## **Marguerite McLean**

090538-TP

From:	Kelley, Gerri [Gerri.Kelley@dgslaw.com]
Sent:	Tuesday, February 09, 2010 4:07 PM
То:	Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Cc:	Adams, Gene; Denman, Steve; Diamond, Gregory; Duarte, Alex; Feil, Matthew; Gurdian, Manuel; Beth Keating; Klein, Andrew; Kopta, Gregory; Nelson, Douglas; O'Roarke, Dulaney; Rule, Marsha; Sherr, Adam; Lee Eng Tan; Topp, Jason; Zoracki, Allen; bret.lawson@sprint.com
Subject:	E-filing - Sprint's Objection to Subpoena Duces Tecum

Attachments: Response to Sprint's Objection to Subpoena DT.pdf

The document was not attached to the filing submitted a few minutes ago.

From: Kelley, Gerri		
Sent: Tuesday, February 09, 2010 2:02 PM To: 'filings@psc.state.fl.us'		
Cc: 'Adams, Gene'; Denman, S Andrew'; 'Kopta, Gregory'; 'Nel 'Zoracki, Allen'; 'bret.lawson@s	Steve; 'Diamond, Gregory'; 'Duarte, Alex'; 'Feil, Matthew'; 'Gurdian, Manuel'; 'Keating, Beth'; 'Klein, Ison, Douglas'; 'O'Roarke, Dulaney'; 'Rule, Marsha'; 'Sherr, Adam'; 'Tan, Theresa'; 'Topp, Jason'; Sprint.com' Jection to Subpoena Duces Tecum	
Person Filing: Steven H. Deni	man, Florida Bar No. 0191732	
Davis Grahar	m & Stubbs LLP	
9040 Town C	Center Parkway, Suite 213 anch, FL 34202	
Lakewood Ra		
941-487-365	7	
941-552-565	· ·	
Steve.Denma	ve.Denman@dgslaw.com	
Docket No.:	090538-TP	
Filed on behalf of:	Qwest Communications Company, LLC	
Total number of pages:	6	
Brief description:	Response to Sprint's Objection of Non-Party to Subpoena Duces Tecum	

0001 MENT NUMPER-DATE

2/9/2010

FPSC-COMPUSSION CLEIN

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

In re: Complaint of Qwest Communications Company, LLC against MCImetro Access Transmission Services (d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission Services); XO Communications Services, Inc.; tw telecom of florida, l.p.; Granite Telecommunications, LLC; Cox Florida Telcom, L.P.; Broadwing Communications, LLC; and John Does 1 through 50 (CLEC's whose true names are currently unknown) for rate discrimination in connection with the provision of intrastate switched access services in alleged violation of Sections 364.08 and 364.10, F.S.

Docket No. 090538-TP

Filed: February 9, 2010

## RESPONSE TO SPRINT'S OBJECTION OF NON-PARTY TO SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

Pursuant to Rules 1.351(c) and 1.351(d), Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, Qwest

Communications Company, LLC ("Qwest"), by and through its counsel, herewith files its

Response to Sprint's Objection of Non-Party to Subpoena Duces Tecum filed on February 3,

2010 by Sprint Communications Company Limited partnership and SprintCom, Inc.

(collectively, "Sprint"). Pursuant to an agreement with Sprint, discussed in detail *infra*, Qwest respectfully requests that the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") hold the relief requested in Sprint's filed objections to the subpoena duces tecum (the "Subpoena") in abeyance while the companies confer regarding any differences in position regarding the Subpoena; that Qwest need not respond to the substance of Sprint's objections at this time; and that the Presiding Officer (or the Commission) need not rule on Sprint's objections unless and until Qwest and Sprint reach an impasse. In support of this Response, Qwest states as follows:

1. On or about January 26, 2010, Qwest served the Subpoena on the registered agent for Sprint in Florida. The Subpoena requested production of certain agreements and other documents needed by Qwest for the prosecution of the instant Complaint.

> DOCUMENT AUMBER DATE 0 0888 FEE-9 9 FPSC-COMMISSION CLEEP

954941.1

2. On February 3, 2010, Sprint filed its Objection of Non-Party to Subpoena Duces Tecum, raising a number of substantive objections to the Commission's personal jurisdiction and to the Subpoena. In its prayer for relief, Sprint requested that the Commission enter an order sustaining its objections or, at a minimum, limiting the scope of the Subpoena and other matters *inter alia* related to confidentiality protection and the date for production of the requested documents.

3. In Paragraph 8 of its Objection, Sprint stated: "Sprint has been in contact with Qwest counsel regarding the subpoena and will continue to work with Qwest counsel to reach reasonable terms on which a response to the Subpoena may be provided by mutual agreement." Pursuant to those discussions between in-house counsel – Mr. Bret Lawson for Sprint and Mr. Adam Sherr for Qwest – the two companies reached the following agreement: that the Presiding Officer (or Commission) hold the relief requested in Sprint's filed objections to the Subpoena in abeyance while the companies confer regarding any differences in position regarding the Subpoena; that the matter of Sprint's objections to the Subpoena should be held in abeyance; that Qwest need not respond to the substance of Sprint's objections at this time; and that the Presiding Officer (or the Commission) need not rule on Sprint's objections unless and until Qwest and Sprint reach an impasse.

4. Qwest has been authorized by counsel for Sprint to represent in this Response that Sprint agrees that the matter of its objections to the Subpoena should be held in abeyance, that Qwest need not respond to the substance of Sprint's objections at this time, and that the Presiding Officer (or the Commission) need not rule on Sprint's objections unless and until Qwest and Sprint reach an impasse.

2

5. Qwest also suggests that, in its order on the Objection and this Response, the Presiding Officer (or Commission) find that in the event that Qwest and Sprint do reach an impasse over the production of the requested documents, they will so advise the Commission in a written notice and Qwest will file its substantive response seven (7) days after the filing of the notice of reaching an impasse.

6. No prejudice to any party to this complaint will result from the disposition of the Notice and this Response in the manner agreed to between Qwest and Sprint.

WHEREFORE, Qwest respectfully requests that the Commission enter an order finding: that the Presiding Officer (or Commission) hold the relief requested in Sprint's filed objections to the Subpoena in abeyance while the companies confer regarding any differences in position and regarding the Subpoena; that the matter of Sprint's objections to the Subpoena should be held in abeyance; that Qwest need not respond to the substance of Sprint's objections at this time; and that the Presiding Officer (or the Commission) need not rule on Sprint's objections unless and until Qwest and Sprint reach an impasse. The order should also provide that, in the event that Qwest and Sprint do reach an impasse over the production of the requested documents, Qwest and Sprint so advise the Commission in a written notice and Qwest will file its substantive response seven (7) days after the filing of the notice of reaching an impasse.

DATED this 9th day of February 2010.

3

<u>s/ Steven H. Denman</u>
Steven H. Denman, Florida Bar No. 0191732
Davis Graham & Stubbs LLP
9040 Town Center Parkway, Suite 213
Lakewood Ranch, FL 34202
941-487-3657
941-552-5650 (facsimile)
<u>Steve.Denman@dgslaw.com</u>

and

Jason Topp (not admitted in Florida) Corporate Counsel Qwest Corporation 200 S. 5th St., Rm 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: 612-672-8905 Email: Jason.Topp@qwest.com

Adam L. Sherr (not admitted in Florida) Corporate Counsel Qwest 1600 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Room 1506 Seattle, WA 98191 Tel: 206-398-2507 Fax: 206-343-4040 Email: <u>Adam.Sherr@qwest.com</u>

Attorneys for Qwest Communications Company, LLC

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the forgoing **RESPONSE TO SPRINT'S OBJECTION OF NON-PARTY TO SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM** has been furnished by U.S. Mail and email to the following this 9<sup>th</sup> day of February 2010:

Florida Public Service Commission: Theresa Tan, Esq. Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 <u>ltan@psc.state.fl.us</u>

MCImetro Access Transmission Services dba Verizon Access Transmission Services: Dulaney O'Roarke, Esq. Verizon Six Concourse Parkway, NE - Suite 800 Atlanta, GA 30328 de.oroark@verizon.com

Granite Telecommunications, LLC: Andrew M. Klein, Esq. Allen Zoracki, Esq. Klein Law Group PLLC 1250 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Ste. 200 Washington DC 20036 <u>aklein@kleinlawpllc.com</u> azoracki@kleinlawpllc.com

Broadwing Communications, LLC: Gregory Diamond, Esq. Broadwing Communications, LLC 1025 Eldorado Blvd. Broomfield, CO 80021 greg.diamond@level3.com *Qwest Communications Company, LLC:* Jason Topp Qwest Corporation 200 S. 5th St., Rm 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: 612-672-8905 Jason.Topp@qwest.com

Qwest Communications Company, LLC: Adam L. Scherr Qwest Communications Company, LLC 1600 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Rm. 1506 Seattle, WA 98191 <u>adam.sherr@qwest.com</u>

tw telecom of florida l.p. Gene Adams, Esq. Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson, Bell & Dunbar 215 South Monroe Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Tallahassee, FL 32301 gene@penningtonlawfirm.com

Broadwing Communications, LLC: Marsha E. Rule, Esq. Rutledge, Ecenia & Purnell P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551 marsha@reuphlaw.com Cox Communications and XO Communications Services Inc.: Beth Keating, Esq. Matthew Feil, Esq. Akerman Senterfitt Highpoint Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor 106 East College Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301 beth.keating@akerman.com matthew.feil@akerman.com XO Communications Services, Inc. Gregory J. Kopta Davis Wright Tremaine LLP 1201 Third Ave., Ste. 2200 Seattle, WA 98101 gregkopta@dwt.com

AT&T Inc., AT&T Corp., AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC Manuel A. Gurdian c/o Gregory R. Follensbee 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 manuel.gurdian@att.com

Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership, and SprintCom, Inc. Douglas C. Nelson, Esq. 233 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 2200 Atlanta, GA 30339-3166 douglas.c.nelson@sprint.com Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership, and SprintCom, Inc. Bret Lawson, Esq. 6450 Sprint Parkway Mailstop KSOPHN0304-3B511 Overland Park, KS 66251 bret.lawson@sprint.com

/s Geraldine Kelley