## 1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 DOCKET NO. 080001-EI 4 In the Matter of: 5 FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY 6 CLAUSE WITH GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR. (GULF POWER COMPANY) 7 8 9 10 PROCEEDINGS: AGENDA CONFERENCE ITEM 13 11 BEFORE: CHAIRMAN MATTHEW M. CARTER, II 12 COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. McMURRIAN 13 COMMISSIONER NANCY ARGENZIANO COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP 14 DATE: Tuesday, July 29, 2008 15 PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center 16 Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way 17 Tallahassee, Florida REPORTED BY: 18 LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR Official FPSC Reporter 19 (850) 413-6734 20 21 22 23 24

25

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 182 AUG 138

1	PARTICIPATING:
2	JEFFREY A. STONE, ESQUIRE, representing Gulf Power
3	Company.
4	LISA BENNETT, ESQUIRE, and PETE LESTER, representing
5	the Florida Public Service Commission staff.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN CARTER: We are back on the record with our Agenda Conference and now we are on Item 13. Staff, you're recognized.

MR. LESTER: Commissioners, I'm Pete Lester with staff.

Item 13 addresses whether the Commission should approve Gulf Power Company's petition for midcourse correction to its 2008 fuel factors based on a projected underrecovery of \$76 million.

Gulf has requested an increase in its fuel factors to recover an additional \$41 million in the last four months of 2008 and to defer \$35 million to 2009. The underrecovery is based on increased coal costs.

On Attachment A, Pages 15 and 16 of the recommendation, staff has presented four options for recovery of the cost. Option A would recover, would recover the cost, all the costs in 2008. Option B would defer the costs to 2009. Option C is the company's request and would recover \$41 million in 2008 and defer \$35 million to 2009. And Option D would spread the recovery over 16 months, that is the last four months of 2008 and all of 2009.

Staff recommends the Commission approve Option C based on rate stability, and staff is available to answer your questions.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioners, before we -- let's 1 just hear from the company, then I'll just make a couple of 2 3 housekeeping statements. Good morning, Mr. Stone, you're recognized. 4 MR. STONE: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 Jeff Stone of the Law Firm of Beggs & Lane, and I serve as Gulf 6 Power Company's general counsel and it's in that capacity I'm 7 here before you today. We're here basically in support of the 8 9 staff's recommendation and here to answer any questions. 10 We're in a period of almost unprecedented fuel volatility. Since the filing of our petition we have filed, of 11 12 course, our actual A Schedules for the month of June. And we recognized that since we filed our petition there has been an 13 increase in our projected underrecovery for the end of the year 14 from what we, what we advised the Commission at the time of our 15 midcourse correction. However, we are not changing our request 16 and we are asking that the Commission approve the staff's 17 recommendation for Option C. 18 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you so kindly. 19 Commissioners? Commissioner McMurrian, then 20 21 Commissioner Skop, then Commissioner Edgar. 22 COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Actually, Chairman, I had 23 comments. But if there are questions, I could wait.

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Are there questions?

Questions?

CHAIRMAN CARTER:

24

25

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I have comments also.

But I think yesterday during briefing staff mentioned that perhaps there would be an alternate variant of Option C that would be a 50/50 exact split, and do we have that available?

MR. LESTER: I believe we talked to the company about the 50/50.

MR. STONE: Commissioner, I apologize. We left that information in the car. We'll have someone got out and get that for you.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: I appreciate that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Have you -- okay. Let's go with

our comments. Commissioner Edgar, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Very briefly I'd just like to kind of reference back to the discussion that we had at a previous recent agenda item with similar midcourse correction requests from FPL and from Progress, and we had long, long discussion. I think many of the issues are similar and so I would, rather than going through all of that again, I would reference my comments and the debate and discussion that we had. Then, as I said at that point in time, it's always painful and it's gut-wrenching to, to vote to impose an increase. But I do think looking at the

numbers and the situation that we are in and the fuel prices 1 and costs and, as has been stated, the volatility, that the 2 3 recommendation that we have before us from our staff, it does 4 seek to levelize and to stabilize rates month to month over the 5 next 12 to 17 months. And I do believe that that 6 recommendation is consistent with the decisions that we've made 7 recently in the past with, again, those other midcourse 8 correction requests that we had. And with that, I'm able to 9 support the staff recommendation. 10 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. Commissioner Argenziano, are you with us? 11 12 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes, I am. 13 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Good deal. We're basically 14 in comments right now, Commissioner, if you had some. We're on 15 Item 13, the Gulf Power Company midcourse correction. 16 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: No. No. Just, just a 17 question. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. You're recognized for a 18 19 question. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Didn't Gulf, didn't they 20 21 have an actual rate case in 2002? 22 MS. BENNETT: Yes, Commissioner Argenziano. 23 Lisa Bennett. They did have an actual rate case that went to

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. So it makes it a

24

25

full hearing in 2002.

little different for me as far as we have looked at, you know, looked closely at them recently. It hasn't been 24 years or any length of time since they've been really reviewed; is that correct?

MS. BENNETT: That is correct, Commissioner Argenziano.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. Commissioners, we're in comment. Commissioner McMurrian and then Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Thank you, Chairman.

And I know you all remember in our last fuel midcourse items I dissented from the majority's decision because I agreed with the staff recommendations for Option A, which, y'all remember, was to allow utilities to collect the full projected 2008 underrecovery, excuse me, over the remaining months of 2008. The majority voted to approve Option C, which allowed the utilities to instead collect half of the identified underrecovery during August through December of '08 and defer collection of the remainder to '09.

My support for Option A on the Progress and FPL items was premised on several key factors and several of them are mentioned in the staff recommendation, including accuracy of price signals, the compounding effects of deferring the midcourse correction, substantial projected 2009 increases to nonfuel rates, interest costs associated with deferring the

underrecovery and intergenerational inequity. And, finally,

Option A appeared to offer the greatest degree of stability in

the fuel factor from 2008 to 2009.

1.1

However, in Gulf's midcourse petition before us today they propose Option C recovery. And in Gulf's case Option C is the most regulatory sound choice, I believe, in consideration of both the short-term and long-term impacts on the consumer because it offers the greatest degree of stability in the fuel factor from 2008 to 2009. Though I still maintain Option A was the best for Progress and FPL, I would not apply this method so rigidly such that it leads to unreasonable results for Gulf Power's consumers.

Unlike Progress and FPL, Gulf's Option A would require a huge increase in the remainder of 2008, approximately 22,000, I mean, \$22 per 1,000 kilowatt hours on a total bill basis, and then a large jump back down in 2009 between \$11 and \$14 per 1,000 kilowatt hours. This option would appear to produce more erratic and less stable rates than the proposed Option C. And there are other key differences in Gulf's petition as well and they were all noted in staff's rec. Gulf's underrecovery percentage is significantly larger at 18.39 percent compared to the 10.84 percent for Progress and 12.9 for FPL.

Gulf's petition is based on increasing coal prices due to a force majeure event; whereas, Progress's and FPL's

were based primarily on increasing oil and gas prices. And because Gulf is primarily coal-based, it's less susceptible to natural gas price increases compared to the other IOUs.

And, finally, Gulf, though it is expected increases in nonfuel expenses in 2009 in the environmental clause and the capacity clause, and, of course, we haven't ruled on those yet, but there are expectations that those costs will increase in 2009 to some degree, it doesn't have nuclear charges or base rate increase charges in 2009. And its storm surcharge will end in 2009 and will somewhat offset the nonfuel increases that I mentioned earlier in environmental and capacity in the latter half of the year.

So given the analysis of all those factors, and I appreciate you letting me explain the differences in these cases and why I dissented on those and would not be dissenting on these if the staff recommendation is approved, I support staff's recommendation to approve Option C in this case. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Commissioner.

Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Commissioner McMurrian, for the comments that you made in distinguishing between the past and the current petition.

I guess I took a little bit different approach and,

again, under the premise that it's important to be fair and I guess that's why when staff mentioned there may be an alternate analysis that was strictly 50/50, I know we're talking about a few percentage points, but, again, it does have an impact. You know, it was I think my concern to try and be consistent across the board for all of our major investor-owned utilities because I think that's good regulatory practice.

But what I just wanted to offer or comment upon, and, again, I took a little bit of a different approach, at least to me Option D is almost equally attractive as Option C, and here's why I think that's true.

If you look at Option D, which is spreading recovery over 16 months -- and, again, I'm fully with Commissioner McMurrian on this. If we were in any other different economic times and the costs deemed to be prudent, I would, I would likely grant full recovery, but, again, times are, times are a little bit different now. And I think that Option D is attractive to me because if you look at the fuel cost recovery, the percentage in the remainder of 2008 is roughly -- let me make sure I can do my math. Commissioner Carter, I need that solar calculator.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: You need the solar calculator.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: But I think it's over a 10 percent difference and translates into a 6 percent cost increase through the remainder of 2008. And you have a

slightly larger increase in 2009 versus the huge increase,
11.3 percent through 2008 in Option C. And just in a nutshell,
I think that Option D is attractive because to me, again, it
provides some near-term economic relief to consumers through
the end of 2008 along the lines of some of the other concerns
that were previous, previously mentioned or addressed to the
Commission. It also would assist schools and local governments
with appropriately planning to the extent that they don't have
a huge variance and they have a little bit forward-looking
flexibility on what 2009 may hold.

And also, too, with respect to consumers in the Panhandle and specifically in Gulf's service area, I mean a lot of concern has been raised about people on fixed income and consumers and people being able to balance and juggle all of these, these costs. And, you know, at least with the rate increase beginning in 2009, which is more substantial in Option D, at least that kind of corresponds with cost of living adjustments that retirees would get or Social Security recipients might get. So to me I found it to be a little bit more attractive. I looked at the differential in interest, which is about \$400,000, and that translates to about \$1 per customer difference. But there are some substantial savings through the remainder of 2008, which would likely be about, I think, over the Option -- Option D over Option C, it's about just under \$5 or more. And, of course, you have a little bit

of an increase in 2009. But it certainly allows people some adequate time to plan for that increase. The utility is adequately protected. Again, as I previously mentioned, our regulatory policy is to have better than average ROEs and, you know, they're receiving interest on the amounts that are underrecovered.

But Option D, again, just in a nutshell, I just wanted to put that out as a basis for alternate consideration over and above the staff recommendation because I did think that it had some substantial benefit to consumers that, again, was attractive.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Argenziano.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I agree with Commissioner Skop. I like Option D. I think it provides the best scenario, I think, for the consumer, and taking into consideration the cost recovery for the company also. So I'm, I'm favorable to Option D. I think it's less of a, of a jolt to the consumer.

And I also just wanted to make the comment, as I mentioned before, the reason I asked about the rate case is because I want to be consistent in my other votes, with my other votes, that I cannot go for the -- I could not take the vote without knowing that we've had a Commission rate case for the company. And since Gulf has had that in '02, I feel comfortable in doing so today.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Commissioner.

Commissioner Edgar, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. I would like to ask staff to speak to why they recommended Option C over Option D, and also share -- from hearing the comments from Commissioner Argenziano and Commissioner Skop, that they're considering Option D to perhaps be better for the consumer. What gives me pause with that is that jump between December of '08 to January of '09, which is in Option D, you know, more of a bump with Option D than with Option C. And maybe again just to -- you know, because Santa Clause comes to my house, and I'm thinking December to January.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ho, ho, ho.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: But, again, what I found attractive about Option C was the more level approach over the full term that we are looking at, and with that larger bump between September through December, from September through December through January to June, that does give me some pause as to why that would be, would be better for consumers. So I'd just like to throw that out and maybe have a little more discussion.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Staff, you're recognized.

MR. LESTER: We primarily went with Option C because basically the increase occurs and the bills stay fairly level from September 2008 on through all of 2009, and I believe the

company worked it out that way. You can see that on the fuel cost recovery line for Option C, just the fuel cost recovery portion of the bill stays virtually level for those three periods. So, I mean, there's no real hard science on this or anything. But we, we went with the idea that, you know, the increase would occur and then the bills would stay relatively level and that would provide rate stability for customers, and at least we view that as a good planning value for customers.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN CARTER: You're recognized.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I hadn't thought about Commissioner Edgar's point. That time of year is a time when, you know, that is a time of year when consumers are spending a lot of money on other things or trying to spend some money on other things for Christmas and the holiday season, and that is a consideration also.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Edgar.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. And just to follow up on that note, the response from staff. I will harken back again to the discussion that we had on, I think it was July 1st about some of these items. And at that point I noted, or in that discussion I noted that, you know, we, we all want to do what is best for consumers, realizing just how hard it is with the fuel cost increases in every facet of our life and that there is really no right or wrong answer, and I appreciate

Commissioner Skop bringing, you know, a different approach.

I do see Option C as being, again, more consistent with the approach that we used earlier on the other two midcourse corrections, and that more stable across the time period is, is attractive to me. But, again, I appreciate having some discussion about the different options.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And I agree with everything that Commissioner Edgar said. Just the, you know, to me it's flip a coin between C and D. You know, again, if we were purists, the 50/50 would be probably the most fairest of all, but I'm going to base it on what I have before me.

Again, just Option D was solely attractive because, again, you know, as Christmas and the holidays come, you know, you can send a price signal now that results in people having less disposable income to do other things or stimulate the economy. So I guess my philosophy, and, you know, I don't have anything other to base it on other than hope, but it seems to me that the, if we were to go with Option D and grant the 6 percent increase through the remainder of 2008 for the next four months, that's, you know, a little bit less than the 11.3 percent under Option C. And, of course, you know, as the year begins, you know, the percent change increases, you know, not really dramatically, but, again, you can go for

stabilization or near-term economic relief. And I just think I favor near-term economic relief to the extent that I think it will help stimulate the economy.

And when the economy turns or when we get to the beginning of the year it also facilitates organizations, schools, local governments with planning, and consumers for planning ahead for 2009. But also, too, getting to 2009, that's when a lot of these cost of living adjustments kind of kick in, at least some of the research that I've asked staff to do indicated that. So it would allow consumers to better absorb that, particularly those that are, you know, on retirees or Social Security recipients, they get that cost of living adjustment, which would help defray the increase to some extent in 2009. So I can't say that one is better than the other. I just took an alternate approach in looking at why Option D I thought was attractive just by virtue of the near-term economic relief that would help stimulate Florida's economy.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I was just, I was just -- excuse me for thinking out loud. I was just looking at the perspective on, on Option C, which obviously I favor Option C, but I was looking at the -- you start out at -- and most of the customers would probably want to know, how much is it going to cost me per month? And the percentages are here and there, but they want to know, how much is it going to cost me per month? So you're looking at \$113.76 for the remainder of '08. Then in

January of '09 it's like \$116.59, if I'm reading that correctly. And then for the rest of the, from January to June and then for the rest of '09 you go to \$113.95. Is that correct, staff?

MR. LESTER: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: And on D, Commissioners, you start out at a lower rate obviously, \$108.36 for the rest of this year. Then you pick up -- you're \$3 more that first period in January to June, from \$116 -- well, \$2 more, \$116.59 to \$118. And then you finish out the year from \$2 more, \$113.95 to \$115.85. So I guess, you know, you -- it does seem a little more stable. You're going from \$113 -- in Option C you go from \$113 up to about almost \$3 more for about six months, then you come back down to the \$113 and pretty much round out there.

In Option D you start out at \$108 and then you go up \$10 more for the first six months, and then you, you come down maybe \$3 for the remainder. So I guess it's six of one, half a dozen of the other.

I still think that Option C seems to be the more -and when you look at just how much people are going to pay per
month, people can really understand what's the bottom line.

And it seems to me the bottom line is, is, seems to be a little
clearer in Option C, Commissioners. And we're just in
discussion, so I'd love to hear what you have to say about
that.

Commissioner Skop, you're recognized.

7

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

And, you know, like I say, I think it is, like you say, six of one, half a dozen of another, flip a coin. I quess to me the only thing I can distinguish, again, between that -because I think both, both options are acceptable and, you know, I'll adopt the will of the Commission. I just thought that the, you know, for the remaining four months of 2008, you know, having the \$108.36 versus the \$113.76 might serve some, a small benefit to the consumers. I mean, granted they're going to have a cost increase either way in 2009, but that difference is, you know, splitting hairs; \$2 across the board in Option D over Option C. And, again, I just don't have anything other than, you know, maybe that would assist people in planning and buy them a little time in the near-term. But that's the only things that I can offer that Option D would be just more attractive. I think they're about the same, as you correctly pointed out.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner McMurrian.

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Thank you, Chairman.

I'm not trying to be argumentative, but I don't see the difference in Option C and D as flipping a coin. I truly am not, Commissioner Skop.

I can't support Option D for the same reasons I couldn't support Option C last time. I think that it's putting

off too much for tomorrow that we need to be taking care of today, because I'm still concerned that we're going to see greater increases even in fuel costs next year. And I know that Gulf has made projections and it looks like Option C will sort of level out, at least what they think will happen as of, as of this point or as of their filing. But I just, I can't go along with Option D.

And I do note that we will be in Chipley tomorrow on a different matter, and that is in Gulf Power's territory. And I truly do believe that we can tell them with a straight face that with Option C we've done the right thing for them. And so I will be supporting staff's rec on Issues 1 through 3, and I'll make a motion at the appropriate time.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Argenziano.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Can you hear me, Mr.

Chairman?

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. I, as I said before, I like D for the reasons Commissioner Skop had indicated. But Commissioner Edgar brought up a very good point which I had not considered, and it was the time of year. And a lot of families are going to be in dire straits. There's going to be people in dire straits just because of the increases anyway. I mean, I don't know how -- I said it before, I just don't know how much more the consumer can handle, although I know that companies

are entitled to their recoveries. 1 So I think that at this point C is going to be my 2 option. I think it's at the level, it's a more level bump, as 3 we might say, and not such a harsh bump in a time of holiday 4 season. And I'm not so sure that, you know, how things are 5 going to come out in the future. I just don't know how much 6 7 more the consumer can really handle. But at this point, Mr. Chairman, Option C is the one I'm going to go for. 8 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Commissioner. 9 Commissioner McMurrian, you're recognized. 10 COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: I'll move staff rec on 11 12 Issues 1 through 3. 13 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Second. CHAIRMAN CARTER: It's been moved and properly 14 seconded. Commissioners, any further questions or debate? 15 Hearing none, all those in favor, let it be known by the sign 16 17 of aye. (Unanimous affirmative vote.) 18 All those opposed, like sign. Show it done. 19 20 (Agenda Item 13 concluded.) 21 22 23 24

25

1	STATE OF FLORIDA )
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically
7	reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this
8	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of saproceedings.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee,
10	attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel
11	connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS 1345 day of August, 2008.
13	
14	Dunda Boles
15	LINDA BOLÉS, RPR, CRR FPSC Official Commission Reporter
16	(850) 413-6734
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	