BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Environmental cost recovery clause.

DOCKET NO. 090007-EI

DATED: SEPTEMBER 14, 2009

OSEP 14 PM 1: CLERKON

STAFF'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-09-0138-PCO-EI, issued March 6, 2009, establishing the prehearing procedure in this docket, the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission hereby files its Preliminary List of Issues and Positions.

GENERIC ISSUES

1. What are the final environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the period ending December 31, 2008?

STAFF: No position at this time.

2. What are the estimated environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2009 through December 2009?

STAFF: No position at this time.

3. What are the projected environmental cost recovery amounts for the period January 2010 through December 2010?

STAFF: No position at this time.

4. What are the environmental cost recovery amounts, including true-up amounts, for the period January 2010 through December 2010?

STAFF: No position at this time.

5. What depreciation rates should be used to develop the depreciation expense included in the total environmental cost recovery amounts for the period January 2010 through December 2010?

STAFF: The depreciation rates used to calculate the depreciation expense should be the rates that are in effect during the period the allowed capital investment is in service.

6. What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for the projected period January 2010 through December 2010?

STAFF: No position at this time.

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

09480 SEP 148

7. What are the appropriate environmental cost recovery factors for the period January 2010 through December 2010 for each rate group?

STAFF: The factors are a mathematical calculation based on the resolution of company-specific issues. Staff asks for administrative authority to review the calculations reflecting the Commission's vote and include the resulting factors in the Order.

8. What should be the effective date of the new environmental cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

STAFF: The factors should be effective beginning with the specified environmental cost recovery cycle and thereafter for the period January 2010 through December 2010. Billing cycles may start before January 1, 2010 and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2010, so that each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC ISSUES

Florida Power & Light (FPL)

1. Should the Commission grant FPL's Petition for Approval of Plant Riviera Manatee Temporary Heating System (MTHS) Project for environmental cost recovery?

STAFF: No position at this time.

2. How should the costs associated with the MTHS – Riviera Project be allocated to the rate classes?

STAFF: No position at this time.

3. Should FPL be allowed to recover the costs associated with its proposed Manatee Temporary Heating System (MTHS) – Cape Canaveral Plant Project?

STAFF: No position at this time.

4. How should the costs associated with the MTHS – Cape Canaveral Project be allocated to the rate classes?

STAFF: No position at this time.

5. Should FPL be allowed to recover the costs associated with its proposed Turkey Point Cooling Canal Monitoring Plan (TP-CCMP) Project through the ECRC?

STAFF: No position at this time.

6. How should the costs associated with the TP-CCMP Project be allocated to the rate classes?

STAFF: No position at this time.

7. Should FPL be allowed to recover the costs associated with its proposed NESHAP Information Collection Request Project through the ECRC?

STAFF: No position at this time.

8. How should the costs associated with the NESHAP Information Collection Request Project be allocated to the rate classes?

STAFF: No position at this time.

9. What are the reasonable environmental cost recovery amounts for FPL's three Next Generation Solar Energy Centers for the final true-up period January 2008 through December 2008?

STAFF: No position at this time.

10. Should the Commission approve FPL's updated Clean Air Interstate Rule, Clean Air Mercury Rule and Clean Air Visibility Rule Compliance Projects that are reflected in FPL's April 1, 2009, supplemental filing as reasonable and prudent?

STAFF: No position at this time.

11. Should FPL be allowed to recover the increased costs associated with the St. Lucie Cooling Water System Inspection and Maintenance Project?

STAFF: No position at this time.

Progress Energy Florida (PEF)

1. Should the Commission grant PEF's petition for approval of cost recovery for the Total Maximum Daily Loads Hg Emissions (TMDLs-Hg emission) Program?

STAFF: No position at this time.

2. How should the costs associated with the TMDLs-Hg Emission Program be allocated to the rate classes?

STAFF: No position at this time.

3. Should the Commission approve PEF's 2009 Review of Integrated Clean Air Compliance Plan as reasonable and prudent?

STAFF: No position at this time.

Gulf Power Company (Gulf)

1. Should Gulf be allowed to recover the costs associated with its proposed Plant Smith Reclaimed Water Project?

STAFF: No position at this time.

2. How should the costs associated with the Plant Smith Reclaimed Water Project be allocated to the rate classes?

STAFF: No position at this time.

3. Should Gulf be allowed to recover the costs associated with its proposed Plant Crist Unit 6 Precipitator Project?

STAFF: No position at this time.

4. How should the costs associated with the Plant Crist Unit 6 Precipitator Project be allocated to the rate classes?

STAFF: No position at this time.

5. Should the Commission approve Gulf's Environmental Compliance Program Update for the Clean Air Interstate Rule and Clean Air Visibility Rule as reasonable and prudent?

STAFF: No position at this time.

STAFF'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS DOCKET NO. 090007-EI PAGE 5

Dated this 14th day of September, 2009.

Respectfully submitted,

Nata C. Brown

Senior Attorney

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Telephone: (850) 413-6187

MCB

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Environmental cost recovery clause.

DOCKET NO. 090007-EI

FILED: SEPTEMBER 14, 2009

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of STAFF'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS was furnished to the following, by U.S. Mail, on this 14th day of September, 2009.

Ausley Law Firm, Lee L. Willis James D. Beasley Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Tampa Electric Company Mrs. Paula K. Brown Regulatory Affairs Post Office Box 111 Tampa, Florida 32302

Florida Industrial Power Users Group John W. McWhirter, Jr. c/o McWhirter, Reeves & Davidson, P.A. P.O. Box 3350 Tampa, Florida 33601-3350

Keefe Law Firm Vicki Gordon Kaufman/Jon C. Moyle, Jr. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-7740

Hoping Law Firm Gary V. Perko Post Office Box 6526 Tallahassee, Florida 32314 Florida Power & Light Company John T. Butler/R. Wade Litchfield 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 33408

Beggs & Lane Law Firm J. Stone/R. Bradders/S.Griffin Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950

Progress Energy Florida, Inc. Mr. Paul Lewis, Jr. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-7740

Office of Public Counsel J.R. Kelly/P.Christenssen/C.Beck c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Progress Energy Service Company, LLC John T. Burnett/R. Alexander Glenn Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 090007-EI PAGE 2

Gulf Power Company Ms. Susan D. Ritenour One Energy Place Pensacola, Florida 32520-0780 Florida Power & Light Company Mr. Wade Litchfield 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1859

MARTHA C. BROWN

Senior Attorney

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 Telephone No. (850) 413-6187