ERRATA SHEET IN RE: DOCKET NO, 080407-EG through 080413-EG PROCEEDING: Hearing DATE: August 13, 2009 Pagination correction: Duplicate pages 1997 and 1998 (Pages 1 and 2 of the Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Witness Richard J. Vento) have been renumbered to Pages 1998A and 1998B. Accurate Stenotype Reporters, Inc. (850)878-2221

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 62 AUG 21 8

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF RICHARD J. VENTO
3		ON BEHALF OF
4		JEA
5		DOCKET NO. 080413
6		JULY 30, 2009
7		
8	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
9	A.	My name is Richard J. Vento. My business address is 21 West Church Street,
10		Jacksonville, Florida 32202.
11		
12	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
13	A.	I am employed by JEA. My current position is Director of Corporate Data
14		Integration.
15		
16	Q.	Have you previously submitted testimony in this proceeding?
17	A.	Yes. I submitted pre-filed direct testimony on June 1, 2009
18		
19	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony
20	A.	The purpose of my testimony is rebut the testimony of witnesses Spellman,
21		Wilson, Cavanagh, Mosenthal and Steinurst regarding the following subjects:
22		(1) the appropriate tests for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of DSM measures
23		(2) the DSM goals that witnesses Spellman and Steinhurst have recommended
24		for JEA; (3) Itron's Technical Potential Studies; (4) utilization of the two-year

pay-back period in JEA's analyses; (5) consideration of potential greenhouse gas

(GHG) costs in JEA's analyses; (6) Itron's cost-effectiveness evaluations; and

(7) witness Spellman's proposed funding set-asides for research regarding

demand side supply alternatives.

- Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits to your testimony?
- 7 A. No.

Q.

A.

APPROPRIATE COST-EFFECTIVENESS TESTS

Do you agree with the assertions of Witnesses Spellman and Wilson that

use of the RIM test is inconsistent with the intent of Section 366.82, F.S.?

No. Section 366.82, F.S., requires the PSC to consider, among other things, the costs and benefits to the participating ratepayers as well as the general body of ratepayers as a whole, including utility incentives and participant contributions. However, Section 366.82 does not dictate which cost-effectiveness test must be used to establish DSM goals. The Commission should use both the RIM and Participants test in setting DSM goals. When used in conjunction with each other, these tests fulfill the Commission's statutory obligations. Specifically, the participant test includes all of the relevant benefits and costs that a customer who is considering participating in a DSM measure would consider; whereas the RIM test includes all of the relevant benefits and costs that all of the utility's customers as a whole would incur if the utility implements a particular measure.