

DATE:	September 24, 2009
то:	Shannon Hudson, Regulatory Analyst IV, Division of Economic Regulation
FROM:	Dale N. Mailhot, Assistant Director, Division of Regulatory Compliance ƏM
RE:	Docket No.: האם 244-WU Company Name; TLP Water, Inc. Company Code: WU924 Audit Purpose: Staff Assisted Rate Case Audit Control No: 09-188-1-1

Attached is the final audit report for the utility stated above. I am sending the utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send a response to the Office of the Commission Clerk. There are no confidential work papers associated with this audit.

DNM/ch Attachment: Audit Report

cc: (With Attachment) Division of Regulatory Compliance (Salak, Mailhot, File Folder) Office of Commission Clerk Office of the General Counsel

> (Without Attachment) Division of Regulatory Compliance (Harvey, Tampa District Office, Miami District Office, Tallahassee District Office)

> > COCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

STATE OF FLORIDA

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE BUREAU OF AUDITING

Tallahassee District Office

TLP WATER, INC.

STAFF-ASSISTED RATE CASE

TEST YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

DOCKET NO. 080499-WU AUDIT CONTROL NO. 09-188-1-1

Charleston J. Winston, Audit Manager

Lynn M. Deamer, District Audit Supervisor

DOCUMENT HUMBER-DATE 09919 SEP 25 원 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AUDI	ITOR'S REPORT	PAGE
Ι.	PURPOSE	1
II.	OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES	2
III.	AUDIT FINDINGS	
	 BOOKS AND RECORDS UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE	6 9 10

IV. EXHIBITS

1.	WATER RATE BASE	1	2
2.	WATER NET OPERATING INCOME	1	3
3.	COST OF CAPITAL	1	4

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 09919 SEP 25 8 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AUDITOR'S REPORT

September 23, 2009

TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

We have applied the procedures described later in this report to prepare the accompanying schedules of Rate Base, Net Operating Income and Capital Structure as of June 30, 2009, for TLP Water, Inc. The attached schedules were prepared by the audit staff as part of our work in Docket No. 080499-WU.

This review was performed following general standards and fieldwork standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. Our report is based on agreed upon procedures and the report is intended only for internal Commission use.

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 09919 SEP 25 8 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES

GENERAL

Utility Books and Records

Objective: To determine that the utility maintains its accounts and records in conformity with the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioner's (NARUC) Uniform System of Accounts. (USOA)

Procedures: We reviewed the utility's accounting system and found it not to be in compliance with the above. Audit Finding No. 1 discusses our findings and recommendations.

RATE BASE

Utility Plant in Service (UPIS)

Objective: To determine that property exists and is owned by the utility. To determine that additions to UPIS are authentic, recorded at original cost, and properly classified in compliance with Commission rules and the NARUC USOA. To verify that the proper retirements of UPIS were made when a replacement item was put in service.

Procedures: Due to the lack of books and records we determined the UPIS balance for the test year ended June 30, 2009 could not be established. We compiled UPIS additions per the utility's records to assist in determining the UPIS for this proceeding. We toured the utility plant site to observe whether asset additions were completed and to ascertain if asset retirements were needed. Audit Finding No. 2 discusses our findings and recommended UPIS additions as of June 30, 2009.

<u>Land</u>

Objective: To determine that utility land is recorded at original cost and is owned or secured under a long-term lease.

Procedures: We reviewed the utility's long-term lease. We reviewed the records of the Lake County Property Appraiser's and Tax Collector's Offices to determined ownership of the land.

Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction (CIAC)

Objective: To determine that additions to CIAC are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and the NARUC USOA. To verify and insure that all donated property is properly accounted for and recorded as CIAC.

Procedures: We reviewed the records of the utility for CIAC additions. We reviewed the utility's Federal Income Tax returns for 2007 and 2008 for unrecorded cash and property contributions. Due to the lack of books and records we determined that CIAC for the test year ended June 30, 2009 could not be established.

Accumulated Depreciation

Objective: To determine that accruals to accumulated depreciation are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and the NARUC USOA. To verify that depreciation expense accruals are calculated using the Commission's authorized rates and that retirements are properly recorded.

Procedures: We reviewed the utility's books and records for accumulated depreciation. Accumulated depreciation could not be established due to inadequate books and records of the utility. We calculated accumulated depreciation on plant additions that could be verified. Audit Finding No. 2 discusses our findings and recommended balance for accumulated depreciation for the verified plant additions as of June 30, 2009.

Accumulated Amortization of CIAC

Objective: To determine that accruals to accumulated amortization of CIAC are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and the NARUC USOA.

Procedures: We were unable to determine any CIAC due to inadequate books and records of the utility; therefore there was no accumulated amortization of CIAC to determine.

Working Capital

Objective: To determine that the utility's working capital balance is properly calculated in compliance with Commission rules.

Procedures: We calculated the utility's working capital balance as of June 30, 2009 using one-eighth of operation and maintenance expense pursuant to Commission Rule 25-30.433(2), Florida Administrative Code.

NET OPERATING INCOME

Revenues

Objective: To determine that revenues are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and are based on the utility's Commission approved tariff rates.

Procedures: We compiled utility revenues for the 12-month period ending June 30, 2009 from the utility's records. We tested the reasonableness of the recorded revenues. Audit Finding No. 4 discusses our findings and recommended revenues for the 12-month period ending June 30, 2009.

Operation and Maintenance Expense

Objective: To determine that operation and maintenance expenses are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and are reasonable and prudent for ongoing utility operations.

Procedures: We compiled operation and maintenance expense items from the utility's

expense ledger and vendor invoice file. We reviewed the utility invoices for proper amount, period, classification, NARUC account and recurring nature. Audit Finding No. 5 discusses our findings and recommended operation and maintenance expense balance for the 12-month period ending June 30, 2009.

Taxes-Other-Than-Income (TOTI)

Objective: To determine that TOTI expense is properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and was reasonable and prudent for ongoing utility operations.

Procedures: We compiled TOTI expenses from the utility's expense ledger and vendor invoice file. We reviewed the utility invoices for proper amount, period, classification, NARUC account and recurring nature. Audit staff recalculated Regulatory Assessment Fees applicable to the test year revenues.

Depreciation Expense

Objective: To determine that depreciation expense is properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and that it accurately represents the depreciation of utility plant in service assets and the amortization of utility contributions-in-aid-of-construction assets from ongoing utility operations.

Procedures: Depreciation expense could not be determined because the UPIS and CIAC balances for the test year ended June 30, 2009 could not be established due to the lack of records.

CAPITAL STRUCTURE

General

Objective: To determine that the components of the utility's capital structure and the respective cost rates used to arrive at the overall weighted cost of capital are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules.

Procedures: We reviewed the utility's 2007 and 2008 Federal Income Tax returns and annual report for the capital structure components. The utility's debt was traced to the debt agreements. The Capital Structure could not be determined for the test year ended June 30, 2009 due to the lack of records.

SUBJECT: BOOKS AND RECORDS

AUDIT ANALYSIS: TLP Water, Inc.'s books and records are not maintained in accordance with The National Association of Regulatory Commissioners (NARUC) Uniform System of Accounts (USOA).

NARUC, Class C, Accounting Instruction, states,

All books of accounts, together with records and memoranda supporting the entries therein, shall be kept in such a manner as to support fully the facts pertaining to such entries. The books and records referred to herein include not only the accounting records in a limited technical sense, but also all other records, reports, correspondence, invoices, memoranda and information useful in determining the facts regarding a transaction.

Commission Rule 25-30.115, Florida Administrative Code, (F.A.C.), requires Florida utilities to maintain their books and records in conformity with the NARUC USOA.

TLP Water, Inc. does not have adequate records to establish rate base. An original cost study will be required to established rate base as of June 30, 2009. The plant in service that could be verified is shown in Audit Finding No. 2

The Commission should require the utility to maintain its books and records in compliance with the NARUC USOA, Commission orders, and Commission rules.

SUBJECT: UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE AND ASSOCIATED ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

AUDIT ANALYSIS: The utility does not maintain general ledgers for plant in service and therefore did not record any plant in service and accumulated depreciation as of June 30, 2009.

A balance per audit could not be established for plant, accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense. Therefore, the balance per utility and per audit have been excluded until such time that an Original Cost Study is conducted.

The Verifiable Plant in Service and Associated Accumulated Depreciation schedule on page 7 reflects the plant additions and accumulated depreciation that we could determine and should be considered when an original cost amount is derived.

The Disallowed Plant in Service schedule on page 8 should not be considered until the utility can produce adequate documentation for the amounts.

EFFECT ON THE GENERAL LEDGER IF THE FINDING IS ACCEPTED:

The utility does not maintain a general ledger for plant in service.

ciation as 6/30/09	Dep	FPSC RULE RATE	Cost		Date	Description	Vendor	Account Number
1:	\$	2.50%	1,672	¢	Nov-06	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Lewis, Longman & Walker	
2		2.50%	2,805		Nov-06	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Lewis, Longman & Walker	
2	\$	2,50%	1,886		Jan-07	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Lewis, Longman & Walker	
		2,50%	1,886		May-07	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Lewis, Longman & Walker	
Ľ	\$		3,396		Jul-07	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Lewis, Longman & Walker	
	\$	2.50%	785		Jul-07	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Lewis, Longman & Walker	
- 13		2.50%	3,562		Jul-07 Jul-07	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Lewis, Longman & Walker	
2	\$	2.50%	4,374		Aug-07	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Lewis, Longman & Walker	
2:		2.50%	4,569		Sep-07	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Lewis, Longman & Walker	
<i>ب</i> ے		2.50%	4,309			е ,	Lewis, Longman & Walker	
-					Sep-07	Legal Fees for Incorporation		
2	э \$	2.50%	500		Sep-07	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Lewis, Longman & Walker	
2.		2.50%	4,641		Nov-07	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Lewis, Longman & Walker	
	\$ r		70		Mar-09	Incorporation	Florida Department of State	
	\$ •	3.70%	25		Aug-06	Water Plant Fencing	Home Depot	
I	\$		95		Aug-06	Water Plant Electrical	Home Depot	
		3.70%	240		Aug-06	Water Plant Electrical	Home Depot	
] 4		3.70%	1,309		Aug-06	Water Plant Fencing	Home Depot	
វ	\$	3.70%	800		Aug-06	Landscaping	This Handyman Can Inc	
٤	\$	*	800		Oct-06	Fence	This Handyman Can Inc.	
15	\$	3.70%	1,569	\$	Oct-06	Tank Building	Home Depot	304
	\$	3.70%	60	\$	Oct-06	Shingles	Home Depot	304
1	\$	3.70%	116	\$	Oct-06	Shingles	Home Depot	304
5	\$	3.70%	1,342	\$	Feb-08	Design of Water Plant	Wicks Consulting	304
11	\$	3.70%	3,000	\$	Feb-08	Design of Water Plant	Wicks Consulting	304
1,57	\$	2.50%	21,000	\$	Mar-06	Water Tank	Quality Water Treatment	305
-	\$	2.50%	400	\$	Jun-06	Plant Tank	Jeff Farmer	305
1,43	\$	2.50%	19,154	\$	Oct-06	Water Tank	Quality Water Treatment	305
10	\$	2.50%	1,355	\$	Oct-06	Tank Building	This Handyman Can Inc.	305
11	\$	2.50%	1,500	\$	Oct-06	Tank Building	This Handyman Can Inc.	305
2	\$	2.50%	519	\$	Mar-07	Roof Of Plant	Jeff Farmer	305
	\$	2.50%	59	\$	Mar-07	Plant Tank	Jeff Farmer	305
14	\$	5.88%	800	\$	Oct-06	Pump	This Handyman Can Inc.	311
5	\$	5.88%	300	\$	Oct-06	Pumping House	This Handyman Can Inc.	311
e	\$	5.88%	350	\$	Oct-06	Pump	This Handyman Can Inc.	311
6	\$	3.03%	678	\$	Dec-06	Water Storage Tank	Quality Water Treatment	330
	\$	2.63%	5	\$	Aug-06	Water Lines Installation	Nation's Rent	331
	\$	2.63%	46	\$	Aug-06	Water Lines Installation	Nation's Rent	331
1	\$	2.63%	302	\$	Apr-07	Water Lines Installation	Ferguson Plumbing	331
1		2.63%	305		Apr-07	Water Lines Installation	Ferguson Plumbing	331
		2.63%	151		May-07	Water Lines Installation	Grand Rental Station	331
		2.63%	126		May-07	Water Lines Installation	Grand Rental Station	331
5		2.63%	1,026		May-07	PVC Pipes	Pelican Plumbing	331
3		2.63%	562		May-07	Water Lines Installation	Jeff Farmer	
3		2.63%	700		May-07	Water Lines Installation	Jeff Farmer	
ł		2.63%	565		Feb-08	Water Pipe Installation	Pelican Plumbing	
2		2.63%	1,100		May-08	Water Pipe Installation	Pelican Plumbing	
2		6.67%	109		Oct-06	Misc Tools	Home Depot	
- 6		6.67%	298		Oct-06	Misc Tools	Home Depot	
4		10.00%	200		Jan-07	Eyewash	Home Depot	
	-	10.0070	200	-	V M H H H H H			

VERIFIABLE PLANT IN SERVICE AND ASSOCIATED ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

DISALLOWED PLANT IN SERVICE

Account						
Number	Vendor	Description	Date	Cos	t	Reason
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Apr-06	\$	578	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Apr-06	\$2,	500	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	May-06	\$	950	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Jun-06	\$ 1,	289	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Jun-06	\$1,	289	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Jul-06	\$1,	904	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Aug-06	\$1,	000	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Aug-06	\$	441	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Sep-06	\$	85	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Oct-06	\$	886	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Dec-06	\$1,	133	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Aug-07	\$	812	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Sep-07	\$	762	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Oct-07	\$	571	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Dec-07	\$	745	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Jan-08	\$2,	000	No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Mar-08			No support
301 Lev	wis, Longman & Walker	Legal Fees for Incorporation	Mar-08	\$	277	No support
303 All	lan Holtz	Tax Sale Certificate - Reclaim Lot #9 for New Water Plant	Sep-05	\$	830	Leased
303 Coi	unty Clerk Office	Titles Fees - Reclaim Lot #9 for New Water Plant	Jun-06	\$	3	Leased
303 Coi	unty Clerk Office	Titles Fees - Reclaim Lot #9 for New Water Plant	Jun-06	\$	3	Leased
303 Coi	unty Clerk Office	Titles Fees - Reclaim Lot #9 for New Water Plant	Jun-06	\$	43	Leased
303 Lak	ke County Tax Collector	Past Due Taxes - Reclaim Lot #9 for New Water Plant	Jun-06	\$	864	Leased
303 Pot	tter, Clement, Lowry & Duncan Attorney	Legal Fees - Reclaim Lot #9 for New Water Plant	Nov-06	\$3,	337	Leased
331 Fer	rguson Enterprises, Inc.	Water Lines	May-07	\$ 1,	309	No Support
331 Pel	lican Plumbing	Water Lines Installation	May-07	\$4,	160	No support
331 Pel	lican Plumbing	Water Lines Installation	May-07	\$5,	020	No support
331 Pel	lican Plumbing	Water Lines Installation	Jun-07	\$3,	000	No support
331 Pel	lican Plumbing	Water Lines Installation	Jun-07	\$	315	No support
331 Pel	lican Plumbing	Water Lines Installation	Jun-07	\$	825	No support
331 Pel	lican Plumbing	Water Lines Installation	Aug-07	\$	112	No support
331 Pel	lican Plumbing	Water Lines Installation	Aug-07		111	No support
331 Pel	lican Plumbing	Water Lines Installation	Aug-07		150	No support
	-		_	\$ 39,		

SUBJECT: LAND

AUDIT ANALYSIS: The utility established a lease agreement with the owner of the land, Three Lakes Park Co-op, Inc., on January 1, 2008. The lease agreement is for a period of 30 years, January 1, 2008 through January 1, 2038. The amount of the lease is \$160 per month.

Per Commission Rule 25-30.433(10) "A utility is required to own the land upon which the utility treatment facilities are located, or possess the right to the continued use of the land, such as a 99-year lease. The Commission may consider a written easement or other cost-effective alternative."

The utility should be required to obtain a 99-year lease agreement with Three Lakes Park Co-op, Inc.

EFFECT ON THE GENERAL LEDGER IF THE FINDING IS ACCEPTED:

None.

SUBJECT: REVENUES

AUDIT ANALYSIS: The utility recorded revenue of \$14,776 in its cash receipts for the 12 month period ending June 30, 2009. This amount is the actual revenues received by the utility.

The utility has 53 customers that are billed at a flat rate of \$39 per month. The revenues that should have been received for the 12 month period ending June 30, 2009 are \$24,804 ($53 \times 39 \times 12$). The revenues should be increased by \$10,028 (\$24,804 - 14,776).

EFFECT ON THE GENERAL LEDGER IF THE FINDING IS ACCEPTED:

The utility does not maintain a general ledger.

SUBJECT: OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES

AUDIT ANALYSIS:

The utility recorded \$8,861 of legal fees for the 12 months ended June 30, 2009. According to the invoices, this was for costs associated with the current Staff Assisted Rate Case Docket with this Commission, the certification docket filed with this Commission, a litigation issue and communications with the Department of Environmental Compliance. The invoices did not specify the amounts associated with each.

EFFECT ON THE GENERAL LEDGER IF THE FINDING IS ACCEPTED:

This information is provided for the analyst's use.

EXHIBIT I

TLP WATER, INC. DOCKET NO. 080499-WU STAFF-ASSISTED RATE CASE RATE BASE AS OF JUNE 30, 2009

DESCRIPTION	(A) COMP/ AMOU	ANY	AUDIT JSTMENT	AUDIT FINDING		UNT PER
UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE	\$	-	\$ -	(A)	\$	-
LAND	\$	-	\$ -	3	\$	-
CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION (CIAC)	\$	-		(A)	\$	-
ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	\$	-	\$ -	(A)	\$	-
ACCUMULATED AMORTIZATION OF CIAC	\$	-		(A)	\$	
WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE	\$	-	\$ 4,012	(B)	\$ \$	4,012
TOTAL:	\$	-	\$ 4,012		\$	4,012

(A) Rate Base will be established using an Original Cost Study - See Audit Findings Nos. 1 and 2

(B) Working Capital Allowance was established using one-eighth of O and M. See Exhibit II

TLP WATER, INC DOCKET NO. 080499-WU STAFF-ASSISTED RATE CASE NET OPERATING INCOME FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUINE 30, 2009

FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JONE 30, 2009										
	C	OMPANY		AUDIT	AUDIT	4	AUDIT			
DESCRIPTION	Α	MOUNT	AD	JUSTMENT	FINDING	A	MOUNT			
OPERATING REVENUES	\$	14,776	\$	10,028	4	\$	24,804			
EXPENSES:										
O AND M EXPENSES	\$	32,094	\$	-	5	\$	32,094			
DEPRECIATION EXPENSE	\$	-	\$	-	(A)	\$	-			
CIAC AMORTIZATION EXPENSE	\$	-			(A)	\$	-			
TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME			\$	1,116	(B)	\$	1,116			
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	\$	-	\$	-	(C)	\$	-			
TOTAL EXPENSES:	\$	32,094	\$	1,116		\$	33,210			
NET OPERATING INCOME										
(LOSS)	\$	(17,318)	\$	8,912		\$	(8,406)			

(A) Audit Findings Nos. 1 and 2

(B) Regulatory Assessment Fee is calculated based on audit determined revenues.
(C) Per the the amounts above and its 2008 Federal Income Tax Return the

company has a negative taxable income.

Working Capital equals one-eighth of O & M Expenses: \$32,094/8 = \$4012

EXHIBIT III

TLP WATER, INC. DOCKET NO. 080499-WU CAPITAL STRUCTURE STAFF-ASSISTED RATE CASE AS OF JUNE 30, 2009

DESCRIPTION	COMP	ANY AMOUNT	RATIO	COST	WEIGHTED COST
	COMP		KATIO	0031	
COMMON EQUITY (A)	\$	-	0.00%		0.00%
LONG-TERM DEBT	\$	136,866	100.00%	12.00%	12.00%
TOTAL CAPITAL:	\$	136,866	100.00%		12.00%

(A) Per the utility's 2008 Commission Annual Report it has not issued any common stock.

The utility does not collect a customer deposit for service connections