BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

O9 AUG 24 PM 3: 12

COMMISSION
CLERK

In re: Petition for increase in rates by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 090079-EI

FILED: AUGUST 24, 2009

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOCELYN Y. STEPHENS, on behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission, has been furnished to the following, by electronic and U.S. Mail, on this 24th day of August, 2009:

Progress Energy Florida, Inc. Paul Lewis Jr. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740

Florida Retail Federation Robert Scheffel Wright Young van Assenderp, P.A. 225 South Adams Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Office of the Attorney General Bill McCollum/Cecilia Bradley The Capitol, PL 01 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

PCS Phosphate-White Springs James W. Brew/F. Alvin Taylor Brickfield Law Firm 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 2007-5201 Carlton Fields Law Firm
J. Michael Walls/Dianne M. Tripplett
Post Office Box 3239
Tampa, FL 33601-3239

Florida Industrial Power Users Group Vicki G. Kaufman/Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Keefe Anchors Gordon & Moyle, PA 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Office of Public Counsel
J.R. Kelly/Charles Rehwinkel
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Richard D. Melson 705 Piedmont Drive Tallahassee, FL 32317

COM	5
ECR	- saler Stromage
GCL	of the Company of the Company
OPC	17. W. M. W.
RCP	- A Marin Marin .
SSC	· Fall to the desire of the second
SGA	
ADM	
	7

08796 AUG 24 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 090079-EI PAGE 2

Audrey Van Dyke Naval Facilities Engineering Command Litigation Headquarters 720 Kennon Street, S.E. Building 36, Room 136 Washington Navy Yard, D.C. 20374

Kay Davoodi Naval Facilities Engineering Command Director, Utilities Rates and Studies Office 1322 Patterson Avenue SE Washington Navy Yard, D.C. 20374-5065

Dan Moore 316 Maxwell Road, Suite 400 Alpharetta, GA 30009 John T. Burnett/R. Alexander Glenn Progress Energy Services Company, LLC Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Jim Selecky Brubaker & Associates, Inc. Post Office Box 412000 St. Louis, MO 63141-2000

Stephanie Alexander Tripp Scott, P.A. 200 West College Avenue, Suite 216 Tallahassee, FL 32301

KATHERINE E. FLEMING

Senior Attorney

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Telephone: (850) 413-6218

DOCKET NO. 090079-EI: Petition for increase in rates by Progress Energy Florida, Inc

WITNESS: Direct Testimony Of Jocelyn Y. Stephens, Appearing On Behalf Of Staff

DATE FILED: August 24, 2009

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 08796 AUG 248

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOCELYN Y. STEPHENS
2	Q. Please state your name and business address.
3	A. My name is Jocelyn Stephens and my business address is 4950 West Kennedy
4	Blvd., Suite 310, Tampa, Florida, 33609.
5	Q. By whom are you presently employed and in what capacity?
6	A. I am employed by the Florida Public Service Commission as a Professional
7	Accountant Specialist in the Division of Regulatory Compliance.
8	Q. How long have you been employed by the Commission?
9	A. I have been employed by the Florida Public Service Commission since January
0	1977.
1	Q. Briefly review your educational and professional background.
2	A. In 1972, I received a Bachelor of Science degree from Florida State University
3	with a major in accounting. I am also a Certified Public Accountant licensed in the
14	State of Florida since May 1989.
15	Q. Please describe your current responsibilities.
16	A. Currently, I am a Professional Accountant Specialist with the responsibilities of
17	planning and directing the most complex investigative audits. Some of my past audits
18	include cross-subsidization issues, anti-competitive behavior, and predatory pricing. I
19	am also responsible for creating audit work programs to meet a specific audit purpose
20	and integrating EDP applications into these programs.
21	Q. Have you presented testimony before this Commission or any other
22	regulatory agency?
23	A. Yes. I testified in the Florida Cities Water Co., (South Fort Myers) transfer of
24	certificate, Docket No. 910447-SU; the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause
25	proceedings, Docket No. 030001-EI; the petition for approval of storm cost recovery DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

- 1 clause for recovery of extraordinary expenditures related to hurricanes Charley,
- 2 Frances, Jeanne, and Ivan, by Progress Energy Florida, Inc., Docket No. 041272-EI;
- and the petition for rate increase by Peoples Gas System, Docket No. 080318-GU.

4 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony today?

- 5 A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the staff audit report of Progress
- 6 Energy Florida, Inc. (PEF or utility) which addresses the utility's petition for a rate
- 7 | increase. This audit report is filed with my testimony and is identified as Exhibit JYS-
- 8 | 1.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9 Q. Was this audit prepared by you or under your direction?

- 10 A. Yes, I was the audit manager in charge of the audit. The audit report was
- 11 prepared by me or under my direction.
 - Q. Please describe the work performed in this audit.

A. Rate Base:

We reconciled the individual component rate base balances listed below to the utility's general ledger as of December 31, 2008. We determined that the utility made adjustments to its rate base balances that were properly calculated and consistent with prior approved Commission rate case adjustments. We reviewed and tested the allocation methodology used by Progress Energy Service Company (Service) and Progress Energy Carolina (PEC) to charge costs to PEF. We reviewed and analyzed the costs recorded on the books of Service and PEC.

We scheduled and analyzed plant additions, adjustments/reclassifications and retirements for the period January 2005 through December 2008 using the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Form 1 Annual Reports (Form 1). We reconciled annual balances from the Form 1 to the general ledger. We requested and received a reconciliation of the Form 1 balances to the Power Plant System. We selected plant

account activity for further analysis and verification using third party documentation. We verified the general ledger balance for Plant Held for Future Use (PHFU) at December 31, 2008, and determined that the utility removed PHFU in its entirety from rate base consideration. On a test basis, we recalculated the 13-month average balance of plant accounts. We reconciled Construction Work in Progress (CWIP) recorded in the MFRs with general ledger balances and reviewed a reconciliation of CWIP balances as of December 31, 2008, with the balances recorded in the Power Plant System. We selected a sample of open work orders and reconciling entries charged to CWIP and recorded in the Power Plant System as of December 31, 2008, verified that the work order pertained to an authorized and approved construction project, and reviewed supporting source documents for authenticity. We determined that Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) was not charged to any work orders included in CWIP and we recalculated the 13-month average balances for CWIP. On a sample basis, we verified that accumulated depreciation and amortization as of December 31, 2008, were properly recorded, using rates in the depreciation study approved by the Commission for the period January 1, 2006, through December 31, 2008.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We reviewed the Commission order from PEF's prior rate case and determined the treatment of working capital items. We determined that the utility's adjustments for the current working capital were consistent with the adjustments in PEF's prior rate case. We reviewed a sample of the transactions recorded in clearing accounts, stores expenses, prepayments, deferred debits and credits, and accrued liabilities to determine if they were proper, utility-related in nature, and that expenses were not overstated. We reviewed transactions in Materials and Supplies and Other Accounts Receivable to determine if non-utility items were posted. We determined that no interest bearing

accounts were included in the calculation of working capital. We recalculated the 13-month average balances for all accounts included in the working capital computation.

Net Operating Income:

We reconciled the individual component net operating income (NOI) balances to the utility's general ledger as of December 31, 2008. We verified utility adjustments to NOI balances and reconciled the adjustments to the utility's other Commission filings during the test year or to prior orders that required the specific adjustment. We reviewed and tested the allocation methodology used by Service and PEC to charge costs to PEF. We reviewed and analyzed the costs recorded on the Income Statement of Service and PEC.

We verified that adjustments to NOI were accurately calculated, agreed with amounts in the general ledger, or were included in clause filings. We reconciled utility revenues for the 12-month period ended December 31, 2008, to the general ledger and determined that revenues for all recovery clauses were removed in the proper amounts from the historical base year.

We verified the calculation of unbilled revenues. We tested customer bills to determine that customers were charged rates in accordance with the Commission-approved tariff sheets. We verified, based on a sample of utility transactions for select Operation and Maintenance (O&M) expense accounts, that utility O&M expense balances are adequately supported by source documentation, prudent, utility-related in nature and do not include non-utility items. We reviewed additional samples of utility advertising expenses, industry dues, economic development expenses, outside services, sales expenses, customer service expenses, and administrative and general service expenses to ensure that amounts supporting non-utility operations were removed. We reviewed intercompany allocations and charges between affiliated companies and non-

utility operations to determine if expenses were properly allocated. We verified, based on a sample of depreciation expense accruals, that the company is using correct depreciation rates as authorized in Commission Order No. PSC-05-0945-S-EI. We verified, based on a sample of utility transactions for select Taxes Other than Income Tax (TOTI) accounts, that utility TOTI expense balances are adequately supported by source documentation.

Capital Structure:

We reconciled the individual component capital structure balances to the utility's general ledger as of December 31, 2008. We verified that non-utility assets supported by the utility's capital structure were removed and that the capital structure adjustments reconciled with the rate base adjustments in the filing. We recalculated the 13-month average balances and the weighted average cost of capital for the utility's historical test year capital structure.

We verified that adjustments to the capital structure were accurately calculated and reconciled the amounts to the general ledger. We traced equity balances to the general ledger. We traced the long-term debt and reacquired debt acquisition cost balances to the original documents and verified the terms, conditions, redemption provisions and interest rates for each bond or note payable. We determined Discount on Debt and Debt Issue Costs and recalculated the amortization of Discount and Debt Issue Cost and Interest Expense. On a sample basis, we traced Debt Issue Costs to source documentation. We recalculated the weighted average cost of long-term debt. We traced the short-term debt balances to supporting documents, verified interest rates, and traced the computation of the average cost of short-term debt to utility documentation.

We reconciled the customer deposit balances to the general ledger and verified

that customer deposits are charged in accordance with the tariff rates. We verified that interest on customer deposits is credited to customer bills at the Commission approved rate as designated in the tariff. We recalculated interest expense on Customer Deposits.

We reconciled the deferred tax balances to the general ledger. We reconciled net Investment Tax Credits to the general ledger. We reconciled the ending balance of Investment Tax Credits in the prior audit to the beginning balance in the current audit and verified the calculation of the annual amortization of investment tax credits.

- Q. Please review the audit findings in this audit report, JYS-1, which addresses the 2008 actual filings for the PEF Rate Case.
- A. We found items which were incorrect in the historical test year. The audit staff only audited the 2008 historical test year per the audit services request. Since rates in this case will be set based on a 2010 forecasted test year, additional work will need to be performed to determine the effect, if any, of the findings on the 2010 test year.

Audit Finding No. 1

Charges for "Order of Taking" on land easements were incorrectly recorded in Plant in Service accounts 355 and 356, Poles and Fixtures and Overhead Conductors and Devices rather than in the account Land and Land Rights.

Audit Finding No. 2

Staff found several errors in the prorata adjustments to the capital structure. However, correction of the errors did not result in a change in the weighted cost of capital.

Audit Finding No. 3

A correction to the income tax interest synchronization adjustment was not included by PEF in the utility's filing. Based upon additional information provided by

1	PEF at	fter the issuance of the audit report, the correct effect of Audit Finding No. 3 on
2	the fili	ng for 2008 is a decrease to NOI of \$1,295,000. This has no effect on the filing
3	for 20	09 or 2010. Audit Finding No. 3 was revised on August 24, 2009. Revised
4	Audit	Finding No. 3 is included in Exhibit JYS-1.
5		Audit Finding No. 4
6		Non-utility related expenses totaling \$267,486 were included in the filing.
7		Audit Finding No. 5
8		This audit finding provides information concerning amounts billed by Progress
9	Energy	Service Company to PEF.
10		Audit Finding No. 6
11		This audit finding provides information concerning amounts billed by Progress
12	Energy	y Carolina to PEF.
13		Audit Finding No. 7
14		This audit finding provides information concerning payroll expense.
15	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
16	A.	Yes, it does.
17		
18		
19		
20	 -	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Docket No. 090079-EI Exhibit JYS-1 (Page 1 of 25) Audit Report Year Ended 12/31/2008



FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE BUREAU OF AUDITING

TAMPA DISTRICT OFFICE

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

PETITION FOR RATE INCREASE

HISTORICAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

DOCKET NO. 090079-EI

AUDIT CONTROL NO. 09-110-2-1

Ronald Mavrides, Audit Staff

Daniel Acheampong, Audit Staff

Jocelyn Y. Stephens, Audit Manager

Joseph W. Rohrbacher, Tampa District Supervisor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AUDITOR'S REPORT

I)	PURPOSE1
II)	OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES2
III)	AUDIT FINDINGS
1)	LAND9
2)	ADJUSTMENTS TO CAPITAL STRUCTURE10
3)	NET OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS11
4)	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES12
5)	ALLOCATED COSTS - Progress Energy Service Company14
6)	ALLOCATED COSTS - Progress Energy Carolina16
7)	PAYROLL MERIT INCREASES19
IV) E	XHIBITS
RA	ATE BASE (13-Month Average)21
NI	ET OPERATING INCOME22
CO	OST OF CAPITAL (13-Month Average)23

Docket No. 090079-EI Exhibit JYS-1 (Page 3 of 25) Audit Report Year Ended 12/31/2008

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AUDITOR'S REPORT

JULY 31, 2009

TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the agreed upon objectives set forth by the Division of Economic Regulation in its audit service request dated April 16, 2009. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Progress Energy Florida in support of its Petition for Rate Increase in Docket No. 090079-EI.

This audit was performed following general standards and field work standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. This report is based on agreed upon procedures. The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES

RATE BASE

General

Objectives:

- To determine that the utility's filing represents its recorded results from continuing operations.
- To verify that all adjustments to rate base are consistent with the Commission's findings in prior cases and are calculated correctly.
- To review intercompany charges to and from affiliated companies and nonregulated operations to determine if an appropriate amount of costs were allocated pursuant to Rule 25-6.1351, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).
- To review allocation methodology used by the utility. To determine reasonableness of this methodology and of the amounts to be allocated.

Procedures:

- We reconciled the individual component rate base balances listed below to the utility's general ledger as of December 31, 2008
- We determined that the company made adjustments to its rate base balances that were properly calculated and consistent with prior approved Commission rate case adjustments
- We reviewed and tested the allocation methodology used by Progress Energy Service Company (Service) and Progress Energy Carolina (PEC) to charge costs to PEF. We reviewed and analyzed the costs recorded on the books of Service and PEC.

Plant in Service

Objectives:

- To determine that additions to Plant have adequate supporting documentation, are recorded at original costs and are properly classified in accordance with Rule 25-6.014, F.A.C. and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Uniform System of Accounts.
- To determine that the proper retirements of Plant were made when a replacement item was put in service.
- To determine that the treatment of Plant Held for Future Use (PHFU) is consistent with the treatment in the prior rate case.
- To determine that the 13-month average balance for each plant account was properly computed.

Procedures:

- We scheduled and analyzed plant additions, adjustments/reclassifications and retirements for the period January 2005 through December 2008 using the FERC Form 1 Annual Reports.
- Agreed annual balances from the FERC Form 1 to the general ledger.

Docket No. 090079-EI Exhibit JYS-1 (Page 5 of 25) Audit Report Year Ended 12/31/2008

- Requested and received a reconciliation of the Form 1 balances to the Power Plant System.
- Judgmentally selected plant account activity for further analysis and verification.
- Performed verification of plant activity using third party documentation.
- Determined compliance to company procedures for plant in service.
- Verified general ledger balance for PHFU at December 31, 2008.
- Determined that the company removed PHFU in its entirety from rate base consideration.
- On a test basis, we recalculated 13-month average balance of plant accounts.

Construction Work in Progress (CWIP)

Objectives:

- To determine the nature and purpose of utility projects recorded as CWIP.
- To determine that the company has included in rate base only those projects on which no Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) has been charged.

Procedures:

- We agreed CWIP recorded in the MFR with general ledger balances.
- We received a reconciliation of CWIP balances as of December 31, 2008 with the balances recorded in the Power Plant System.
- We judgmentally selected a sample of open workorders and reconciling entries charged to CWIP and recorded in the Power Plant System as of December 31, 2008.
- We verified that the work order pertained to an authorized and approved construction project.
- We reviewed supporting source documents for authenticity.
- We determined that AFUDC was not charged to any workorders included in CWIP.
- We recalculated the 13-month average balances for CWIP.

Accumulated Depreciation/Amortization

Objectives:

• To determine that accruals, retirements and adjustments to accumulated depreciation and accumulated amortization are properly recorded in compliance with Rule 25-06.014, Florida Administrative Code and the FERC Uniform System of Accounts.

Procedures:

 On a sample basis, we verified that accumulated depreciation and amortization as of December 31, 2008, were properly recorded, using rates in the depreciation study approved by the Commission for the period January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2008.

Working Capital

Objectives:

- To verify that the working capital calculation is consistent with the last rate case.
- To determine if any working capital accounts (WCA) are interest bearing.

• To review transactions in selected WCA for non-utility items.

Procedures:

- We reviewed the Commission order for the prior rate case and determined the treatment of working capital items.
- We determined that the company's adjustments for the current working capital were consistent with the adjustments in the prior Commission order.
- We reviewed a sample of the transactions recorded in clearing accounts, stores
 expenses, prepayments, deferred debits and credit and accrued liabilities to
 determine if they were proper, utility in nature and that expenses were not
 overstated.
- We reviewed transactions in Materials and Supplies and Other Accounts Receivable to determine if non-utility items were posted.
- We determined that no interest bearing accounts were included in the calculation of working capital.
- We recalculated the 13-month average balances for all accounts included in the working capital computation.

NET OPERATING INCOME

General

Objectives:

- To determine that the utility's filing represents its recorded results from continuing operations.
- To verify that all adjustments to Net Operating Income are consistent with the Commission's findings in prior cases and are calculated correctly.
- To review allocation methodology used by the utility.
- To determine reasonableness of this methodology and of the amounts to be allocated

Procedures:

- We reconciled the individual component net operating income balances listed below to the utility's general ledger as of December 31, 2008.
- We verified utility adjustments to net operating income balances and reconciled the
 adjustments to the utility's other Commission filings during the test year or to prior
 orders that required the specific adjustment.
- We reviewed and tested the allocation methodology used by Progress Energy Service Company (Service) and Progress Energy Carolina (PEC) to charge costs to PEF.
- We reviewed and analyzed the costs recorded on the Income Statement of Service and PEC.
- We verified that adjustments to NOI were accurately calculated, agreed to amounts calculated in the general ledger and were included in clause filings.

Revenues

Objectives:

• To determine that revenues are properly calculated and are based on the utility's Commission approved tariff rates.

Procedures:

- We reconciled utility revenues for the 12-month period ended December 31, 2008 to the general ledger.
- We determined that revenues for all clause audits were removed in the proper amounts from the historical base year.
- We verified the calculation of unbilled revenues.
- We tested customer bills to determine that customers were charged rates in accordance with the Commission approved tariff sheets.

Operation and Maintenance Expenses (O&M)

Objectives:

- To determine that operation and maintenance expenses are properly recorded in compliance with the Uniform System of Accounts, were reasonable, prudent for ongoing utility operations and adequately supported by documentation.
- To determine that advertising charged to O&M is not image enhancing in nature, promotional, recoverable through the Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause or related to non-utility operations.
- Determine that adjustments to O&M expense are consistent with the Commission approved adjustments in the prior rate case.

Procedures:

- We verified, based on a sample of utility transactions for select O&M expense accounts, that utility O&M expense balances are adequately supported by source documentation, prudent, utility in nature and do not include non-utility items.
- We reviewed additional samples of utility advertising expenses, industry dues, economic development expenses, outside services, sales expenses, customer service expenses and administrative and general service expenses to ensure that amounts supporting non-utility operations were removed.
- We reviewed intercompany allocations and charges between affiliated companies and non-utility operations to determine if expenses were properly allocated.

Depreciation Expense

Objectives:

- To determine that depreciation expense is properly recorded in compliance with Rule 25-6.0436, F.A.C. and that it accurately represents the depreciation of Plant assets verified in rate base.
- To determine that historical test year depreciation expense accruals are calculated using the authorized rates in Order No. PSC-05-0945-S-EI.

Docket No. 090079-EI Exhibit JYS-1 (Page 8 of 25) Audit Report Year Ended 12/31/2008

Procedures:

• We verified, based on a sample of depreciation expense accruals, that the company is using correct depreciation rates as authorized in Commission Order No. PSC-05-0945-S-EI.

Taxes Other Than Income (TOTI)

Objectives:

• To determine that Taxes Other Than Income is properly recorded and supported by adequate documentation.

Procedures:

• We verified, based on a sample of utility transactions for select TOTI accounts, that utility TOTI expense balances are adequately supported by source documentation.

CAPITAL STRUCTURE

General

Objectives:

- To determine the components of the utility's capital structure and that the respective costs rates used to arrive at the overall weighted cost of capital are properly recorded and that they accurately represent the ongoing utility operations.
- To determine that the utility's capital structure adjustments are appropriate and correspond to the utility's rate base adjustments in the filing.

Procedures:

- We reconciled the individual component capital structure balances listed below to the utility's general ledger as of December 31, 2008.
- We verified that non-utility assets supported by the utility's capital structure were removed and that the capital structure adjustments reconciled with the rate base adjustments in the filing.
- We recalculated the 13-month average balances and the weighted average cost of capital for the utility's historical test year capital structure.
- We verified that adjustments to Capital Structure were accurately calculated and agreed to amounts calculated in the general ledger.

Equity:

Objectives:

• To determine that owner's equity balances represent actual equity of the utility.

Procedures:

• We traced equity balances to the general ledger.

Long-Term Debt

Objectives.

• To determine that long-term debt balances represent actual obligations of the utility and that they are properly recorded.

Procedures:

- We traced the long-term debt and reacquired debt acquisition cost balances to the original documents and verified the terms, conditions, redemption provisions and interest rates for each bond or note payable.
- We determined Discount on Debt and Debt Issue Costs and recalculated the amortization of Discount and Debt issue cost and Interest expense.
- On a judgmental basis, we traced Debt Issue Costs to source documentation.
- We recalculated the weighted average cost of long-term debt.

Short Term Debt

Objectives:

• To determine that short-term debt balances represent actual obligations of the utility and that they are properly recorded.

Procedures:

- We traced the short-term debt balances to supporting documents and verified interest rates.
- We traced the computation of the average cost of short-term debt to utility documentation.

Customer Deposits

Objectives:

• To determine that customer deposit transactions represent actual obligations of the utility and are properly recorded.

Procedures:

- We reconciled the customer deposit balances to the general ledger.
- We verified that customer deposits are charged in accordance with the tariff rates.
- We verified that interest is credited to customer bills, at the Commission approved rate as designated in the tariff.
- We recalculated interest expense on Customer Deposits.

Accumulated Deferred Taxes

Objectives:

 To determine that accumulated deferred income taxes are properly stated and calculated based on the recorded differences between utility book and taxable income.

Procedures:

• We reconciled the deferred tax balances to the general ledger and to the utility's federal tax returns.

Docket No. 090079-EI Exhibit JYS-1 (Page 10 of 25) Audit Report Year Ended 12/31/2008

Investment Tax Credits

Objectives:

• To determine that Investment Tax Credits balances are properly stated and are being properly amortized.

Procedures:

- We reconciled net Investment Tax Credits to the General Ledger.
- We reconciled the ending balance of Investment Tax Credits in the prior audit to the beginning balance in the current audit.
- We verified the calculation of the annual amortization of investment tax credits.

Docket No. 090079-EI Exhibit JYS-1 (Page 11 of 25) Audit Report Year Ended 12/31/2008

AUDIT FINDING NO. 1

SUBJECT: LAND EASEMENTS

AUDIT ANALYSIS

The Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 18, Pt. 101, Electric Plant Instructions, Land and Land Rights states that the accounts for land and land rights shall include the cost of leaseholds, easements, rights-of-way and other like interests in land.

During a review of work orders recorded in PEF's Power Plant system, it was noted that charges for "Order of Taking" on land easements were recorded in Plant in Service accounts 355 and 356, Poles and Fixtures and Overhead Conductors and Devices.

The following charges were recorded:

Crawford Owens Hines PA	\$ 144,400
	95,600
Sachs & De Young PA	1,725,030
Robert Dennis & Mary Nutt	140,000
·	\$2,105,030

Information was requested on any other Land and Land Rights items recorded in plant and was informed by PEF employees that this was an isolated error and no depreciation was recorded. Audit staff verified that no depreciation was charged on the above. Since work orders and invoices were judgmentally selected for review, audit staff is unable to verify if there were any other miscoding.

EFFECT ON GENERAL LEDGER

PEF should reclassify the charges to the correct accounts.

EFFECT ON FILING

None, since rate base is not affected.

Docket No. 090079-EI Exhibit JYS-1 (Page 12 of 25) Audit Report Year Ended 12/31/2008

AUDIT FINDING NO. 2

SUBJECT: COST OF CAPITAL

AUDIT ANALYSIS:

We performed an analysis of the company prepared Capital Structure. We compared prorata adjustments included in the capital structure with corresponding adjustments included in the Rate Base Schedule and noted several variances.

It was determined that these variances were the result of errors made in calculating the allocation of the Rate Base adjustments to be applied in the Cost of Capital schedule. The table below summarizes the errors.

Pro-Rata Adjustment Items	As Filed	<u>Difference</u>	Per Staff
Gain/Loss on Sale of Plant	\$ (8,382)		\$ (8,382)
CWIP bearing AFUDC	\$ (1,404,906)	\$ (153,090)	\$ (1,557,996)
Capital Lease	\$ (226,683)		\$ (226,683)
Capital Lease - Work Cap	\$ 227,274		\$ 227,274
Nuc Decomm Unfunded Whise	\$ (2,286)	\$ 4,572	\$ 2,286
	\$ (1,414,983)	\$ (148,518)	\$ (1,563,501)

We recalculated the Cost of Capital schedule and determined that the Jurisdictional factor to be applied to the System Adjusted Capital Structure changed from 76.54% to 78.21%. There was no change to the Weighted Cost Rate.

EFFECT ON GENERAL LEDGER:

None

EFFECT ON FILING:

None

AUDIT FINDING NO. 3 Revised August 24, 2009

SUBJECT: NET OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS (NOI)

AUDIT ANALYSIS:

In the analysis of NOI, we determined that NOI expense adjustments, per the filing, totaled (\$2,969,893,000). Staff calculation of NOI expense adjustments totaled (\$2,968,598,000). This variance results in net operating expenses being understated by \$1,295,000.

A utility representative stated that this variance was due to a correction being made to the income tax interest synchronization amount recorded in the surveillance report. The Minimum Filing Requirement (MFR) used the original amount that was recorded in the Surveillance Report prior to correction.

Table amounts are in Thousands (000's)

	Per Utility	Per Audit	D	ifference
Recoverable Fuel	\$ (2,607,629)	\$ (2,607,629)		-
Recoverable ECCR	(69,071)	(69,071)		-
Recoverable ECRC	(35,088)	(35,088)		-
Recoverable ARO	(4)	(4)		-
Recoverable SCRS	(65,766)	(65,766)		-
Recoverable Capacity-Nuclear	1,705	1,705		-
Corporate Aircraft	(1,821)	(1,821)		-
Franchise & Gross Receipts	(193,108)	(193,108)		-
Gain/Loss on Sale of Plant Assets	(1,303)	(1,303)		-
Promotional Advertising	(2,137)	(2,137)		-
Interest on Tax Deficiency	(2,737)	(2,737)		-
Miscellaneous Interest Expense	74	74		-
Industry Association Dues	(13)	(13)		-
Economic Development	(20)	(20)		-
Sebring Revenue and Depreciation	(738)	(738)		-
Income Tax Interest Synchronization	7,788	9,083		(1,295)
	 (25)	(25)		
Total	\$ (2,969,893)	\$ (2,968,598)	\$	(1,295)

EFFECT ON GENERAL LEDGER

None

EFFECT ON FILING

If this finding is accepted, income tax expense will increase by \$1,295,000 and NOI will decrease by \$1,295,000 for 2008.

AUDIT FINDING NO. 4

SUBJECT: OPERATION & MAINTENANCE EXPENSES

AUDIT ANALYSIS:

Operation and Maintenance (O&M) expenses were judgmentally selected for review and testing. Our testing included reviewing invoices for proper account, amount, period, authorization and if deductable for rate making.

FERC	_	Voucher/			
Acct	Supplier	Invoice #	Amount	<u>Description</u>	Reason For Adjustment
908	Andretti Green Promotions	227	8,025.00	1/2 Pit lane VIP Suite	Non utility related
908	Catering by SMG	5115	2,099.72	Food for Honda Grand Prix	Non utility related
908	Catering by SMG	5147	3,193.90	Food for Honda Grand Prix	Non utility related
908	Catering by SMG	5113	1,387.54	Food for Honda Grand Prix	Non utility related
912	Catering by SMG	5147	779.00	Food for Honda Grand Prix	Non utility related
921	Catering by SMG	5147	311.60	Food for Honda Grand Prix	Non utility related
921	Catering by SMG	5113	126.14	Food for Honda Grand Prix	Non utility related
580	Catering by SMG	5147	779.00	Food for Honda Grand Prix	Non utility related
921	CFHLA Educational Trust Fund	BBash2008	1,000.00	Sponsorship	Non utility function
908	Amold Palmer Invitational	8129	4,705.80	Hospitality beverages	Non utility related
908	Arnold Palmer Invitational		2,921.13	Hospitality beverages	Non utility related
908	Amold Palmer Invitational	8064	10,000.00	Suite - Bay Hill Chalet #8	Non utility related
921	Arnold Palmer Invitational		10,000.00	Suite - Bay Hill Chalet #8	Non utility related
580	Arnold Palmer Invitational		15,000.00	Suite - Bay Hill Chalet #8	Non utility related
580	Amold Palmer Invitational	8129	4,673.36	Hospitality beverages	Non utility related
580	Gooding's Catering		10,136.17	Arnold Palmer Invitational catering	Non utility related
580	Gooding's Catering		1,164.18	Arnold Palmer Invitational catering	Non utility related
912	Foundation For Seminole Co Pub	lic AA200814	2,000.00	Tickets for Arts Alive in Seminole	Non utility function
923	George F Young	28732	22,880.31	Survey & engineering work	Should be capitalized
923	Hewitt Associates	922842	18,803.16	Consultant Service Nov-Dec 2007	Out of Period
923	Projects 3403105; A 351890		128,784.73	100th Anniversary book	Non utility related - \$585,000 total
921	City of Archer		5,000.00	Archer Community Center Project	Non utility related
				4 yr commitment \$20K (2007-2010)	
				2nd installment renovation of school	
921	The Florida Council of 100		3,215.41	Dues and meeting	Non utility related
921	The Florida Council of 100		2,000.00	Dues	Non utility related
930.2	Florida TaxWatch		8,500.00	Dues	Non utility related
			267,486.15		
		A/Ç No.	<u>Amount</u>	•	
		908	32,333.09		
		912	2,779.00		
		921	21,653.15		
		923	170,468.20		
		930.2	8,500.00		
		580	31,752,71		
			267,486.15		

Docket No. 090079-EI Exhibit JYS-1 (Page 15 of 25) Audit Report Year Ended 12/31/2008

EFFECT ON GENERAL LEDGER

None

EFFECT ON FILING

Audit staff determined that the expenses reflected in the above schedule were either non-utility related, image enhancing, out of the test period or should have been capitalized.

O&M expenses allowed for ratemaking purposes should be reduced by \$267,486.

AUDIT FINDING NO. 5

SUBJECT: CHARGES BILLED BY PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY

AUDIT ANALYSIS:

According to company testimony provided to the Florida Public Service Commission in Docket No. 090079-EI, Progress Energy Service Company (PESC) provides processing, reporting and management oversight for a variety of areas, including: financial services, human resources, corporate communications, legal, regulatory affairs, audit and compliance, real estate and facility services, information technology and telecommunications. The cost for these services is 100% distributed to all affiliates of Progress Energy - Electric Fuels, Progress Telecom, Florida Progress, Progress Capital Holding, Progress Ventures, PGN, Progress Energy Carolina (PEC) and Progress Energy Florida (PEF).

Total costs incurred by PESC, as recorded in its Income Statement for the 12-month period ended December 31, 2008 were \$360,626,435. This includes Operation and Maintenance Expenses, Depreciation/Amortization, Income Taxes and Other Income and Deductions.

We determined that the amounts distributed by PESC are for both direct charges and indirect charges. Direct costs are specifically identified with a particular service or product. Indirect allocated costs are not specifically identified with a particular service or product and are based upon various allocation factors as described in the Cost Allocation Manual (CAM). Both direct and indirect costs include employee labor costs, payroll tax, benefits, pensions and exceptional hours overhead costs.

In addition to costs incurred, PESC also bills its subsidiaries for pass-through amounts and for payroll benefits. The total costs billed to affiliates of Progress Energy for the 12-month period ended December 31, 2008 were \$450,934,460. The difference between the amount incurred and amount billed of \$90,308,125 represents pass-through charges of \$22,810,880 and Payroll benefits of \$67,497,245. Pass-through charges are for items for which PESC acts as a pay agent. Pay agent items are intercompany/cash transactions. An example of a pass-through item is employee benefits.

A summary of PESC costs billed by department to the affiliates is shown below:

Summary of Service Company Cost by Dept

Sum of Grand Total	
Department	Total
Accounting	22,334,128
Audit	6,326,125
Corporate Communications	18,534,341
Corporate Planning	7,991,919
Corporate Services	34,563,619
External Relations	14,969,959
Human Resources	85,491,189
Investor Relations	1,341,762
JIT&T	113,511,534
Legal	22,549,887
Misc Svc Co - Client Driven	2,538,781
Svc Co Corporate Costs	68,766,780
Svc Co Executive	8,908,674
Svc Co Group Managed	13,810,971
Svc Company Controllers	13,646,996
Tax	7,318,935
Treasury & Risk Mgmt	8,328,860
Grand Total	450,934,460

Of the \$450,934,460 billed by PESC to its subsidiaries in 2008, PEF received \$118,575,452 (Indirect) and \$47,902,321 (Direct) for a total of \$166,477,773. Costs billed by PESC to PEF in prior years is provided in the following chart.

In 000's			
	PESC cost	s allocated	to PEF
	<u>in F</u>	<u>Prior Years</u>	
	<u>Direct</u>	Indirect	<u>Total</u>
2003	44,428	101,375	145,803
2004	59,119	100,887	160,006
2005	44,432	122,671	167,103
2006	45,106	121,329	166,435
2007	50,583	124,612	175,195
2008	47,902	118,575	166,477

We could not determine the amount of pass-through and payroll benefits included in the billed amount from PESC for the years 2003-2007.

This documentation is provided for informational purposes only.

AUDIT FINDING NO. 6

SUBJECT: CHARGES BILLED BY PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINA

STATEMENT OF FACT:

During 2008, Progress Energy Carolina (PEC) allocated costs for a variety of services it provided to Progress Energy Florida (PEF). The attached chart lists FERC expense accounts used to charge these costs to PEF.

DIRECT CHARGES FROM PEC 2008-SUMMARY

	E ALLEN MAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	manua.	Indirect
		Direct Chgs	Charges
0360450	MATNE OF CEN DIE DOOT CUDE NO		_ charges
	MAINT OF GEN PLT-PROJ SUPT NCR	5,450	
9230REC		51,151	
	OFF SUPPLIES&EXP-PROJ SUPT NCR	64,723	
	SALARIES&WAGES-PROJ SUPT NCR	(4,000)	
	TRANS MISC EXP-PROJ SUPT NCR	199	
	CWIP-CONST WORK IN PROGRESS	37,841,376	
	CWIP-RECOVERABLE ECRC	1,212,081	
	Fuel Stock		1,264,195
-1630004			831,237
	NUCLEAR COLA	-	887,005
	NUC FIRE PROT ANALYSIS	1,187,083	
	POG-FEASIBILITY STUDY COSTS	3,098	
	JOB ORDERS WORK IN PROGRESS	29,808	
-4081101	PAYROLL TAX	96,970	
-4171001	EXPENSES OF NONUTILITY OPER	261,423	
-4210701	MNI-OTHER ENERGY SERVICES-MISC	18,916	
-5000000	FOS OPER SUPER AND ENGINEER	4,312	
-5012000	Fuel		204,594
-5020000	FOS STEAM EXPENSES	5,906	
-5060000	FOS MISC STEAM POWER EXP	208	4,843,770
~5110000	FOS MAINT OF STRUCT	1,968	
-5120000	FOS MAINT OF BOILER PLANT	1,400	
-5130000	FOS MAINT OF ELECTRIC PLANT	2,094	
-5140000	FOS MAINT OF MISC STEAM PLANT	19,331	293,920
~5140001	FOS MAINT OF MISC STEAM PT-REC	6,396	
-5170000	NUC OPER SUPER AND ENGINEER	_	1,366,239
-5182300	Nuclear Fuel Expense		742,983
-5190000	NUC COOLANTS AND WATER	331	
-5200000	NUC STEAM EXPENSES	802	459,340
-5240000	NUC MISC NUCLEAR POWER EXP	490,493	993,766
-5280000	NUC MAINT SUPER AND ENGIN	8,779	1,454,542
-5290000	NUC MAINT OF STRUCTURES	1,195	
-5300000	NUC MAINT OF REAC PLANT EQUIP	70,985	
-5310000	NUC MAINT OF ELECTRIC PLANT	61,929	
-5320000	NUC MAINT OF MISC NUC PLANT	11,285	
-5320001	NUC MAINT OF MISC NUC PLT-REC	6,396	
-5460000	CT OPER SUPER AND ENGINEER	12,726	

DIRECT CHARGES FROM PEC 2008-SUMMARY (con't)

			Indirect
		Direct Chgs	Charges
-5472000	Fuel		1,799,449
-5480000	CT GENERATION EXPENSES	(2,833)	
-5490000	CT MISC OTHER POWER GEN EX	8,570	2,777,771
-5520000	CT MAINT OF STRUCTURES	832	
-5530000	CT MAINT OF GEN AND ELEC PLANT	6,120	
-5540000	CT MAINT MISC OTH PWR GEN PL	74,492	
-5560000	SYS CONTROL AND LOAD DISPATCH	81,269	
-5600000	TRANS OPER SUPER AND ENGINEER	356,507	
-5610000	TRANS LOAD DISPATCHING	4,228	
-5611000	LOAD DISPATCH-RELIABILITY	26,641	
-5612000	LD DISPTCH-MONITOR&OP TRNS SYS	4,228	
-5617000	GEN INTERCONNECTION STUDIES	4,619	
-5620000	TRANS STATION EXPENSES	7,524	
-5660000	TRANS MISC EXPENSES .	358,944	
-5680000	TRANS MAINT SUPER AND ENGINEER	22,314	
-5700000	TRANS MAINT OF STATION EQUIP	26,428	
-5710000	TRANS MAINT OF OVERHEAD LINES	3,702	
-5730000	TRANS MAINT OF MISC EQPT	(5,141)	
-5800000	DIST OPER SUPER AND ENGINEER	368,534	
-5830000	DIST OVERHEAD LINE EXPENSES	11,021	
-5840000	DIST UNDER LINE EXPENSES	4,485	
-5860000	DIST METER EXPENSES	(47)	
-5880000	DIST MISC EXP	246,938	592,845
-5920000	DIST MAINT OF STATION EQUIP	21,585	
-5930000	DIST MAINT OF OVERHEAD LINES	13	
-5940000	DIST MAINT OF UNDER LINES	26	
-9010000	CUST, ACCOUNTS SUPER.	70,727	
-9010000	Supervision		196,205
-9030000	CUST ACCTS RECORDS & COLLEC EX	90,903	
-9050000	CUST ACCOUNTS MISC EXP	3,080	1,307,841
-9080000	CUSTOMER ASSIST EXPENSES	1,575	
-9080100	CUST ASST EXP-CONSERVATION PRG	13,127	
-9090100	INFO&INSTRUC ADJ-CONSERV PROG	1,478	
-9120000	DEMONSTRATING AND SELLING	28,278	
-9130000	ADVERTISING	12,211	
-9160000	MISCELLANEOUS SALES EXPENSES	50,182	
-9200000	SALARIES AND WAGES	13,559	4,865,631
-9210000	A&G OFF SUPPLIES AND EXPENSES	107,720	
-9230000	A&G OUTSIDE SERVICES EMP	92,103	
-9260001	A&G EMPLOYEE PENS AND BEN	375,464	
-9302000	MISC GENERAL EXPENSES	448,380	
	Grand Total	44,414,608	24,881,334

Docket No. 090079-EI Exhibit JYS-1 (Page 20 of 25) Audit Report Year Ended 12/31/2008

The total costs charged to PEF by PEC for 2008 were \$69,295,942. We were provided monthly invoices for costs billed by PEC to PEF. These invoices totalled \$82,476,937. The difference is \$13,180,995. Audit time did not allow for investigation of this difference.

PEC, like Progress Energy Service Company, bills PEF for both direct charges and indirect charges. A Company representative explained that even though PEC received direct and allocated costs from PESC, none of these costs are further allocated to PEF

Costs charged by PEC to PEF for the period 2003 through 2008 are shown below.

In 000's										
	PEC costs allocated to PEF									
	<u>Direct</u>	<u>Indirect</u>	<u>Total</u>							
2003	9,707	22,869	32,576							
2004	20,415	25,306	45,721							
2005	30,288	5,201	35,489							
2006	13,890	27,099	40,989							
2007	106,146	32,199	138,345							
2008*	44,414	24,881	69,295							
	224,860	137,555	362,415							

^{* -} Direct includes \$37,841 for Construction Work In Progress

This documentation is being provided for informational purposes only.

Docket No. 090079-EI Exhibit JYS-1 (Page 21 of 25) Audit Report Year Ended 12/31/2008

AUDIT FINDING NO. 7

SUBJECT: PAYROLL EXPENSE

AUDIT ANALYSIS:

In response to a Document Request, it was determined that Progress Energy Florida employed approximately 4,100 employees during the 12-month period ended December 31, 2008. These employees were distributed among seven (7) departments: Power Generation Florida, Generation & Transmission Construction, Nuclear Generation, Financial Services, Customer and Market Services, Transmission and Distribution. Total costs incurred for the operation of these departments for 2008 was \$1,489,902,182.

The services provided by PEF are for: corporate security, customer service, generation and transmission construction, power operations, nuclear operations, projects and construction, financial services, fleet maintenance, real estate – land development, property/projects, EIT, distribution, transmission, CIG accounting, IT&T - nuclear, Executives and administration, and, external relations. Salaries and Wages incurred in providing these services is \$366,211,044 or 24.57% of total costs. The amount for Salaries and Wages do not include payroll burdening costs which historically account for an additional 45%-50% of base Salaries and Wages.

During 2008, employees were granted merit increases. Bargaining/Union employees received a 3.05% increase while Non-bargaining/non-union employees received a 3.75% increase. This impacts expenses by \$3,699,875 and \$5,015,413 respectively. The 2008 merit pay increases are being paid over a 12-month period and impact expenses during both 2008 and 2009. For Union employees, the increased expenses affect one month in 2008 and 11 months in 2009. For Non-union employees, the increase impacts 3 ½ months in 2008 and 8 ½ months in 2009.

The 2007 merit increases impact 2007 and 2008 in the same manner as the 2008 merit increases impact 2008 and 2009. During 2007, PEF union and non-union employees received merit increases of 3.14% and 3.5%, respectively. The dollar impact of the 2007 increases was \$3,749,296 (non-union) and \$4,255,447 (union).

For 2009, PEF has approved merit increases of 2% - 3% for its non-union employees totaling \$4,179,818. Because of the timing of the approval of merit increases for union employees (November 2009) the approved percentage cannot be provided. However, PEF has projected a 3% increase in the 2009 budget. PEF provided the following payroll data for 2009 and 2010.

Docket No. 090079-EI Exhibit JYS-1 (Page 22 of 25) Audit Report Year Ended 12/31/2008

	<u>2009</u>	% Inc	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Inc</u>
Base Payroll	\$ 306,758,806	-9.42%	\$ 329,276,263	7.34%
Total Payroll	342,813,837	-10.30%	365,331,258	6.57%
Total Fringe Benefits	149,381,201	55.89%	149,625,061	0.16%
Total Payroll & Fringe Benefits	492,195,038	2.97%	514,956,355	4.62%
Average Regular Full Time Employees	4,297		4,416	

Notes:

The above amounts do not include bonuses, salary adjustments due to reorganization. Union employee increases are agreed upon in bargaining unit labor contracts

This documentation is being provided for informational purposes only.

- 21 -

FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		Explanation:			-	djusted rate base			Type of Data S	shown:	
	PROCEEDS SUPPON		for the test year, the prior year and the most recent historical year.									
Company: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. Docket No: 090079-EI				Provide the deta	allis of all adjustr	nents on Sched	tule B-2.		_	Projected Test		12/31/2
									7	_ Prior Year Ended		
DOWN IN	, 09001 3-21				(Thousands)				_	X Historical Test Year Ended Witness:		
					(Industrius)					AARDHREET.		Toomey/Sk
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	(J)	
			Accumulated Provision for	Net Plant		Plent	Nuclear Fuel -	NI 4	10. 11	05 .	T-1-1	
ina		Plant in	Depreciation &		CWIP -	Plant Held for	No AFUDC	Net	Working	Other Date Dass	Total Rata	
lç.	,	Service	Amortization	(A-B)	No AFUDC	Future Use	(Net)	Utility Plant	Capital	Rate Base		
1	System Per Books (8-3)	\$10,236,948	\$4,825,527	\$5,611,421	\$1,740,650	\$35,090	\$86,294	\$7,473,454	Allowance (183,177)	Items	\$7,290,278	
2	Adjustments to System Per Books:	#10,200,340	44,020,321	\$0,011,921	\$1,740,000	\$33,030	\$00,284	\$1,413,434	(103,177)		\$7,290,276	
3	Remove ARO	(11,855)	21,644	(33,499)				(33,499)	411,900		£279.404	ı
4	Remove ECCR	(11,003)			(709)			• • • •	1		\$378,401	
5	Remove ECRC	(19,896)	(204) (970)	(537) (18,926)	(723)			(1,260)	3,441		\$2,181	
6	Ramove Fuel	(52,910)	. ,	, . ,	(2,065) 0			(20,990)	(73,436)		(\$94,426	•
7	Remove Storm Reserve	(32,910)	(30,744)	(22,167) 0	U			(22,167)	(58,454)		(\$80,621	
3	Remove CCR/NCRC	0	0	0	^			0	112,507		\$112,507	
9	Remove Electric Plant Acquisition Adjustments	•	0	-	0			0	(21,584)		(\$21,584	•
0	Remove Non-Utility Property	(19,099)	0	(19,099)				(19,099)	0		(\$19,099	•
1	Investment in Associated Companies (123)	(10,325)	(4,697)	(5,827) 0				(5,627)	6,979		\$1,352	
2	Other Investments (124)			0				0	0		\$0	
3	Other Special Funds (128)			a				•	(1,641)		(\$1,641	,
4	Misc and Accrued Taxes Other (174)			0				0	(559,406)		(\$559,408	•
5	Remove Non-Rata Base Deferred Debits			0				0	(54,213)		(\$54,213	•
6	Remove Dividends Declared (238)			0				0	(5,257)		(\$5,257	•
7	Remove Derivative Instrument Assets & Liabilities			0				0	0		\$0	
8				0				0	92,404		\$92,404	
9	Remove Notes Payable to Associated Companies (233) Remove Other Regulatory Assets and Liabilities			0				0	34,193		\$34,193	
90	Remove Employee Related Accounts			0				0	104,391		\$104,391	
1	Remove Retention Accounts			0				6	(257)		(\$257	
2	Remove Nuclear Decommissioning Accounts		/01 4701	_				•	30,216		\$30,216	
3	Specific Adjs - Distribution		(61,178)	61,178 0				61,178	0		\$81,178	
4	Adjusted System per Books	10,122,121	4.640.370		4 707 000	25.000	20.00	0			\$0	-
5	Jurisdictional Factors	0.89554	4,549,378	5,572,744	1,737,863	35,090	86,294	7,431,990	(161,394)	Ġ	7,270,597	
6	Jurisdictional Per Books		0.93238	0.90235	0.90223	0.75742	0.88581	0.90144	1.11877		0.89662	_
7	Jurisdictional Company/FPSC Adjustments:	9,272,567	4,244,024	5,028,543	1,567,953	26,578	76,439	6,699,513	(180,562)		6,518,952	
B	Company Adjustment - Leased Assets	(207,799)		(207,799)				(207,799)	203,942		(\$3,858	
9	Company Adjustment - Rate Case	(20.11.00)		(20.,700)				1001,1001	200,042		\$0.000 0 3	
,	CWIP - Production AFUDC			ō	(1,329,985)			(1,329,985)	٥		(\$1,329,985	
1	CWIP - Transmission AFUDC			0	(80,925)			(80,925)	0		(\$80,925	
2	CWIP - Distribution AFUDC			0	0			(00,923)	0		(\$60,325) \$0	
3	Gain/loss on sale of plant			Ď	·			0	(7,706)		(\$7,706)	
4	Nuc. Decom. Unfunded - Wholesale		(2,286)	2,286				2,286	(7,700)		2,285	
5	Total Adjustments	(207,799)	(2,286)	(205,513)	(1,410,910)	0		(1,616,423)	196,236	0	(1,420,187)	
6	Jurisdictional Adjusted Rate Base	\$9,064,768	\$4,241,738	\$4,823,029	\$157,043	\$26,578	\$76,439	\$5,083,090	\$15,675	\$0	\$5,098,765	_
	Note: Differences are due to rounding	49,949,11.00	7-12-17-00	W1,VEU,VEU	4.01,043	AT0,010	\$1 U,7U3	40,000,000	413,013	***	\$0,000,00	

ADJUSTED JURISDICTIONAL NET OPERATING INCOME CALCULATION

Page 3 of 3

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	Explanation:	for the test y	year, the prior y	risdictional net operating income ear and the most recent	Type of data shown:	Projected Test Year Ended	12/31/2010 12/31/2009 12/31/2008
Company: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC.		historical ye	ear,		x	Prior Year Ended Historial Year Ended	
Docket No. 090079-EI			(Thousands)		_	Witness: Toomey / Slusser	
	(A)	(8)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Line	Total	Non-	Total		Juris.	Juris.	Adjusted
No.	Company	Electric	Electric	Jurisdictional	Amount	Adjustments	Juris. Amt
	Per Books	Utility	(A) - (B)	Factor (1)	(C) * (D)	(Sched C-2)	(E) + (F)
2 Operating Revenues:							
3 Sales of Electric Energy	4,549,980		4,549,980	various	4,358,011	(2,979,435)	1,378,576
4 Other Operating Revenues	180,911		180,911	various	130,674	(2,318,430)	
5	100,311		100,311	Various	130,074	 -	130,674
6 Total Operating Revenues	4,730,891		4,730,891		4,488,685	(2,979,435)	1,509,250
7	41.44.641		4,7 44,00 1		41,000,000	(2,310,700)	1,000,200
8 Operating Expenses:							
9 Fuel and Net Interchange	2,628,138		2,628,138	various	2,612,508	(2,605,339)	7,169
10 Other Operation and Maintenance Expense	816,441		816,441	various	755,490	(178,815)	576,675
11 Depreciation and Amortization	299,544		299,544	various	272,703	4,874	277,577
12 Taxes Other than Income	309,269		309,269	various	300,115	(195,136)	104,979
13 Current/Deferred Income Taxes - Federal and State	184,322		184,322	various	160,089	(1,144)	158,945
14 Charge Equivalent to Investment Tax Credit	(5,940)		(5,940)		(5,460)		(5,460)
15 (Gain)/Loss on Disposition of Utility Property					(7,788)	5,667	(2,120)
16 (Gain)/Loss on Reacquired Bonds	•		-		, , ,	•	
17 Regulatory Practices Reconciliation			•			•	•
18				•		 	
19 Total Operating Expenses	4,231,774	•	4,231,774		4,087,656	(2,969,892)	1,117,764
20							
21							
22 Net Operating Income	499,117	•	499,117		401,028	(9,543)	391,486
23							
24							
25 (1) Jurisdictional factor has been rounded to four place	S.						
26							
27							

Supporting Schedules: C-2, C-4, C-6

Recap Schedules: A-1

SCHE	DUL	Εſ	1.1:

Supporting Schedules:

COST OF CAPITAL - 13-MONTH AVERAGE

Page 3 of 3

- 23 -

Recap Schedules:

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Explanation: Company: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC.			mpany's 13-month	_		Type of data shown: Projected Test Year Ended		12/31/2010			
		year, the prior year and historical base year.						Prior Year Ended		12/31/2010	
Docket No.	090079-EI	(Thousands)							Historical Year Ended Witness: Toomey		12/31/2008
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(3)	
Line No.	Class of Capital	Co Total	Specific Adjustments	Pro Rata Adjustments	System Adjusted	Jurisdictional Factor	Jurisdictional Capital Structure	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost Rate	
1											
2	Common Equity	3,207,197	844,577	(718,576)	3,333,198	76.54%	2,551,396	50.04%	11.75%	5.880%	
3	Preferred Stock	33,497	0	(5,941)	27,556	76.54%	21,093	0.41%	4.51%	0.019%	
4	Long Term Debt - Fixed	3,506,938	(110,173)	(602,411)	2,794,354	76.54%	2,138,938	41.95%	6.27%	2.630%	
۵ ₅	Short Term Debt	57,531	1,791	(10,521)	48,801	76.54%	37,355	0.73%	3.87%	0.028%	
6	Customer Deposits Active	180,135	0	(31,947)	148,188	76.54%	113,431	2.22%	6.23%	0.139%	
7	Customer Deposits Inactive	1,001	0	(177)	823	76.54%	630	0.01%			
8	Investment Tax Credit Post '70 (Wtd Cost)	14,477	0	(2,567)	11,909	76.54%	9,116	0.18%	9.23%	0.017%	
9	Deferred Income Taxes	442,296	32,524	(84,209)	390,611	76.54%	298,993	5.86%			
10	FAS 109 DIT - Net	(114,638)	0	20,331	(94,307)	76.54%	(72,187)	-1.42%			
11	_										
12	Total	\$7,328,432	\$768,719	(\$1,436,017)	\$6,661,134	76.54%	\$5,098,765	100.00%		8.713%	-
13	•		<u> </u>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											