

John T. Butler **Senior Attorney** Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 (561) 304-5639 (561) 691-7135 (Facsimile)

RECEIVED-FPSC 08 JUN 17 PH 4: 30

COMPLESIO

June 17, 2008

-VIA HAND DELIVERY -

Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk Office of the Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Docket No. 080001-EI Re:

Dear Ms. Cole:

Consistent with Staff's Second Mid-Course Correction Data Request dated June 13, 2008, attached is the original and five (5) copies of Florida Power & Light Company's ("FPL") response to Question No. 4.

If there are any questions regarding this transmittal, please contact me at 561-304-5639.

Sincerely, Janei Redmith Jo- John T. Butler

the Albert	Enclosure
• • • • •	2
	an a su sumble
	a contraction of the second
1 	
t staft	angen dare Mersen er en
a di salay 1 12 1 5 14 10 1	a ya ma kuta wakazi kata kata kuta kuta kuta kuta kuta kuta
	Constraint and and gate production of the second

tale li

BDOUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05150 JUN 178

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

an FPL Group company

Florida Power & Light Company Docket No. 080001-EI Second Mid- Course Correction DR Question No. 4 Page 1 of 3

Q.

Please refer to Page 6 of FPL's mid-course petition. On Page 6, FPL lists the following dollar amounts and numbers of mWhs:

	Estimated	Estimated/Actual
Jurisdictional Fuel Revenue ("Revenue")	\$6,202,606,887	\$5,873,156,286
Jurisdictional Total Fuel Costs & Net Power		
I ransactions ("Expenses")	\$6,114,289,810	\$6,398,151,760
True-up and GPIF	\$88,317,077	-
mWh	111,773,808	106,076,562

\$/mWh calculations based on the above numbers of dollars and mWhs follow.

	<u>Estimated</u>	Estimated/Actual
Jurisdictional Fuel Revenue	\$55.49248968	\$55.36714403
Jurisdictional Total Fuel Costs & Net Power		
Transactions	\$54.70234860	\$60.31635678
True-up and GPIF	\$0.790141077	

Staff has calculated FPL's 2008 Estimated/Actual True-up Provision for the Period (under recovery) and expressed the calculation as follows, based on estimated/actual mWhs and \$/mWhs. Staff's expression consists of three parts.

1) (Estimated Revenue per mWh – Estimated/Actual Revenue per mWh) * Estimated/Actual mWh = (\$55.36714403 - \$55.49248968) * 106,076,562 = -\$13,296,236

2) (Estimated Expenses per mWh – Estimated/Actual Expenses per mWh) * Estimated/Actual mWh = (54.70234860 – 60.31635678) * 106,076,562 = -\$595,514,687

3) (Estimated/Actual mWh – Estimated mWh) * True-up and GPIF mWh = (106,076,562 - 111,773,808) * \$0.790141077 = -\$4,501,628

Based on these calculations, FPL's estimated 2008 under recovery is

-\$13,296,236 - \$595,514,687 - \$4,501,628 = -\$613,312,551

A. Does FPL agree that the estimated Jurisdictional Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions per mWh plus the estimated True-up and GPIF, or \$54.70234860 +

DODUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05150 JUN 178

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Florida Power & Light Company Docket No. 080001-EI Second Mid- Course Correction DR Question No. 4 Page 2 of 3

\$0.790141077 = \$55.49248968 is a \$/mWh representation of FPL's cost recovery factor excluding Revenue Taxes? If not, please explain why not?

B. Does FPL agree that the -\$13,296,236 is a fair estimate of the revenues decrease that will result from variation in the proportions by which the rate classes use energy? If not, please explain why not?

C. Does FPL agree that the -\$4,501,628 is a fair estimate of the unrecovered True-up and GPIF dollars for 2008? If not, please explain why not?

D. Does FPL agree that the two above dollar amounts, -\$13,296,236 and -\$4,501,628 are small compared to FPL's 2008 estimated/actual Jurisdictional Fuel Revenue Applicable to Period (\$5,784,839,209)? If not, please explain why not.

E. Does FPL agree that the remaining under recovery dollars, -\$595,514,687, account for nearly all of FPL's estimated/actual 2008 under recovery? If not, please explain why not.

F. Does FPL agree that the above expression of FPL's estimated/actual 2008 under recovery shows the contributions of revenues and expenses better than does the table on page 6 of the mid-course petition, which attributes -\$329,450,601 of the under recovery to lost revenues, and only -\$283,861,950 to increased expenses? If not, please explain why not, and please explain how a decrease in the number of mWhs can contribute to an under recovery (other than by the amount in Question #3) when Jurisdictional Total Fuel Costs and Net Power Transactions dollars depend entirely on the number of Jurisdictional mWhs sold.

Please refer to FPL's 2008 E-3 Schedule, to FPL's 2008 mid-course E-3 Schedule, and to FPL's 2008 original E-1 Schedule. Staff has calculated the Natural Gas component of the above negative \$595,514,687.

Additional information from the original E-3 Schedule: 1) estimated 2008 Natural Gas Dollars, \$4,727,109,643; and 2) estimated 2008 Natural Gas mWhs, 65,135,881.

Additional information from the revised mid-course E-3 Schedule: 1) estimated/actual 2008 Natural Gas Dollars, \$5,205,681,798; and 2) estimated/actual 2008 mWhs, 62,691,286.

Calculations:

Estimate Weight for Natural Gas = (65,135,881 / 111,773,808) = 0.582747266. Estimated/Actual Weight for Natural Gas = 62,691,286 / 106,076,562 = 0.591000357. Estimated \$/mWh = \$4,727,109,643 / 65,135,881 = \$72.57305145. Estimated/Actual \$/mWh = \$5,205,681,798 / 62,691,286 = \$83.03676843. Line Loss Factor = 1.00065 (from the original 2008 E-1 Schedule)

Natural Gas Under Recovery = Line-Loss Factor * (Estimate Weight * Estimated

Florida Power & Light Company Docket No. 080001-EI Second Mid- Course Correction DR Question No. 4 Page 3 of 3

\$\mWh - Estimated/Actual Weight * Estimated/Actual \$\mWh) * Estimated/Actual Jurisdictional mWh = 1.00065 * (0.582747266 * \$72.57305145 - 0.591000357 * 83.03676843) * 106,076,562 = negative \$719,986,330.

G. Does FPL agree that the increased 2008 natural gas price estimate (as expressed above in \$/mWh) is the main cause of FPL's 2008 estimated/actual under recovery? If not, please explain why not.

H. Does FPL agree that neither the difference between estimated mWhs and estimated/actual mWhs nor the difference between the estimated revenue \$/mWh and the estimated/actual revenue \$/mWh has any significant effect of the estimated/actual under recovery. If not, please explain why not.

A.

A. Yes. B. Yes. C. Yes. D. Yes. E. Yes. F. Yes. G. Yes. H. Yes.