

VOTE SHEET

October 27, 2009

Docket No. 090185-WU – Application for grandfather certificate to operate water utility in St. Johns County by Camachee Island Company, Inc. d/b/a Camachee Cove Yacht Harbor Utility.

Issue 1: Should the application for a grandfather water certificate in St. Johns County by Camachee Island Company, Inc. d/b/a Camachee Cove Yacht Harbor Utility be acknowledged?

Recommendation: Yes. Camachee Cove’s application should be acknowledged and the utility should be issued Certificate No. 647-W, effective January 16, 2009, to serve the territory described in Attachment A of staff’s memorandum dated October 15, 2009. The resultant order should serve as Camachee Cove’s water certificate and it should be retained by the utility. Camachee Cove should be required to file an annual report and pay regulatory assessment fees by March 31, 2010, for the period of jurisdiction from January 16, 2009, through December 31, 2009.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS’ SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

David E. Klement

Neal A. Kelly

Scott B. Ford

John E. ...

...

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10910 OCT 27 09

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

October 27, 2009

Docket No. 090185-WU – Application for grandfather certificate to operate water utility in St. Johns County by Camachee Island Company, Inc. d/b/a Camachee Cove Yacht Harbor Utility.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: What service rates should be approved for Camachee Cove Yacht Harbor Utility?

Recommendation: The utility's service rates that were in effect when St. Johns County transferred jurisdiction to the Commission, as shown on Attachment B of staff's memorandum dated October 15, 2009, should be approved effective January 16, 2009, pursuant to grandfather rights in Section 367.171, F.S. Camachee Cove should be required to charge the approved rates, including the pass-through rate increase also shown on Attachment B, until authorized to change by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should the utility's requested miscellaneous service charges and late payment charge be approved?

Recommendation: Yes. The utility's requested miscellaneous service charges and late payment charge, shown on Attachment B of staff's memorandum dated October 15, 2009, are reasonable and should be approved. Within five working days of the issuance of the order, staff recommends that the utility be required to provide a proposed customer notice of the approved charges for staff's review and approval. Once staff has approved the proposed customer notice, the utility may choose to either mail the notice separately to customers or insert it with the next billing cycle. Within five days after the notice is given, the utility should be required to file an affidavit affirming that the notice has been given to customers of the approved charges. The tariff sheet containing the approved miscellaneous service charges and the late payment charge should become effective for services rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheet, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, Florida Administrative Code.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. If no protest to the proposed agency action issue is filed by a substantially affected person within 21 days of the date of the order, a consummating order should be issued. However, the docket should remain open pending staff's verification that the notice of miscellaneous service charges and late payment charge has been given to customers, after which the docket should be administratively closed.

APPROVED