

DATE:	June 16, 2009
TO:	Delvin Higgins, Regulatory Analyst II, Division of Economic Regulation
FROM:	Dale N. Mailhot, Assistant Director, Division of Regulatory Compliance $\mathcal{D}$
RE:	Docket No. 090004GU - Company Name: Chesapake Utilities Corp. Audit Purpose: Energy Conservation Cost Recovery; Company Code: GU616 Audit Control No: 09-028-2-3

Attached is the final audit report for the utility stated above. I am sending the utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send a response to the Office of the Commission Clerk. There are no confidential work papers associated with this audit.

DNM/ch Attachment: Audit Report

cc: Division of Regulatory Compliance (Salak, Mailhot, Harvey, Miami District Office, Tampa District Office, File Folder) Office of Commission Clerk (2) Office of the General Counsel

> Mr. Thomas A. Geoffory Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation P.O. Box 960 Winter Haven, FL 33882-0960

> > DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE () 6042 JUN 188 FPSC-COMMISSION CLEAR

State of Florida <b>Jublic Service Commission</b> CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850 -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-
DATE: May 13, 2008
TO: Dale Mailhot; Assistant Director, Division of Regulatory Compliance
FROM: Lynn Deamer, Division of Regulatory Compliance
RE: Completed Audit Summary Report
Originator: Intesar Terkawi
Company: Chesapeake Utilities Corp.
Audit Control No.: 09-028-2-3 Docket No.: 090004-GU
Purpose of Audit: Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Audit
Auditors Assigned: Audit Hours:
Audit Manager: Intesar Terkawi 134
Audit Supervisor Hours:
Estimated Hours: Total Hours:
Field Work Began: 03/24/2009 Field Work Ended: 05/13/2009
Number of Work Paper Binders: _1
Recommendations for the next audit (use additional paper as necessary - be specific)
DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
06042 JUN 18 8



# FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

## **DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE BUREAU OF AUDITING**

TALLAHASSEE DISTRICT OFFICE

**CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION FLORIDA DIVISION** 

## ENERGY CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY AUDIT

**HISTORICAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008** 

**DOCKET NO. 090004-GU** 

AUDIT CONTROL NO. 09-028-2-3

Intesar Terkawi, Audit Manager

Lynn Deamer, District Audit Supervisor

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	AUDITOR'S REPORT	PAGE
	PURPOSE	1
II.	OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES	2
III.	EXHIBITS	
	ACTUAL CONSERVATION COSTS PER PROGRAM (SCH CT-2, P2)	4
	CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AND INTEREST (SCH CT-3, P2)	5

#### DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AUDITOR'S REPORT

#### May 10, 2009

#### **TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES**

We have performed the procedures enumerated later in this report to meet the agreed upon objectives set forth by the Division of Economic Regulation in its audit service request. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, Florida Division, (CUC) in support of its filing for Energy Conservation Cost Recovery (ECCR) in Docket No. 090004-GU.

This audit is performed following general standards and field work standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards of Attestation Engagements. This report is based on agreed upon procedures and the report is intended only for internal Commission use.

## **OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES:**

#### REVENUES

**Objective:** To verify that CUC has applied the approved ECCR adjustment factors to energy (Therm) sales during the period January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008.

**Procedures:** We recalculated revenues, by month and rate class, using approved Florida Public Service Commission, (FPSC) rate factors and company provided Therm Recoverable sales. We reconciled the filing to the general ledger. We recalculated the energy charge for customer bills selected from various rate classes and determined that the company used the correct rates as approved by the Commission.

## EXPENSES

**Objective:** To verify the accuracy of information filed by CUC regarding conservation costs for the period January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008 per Commission Rule 25-17.015, F.A.C.

**Procedures:** We reconciled the ECCR filing to the general ledger and to supporting documentation provided by the company. We performed specific testing as described in the following procedures.

**Objective:** Determine whether expenditures for which recovery is claimed through the ECCR are allowable expenses.

**Procedures:** We compiled ECCR expenses and agreed same to the filing. We scheduled expenses by program and by expense categories. We tested judgmentally selected items in Materials and Supplies, Outside Services, Advertisement, Payroll & Benefits, and Vehicles.

**Objective:** For all conservation programs that differed from the budgeted amount, identify the program and total dollar difference between budgeted and actual expenses.

**Procedures:** We identified the programs that are different from the budgeted amounts and asked for explanation. We reviewed the explanation from the company.

#### ECCR TRUE-UP

**Objective:** To determine if the True-up calculation and interest provision as filed was properly calculated using the FPSC approved interest rates.

**Procedures**: We recomputed the 2008 ECCR true-up and interest using the FPSC approved recoverable true-up amount and interest rates.

## ECCR OTHER

.

**Objective**: To determine that all executed company builder agreements are in compliance with Commission rules and the company's approved ECCR programs.

**Procedures:** We reviewed all builders agreements executed in 2008 to ensure that the incentives offered to the builders agree to the company's approved conservation programs.

SCHEDULE CT-2 PAGE 2 OF 3

.

٠

#### COMPANY: Chesapeeks Utilities Corporation Docket No. 090004-GU Exhibit TG-1 Page 3 of 17

#### ACTUAL CONSERVATION PROGRAM COSTS PER PROGRAM FOR MONTHS: JANUARY 2008 THROUGH DECEMBER 2006

PROGRAM NA	Æ	CAPITAL	PAYROLL & BENEFITS	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES	ADVERTISING	INCENTIVES	OUTSIDE SERVICES	VEHICLE	OTHER	TOTAL
PROGRAM 1:	RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION	\$0	\$155.699	\$33,571	\$59,504	\$173,800	\$7,500	\$15,589	\$0	\$445,553
PROGRAM 2:	RESIDENTIAL APPLIANCE REPLACEMENT	\$0	\$37,969	\$5,731	\$25,679	\$29,550	\$0	\$2,580	\$0	\$101,409
PROGRAM 3	RESIDENTIAL PROPANE DISTRIBUTION	\$0	30	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 4.	RESIDENTIAL APPLIANCE RETENTION	\$0	\$51,257	\$13,318	\$26,505	\$15,050	50	\$5,405	\$0	\$115,533
PROGRAM 5	NG SPACE CONDITIONING FOR RES HOMES	\$ \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 6:	GAS SPACE CONDITIONING	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 7	CONSERVATION EDUCATION	\$0	\$30,051	\$11,883	\$3,048	\$0	\$4,687	\$1,969	\$0	\$51,838
PROGRAM 8:		\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>S</b> O	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 9:		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 10:		\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 11:		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>3</b> 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 12:		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 13:		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 14:		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	80
PROGRAM 15:		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 16:		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 17:		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 18:		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 19		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
PROGRAM 20:		\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>50</u>	\$0	\$0	\$0	.\$0
TOTAL		\$0	\$274,976	\$64,501	\$114,635	\$221,400	\$12,187	\$26,543	50	\$714,243

Schedule CT-3 Pror 2 CF 3 Entraty consternation adjustment calculation of true-up and interest Pr January 2001 Through December 2001										COMPANY;	Contespondes Lotteres Comparation Desten He. 000204-QU Rahbit TQ-1 Pager 8 of 17			
Conservation Revenues		/63	MAR	APR	NAY	<u></u>		AUG	507	<u> </u>	HÓV	010	TOTAL	
. NGS AUDIT FEES	10	10	50	80	80	80	10	80	14	80	80	10	90	
OTHER PROGRAM REVE	20	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	30	10	<b>30</b>	<b>\$</b> 0	80	30	10	30	10	30	
CONSERV. AOJ REVS	(\$116.003)	(1104739)		1110,218	(102,000)	(074,007)	(\$\$7,\$\$7)	(170,277)	(166.599)	1949.2755	1678,8433	(\$100.048)	61.447.387	
. TOTAL REVENUES	46176,0035	(6504.736)	(1111,340)	(\$7 10,31 8)	(\$82,398)	(\$74.997)	(847,657)	(\$70,277)	(995 290)	(\$44.270)	(\$79,883)	(\$100,048)	(81,082,383	
A PRIOR FERIOD TRUE UP NOT APPLICABLE TO THIS PERIOD	<u>(1932.)791</u>	<u>432.173</u>		002,172	(\$32.172)	(01.178)	(192.178)	(131,173)	(638.173)		072.073	(\$12,173)		
<ul> <li>CONSERVATION NEVS APPLICABLE TO THE PERIOD</li> </ul>	@148.1791	(1)364(2)	<u></u>	<u></u>		<u>@ #87,1709</u>		<u>(8288.483)</u>		G101,MOR	(\$112.920)		A	
CONSERVATION EXPE (FROM CT-8, PAGE 1)	848,166	\$77.203	\$18,098	\$\$7,506	¥\$3,139	660,042	\$\$1,545	850.941	891,290	680.711	841.829	\$78,897	8734,241	
I TRUELIP THIS PERIOD	1\$103,021)	(\$59,604)	(945.448)	(\$74.983)	(\$71.833)	(147,128)	(\$48,200)	(\$52,409)	(\$5,590)	(\$60.732)	(\$70.106)	(\$46.224)	(\$754,218	
MITER. PROV. THE PERIO (PRON CT-3, PAGE 3)	0 (11,416)	(\$1,214)	(81,296)	(\$1,208)	(\$1.351)	(H-1309)	(\$1,348)	(\$1,306)	(62,082)	(\$2,227)	(\$1,308)	(\$#28)	1818,814	
IO TRUE AP & WTER PROV. BEOPPING OF WONTH	(\$368.079)	(\$458.343)	(\$488.982)	(1361,300)	(8995.014)	(\$636.427)	(\$452,681)	(1676,130)	(\$601,752)	(1017. <b>8</b> 31)	(1008.517)	(\$727,368)		
(1 PRIOR TRUE-UP COLLECTED/REFUNDED)	\$32,173	<b>832.</b> 173	\$12,173	\$32,173	192.175	192,173	832.172	<u></u>	322,173	100,170_	452.173	<u>612,173</u>		
(BUM LINES 2+9+10+11)	\$450,3437	(\$498,992)	(3581,500)	(820%,014)	(9036,427)	(1462.001)	(\$870 130)	(\$101.752)	(1007,331)	(8484,117)	(\$727,350)	(1731,034)	(1751,034	