

COMMISSIONERS:
MATTHEW M. CARTER II, CHAIRMAN
LISA POLAK EDGAR
KATRINA J. MCMURRIAN
NANCY ARGENZIANO
NATHAN A. SKOP

STATE OF FLORIDA



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL
PATRICK L. "BOOTER" INGRAM
GENERAL COUNSEL
(850) 413-6199

COMMISSION
CLERK

09 MAR 10 AM 11:02

RECEIVED-FPSC

Public Service Commission

March 9, 2009

Lee L. Willis/James D. Beasley, Esquires
Ausley Law Firm
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL
STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST
MID-COURSE CORRECTION

Re: DOCKET NO. 090001-EI - Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

Dear Messrs Willis & Beasley:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Tampa Electric Company (TECO) provide responses to the following data requests.

1. Please provide the NYMEX forward curve for natural gas prices for each month of 2009 as of October 3, 2008 and as of the date of the revised estimates.
2. Please provide the forward curve for light oil for each month of 2009 as of October 3, 2008 and as of the date of the revised estimates.
3. Please provide the forward curve for heavy oil (1% SO₂) for each month of 2009 as of October 3, 2008 and as of the date of the revised estimates.
4. Please provide the forward curve for heavy oil (1.5% SO₂) for each month of 2009 as of October 3, 2008 and as of the date of the revised estimates.
5. For TECO coal units, please provide the forward curve for prices for each month of 2009 as of October 3, 2008 and as of the date of the revised estimates.
6. Do the number of MWH in the mid-course petition match the number of MWH included in the rate case MFRs, schedule B-18?
7. Does the customer charge reflect the dollars requested in the rate case with no adjustments? What would schedule E10 total bill be if the adjustments staff recommended in the rate case are made to the customer charge?

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01933 MAR 10 08

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Lee L. Willis/James D. Beasley, Esquires

Page 2

March 9, 2009

8. With regard to the \$35,402,527, 2008 final true-up, based on TECO's current estimates for 2009, what would be the December 2009 true-up balance as a percent of 2009's revenue?
9. Please provide the actual and realized hedging effects for natural gas as of the date of the revised estimates for 2009.
10. Does TECO have any purchased power supply agreements for which it is responsible for providing natural gas to the generators? Please explain. If yes, did TECO reproject the price of natural gas for these agreements?
11. As part of its filing, did TECO reproject the cost of purchased power? Please explain.
12. In calculating the mid-course correction to its fuel factors, did TECO reproject the fuel costs and gains associated with power sales. Please explain.
13. Why have projected megawatt hour sales been revised downward?
14. For 2009, what percent of actual and estimated coal costs are based on spot purchases?

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by Friday, March 13, 2009, with Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6230 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Lisa C. Bennett
Senior Attorney

LCB:th

cc: Office of Commission Clerk
Docket No. 090001-EI (Parties)