

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

May 6, 2008

Docket No. 070695-WS – Application for increase in water and wastewater rates in Martin County by Miles Grant Water and Sewer Company.

Issue 1: Should the Utility's proposed final water and wastewater rates be suspended?

Recommendation: Yes. Miles Grant's proposed final water and wastewater rates should be suspended.

APPROVED

Issue 2: Should any interim revenue increases be approved?

Recommendation: Yes, Miles Grant should be authorized to collect annual water and wastewater revenues as indicated below:

	<u>Adjusted Test</u> <u>Year Revenues</u>	<u>\$ Increase</u>	<u>Revenue</u> <u>Requirement</u>	<u>% Increase</u>
Water	\$284,338	\$116,412	\$400,750	40.94%
Wastewater	\$341,541	\$219,137	\$560,678	64.16%

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures of majority commissioners]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03727 MAY-6 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Vote Sheet
May 6, 2008

Docket No. 070695-WS – Application for increase in water and wastewater rates in Martin County by Miles Grant Water and Sewer Company.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 3: What are the appropriate interim water and wastewater rates?

Recommendation: The water and wastewater service rates for Miles Grant in effect as of June 30, 2007, should be increased by 41.17% and 65.40%, respectively, to generate the recommended revenue increase for the interim period. The approved rates should be effective for service rendered as of the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1)(a), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). The rates should not be implemented until staff verifies that the tariff sheets are consistent with the Commission decision, the proposed customer notice is adequate, and the required security has been filed. The Utility should provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days after the date of notice.

APPROVED

Issue 4: What is the appropriate security to guarantee the interim increase?

Recommendation: A corporate undertaking is acceptable contingent upon receipt of the written guarantee of the parent company, Utilities, Inc. (UI), and written confirmation of UI's continued attestation that it does not have any outstanding guarantees on behalf of UI-owned utilities in other states. UI should be required to file a corporate undertaking on behalf of its subsidiaries to guarantee any potential refunds of revenues collected under interim conditions. UI's total guarantee should be a cumulative amount of \$528,209, which includes an amount of \$197,245 subject to refund in this docket. Pursuant to Rule 25-30.360(6), F.A.C., the utility should provide a report by the 20th of each month indicating the monthly and total revenue collected subject to refund. Should a refund be required, the refund should be with interest and in accordance with Rule 25-30.360, F.A.C.

APPROVED

Issue 5: Should the docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. The docket should remain open pending the Commission's final action on the Utility's requested rate increase.

APPROVED