BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint No. 694187E by Cutrale DOCKET NO. 070733-EI Citrus Juices USA, Inc. against Tampa Electric Company for refusing to provide transformer ownership discount for electrical service provided through Minute Maid substation.

ORDER NO. PSC-09-0535-FOF-EI ISSUED: August 3, 2009

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

MATTHEW M. CARTER II, Chairman LISA POLAK EDGAR KATRINA J. McMURRIAN NANCY ARGENZIANO NATHAN A. SKOP

ORDER ACKNOWLEDGING WITHDRAWAL OF COMPLAINT

BY THE COMMISSION:

BACKGROUND

In April 2006, Cutrale Citrus Juices USA, Inc. (Cutrale) filed an informal complaint with our Bureau of Complaint Resolution. Cutrale is a manufacturer of orange juice and also produces other fruit and vegetable products. Cutrale's facility is located in Auburndale, Florida. Cutrale asserted that Tampa Electric Company (TECO) refused to grant Cutrale a Transformer Ownership Discount for transformers located at Cutrale's Auburndale facility. On July 16, 2008, we issued Order No. PSC-08-0397-PAA-EI providing that TECO was not in violation of its Firm Supplemental and Standby Service Tariff by refusing to grant a Transformer Ownership Discount to Cutrale Citrus Juices USA, Inc., and that Cutrale was not entitled to a refund from TECO's denial of a Transformer Ownership Discount at the Auburndale facility.

On July 3, 2008, Cutrale filed a request for hearing pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes (F.S.). On August 7, 2008, a joint motion was filed by Cutrale and TECO requesting that we hold this proceeding in abeyance pending efforts by the parties to resolve their differences by way of settlement. Order No. PSC-08-0582-PCO-EI issued September 9, 2008, granting the abatement. On June 4, 2009, Cutrale filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal with Prejudice of this proceeding. On June 30, 2009, Cutrale submitted additional correspondence confirming that Cutrale has withdrawn its complaint in Docket No. 070733-EI.

This order addresses the withdrawal of Cutrale's complaint and the ultimate disposition of Docket No. 070733-EI. We have jurisdiction through the provisions of Chapter 366, F.S., including Sections 366.04 and 366.05, F.S.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-CATE

07920 AUG-38

----- COMMISSION FLERK

ORDER NO. PSC-09-0535-FOF-EI DOCKET NO. 070733-EI PAGE 2

AKNOWLEDGING WITHDRAWAL OF COMPLAINTS

It is a well established legal principle that the plaintiff's right to take a voluntary dismissal is absolute.¹ Once a voluntary dismissal is taken, the trial court loses all jurisdiction over the matter, and cannot reinstate the action for any reason.² Both of these legal principles have been recognized in administrative proceedings.³ In <u>Saddlebrook Resorts, Inc. v. Wiregrass Ranch, Inc.</u>, 630 So. 2d 1123, 1128 (Fla. 2d DCA 1993), the court concluded that "the jurisdiction of any agency is activated when the permit application is filed [and] is only lost by the agency when the permit is issued or denied or when the permit applicant withdraws its application prior to completion of the fact-finding process." In this case, the hearing has not yet occurred, so the fact-finding process is not complete; therefore, this Commission lost its jurisdiction to further address this matter once the petitioner, Cutrale, withdrew its complaint. Thus, Cutrale can dismiss its complaint (and its ensuing protest of Order No. PSC-08-0397-PAA-EI) as a matter of right, which is in accord with past Commission decisions.⁴ Thus, we find that the effect of Cutrale's voluntary withdrawal of its complaint is to divest this Commission of further jurisdiction over this matter, rendering Proposed Agency Action Order No. PSC-08-0397-PAA-EI a nullity.⁵

¹ Fears v. Lunsford, 314 So. 2d 578, 579 (Fla. 1975).

² Randle-Eastern Ambulance Service, Inc. v. Vasta, Elena, etc., 360 So. 2d 68, 69 (Fla. 1978).

³ <u>Orange County v. Debra, Inc.</u>, 451 So. 2d 868 (Fla. 1st DCA 1983); <u>City of Bradenton v. Amerifirst Development</u> <u>Corporation</u>, 582 So. 2d 166 (Fla. 2d DCA 1991); <u>Saddlebrook Resorts, Inc. v. Wiregrass Ranch, Inc.</u>, 630 So. 2d 1123 (Fla. 2d DCA 1993) <u>aff'd</u>, 645 So. 2d 374 (Fla. 1994).

See Order No. PSC-07-0725-FOF-EU, issued September 5, 2007, in Docket No. 060635-EU, In re: Petition for determination of need for electrical power plant in Taylor County by Florida Municipal Power Agency, JEA, Reedy Creek Improvement District, and City of Tallahassee; Order No. PSC-07-0485-FOF-EI, issued June 8, 2007, in Docket Nos. 050890-EI, In re: Complaint of Sears, Roebuck and Company against Florida Power & Light Company and motion to compel FPL to continue electric service and to cease and desist demands for deposit pending final decision regarding complaint and 050891-EI, In re: Complaint of Kmart Corporation against Florida Power & Light Company and motion to compel FPL to continue electric service and to cease and desist demands for deposit pending final decision regarding complaint; Order No. PSC-94-0310-FOF-EQ, issued March 17, 1994, in Docket No. 920977-EQ, In re: Petition for approval of contract for the purchase of firm capacity and energy from General Peat Resources, L.P. and Florida Power and Light Company; Order No. PSC-97-0319-FOF-EQ, issued March 24, 1997, in Docket No. 920978-EQ, In re: Complaint of Skyway Power Corporation to require Florida Power Corporation to furnish avoided cost data pursuant to Commission Rule 25-17.0832(7), F.A.C.; Order No. PSC-04-0376-FOF-EU, issued April 7, 2004, in Docket No. 011333-EU, In re: Petition of City of Bartow to modify territorial agreement or, in the alternative, to resolve territorial dispute with Tampa Electric Company in Polk County. But see Order No. PSC-07-0297-FOF-SU, issued April 9, 2007, in Docket No. 020640-SU, In re: Application for certificate to provide wastewater service in Lee County by Gistro, Inc. and Order No. PSC-96-0992-FOF-WS, issued August 5, 1996, in Docket No. 950758-WS, In Re: Petition for approval of transfer of facilities of Harbor Utilities Company, Inc., to Bonita Springs Utilities and cancellation of Certificates Nos. 272-W and 215-S in Lee County (voluntary dismissal cannot be utilized to divest the Commission as an adjudicatory agency of its jurisdiction granted to it by the legislature).

³ See Order No. PSC-07-0485-FOF-EI, issued June 8, 2007, in Docket Nos. 050891-EI, <u>In re: Complaint of Kmart</u> <u>Corporation against Florida Power & Light Company and motion to compel FPL to continue electric service and to</u> <u>cease and desist demands for deposit pending final decision regarding complaint</u>; and 050890-EI, <u>In re: Complaint</u> <u>of Sears, Roebuck and Company against Florida Power & Light Company and motion to compel FPL to continue</u> <u>electric service and to cease and desist demands for deposit pending final decision regarding complaint</u>.

ORDER NO. PSC-09-0535-FOF-EI DOCKET NO. 070733-EI PAGE 3

Base on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Cutrale Citrus Juices USA, Inc.'s voluntary withdrawal of its complaint is acknowledged as a matter of right. It is further

ORDERED that Order No. PSC-08-0397-PAA-EI is rendered a nullity. It is further

ORDERED that Docket No. 070733-EI shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 3rd day of August, 2009.

ann Cole

ANN COLE Commission Clerk

(SEAL)

CMK

ORDER NO. PSC-09-0535-FOF-EI DOCKET NO. 070733-EI PAGE 4

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water and/or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Office of Commission Clerk, and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.