C-2) [NESC] that is applicable to subsection 25-6.0345(2),

F.A.C. [Rule 25-6.0342(3)(a)]

FCTA: \* Yes. Gulf's Storm Hardening Plan complies with the National Electric Safety Code.\*

(Stipulated: As set forth in Order No. PSC-07-0796-PHO-EI)

Page I of 8

{TL139713;1}

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

09994 NOV-25

FORC-COMMISSION OF FRK

28. Does the Company's Plan address the extent to which the extreme wind loading standards

specified by Figure 250-2(d) of the 2007 edition of the NESC are adopted for new distribution facility

construction? [Rule 25-6.0342(3)(b)1]

FCTA: \* The Plan does not adopt EWL for new distribution facility construction and thus, FCTA

takes no position on this issue. \*

29. Does the Company's Plan address the extent to which the extreme wind loading standards

specified by Figure 250-2(d) of the 2007 edition of the NESC are adopted for major planned work on

the distribution system, including expansion, rebuild, or relocation of existing facilities, assigned on or

after the effective date of this rule distribution facility construction? [Rule 25-6.0342(3)(b)2]

FCTA: \* The Plan does not adopt EWL criteria for major planned work and thus, FCTA takes no

position on this issue. \*

30. Does the Company's Plan address the extent to which the extreme wind loading standards

specified by Figure 250-2(d) of the 2007 edition of the NESC are adopted for distribution facilities

serving critical infrastructure facilities and along major thoroughfares taking into account political and

geographical boundaries and other applicable operational consideration? [Rule 25-6.0342(3)(b)3]

FCTA: \* The Plan only adopts EWL for limited critical infrastructure pole projects and thus, FCTA

takes no position on this issue. \*

Page 2 of 8

{TL139713;1}

Docket No. 070299-EI

FCTA's Post Hearing Statement

31. Does the Company's Plan address the extent to which its distribution facilities are designed to

mitigate damage to underground and supporting overhead transmission and distribution facilities due

to flooding and storm surges? [Rule 25-6.0342(3)(b)(3)(c)]

FCTA: \* Yes. Gulf has developed overhead and underground distribution storm hardening

specifications to mitigate damage due to flooding and storm surges. These specifications are shown in

Appendices 5 and 6 of Gulf's Storm Hardening Plan. In addition, Gulf is currently working on several

distribution pilot projects in potential storm surge areas to test the effectiveness of mitigation

techniques. Current pilot projects include the installation of below-grade gear, along with heavy lids

and anchoring systems on flush-mounted switch enclosures. Gulf will continue to utilize stainless steel

equipment in all coastal areas as it's done for many years. \* (Stipulated: As set forth in Order No.

PSC-07-0796-PHO-EI)

32. Does the Company's Plan address the extent to which the placement of new and replacement

distribution facilities facilitate safe and efficient access for installation and maintenance pursuant to

Rule 25-6.0341, F.A.C.? [Rule 25-6.0342(3)(d)]

FCTA: \* Yes. Gulf Power has always recognized that accessibility to distribution facilities is essential

to safe and efficient maintenance and storm restoration. Gulf continues to promote placement of

facilities adjacent to public roads; to utilize easements, public streets, roads and highways; obtain

easements for underground facilities; and to use right-of-ways for conversions of overhead to

underground.\* (Stipulated: As set forth in Order No. PSC-07-0796-PHO-EI)

Page 3 of 8

{TL139713;1}

33. Does the Company's Plan provide a detailed description of its deployment strategy including a description of the facilities affected; including technical design specifications, construction standards,

and construction methodologies employed? [Rule 25-6.0342(4)(a)]

FCTA: \* In view of the approved Process to Engage Third Party Attachers, FCTA has no objection

pertinent to this Issue. \*

34. Does the Company's Plan provide a detailed description of the communities and areas within

the utility's service area where the electric infrastructure improvements, including facilities identified

by the utility as critical infrastructure and along major thoroughfares pursuant to subparagraph (3)(b)3

are to be made? [Rule 25-6.0342(4)(b)]

FCTA: \* The Company's Plan provides a description of the communities and areas within the

utility's service area where the electric infrastructure improvements, as required. Moreover, the

approved Process to Engage Third Party Attachers alleviates FCTA's concerns regarding the level of

detail currently missing from Gulf's Plan pertinent to this issue. \*

35. Does the Company's Plan provide a detailed description of the extent to which the electric

infrastructure improvements involve joint use facilities on which third-party attachments exist? [Rule

25-6.0342(4)(c)]

FCTA: \* In view of the approved Process to Engage Third Party Attachers, FCTA has no objection

pertinent to this Issue. \*

36. Does the Company's Plan provide an estimate of the costs and benefits to the utility of making the electric infrastructure improvements, including the effect on reducing storm restoration costs and

customer outages? [Rule 25-6.0342(4)(d)]

FCTA: \* The approved Process to Engage Third Party Attachers alleviates FCTA's concerns

regarding the level of detail currently missing from Gulf's Plan pertinent to this issue.\*

37. Does the Company's Plan provide an estimate of the costs and benefits, obtained pursuant to

subsection (6) below, to third-party attachers affected by the electric infrastructure improvements,

including the effect on reducing storm restoration costs and customer outages realized by the third-

party attachers? [Rule 25-6.0342(4)(e)]

FCTA: \* In view of the approved Process to Engage Third Party Attachers, FCTA has no

objection pertinent to this Issue. \*

38. Does the Company's Plan include written Attachment Standards and Procedures addressing

safety, reliability, pole loading capacity, and engineering standards and procedures for attachments by

others to the utility's electric transmission and distribution poles that meet or exceed the edition of the

National Electrical Safety Code (ANSI C-2) that is applicable pursuant to Rule 25-6.034, F.A.C.?

[Rule 25-6.0342(5)]

FCTA: \* In view of Gulf's clarified position that it is not seeking the Commission's approval of

its attachment standards and procedures beyond a finding that they meet or exceed the NESC

requirements, FCTA has withdrawn its objections on this Issue and supports Gulf's amended position.\*

Docket No. 070299-EI FCTA's Post Hearing Statement

39. Based on the resolution of the preceding issues, should the Commission find that the Company's Plan meets the desired objectives of enhancing reliability and reducing restoration costs and outage times in a prudent, practical, and cost-effective manner to the affected parties. [Rule 25-6.0342(1) and (2)]

\* In recognition of the fact that the Process to Engage Third Party Attachers resolves FCTA's concerns regarding the level of detail in the Plan, as well as Gulf's clarified position on Issue 38 and other changes resolved through stipulation, FCTA has no objection to the approval of Gulf's Plan.\*

## C. Compliance With Prehearing Order

FCTA believes that this Post Hearing Statement fully complies with the requirements of the Prehearing Order, Order No. PSC-07-0796-PHO-EI.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2nd day of November, 2007.

By:

Beth Keating, Esquire Akerman Senterfitt

106 East College Avenue, Suite 1200

P.O. Box 1877 (32302) Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(850) 521-8002

beth.keating@akerman.com

Maria T. Browne, Esquire
John D. Seiver, Esquire
Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP
1919 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 2006
(202) 973 – 4212 (Phone)
(202) 973 – 4499 (Fax)

Attorneys for the Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the Post Hearing Statement of the Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc. in Docket No. 070299-EI was served via Electronic Mail and First Class US Mail to the persons listed below on this <u>2nd</u> day of November, 2007:

Katherine Fleming, Esquire
Lisa Bennett, Esquire
Keino Young, Esquire
Adam Teitzman, Esquire
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399 - 0850
ateitzma@psc.state.fl.us
kyoung@psc.state.fl.us
keflemin@psc.state.fl.us
lbennett@psc.state.fl.us

J.Meza/E.Edenfield/J. Kay/T. Hatch P.Carver/M.Gurdian c/o Nancy H. Sims, Esquire Attorneys for AT&T and TCG 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556 nancy.sims@bellsouth.com

Dulaney L. O'Roark III, General Counsel Southeast Region Verizon 6 Concourse Parkway, Suite 600 Atlanta, GA 30328 de.oroark@verizon.com David Christian Verizon Florida LLC 106 East College Avenue, Suite 710 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7721 david.christian@verizon.com

Richard Jackson City of Panama City Beach and PCB Comm. Redevelop. Agency 110 South Arnold Road Panama City Beach, FL 32413 jacksonR13@comcast.net Douglas J. Sale
Harrison, Sale, McCloy, Thompson, Duncan,
and Jackson
304 Magnolia Avenue
Post Office Drawer 1579
Panama City, FL 32402-1579
dsale@harrisonsale.com

Robert S. Wright/John T. LaVia, III, Esquire Young van Assenderp, P.A. Attorneys for City of Panama Beach 225 South Adams Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 swright@yvlaw.net jlavia@yvlaw.net (Represents MUCC) Susan S. Masterton Embarq Florida, Inc. Mailstop: FLTLHO0102 1313 Blair Stone Rd. Tallahassee, FL 32301 susan.masterton@embarq.com

## Docket No. 070299-EI FCTA's Post Hearing Statement

J. Stone/R. Badders/S. Griffin
Beggs & Lane Law Firm
Attorneys for Gulf Power Company
P.O. Box 12950
Pensacola, FL 32591
jas@beggslane.com
rab@beggslane.com
srg@beggslane.com

Susan D. Ritenour Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780 sdritenour@southernco.com

By:

Beth Keating, Esquire
Akerman Senterfitt
106 East College Avenue, Suite 1200
P.O. Box 1877 (32302)
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(850) 521-8002
beth.keating@akerman.com

Maria T. Browne, Esquire
John D. Seiver, Esquire
Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP
1919 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 2006
(202) 973 – 4212 (Phone)
(202) 973 – 4499 (Fax)

Attorneys for the Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc.