Ruth Nettles

From:

Ann Bassett [abassett@lawfla.com]

Sent:

Monday, July 27, 2009 4:05 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Subject:

Docket 080411-EG

Attachments: 2007-09-27, 080411, FPUC's replacement page 6 to prehearing statement.pdf

The person responsible for this electronic filing is:

Norman H. Horton, Jr. Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. P.O. Box 15579 Tallahassee, FL 32317 (850) 222-0720 nhorton@lawfla.com

Docket 080411-EG - Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Florida Public Utilities Company)

This is being filed on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

Total Number of Pages is 1

Florida Public Utilities Company's Replacement page 6 to its Prehearing Statement

Ann Bassett
Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A.
2618 Centennial Place (32308)
P.O. Box 15579
Tallahassee, FL 32317
Direct Phone: 950 301 5335

Direct Phone: 850-201-5225 Fax No. 850-224-4359

Email Address: abassett@lawfla.com>
Web Address: www.lawfla.com>

& S

Attorneys At Law

www.lawfla.com

July 27, 2009

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Ann Cole, Director
Commission Clerk and Administrative Services
Room 110, Easley Building
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 080411-EG

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company in this docket is an electronic version of Florida Public Utilities Company's replacement page 6 to its Prehearing Statement in the above referenced docket.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Norman H. Horton, Jr.

NHH/amb Enclosures

cc:

Mr. Joe Eysie

Parties of Record

OUTPER TO THE STATE OF THE STAT

period 2010-2019?

FPUC POSITION: No. The Commission should not establish separate goals for residential and commercial/industrial customer participation in utility energy audit programs. Utility energy audits are performed as a result of customer interest in such audits, and the utility cannot dictate that customers have interest in receiving energy audits. Utilities should be allowed the flexibility to integrate energy audits into conservation programs as appropriate. (Eysie)

ISSUE 13: Should this docket be closed?

FPUC POSITION: Yes this docket should be closed.

ISSUE 14: What action(s), if any, should the Commission take in this proceeding to encourage the efficient use of cogeneration?

FPUC POSITION: The scope of this issues is not clear and thus FPUC has no position on this Issue.

<u>ISSUE 15</u>: In setting goals, what consideration should the Commission give to the impact on rates?

FPUC POSITION: The Commission should use consideration of the impact on rates as it s primary determinant in setting goals through the RIM test. (Eysie)

<u>ISSUE 16</u>: Since the Commission has no rate-setting authority over OUC and JEA, can the Commission establish goals that puts upward pressure on their rates?

FPUC POSITION: No position.

E. <u>STIPULATED ISSUES</u>

None.

F. PENDING MOTIONS

FPUC currently has no pending motions.

G. PENDING REQUESTS OR CLAIMS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

FPUC has no pending requests or claims for confidentiality.

H. OBJECTIONS TO QUALIFICATIONS OF WITNESSES AS AN EXPERT

FPUC does not anticipate challenging the qualification of any witness in this proceeding at this time.