Ruth Nettles

09000 | EI

From:

John W. McWhirter [johnmac@tampabay.rr.com]

Sent:

Friday, December 11, 2009 11:00 AM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Capt Al Jungels; Capt Shayla McNeill; Cecilia Bradley; J R Kelly; James Beasley, Esq.; Jeffrey Stone, Esq.; John Burnett; John Butler Esq.; John LaVia, III, Esq.; Jon C Moyle Jr; Kaufman, Vicki; Lee Willis, Esq.; Lisa Bennett; Natalie Smith; Norman H. Horton; Patty Christensen, Esq.; Paula K. Brown; Charles Rehwinkel;

Russell Badders, Esq.; Schef Wright; Susan D. Ritenour (Gulf Power); Wade Litchfield, Esq.

Subject:

090001-El Requested refund brief.

Attachments: 091211 FIPUG Exhibit 2.pdf; 0912112 FIPUG refund input.doc; 091212 FIPUG Exhibit 1.pdf

- 1. John W. McWhirter, Jr., PO Box 3350 ,FI 33601-3350, jmcwhirter@mac-law.com is the person responsible for this electronic filing;
- 2. The filing is to be made in Docket 090001-EI, in re: Fuel cost recovery. The filing is made on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group;
- 3. The total number of pages is 6, including attachments and
- 4. The attached document is The Florida Industrial Power User Group's input in calculating FPL refund.

John W. McWhirter, Jr.

PO Box 3350

Tampa, FI 33601-3350

813.505.8055

813,221,1854 FAX

11884 DEC II 8

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause and generating performance incentive factor.

Docket No. 090001-EI Filed: December 11, 2009

FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP (FIPUG's) REQUESTED INPUT INTO METHOD FOR CALCULATING FPL REFUND

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

In compliance with ORDER PSC-09-0795-FOF-EI, Docket No. 090001-EI, and staff's December 10th request for input from parties as to the method of calculating the refund ordered FIPUG

files this pleading.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On June 3, 2008 FPL filed a petition to increase its fuel charge by \$746.2 million based on

new forecasts of fuel prices and declining sales. The Commission granted the petition, but by Order

No. PSC-08-0494-PCO-EI directed that the increase be collected over 17 months with interest rather

than the 5 month period FPL sought. According to the pleadings and testimony in the current fuel

docket in 2008 FPL encountered a \$79,321,012 fuel cost under recovery. In 2009 FPL estimates that

it will collect \$444,164,222 more from customers than its actual fuel cost for the year leaving a net

amount over collected from customers for the two year period of \$364,321,012.

The Commission ordered that the entire sum be refunded with interest in January 2009. On

December 11th FPL advised Commission staff that it proposed to make the refund using the

methodology specified in Order No PSC-09-0024-FOF-EI. The same day staff requested a brief

from parties addressing three issues:

"It would be helpful in preparing the information for the [staff] recommendation to know:

[1] the costs to FPL of determining the amount of consumption per customer for 2009;

[2] whether FPL can identify the amount of total refund per customer class; and

11884 DEC 118

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

[3] whether FPL could use a formula to establish a band around customer refunds so that each customer would get at least the minimum amount of refund but no more than a specified maximum amount of refund per customer."

FIPUG RESPONSE TO STAFF REQUEST FOR INPUT

1.

The costs to FPL of determining the amount of consumption per customer for 2009

It would be improper to award FPL any cost recovery for determining consumption at this time. FPL has not filed a motion to tax such costs, there is no information on the subject in the record and the other parties have not had an opportunity to review or question FPL on the matter.

Due Process should not be overlooked.

2.

Whether FPL can identify the amount of total refund per customer class

There is adequate information in the record of this docket and Docket 080001-EI to determine 2009 customer consumption. FIPUG Attachment 1 attached to this brief is a document filed by FPL witness, Kory Dubin, on October 15, 2008. It shows the estimated consumption percentage at the generator for each customer class. FIPUG Attachment 2 to this brief is FPL's comparison of estimated to actual sales for the year through October 2009 filed in this docket on November 20, 2009. It shows that 2009 sales are within 1% of the 2009 estimate. FIPUG concludes that the FPL 2009 estimates are adequate for determining the refund due each class. Using this method FPL's largest two classes, the residential and small business classes will receive 76.09% of the refund (\$276,911,764). The balance will be distributed to the remaining customer classes in accordance with Ms. Dubin's calculations for the 2009 calendar year.

3.

Whether FPL could use a formula to establish a band around customer refunds so that each customer would get at least the minimum amount of refund but no more than a specified maximum amount of refund per customer

Presumably FPL could use such a formula, but in relatively small customer classes where there is a wide disparity in consumption between customers within the class, it would be inequitable to establish minimum and maximum refund entitlements. Doing so without giving parties an opportunity to be heard would be a flawed procedure lacking due process. The incident delay would defeat the purpose of the one time refund and increase interest costs.

For a refund to pass constitutional muster it should be designed to enable customers to recover the excess payments they have made. Giving some customers less than they overpaid for the benefit of others is at best a tax which the Commission doesn't have authority to impose or at worst a confiscatory action which is prohibited by law.

CONCLUSION

Allow FPL to make the refund in accordance with Order No. PSC-09-0024-FOF-EI in January 2010 as it proposes to do.

Respectfully submitted s/ *John W McWhirter, Jr*. Attorney for FIPUG

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing pleading was furnished to the following, by electronic mail, on this 11th day of December, 2009:

Lisa C. Bennett Senior Attorney FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Fl 323	Paula K. Brown Tampa Electric Company P. O. Box 111 Tampa, Florida 33601-0111
Paul Lewis, Jr. Progress Energy Florida, Inc. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740	Lee L. Willis/James D Beasley. Ausley Law Firm Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32301
Office of Public Counsel J.R. Kelly/C. Rehwinkel/P. Christensen c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400	R. Wade Litchfield & John T. Butler Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach FL 33408-0420
Jay W. Brew Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, DC 20007-5201	Beggs & Lane Law Firm J. Stone/R. Badders/ S. Griffin Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591
Susan D. Ritenour Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780	John T. Burnett / R. Alexander Glenn Progress Energy Service Company, LLCPost Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-14042
Robert Scheffel Wright/John T. LaVia 225 South Adams Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301	Capt Shayla L McNeill, USAF, Capt Al Jungals Staff Attorneys AFLOA/JACL-ULT 139 Barnes Drive Tyndall AFB, FL 32403-5317
Florida Retail Federation John Rogers 100 East Jefferson Street Tallahassee, FL 32301	/S/ John W. Mc Whirter, Jr. John W. Mc Whirter, Jr. Fl Bar# 53905 PO Box 3350 Tampa, Fl 33601 813.505.8055