1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3		COREY ZEIGLER
4		ON BEHALF OF
5		PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA
6		DOCKET NO. 090007-EI
7		AUGUST 3, 2009
8		
9	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
0	A.	My name is Corey Zeigler. My business address is 299 First Avenue North, St.
1		Petersburg, Florida 33701.
2		
3	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
4	A.	I am employed by Progress Energy Florida (PEF) as Manager,
5		Environmental Permitting & Compliance.
6		
.7	Q.	What are your responsibilities in that position?
.8	A.	Currently, my responsibilities include managing environmental permitting and
9		compliance activities for Energy Delivery Florida. Energy Delivery Florida is
20		part of the Florida Distribution Business unit of which I support the Distribution
21		and Transmission Operation and Planning Departments.
22		
23		

1	Q.	Please describe your educational background and professional experience.
2	A.	I received a Bachelors of Science degree in General Business Administration
3		& Management from the University of South Florida. Prior to holding this
4		role, I was the Health and Safety Manager for Progress Energy Florida's
5		Delivery and Transmission Operations and Planning Departments. I have 17
6		years experience in the utility industry, holding various operational, supervisor
7		and managerial roles at Progress Energy.
8		
9	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
10	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to explain material variances between the
11		Estimated/Actual project expenditures versus the original cost projections for
12		environmental compliance costs associated with Progress Energy Florida
13		(PEF)'s Substation Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution
14		Prevention Program (Projects 1 & 1a).
15		
16	Q.	Please explain the variance between the Estimated/Actual project
17		expenditures and the original projections for the Substation System
18		Program (project 1 & 1a) for the period January 2009 to December 2009.
19	A.	O&M project expenditures for the Substation System Program are estimated to
20		be \$2,728,164 or 40% lower than originally projected. The decrease is driven
21		by scheduling conflicts that resulted in multiple sites being rescheduled from the
22		first half of 2009 to the fourth quarter of 2009 and into 2010, multiple sites
23		containing less contamination than originally projected, and recent scope

changes to the remediation taking place at the West Lake Wales substation site.

A Site Assessment Report for this substation is being prepared and will be submitted to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection in the upcoming months.

Does this conclude your testimony?

A.

7

Yes.

3