

Katie Ely

090074

From: Dorothy Menasco
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 11:14 AM
To: Katherine Fleming
Cc: Katie Ely

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 05755-09		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Subject: RE: New filing in Docket No. 090076-TI (Americatel Corporation d/b/a 1010 123 Americatel d/b/a 10-15-688 AMETEX d/b/a 1 800 3030 123 Ame et al.)

Great! Thank you for your help, Katherine!

Katie, as follow-up, please place the letter mentioned below in consumer correspondence for Dockets 090079, 090009, and 090144.

From: Katherine Fleming
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 11:11 AM
To: Dorothy Menasco
Cc: Katie Ely
Subject: RE: New filing in Docket No. 090076-TI (Americatel Corporation d/b/a 1010 123 Americatel d/b/a 10-15-688 AMETEX d/b/a 1 800 3030 123 Ame et al.)

I would include it in both, to be on the safe side. I would also include it in Docket No. 090144-EI (that's the docket that addresses Bartow). Hope this helps!

From: Dorothy Menasco
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 11:10 AM
To: Katherine Fleming
Cc: Katie Ely
Subject: FW: New filing in Docket No. 090076-TI (Americatel Corporation d/b/a 1010 123 Americatel d/b/a 10-15-688 AMETEX d/b/a 1 800 3030 123 Ame et al.)

Katherine,

It looks like this document (DN 05755-09) included the wrong docket number when it was filed with our office. The content of the letter wasn't caught before it was scanned in. Can you please advise if this letter should be placed in Docket 090079 (Progress rate increase) or 090009 (Nuclear cost recovery clause). I only ask for clarification since the letter does mention a "new nuclear facility" in the future. Thank you for your help!

Dorothy

From: Charles Murphy
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 10:25 AM
To: Filings Clerk; Melinda Watts
Cc: Jennifer Brubaker
Subject: RE: New filing in Docket No. 090076-TI (Americatel Corporation d/b/a 1010 123 Americatel d/b/a 10-15-688 AMETEX d/b/a 1 800 3030 123 Ame et al.)

6/9/2009

I think this customer complaint has been filed in the wrong docket. Should it go to someone in electric?

From: Filings Clerk

Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 9:28 AM

To: Charles Murphy; Melinda Watts

Subject: New filing in Docket No. 090076-TI (Americatel Corporation d/b/a 1010 123 Americatel d/b/a 10-15-688 AMETEX d/b/a 1 800 3030 123 Ame et al.)

DOCKET TITLE = Petition for waiver of carrier selection requirements of Rule 25-4.118, F.A.C., to allow Startec Global Operating Company to transfer long distance customer accounts to Americatel Corporation; and request for cancellation of IXC Registration No. TK051, effective on consummation of transaction.

UTILITY NAME = Americatel Corporation d/b/a 1010 123 Americatel d/b/a 10-15-688 AMETEX d/b/a 1 800 3030 123 Ame (et al.)

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION = Docket correspondence - Consumers and their representatives. [CLK note: Subsequent correspondence from consumers will be appended to this document.]

DOCUMENT PATH =

<file:///L:\PSC\LIBRARY\FILINGS\09\05755-09>

Document ID = 05755-09

Document Filed 06-08-09

The filing described above is now available in PDF format.

To access it, click on the DOCUMENT PATH link. This will pop up a Windows Explorer window. You will see the PDF (Adobe Acrobat) version; you will also see other versions if they exist. Double-click on the preferred version and the document will open.

If you need to cut/paste/search in the document and find you can't, try later when the reformatted version is available. (For more information, see PDF Tips on the How To menu.)

This is an automatically generated e-mail; no response/reply is necessary.

6/9/2009