10/31/20083:59:12 PM1age 1 of 1

Ruth Nettles

From:	Pam Keillor [pkeillor@radeylaw.com]	
Sent:	Friday, October 31, 2008 3:54 PM	
То:	Filings@psc.state.fl.us	
Cc:	Kathryn Cowdery; Beth Salak; Bill McCollum; Carolyn Mason; Carolyn Ridley; Cecilia Bradley; Cindy Miller; Dale Mailho David Konuch; Douglas Nelson; Floyd Self; Gail Marie Perry; Howard Adams; J.R. Kelly; Jeffry Wahlen; Marsha Rule; Mike Twomey; Rebecca Ballesteros; Samantha Cibula; Scott Boyd; Vicki Kaufman; Wink Infinger	
Subject:	Docket No. 080641-TP	

Attachments: Post-Workshop Comments.pdf

Electronic Filing

a. Person responsible for this electronic filing:

Susan F. Clark Radey Thomas Yon & Clark, P.A. 301 South Bronough Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 425-6654 sclark@radeylaw.com

- b. Docket No. 080641-TP In re: Initiation of rulemaking to amend and repeal rules in Chapters 25-4 and 25-9, F.A.C., pertaining to telecommunications
- c. Document being filed on behalf of Verizon Florida LLC, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida, Embarq Florida, Inc., Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom and Windstream Florida, Inc. (the Petitioners)
- d. There are 11 pages attached.
- e. The document attached for electronic filing is the Post-Workshop Comments of Verizon Florida LLC, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida, Embarg Florida, Inc., Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom and Windstream Florida, Inc.

(See attached file: Post-Workshop Comments)

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Pam L. Keillor Assistant to Susan F. Clark and Travis L. Miller Radey Thomas Yon & Clark, P.A. Post Office Box 10967 (32302) 301 South Bronough Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 425-6654 Main (850) 425-6663 Direct (850) 425-6694 Fax Email: pkeillor@radeylaw.com

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify me by replying to this message and permanently delete the original and any copy of this e-mail and any printout thereof.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

RADEY ITHOMAS IYON ICLARK

Attorneys & Counselors at Law

POST OFFICE BOX 10967 (32302) 301 SOUTH BRONOUGH STREET, SUITE 200 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 www.radeylaw.com

850-425-6654 phone 850-425-6694 fax KAREN ASHER-COHEN DONNA E. BLANTON SUSAN F. CLARK EDWARD B. COLE BERT L. COMBS THOMAS A. CRABB TONI A. EGAN JEFFREY L. FREHN CHRISTOPHER B. LUNNY ELIZABETH MCARTHUR STEPHEN K. MCDANIEL TRAVIS L. MILLER JOHN RADEY LISA C. SCOLES HARRY O. THOMAS DAVID A. YON

lscoles@radeylaw.com

October 31, 2008

Ms. Ann Cole Director of Office of Commission Clerk Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 080641-TP In re: Initiation of rulemaking to amend and repeal rules in Chapters 25-4 and 25-9, F.A.C., pertaining to telecommunications

Dear Ms. Cole:

Attached are the Post-Workshop Comments of Verizon Florida LLC, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida, Embarq Florida, Inc., Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a/ TDS Telecom, and Windstream Florida, Inc., following the October 10, 2008, workshop in the above-referenced docket.

Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa C. Scoles Radey, Thomas Yon & Clark, P.A. Attorney for the Petitioners

Attachment

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

0317 OCT 31 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Initiation of rulemaking to amend and repeal rules in Chapters 25-4 and 25-9, F.A.C., pertaining to telecommunications DOCKET NO. 080641-TP

FILED: 10-31-08

Petitioners' Post-Workshop Comments (October 10, 2008, Workshop)

I. Introduction

Verizon Florida LLC, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida, Embarq Florida, Inc., Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a/ TDS Telecom, and Windstream Florida, Inc. (collectively, the "Petitioners"¹) submit these Post-Workshop Comments. At the conclusion of the Florida Public Service Commission's ("Commission") October 10, 2008, workshop, Staff stated that post-workshop comments were not required because the parties already had commented on the proposed rules following previous workshops. The Petitioners therefore stand on their previous comments in Docket No. 080159-TP and file these comments only to address one argument made by the Office of Public Counsel ("OPC") at the workshop and to confirm their position regarding certain rules.

II. Response to OPC's Argument

OPC argued that because the Petitioners and the Competitive Carriers for the South ("CompSouth") have agreed that the proposed rule changes would not affect wholesale performance measures for Verizon Florida LLC, AT&T Florida and Embarq Florida, Inc., it

1

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10317 OCT 31 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

¹ The companies listed are the petitioners in Docket No. 080159-TP, in which amendment or repeal of the rules at issue in this docket was first proposed.

would not be appropriate to eliminate retail service objectives.² Specifically, OPC's counsel stated:

So what they've done [through the ILECs' stipulation with CompSouth]... is affirmed the appropriateness and necessity of those [service quality] measurements on the wholesale side, and it seems a bit anomalous that having done that for the wholesale side, they then want to eliminate it on the residential side. ... So I think the stipulation is important ... as an affirmation of the necessity and appropriateness of measuring service quality and having consequences flow for them.³

OPC ignores the purposes served by the wholesale performance measures on the one hand and retail service objectives on the other. In fact, they address different markets and serve different policy goals.

Wholesale performance measures were developed during the implementation of the 1996 Telecommunications Act (the "Act") to monitor whether Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers ("ILECs") were providing Competitive Local Exchange Carriers ("CLECs") with nondiscriminatory access to their Operating Support Systems. Nondiscriminatory access was thought necessary to give CLECs using ILECs' systems (for example, to resell services or lease unbundled network elements) a meaningful opportunity to compete with their wholesale providers. Moreover, the wholesale service quality measures and self-effectuating enforcement mechanisms adopted by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (now doing business as AT&T Florida) enabled it to demonstrate that it had met the 14 point checklist under section 271 of the Act, which was required before the company could offer in-region long distance service. In short, wholesale performance measurement requirements were developed to measure ILECs' compliance with federal law that required them to provide access to competitors that requested to use their systems.

² Transcript ("T") at 37-38.

³ T.38.

The service objectives at issue in this docket and in Docket No. 080159-TP apply to retail, not wholesale, customers. The Petitioners therefore have not raised any issues in this docket or in Docket No. 080159-TP concerning whether competitive *wholesale* alternatives are available to CLECs or whether CLECs should continue to have access to ILECs' networks. What the Petitioners have addressed are the competitive *retail* alternatives available to Florida consumers from multiple providers competing fiercely for their business. This retail competition is highly relevant to the question of whether retail service objectives should be eliminated because retail competition disciplines behavior and drives competitors to provide optimal service levels. The wholesale issues raised by OPC, on the other hand, have no relevance here and should be disregarded because they pertain to nondiscriminatory access to ILEC systems.

III. Petitioners' Position on Specific Rules

Staff has asked the Petitioners to confirm that they do not object to the retention of three rules that they previously had sought to repeal. Accordingly, the Petitioners withdraw their request to repeal the following rules: Rules 25-4.202, F.A.C., Construction; 25-4.210, F.A.C., Service Evaluations and Investigations; and 25-4.214, F.A.C., Tariff Filings.

Staff has also asked the Petitioners to respond to Staff's proposed rule revisions to Rules 25-4.071, F.A.C., Adequacy of Service, and 25-4.074, F.A.C., Intercept Service. The Petitioners provide their response in Attachment 1.

Finally, Staff requested that Petitioners state their position on which rules in Parts II and IV of Chapter 25-4 should apply to residential services only. As a preliminary matter, Petitioners note that they have requested that many of the rules in Parts II and IV be repealed and, to the extent their request is granted, the scope of those rules obviously would be moot.

Without waiving their request for repeal of those rules in Parts II and IV, Petitioners state that, if

not repealed, the following rules should apply to residential services only:

- Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., Periodic Reports
- Rule 25-4.021, F.A.C., System Maps and Records⁴
- Rule 25-4.024, F.A.C., Held Applications⁵
- Rule 25-4.066, F.A.C., Availability of Service⁶
- Rule 25-4.0665, F.A.C., Lifeline Service⁷
- Rule 25-4.067, F.A.C., Extension of Facilities Contributions in Aid of Construction⁸
- Rule 25-4.070, F.A.C., Customer Trouble Reports
- Rule 25-4.073, F.A.C., Answering Time
- Rule 25-4.077, F.A.C., Metering and Recording Equipment⁹
- Rule 25-4.085, F.A.C., Service Guarantee Program

IV. Conclusion

The Petitioners appreciate the opportunity to make these comments and look forward to

continuing to work with Staff and the other parties in this docket.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Susan F. Clark Susan F. Clark Lisa C. Scoles Radey Thomas Yon & Clark, P.A. 301 S. Bronough Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 425-6654 (phone) Attorneys for the Petitioners

⁴ See Commission Order PSC-08-0604-NOR-TP, which proposed repeal of this rule.

⁵ See Commission Order PSC-08-0604-NOR-TP, which proposed repeal of this rule.

⁶ The Petitioners note that this rule, if not repealed, may need to be reassessed in light of the sunsetting of the ILECs' Carrier of Last Resort obligations.

⁷ The Petitioners are *not* suggesting that this rule be repealed, but that the rule applies to residential services only.

⁸ The Petitioners note that this rule may need to be reassessed in light of the sunsetting of the ILECs' Carrier of Last Resort obligations.

⁹ See Commission Order PSC-08-0604-NOR-TP, which proposed repeal of this rule.

/s/ Dulaney L. O'Roark III

Dulaney L. O'Roark III P. O. Box 110, MC FLTC0007 Tampa, Florida 33601-0110 (678) 259-1449 (phone) Attorney for Verizon Florida LLC

<u>/s/ E. Earl Edenfield, Jr.</u>
E. Earl Edenfield, Jr.
Tracy W. Hatch
Manuel A. Gurdian
c/o Gregory R. Follensbee
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(305) 347-5558 (phone)
Attorneys for BellSouth Telecommunications,
Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida

<u>/s/ Lisa S. Foshee</u> Lisa S. Foshee J. Phillip Carver AT&T Southeast 675 West Peachtree Street, Suite 4300 Atlanta, Georgia (404) 335-0710 (phone) Attorneys for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida

/s/ Susan S. Masterton Susan S. Masterton 1313 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 599-1560 (phone) Attorney for Embarg Florida, Inc.

/s/ Peter R. Healey

Peter R. Healy 525 Junction Road, Suite 7000 Madison, WI 53717 (608) 664-4117 (phone) Attorney for TDS Telecom /s/ J. Jeffry Wahlen J. Jeffry Wahlen Ausley & McMullen P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 (850) 224-9115 (phone) Attorney for Windstream Florida, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been sent via

electronic mail or U.S. Mail this 31st day of October, 2008, upon the following:

Cynthia Miller, Esq. Office of General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 <u>cmiller@psc.state.fl.us</u>

Kathryn Cowdery, Esq. Office of General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 kcowdery@psc.state.fl.us

J.R. Kelly Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 OPC WEBSITE@LEG.STATE.FL.US

David A. Knouch, Esq. Florida Cable Telecomm. Assoc. Inc. 246 E. 6th Avenue Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 <u>dkonuch@fcta.com</u>

Marsha E. Rule, Esq. Rutledge Law Firm 215 South Monroe Street P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551 <u>marsha@reuphlaw.com</u> Ms. Gail Marie Perry Communications Workers of America PO Box 1766 Pompano Beach, FL 33601 <u>cwacouncil@earthlink.net</u>

Michael B. Twomey, Esq. AARP P.O. Box 5256 Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256 <u>miketwomey@talstar.com</u>

Bill McCollum, Esq./Cecilia Bradley, Esq. Office of the Attorney General The Capitol – PL01 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1052 <u>iwmjr@cfl.rr.com</u> cecilia.bradley@myfloridalegal.com

Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esq. Competitive Carriers of the South, Inc. c/o Anchors Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 <u>vkaufman@asglegal.com</u>

Mr. Wink Infinger Department of Management Services 4030 Esplanade Way, Suite 160C Tallahassee, FL 32399-0950 Wink.Infinger@dms.myflorida.com Scott Boyd, Esq. Administrative Procedures Committee Holland Building, Room 120 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300 Boyd.scott@leg.state.fl.us

Ms. Carolyn Mason Department of Management Communication & Information Technology 4030 Esplanade Way Suite 125 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0950 Carolyn.mason@dms.myflorida.com

Floyd R. Self, Esq. Messer Law Firm 2618 Centennial Place Tallahassee, FL 32308 fself@lawfla.com

Ms. Carolyn Ridley Time Warner Telcom 555 Church Street Suite 2300 Nashville, TN 37219 Carolyn.Ridley@TWTelecom.Com Mr. Douglas C. Nelson Sprint Nextel 233 Peachtree St., NE, Suite 2200 Atlanta, GA 30303 douglas.c.nelson@sprint.com

Ms. Rebecca Ballesteros Intrado Communications, Inc. 1601 Dry Creek Drive Longmont, CO 80503 Rebecca.Ballesteros@Intrado.com

Howard E. Adams, Esq. Pennington Law Firm Post Office Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095 gene@penningtonlaw.com

<u>/s/ Susan F. Clark</u> Susan F. Clark

ATTACHMENT 1

.

ATTACHMENT 1

Staff's Proposed Change	ILECs' Proposed Change	Comments
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(2) Subscriber lines which are temporarily disconnected for nonpayment	······································	
of bills shall be placed on intercept.		
(3) Intercept service shall be provided for calls to invalid numbers.		
(4b) Any 7-digit number (or other number serving a public safety or other		
emergency agency) when replaced by the universal emergency number		
"911" shall be intercepted by either a telecommunications company		
assistance or a public safety agency operator or special recorded		
announcement for at least one year or until the next directory issue. Also,		
lintercept service or alternative routing to a default number shall be		
provided for the universal emergency telephone number "911" shall be		
provided in central offices where the number is inoperable. The intercept		
service may be automated with a message indicating the "911" emergency		· ·
number is inoperable in that area and to consult the directory for the		
appropriate emergency number or if a directory is not available to dial		
operator for assistance.		