BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MECE/VED
O9 MAY -7
09 MAY - 7 PM 2:5-

In Re: Petition for Approval of 2007
Revisions to Underground Residential
and Commercial Distribution Tariff, by
Florida Power & Light Company

DOCKET NO. 070231-EAMISSION

In re: Petition for Approval of Underground Conversion Tariff Revisions by Florida Power & Light Company

) DOCKET NO. 080244-EI) SERVED: MAY 7, 2009

NOTICE OF FILING REVISED SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT PJR-13

The Municipal Underground Utilities Consortium (the "MUUC"), the Town of Palm Beach, Florida, the City of Coconut Creek, Florida, and the Town of Jupiter Inlet Colony, Florida, hereby submit the attached REVISED Supplemental Exhibit PJR-13 in support of the testimony of Peter J. Rant, P.E. in these proceedings. This exhibit was referenced in Mr. Rant's testimony filed in these dockets on April 14, 2009, and relates to detailed calculations of proposed charges for underground service in new construction applications (URD charges). The tables show the recommended values for URD charges, and the graphs illustrate the results of applying the formula for Tier 2 projects. The revisions were necessitated when

an inadvertent arithmetic error was discovered in one of the Continuous cost values; specifically, the value for Litigation/Accident costs that was used to develop the MUUC's estimated O&M cost differential was discovered to be an annual

CLK CF. Reporter

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

04428 HAY-78

number that had not been projected over the 30-year period of the analysis.

Anticipating Florida Power & Light's legitimate interest in having an explanation of this exhibit, and also in an effort to provide the equivalent of an advance interrogatory answer, the MUUC is also transmitting a revised written explanation of the methodology underlying Exhibit PJR-13 under cover of a letter to the parties listed on the certificate of service below.

Respectfully submitted this 7th day of May, 2009.

Robert Scheffel Wright Florida Bar No. 96672

John T. LaVia, III

Florida Bar No. 853666

Young van Assenderp, P.A.

225 South Adams Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(850) 222-7206 Telephone

(850) 561-6834 Facsimile

Attorneys for the Municipal Underground Utilities Consortium

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished to the following, by electronic and U.S. Mail, on this $\underline{7th}$ day of May 2009.

Ralph Jaeger, Esquire Erik Sayler, Esquire Florida Public Service Commission Division of Legal Services 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

R. Wade Litchfield, Esquire Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, FL 33408

Kenneth Hoffman, Esquire (*Also served by hand delivery.) Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859

Florida Power & Light Company Ken Rubin, Esquire John T. Butler, Esquire 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Marlene K. Stern, Esquire Nabors, Giblin & Nickerson, P.A. 1500 Mahan Drive, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32308

UPDATED POWERSERVICES, INC. ANALYSIS

URD ADJUSTMENTS TO CIAC

Docket Nos. 080244-EI and 070231-EI Recommended URD Changes Supp. Exhibit _____ (PJR-13) REVISED - May 7, 2009 Page 1 of 4

SECTION 10.3 UNDERGROUND DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES FOR RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISIONS AND DEVELOPMENTS

			FPL Proposed Applicant	MUUC Proposed Applicant
			Contribution	Contribution
1.		Where density is 6.0 or more dwelling units per acre:		
	1.1	Buildings that do not exceed four units,		
		townhouses, and mobile homes - per service lateral		
		1. Subdivisions with 300 or more total service laterals	\$0.00	\$89.03
		2. Subdivisions from 100 to 299 total service laterals	\$203.19	\$110.06
		3. Subdivisions less than 100 total service laterals	\$280.19	\$117.07
	1.2	Mobile homes having Customer-owned services from meter		
		center installed adjacent to the FPL primary trench route		
		per dwelling unit		
		1. Subdivisions with 300 or more total service laterals	\$0.00	\$0.00
		2. Subdivisions from 100 to 299 total service laterals	\$19.15	\$0.00
		3. Subdivisions less than 100 total service laterals	\$96.15	\$0.00
2.		Where density is 0.5 or greater, but less than 6.0 dwelling units		
		per acre:		
		Buildings that do not exceed four units,		
		townhouses, and mobile homes - per service lateral		
		1. Subdivisions with 200 or more total service laterals	\$424.23	\$357.71
		2. Subdivisions from 85 to 199 total service laterals	\$654.23	\$442.19
		3. Subdivisions less than 85 total service laterals	\$731.23	\$470.35

3. Where the density is less than 0.5 dwelling units per acre, or the Distribution System is of non-standard design, individual cost estimates will be used to determine the differential cost as specified in Paragraph 10.2.5

UPDATED POWERSERVICES, INC. ANALYSIS

URD ADJUSTMENT TO CIAC

Docket Nos. 080244-EI and 070231-EI Recommended URD Changes Supp. Exhibit ______ (PJR-13) REVISED - May 7, 2009 Page 2 of 4

Operational Cost / Lot

Low Density Pre-Operational Cost Post-Operational Cost	Lot Density	<u>Non-Storm</u>	<u>Storm</u>	Total	Cost Differential \$563.23
Tier 1 - GAF Equivalent	(>200)	(\$64.72)	(\$140.81)	(\$205.52)	\$357.71
Tier 2 - Mid-Band (40%) ¹	(85-199)	(\$64.72)	(\$56.32)	(\$121.04)	\$442.19
Tier 3 - Baseline (20%)	<(85)	(\$64.72)	(\$28.16)	(\$92.88)	\$470.35
High Density Pre-Operational Cost Post-Operational Cost	Lot Density	<u>Non-Storm</u>	<u>Storm</u>	<u>Total</u>	Cost Differential \$140.19
Tier 1 - GAF Equivalent	(>300)	(\$16.11)	(\$35.05)	(\$51.16)	\$89.03
Tier 2 - Mid-Band (40%) ¹	(100-299)	(\$16.11)	(\$14.02)	(\$30.13)	\$110.06
Tier 3 - Baseline (20%)	(<100)	(\$16.11)	(\$7.01)	(\$23.12)	\$117.07
			Operational Cost / Lot		
Meter Pedestal	Lot Density	Non-Storm	Storm	Total	Cost Differential
Pre-Operational Cost				<u> </u>	\$0.00
Post-Operational Cost					V 0100
Tier 1 - GAF Equivalent	(>300)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 ²
Tier 2 - Mid-Band (40%)	(100-299)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 ²
Tier 3 - Baseline (20%)	(<100)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 2

 $^{^1}$ Tier 2 level represented here based upon the proposed formula calculation. For projects between Tier 1 and Tier 3 the formula listed below is proposed:

Low Density

$$URD_{charge} = 357.71 + \left\{ 112.64 - \left[\left(\left(\frac{NU}{85} \right) - 1 \right)^2 \times \left(\frac{112.64}{1.83} \right) \right] \right\}$$

High Density

$$URD_{charge} = 89.03 + \left\{ 28.04 - \left[\left(\left(\frac{NU}{100} \right) - 1 \right)^2 \times \left(\frac{28.04}{4} \right) \right] \right\}$$

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ Since the Pre-operational Cost Differential is in fact negative, there should be no charges to meter pedestal customers.

CIAC Distribution Low Density



