1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 DOCKET NO. 090032-TI 3 4 In the Matter of: 5 BANKRUPTCY CANCELLATION BY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF IXC 6 REGISTRATION NO. TI904, ISSUED TO INTEGRETEL, INC., EFFECTIVE 7 DECEMBER 31, 2008. 8 9 10 PROCEEDINGS: AGENDA CONFERENCE 11 ITEM NO. 7 12 BEFORE: CHAIRMAN MATTHEW M. CARTER, II COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR 13 COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. McMURRIAN COMMISSIONER NANCY ARGENZIANO 14 COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP Tuesday, April 7, 2009 15 DATE: Betty Easley Conference Center 16 PLACE: Room 148 17 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 18 REPORTED BY: LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR 19 Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734 20 21 22 23 24

25

1 PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Now, Commissioners and staff, we move to Item 7.

MS. ISLER: Item 7 is staff's recommendation to cancel Integretel's IXC registration due to bankruptcy and write off the 2007 regulatory assessment fee.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioners, we're in discussion. Commissioner Skop, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I had a concern on this. I spoke to staff yesterday extensively on Issue, I mean, on Item 7 and Item 9, but I'll deal with Item 7 first.

This is a Chapter 11 debtor in possession bankruptcy case. And I guess my concern would be we did get part of the two thousand -- or we did get the 2008 RAFs paid to us by the restructuring officer or the debtor in possession. Apparently, again, looking at some additional documentation that wasn't included in the staff recommendation, the assets are not sufficient to cover the outstanding debts of the company.

But nevertheless, you know, part of the issue or the issue raised when staff approached the debtor in possession on the 2007 RAFs was that they responded that we had not filed a proof of claim with the bankruptcy

court and therefore we were barred from seeking those, and I guess that's at the bottom of Page 3.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I quess getting down to my point about diligence and, again, protecting the state's interest, there's a time certainly where we're not aware of a bankruptcy filing and there's not a whole lot we can do about it because we've missed the window to file a proof of claim with the bankruptcy court. There are other times, however, and this gets down to internal practice with the Commission, that the clerk receives a notification of a bankruptcy. And if that were the case, I would expect that staff would complete the proof of claim form which takes all of about 30 seconds and only costs a stamp. And in some cases, depending on the court, I think you might be able, even able to fax the form back. But, again, without filing a proof of claim, I think that we're impairing the rights of the state and the state interest in terms of the pre-petition amounts that may or may not be recoverable. In most cases they may not be recoverable, but you don't know if you don't file a claim.

So I think that's where, you know, I've asked staff to take a look at our internal practices to ensure we're diligent, and also too to look at the, specifically what happens under Chapter 362 of the

bankruptcy code, there's the automatic stay that prevents taking action against the debtor. However, when that, the bankruptcy is discharged, that stay is lifted. We need to have a better understanding as to what happens to those claims, whether they're discharged or whether we're still able to pursue the, the, the company after they emerge from bankruptcy.

And they also -- the other thing I've asked staff to do is take a look at with respect to the regulatory assessment fee, if that were construed as a tax rather than a fee under bankruptcy law, then it would have a higher priority for the Commission to be able to have a claim paid by the debtor in possession.

So, again, those are just things that I've asked our staff to take a look at internally to ensure that we're diligent in protecting the state's interest in the outstanding pre-petition amounts. And with that, I would move staff recommendation.

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: It's been moved and properly seconded that we adopt staff's recommendation on Item 7. Commissioners, any further discussion? Any debate? Hearing none, all in favor, let it be known by the sign of aye.

(Unanimous affirmative vote.)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
8	and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
12	financially interested in the action.
13	DATED THIS day of April, 2009.
14	Junda Boles
15	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR FPSC Official Commission Reporter
16	(850) 413-6734
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	