

## Hublic Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

March 4, 2010

TO:

Office of Commission Clerk (Cole)

FROM:

Office of the General Counsel (Cowders)

Division of Economic Regulation (Hinton, Lester, Hewitt)

RE:

Docket No. 100084-EI - Initiation of rulemaking to adopt Rule 25-6.0424, F.A.C.,

Petition for Mid-Course Correction.

**AGENDA:** 03/16/10 – Regular Agenda – Interested Persons May Participate

**COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED:** All Commissioners

PREHEARING OFFICER:

Skop

**RULE STATUS:** 

Proposal May Be Deferred

**SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:** 

None

FILE NAME AND LOCATION:

S:\PSC\GCL\WP\100084.RCM.DOC

#### Case Background

The Commission conducts an annual fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause (fuel clause) hearing as part of its authority to set fair and reasonable electric utility rates pursuant to Section 366.05, F.S. Through a procedure established by Commission orders<sup>2</sup> and as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> E.g., Docket No. 100001-EI, In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Order No. 13694, issued September 20, 1984, in Docket No. 840001-EI and Docket No. 840003-GU, In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor; In re: Purchased gas cost recovery clause; Order No. PSC-98-0691-FOF-PU, issued May 19, 1998, in Docket No. 980269-PU, In re: Consideration of change in frequency and timing of hearing for the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause, capacity cost recovery clause, generating performance incentive factor, energy conservation cost recovery clause, purchased gas adjustment (PGA) true-up, and environmental cost recovery clause; and Order No. PSC-07-0333-

Docket No. 100084-EI Date: March 4, 2010

part of the fuel clause proceeding, utilities or other parties may petition for a mid-course correction to fuel factors previously approved in the most recent fuel clause hearing. Mid-course corrections are preliminary procedural decisions which are used by the Commission between the annual fuel hearings whenever costs deviate from revenues by a significant margin. Any over-recoveries or under-recoveries caused by or resulting from the new factor adopted by the mid-course correction may be included in the following year's fuel factor as addressed in the annual fuel clause proceeding.

The Commission has not enacted rules concerning the petition for mid-course correction procedure because the fuel clause proceedings are exempted by subparagraph 120.80(13)(a), F.S., from the rulemaking provisions of Chapter 120, F.S.<sup>3</sup> If not for this exemption, the Commission would have been required to initiate rulemaking concerning the fuel clause proceedings, to the extent that there exist agency requirements meeting the statutory definition of "rule."<sup>4</sup>

As part of its duty to maintain a continuous review of the administrative rulemaking process, the staff of the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee (JAPC) requested that the Commission adopt a rule to prescribe the application process for mid-course corrections. By letter dated July 24, 2009, to the Commission's General Counsel and Executive Director, JAPC staff stated that subsection 366.06(1), F.S., specifically mandates that all applications for changes in rates shall be made under rules and regulations prescribed by the Commission, and that this specific mandate controls over the subsection 120.80(3) general exemption from rulemaking for fuel clause proceedings. JAPC indicated to Commission staff that codifying the current mid-course correction application procedure in a rule will resolve JAPC's concerns in this regard.

In response to JAPC staff's request, staff initiated rulemaking in this docket in order to codify the current Commission procedure for the filing of petitions for mid-course corrections. The Notice of Proposed Rule Development was published in the December 18, 2009, edition of the Florida Administrative Weekly. No requests for a rule development workshop were received, thus a rule development workshop was not held.

This recommendation addresses whether the Commission should propose the adoption of Rule 25-6.0424, F.A.C. The Commission has jurisdiction pursuant to Sections 120.54, F.S., and 366.06(1), F.S.

PAA-EI, issued April 16, 2007, in Docket No. 070001-EI, In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Subparagraph 120.80(13)(a) states: "Agency statements that relate to cost-recovery clauses, factors, or mechanisms implemented pursuant to chapter 366, relating to public utilities, are exempt from the provisions of s. 120.54(1)(a)."

<sup>4</sup> Subparagraph 120.54(1)(a) states that each agency statement defined as a rule by Section 120.52, F.S., is required to be adopted by the rulemaking procedure of Section 120.54 as soon as feasible and practicable. Subject to certain exceptions, a "rule" means each agency statement of general applicability that implements, interprets, or prescribes law or policy or describes the procedure or practice requirements of an agency and includes any form which imposes any requirement or solicits any information not specifically required by statute or by an existing rule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Subsection 366.06(1) states: "All applications for changes in rates shall be made to the commission in writing under rules and regulations prescribed, and the commission shall have the authority to determine and fix fair, just, and reasonable rates that may be requested, demanded, charged, or collected by any public utility for its service."

Docket No. 100084-EI Date: March 4, 2010

#### **Discussion of Issues**

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission propose the adoption of Rule 25-6.0424, F.A.C., Petition for Mid-Course Correction?

**Recommendation**: Yes, the Commission should propose the adoption of this rule as set forth in Attachment A. (Cowdery, Hinton, Lester, Hewitt)

<u>Staff Analysis</u>: Draft Rule 25-6.0424 is appended to the recommendation as Attachment A. The following is a summary of the rule.

Subsection (1) of Rule 25-6.0424 identifies the filing requirements for a petition for mid-course correction. Subparagraph (a) requires the filing of the estimated percentage of year-end over-recovery or under-recovery calculated using the estimated end-of-period total net true-up divided by the current period's total actual and estimated jurisdictional fuel revenue applicable to the period. The appropriate method for determining the over-recovery or under-recovery percentage for capacity costs is explained. The language of subparagraph (a) codifies the filing requirements set forth by Commission order in the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause Docket No. 070001-EI.<sup>6</sup> This information is necessary to determine the appropriateness and the level of mid-course correction.

Subparagraph (b) of Rule 25-6.0424 requires that utilities file Form PSC/ECR 009-E (xx/xx), entitled "Petition for Mid-Course Correction," which consists of the schedules which a utility is required to file. These are the same schedules which utilities have historically filed for such a petition; the only change is that they are now identified as Form PSC/ECR 009-E. Subparagraph (b) also states that the form may be obtained from the Commission's Division of Economic Regulation.

Subsection (2) codifies the requirement currently identified in Docket No. 070001-EI, that in the event that the absolute value of the over-recovery or under-recovery is 10 percent or greater, the utility shall promptly notify the Commission. Subsection (2) also codifies the current requirement that the notification of a 10 percent or greater estimated over-recovery or under-recovery shall include a petition for mid-course correction or shall include an explanation of why a mid-course correction is not practical. This requirement is important to ensure that excessive over-recoveries or under-recoveries are addressed as soon as practicable.

Subsection (3) of Rule 25-6.0424 states that 10 copies of the petition must be filed with the Commission Clerk and a copy sent to the Director of the Division of Economic Regulation at the Director's e-mail address. The e-mail address is identified. Subsection (3) also identifies the Director of the Division of Economic Regulation as the designee of the Commission for purposes of determining whether the utility has met the minimum filing requirements imposed by the rule.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See footnote 2.

Docket No. 100084-EI Date: March 4, 2010

#### **Statement of Estimated Regulatory Cost (SERC)**

The Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (Attachment B) notes that Rule 25-6.0424 should not result in incremental costs for the Commission and that there could be some minor benefit from codifying the mid-course correction requirements. The SERC states that customers and small businesses and local governments would not be affected. The SERC also states that the 5 investor-owned electric utilities which are regulated by the Commission should have no transactional costs as a result of the adoption of the rule and that there could be some minimal benefits because the requirements for a petition for a mid-course correction will be specifically set forth in one place with the implementation of a rule.

Based upon the above, staff recommends that the Commission propose the adoption of Rule 25-6.0424, F.A.C., as set forth in Attachment A.

Docket No. 100084-EI Date: March 4, 2010

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. (Cowdery)

<u>Staff Analysis</u>: If no requests for hearing or comments are filed, the rule may be filed with the Department of State, and then this docket may be closed.

Docket No. 100084-EI Attachment A

Date: March 4, 2010

#### 25-6.0424 Petition for Mid-Course Correction

(1) To request a mid-course correction to the fuel cost recovery or capacity cost recovery factors, a utility shall file a petition for mid-course correction which shall contain the following information:

- (a) The estimated percentage of year-end over-recovery or under-recovery calculated using the estimated end-of-period total net true-up divided by the current period's total actual and estimated jurisdictional fuel revenue applicable to the period. The appropriate method to determine the over-recovery or under-recovery percentage for capacity costs is to make a similar percent calculation using up-to-date capacity cost recovery revenue and true-up amounts.
- (b) A complete Form PSC/ECR 009-E (xx/xx) reflecting the estimated end-of-period total net true-up based upon current cost recovery factors and revised fuel expenses. Form PSC/ECR 009-E (xx/xx), incorporated by reference in this rule and entitled "Fuel Mid-Course Correction Schedules," may be obtained from the Commission's Division of Economic Regulation.
- (2) In the event that the absolute value of the over-recovery or under-recovery either for fuel cost recovery or capacity cost recovery is 10 percent or greater, the utility shall promptly notify the Commission by letter delivered to the Director of the Division of Economic Regulation. The notification of a 10 percent or greater estimated over-recovery or under-recovery shall include a petition for mid-course correction to the fuel cost recovery or capacity cost recovery factors, or shall include an explanation of why a mid-course correction is not practical.
- (3) When filing a petition for mid-course correction to the fuel cost recovery or capacity cost recovery factors, a utility shall file 10 copies of the petition with the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, and an CODING: Words underlined are additions; words in struck through type are deletions from existing law.

Docket No. 100084-EI Date: March 4, 2010

#### Attachment A

1	electronic copy with the Director of the Division of Economic Regulation at
2	mwillis@psc.state.fl.us. The Director of the Division of Economic Regulation shall be the
3	designee of the Commission for purposes of determining whether the utility has met the
4	minimum filing requirements imposed by this rule.
5	Rulemaking Authority 350.127(2), 366.06(1)FS. Law Implemented 366.041, 366.05(1),
6	366.06(1), 366.076. History—New xx/xx.
7	
8	draft rule 25-6.0424.doc
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CODING: Words <u>underlined</u> are additions; words in <del>struck through</del> type are deletions from existing law.

# FUEL MID-COURSE CORRECTION SCHEDULES

PSC/ECR 009-E (xx/xx)

#### PROJECTED FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST SCHEDULES

#### Schedule E1 Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause Calculation E-1A Calculation of Total True-up (Projected Period) E-1B Calculation of Estimated True-up (2 Months Actual, 4 Months Estimated) E-1B-1 Comparison of Estimated/Actual Versus Original Projections of the Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Factor E-1C Calculation of Generating Performance Incentive Factor and True-up Factor E-1D Determination of Fuel Recovery Factor - Time of Use Rate Schedules E-1E Fuel Recovery Factors - By Rate Group (Adjusted for Line/Transformation Losses) E2 Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Calculation **E**3 Generating System Comparative Data by Fuel Type E4 System Net Generation and Fuel Cost **E5** System Generated Fuel Cost Inventory Analysis **E**6 Power Sold E7 Purchased Power Economy (Exclusive of Energy Purchases) E8 Energy Payment To Qualifying Facilities E9 Economy Energy Purchases E10 Residential Bill Comparison for Monthly Usage of 1000 KWH H1 Generating System Comparative Data by Fuel Type

Docket No. 100084-EI March 4, 2010

# FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

Attachment A

COMPANY

	ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD:			SCHEDULE E1
		* *	0-2	
		(a)	(b)	(c)
1	Fuel Cost of System Not Consider (F2)	DOLLARS	MWH	¢/KWH
2	Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3)		*	
3	Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs (E2)  Coal Car Investment			
4				
5	Adjustments to Fuel Cost			
6	TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER (LINES 1 THROUGH 4)  Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Exclusive of Economy) (E7)			
7	Energy Cost of Schedule C & X Econ Purch (Broker) (E9)			
8	Energy Cost of Other Econ Purch (Non-Broker) (E9)			
. 9	Energy Cost of Sched E Economy Purch (E9)			
10	Capacity Cost of Sched E Economy Purchases (E2)			
11	Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (E8)			
12	TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER (LINES 6 THROUGH 11)			
13	TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH (LINE 5 + LINE 12)			,
14	Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E6)			
15	Gain on Economy Sales (E6)			
16	Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (E6)			•
17	Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales			
18	TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES			
19	Net inadvertent interchange			
20	TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS			
	(LINE 5 + 12 + 18 + 19)			
21	Net Unbilled Sales	*		
22	Company Use	*		
23	T & D Losses	*		
24	SYSTEM MWH SALES	1.0		
25	Wholesale MWH Sales		_	
26	Jurisdictional MWH Sales			
26a	Jurisdictional Loss Multiplier			
27	Jurisdictional MWH Sales Adjusted for Line Losses			
28	TRUE-UP **			
29	TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL FUEL COST			
30	Revenue Tax Factor			
31	Fuel Factor Adjusted for Taxes			*
32	GPIF**			
33	Fuel Factor Adjusted for Taxes Including GPIF			
34	FUEL FACTOR ROLINDED TO NEADEST AND ARRANGE			

- \* For Informational Purposes Only
- \*\* Calculation Based on Jurisdictional KWH Sales

# CALCULATION OF TOTAL TRUE-UP (PROJECTED PERIOD)

	COMPANY	
	FOR THE PERIOD:	SCHEDULE E1 - A
1.	Estimated over/(under) recovery (2 months actual, 4 months estimated period) (Schedule E1-B)	\$
2.	Final True-up (6 months actual period)	
3.	Total over/(under) recovery (Lines 1 + 2)  To be included in 6 month projected period (Schedule E1, line 29)	\$
4.	Jurisdictional KWH sales (Projected period)	
5.	True-up factor (Lines 3/4) * 100 (¢/KWH)	\$

# Attachment A

# Docket No. 100084-EI March 4, 2010

8 TOTAL ESTIMATED TRUE-UP FOR THE PERIOD

#### CALCULATION OF ESTIMATED TRUE-UP (2 MONTHS ACTUAL, 4 MONTHS ESTIMATED) COMPANY

COMPANT	
FOR THE PERIOD:	

SCHEDULE E-1B

MONTH MONTH HTMOM MONTH **HTNOM** MONTH TOTAL ACTUAL ACTUAL **ESTIMATED ESTIMATED ESTIMATED ESTIMATED PERIOD** A 1 Fuel Cost of System Generation 2 Fuel Cost of Power Sold 3 Fuel Cost of Purchased Power 3a Demand & Non-Fuel Cost of Purchased Power 3b Energy Payments to Qualified Facilities 4 Energy Cost of Economy Purchases 5 Adjustments to Fuel Cost **6 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS** (Sum of Lines A1 Through A5) B 1 Jurisdictional KWH Sales 2 Non-Jurisdictional KWH Sales 3 TOTAL SALES (Lines B1 + B2) 4 Jurisdictional % Of Total Sales (Line B1/B3) C 1 Jurisdictional Fuel Recovery Revenue (Net of Revenue Taxes) 1a Adjustments to Fuel Revenue 2 True-up Provision 2a Incentive Provision 3 FUEL REVENUE APPLICABLE TO PERIOD (Sum of Lines C1 Through C2a) 4 Fuel & Net Power Transactions (Une A6) 5 Jurisdictional Fuel Cost (Line A6 x Line B4 x Revenue Tax Factor) 6 Over/(Under) Recovery 7 Interest Provision

### COMPARISON OF ESTIMATED/ACTUAL VERSUS ORIGINAL PROJECTIONS OF THE FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY FACTOR

COMPANY	
FOR THE PERIOD:	

9CHEDULE E-18-1

		DOLLAP	APS			мwн			¢/KWH			
*	ESTIMATEDY	ESTIMATED	DIFFERE	NCE	EBTIMATED	MATEDY ESTIMATED	DIFFERE	NCE	ESTIMATED/	ESTIMATED	DIFFERE	NCE_
	ACTUAL	ORIGINAL	THUOMA	*	ACTUAL	ORIGINAL	AMOUNT	*	ACTUAL	ORIGINAL	AMOUNT	*
1 Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (A3)												
2 Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs												
3 Coal Car investment												
4 Adjustments to Fuel Cost (A2, page 1)												
6 TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER					3.62							
6 Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Exclusive of												
Economy (A7)												
7 Energy Cost of Sched C & X Econ Purch (Broker) (A9)												
8 Energy Cost of Other Econ Purch (Non-Broker) (A9)												
9 Energy Cost of Sched E Economy Purch (A9)												
10 Capacity Cost of Sched E. Economy Purchases (AZ)												
11 Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (All)												
12 TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER					<u> </u>							
13 TOTAL AVAILABLE MWH (LINE 5 + LINE 12)												
14 Fuel Cost of Economy Bales (A6)												
5 Gain on Economy Bales ((A6)												
8 Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (A6)												
7 Fuel Cost of Other Power Bales (A6)												
IS TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS ON POWER SALES												
(LINES 14 + 15 + 16 + 17)									1			
IR Net inadvertent interchange												
TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS												
(LINES 5 + 12 + 18 + 19)												
21 Nat Unbilled Sales		1										
22 Company Use		,										
23 T & D Losses		•			·							
24 BYBTEM KWH BALEB												
25 Wholesale KWH Sales												
26 Juriedictional KWH Sales		` _										
26a Juriedictional Loss Multiplier												
27 Jurisdictional KWH Sales Adjusted for Line Losses												
26 TRUE UP **			(4)									
29 TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL FUEL COST						4						
30 Revenue Tax Factor												
31 Fuel Factor Adjusted for Taxes												
32 GPIF**												
33 Fuel Factor Adjusted for Taxes Including GPF						•	•					
34 FUEL FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 (c/kwh)	1											

<sup>\*</sup> Included for Informational Purposes Only

<sup>\*\*</sup> Calculation Based on Jurisdictional KWH Sales

# CALCULATION OF GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR AND TRUE-UP FACTOR COMPANY \_\_\_\_\_ FOR THE PERIOD: \_\_\_\_\_

SCHEDULE E-1C

- 1. TOTAL AMOUNT OF ADJUSTMENTS:
  - A. GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE REWARD (PENALTY)
  - B. TRUE-UP (OVER) / UNDER RECOVERED
- 2. TOTAL SALES (KWH)
- 3. ADJUSTMENT FACTORS:
  - A. GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR
  - B. TRUE-UP FACTOR

OFF-PEAK

E-1D

# DETERMINATION OF FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR TIME OF USE RATE SCHEDULES

COMPANY			
FOR THE	PERIOD:		SCHEDULE
		NET ENERGY FOR LOAD (%)	FUEL COST (%)
	ON PEAK OFF PEAK	- 100.00	100.00
TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANS MWH SALES	TOTAL	ON-PEAK	OFF-PEAK
COST PER KWH SOLD JURISDICTIONAL LOSS FACTOR JURISDICTIONAL FUEL FACTOR GPIF TRUE-UP			
TOTAL REVENUE TAX FACTOR RECOVERY FACTOR RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 ¢/KWH •	×		, ·
HOURS: ON-BEAK		<b>o</b> ⁄	

%

# FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS — BY RATE GROUP (ADJUSTED FOR LINE/TRANSFORMATION LOSSES) COMPANY

	FOR TH	E PERIOD:		SCHEDULE E-1E
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
GROUP	RATE SCHEDULE	AVERAGE FACTOR	FUEL RECOVERY LOSS MULTIPLIER	FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR
Α				
В		•		
С				
D				
Α		N-PEAK F-PEAK		•
B	,	N DEAK		
.5		N-PEAK F-PEAK		
С	. 01	N-PEAK		
-		F-PEAK		
D	. ON	N-PEAK	-	

OFF-PEAK

# Attachment A

# Docket No. 100084-EI

## FUEL & PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION COMPANY \_\_\_\_\_

FOR THE PERIOD:

SCHEDULE E2

, INIT	,	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	TOTAL		
LINE NUMBER	,	MONTH	MONTH		MONTH	MONTH	MONTH	TOTAL	LINE NUMBER	
1 1a 2 3 3a 3b	FUEL COST OF SYSTEM GENERATION NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL FUEL COST OF POWER SOLD FUEL COST OF PURCHASED POWER DEMAND & NON-FUEL COST OF PUR POWER QUALIFYING FACILITIES	MONTH	MONTH	MONTH	MONTH	MONTH	MONTH	PERIOD	1 1a 2 3 3a 3b	
4	ENERGY COST OF ECONOMY PURCHASES								_ 4	
5 6	TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (SUM OF LINES A-1 THROUGH A-4) SYSTEM KWH SOLD (MWH)			3				÷	5	
6a	JURISDICTIONAL % OF TOTAL SALES								6	_
7	COST PER KWH SOLD (¢/KWH)					1			7	17
7a	JURISDICTIONAL LOSS MULTIPLIER								7a	
, 7b	JURISDICTIONAL COST (¢/KWH)								7b	
8	GPIF (¢/KWH)		•						8	
9	TRUE-UP (¢/KWH)							v	9	
10	TOTAL								10	
11	REVENUE TAX FACTOR					<del></del>	-,		_ 11	
March 4, 2010  11  12	RECOVERY FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES				2				12	
13	RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 ¢/KWH		GC.					,	13	

#### Attachment A

# GENERATING SYSTEM COMPARATIVE DATA BY FUEL TYPE COMPANY\_\_\_\_ ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: \_\_\_\_\_

		ESTIMATED FO	R THE PERIOD OF	:				S	CHEDULE E3
	MONTHS								TOTAL
					_				
1	FUEL COST OF SYSTEM NET O	ENERATION (S)	-			_	1		
2	LIGHT OIL				<u> </u>	+			
3	COAL				<u> </u>				
4	GAS								
5	NUCLÉAR								
6	OTHER TOTAL (\$)		-		-		+		
•	101250		<del></del>						
_	SYSTEM NET GENERATION (M	WH)	.,					_	
8	HEAVY OIL							-	
10			-	<del></del>		-		_	
	GAS		<u> </u>						
	NUCLEAR								
13									
14	TOTAL (MWH)				<u> </u>				
	UNITS OF FUEL BURNED			-					
	HEAVY OIL	T							
	LIGHT OIL								
	COAL					· .			
18 19	GAS NUCLEAR							_	
	OTHER		,						
	BTU'S BURNED (MMBTU)								
	HEAVY OIL								•
	LIGHT OIL COAL							_	
	GAS								
	NUCLEAR		T						
26	OTHER								
27	TOTAL (MMBTU)								
	GENERATION MIX (%MWH)								
28	HEAVY OIL	(1)							
29	LIGHT OIL								
	COAL								
	NUCLEAR								
	OTHER				-				
	TOTAL (%)			-					
	FUEL COST PER UNIT						-		
36 36	HEAVY OIL (\$/BBL) UGHT OIL (\$/BBL)								
37	COAL (\$/TON)							_	
38	GAS (\$/MCF)								
	NUCLEAR (\$/MMBTU)				5-				
10	OTHER			L					
1	FUEL COST PER MMBTU (S/MME	สาบา							
61	HĒAVY OIL								
12	LIGHT OIL								
	COAL								
	GAS NUCLEAR							_	
	OTHER								
	TOTAL (\$/MMBTU)								
	BTU BURNED PER KWH (BTU/KV HEAVY OIL	VH)							
	LIGHT OIL							$\vdash$	
	COAL								
1	GAS								
	NUCLEAR								
	TOTAL (BILLIONEN								
→ L	TOTAL (BTU/KWH)								-
0	ENERATED FUEL COST PER KV	VH (¢/KWH)							
5 [	HEAVY OIL								
	DGHT OIL								
	COAL					,			
	NUCLEAR								
	OTHER				-				
	OTAL (¢/KWH)								

#### SYSTEM NET GENERATION AND FUEL COST

COMPANY

CTIMATED FOR THE	PERIOD/MONTH OF	

SCHEDULE E4

_	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(0)	(1)	(g)	(h)	(i)	<u> </u>	(k)	(1)	(m)	(n)
				Not	Equivalent	Net	Average			Fuel Heat	3			
		Net	Net	Capacity	Availability	Output	Net Heat		Fuel	Value	Fuel	As Burned	Fuel Cost	Cost of
ļ		Capability	Generation	Factor	Factor	Factor	Rate	Fuel	Burned	(MMBTU	Bumed	Fuel Cost	Per KWH	Fuel
	Plant/Unit	(MW)	(MWH)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(BTU/KWH)	Туре	(Units)	/Unit)	(MMBTU)	(\$)	(¢/KWH)	(\$/unit)
1	•			-										
2														, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
3														
4														
5						-								
6														
7	-					-								
8														-
9														
10														
11			,											6
12														19
13												w		
14	-				γ									
15														
16														
17			1.											
18								_						
19			(40)											
20		1			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \									
21														
22														
23	-													
24	TOTAL	1							-			<u> </u>		
			1		1	1	J	-						

#### SYSTEM GENERATED FUEL COST INVENTORY ANALYSIS COMPANY

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF:									
							T	1	
	МОМТН								TOTAL
	HEAVY OIL								
1	PURCHASES:								
2	UNIT (BBL)			-				]	
3	UNIT COST (\$/BBL) AMOUNT (\$)						<del> </del>	1	
5	BURNED:	1			1			J	
6	UNIT (BBL)								
7	UNIT COST (SABBL)							1	
9	ENDING INVENTORY:					<del></del>		1	
10	UNIT (BBL)								
11			_						
12	AMOUNT (S)								
13	DAYS SUPPLY:								
	LICHTON								
14	LIGHT OIL PURCHASES:	7							
15									
16									
17 18	AMOUNT (5) BURNED:	+							
19	UNIT (BBL)								
20	UNIT COST (\$/BBL)								
21 22	AMOUNT (5) ENDING INVENTORY:	<del>                                     </del>				L <del></del>			
23	UNIT (BBL)								
24	UNITCOST (\$/BBL)								
25	AMOUNT (S)		1						_
20	DAYS SUPPLY:		1					1	
	·								
77	PURCHASES:	7							
	UNIT (TON)		1 -					ſ	
28	UNIT COST (S/TON)							1	
	AMOUNT (S)							L	
	BURNED: UNIT (TON)					-		Г	* **
33	UNIT COST (S/TON)							į	
	AMOUNT (S)							. [	
	ENDING INVENTORY: UNIT (TON)		· ·					٦	
	UNIT COST (S/TON)							t	
36	AMOUNT (3)								
39	DAYS SUPPLY:							H	
			<u> </u>					-	
40	GAS	1							
	PURCHASES: UNIT (MOF)							Г	
42	UNIT COST (\$/MOF)			¥					
	AMOUNT (S)					,			
44	BURNED: UNIT (MOF)							Г	
46	UNIT COST (S/MOF)						, <u>r</u>		
	AMOUNT (S)								
	ENDING INVENTORY: UNIT (MOF)	-						Г	
50	UNIT COST (S/MOF)								
	AMOUNT (S)							Ę	
9	DAYS SUPPLY:	_			_			-	
- [								-	
	NUCLEAR	ı							
	BURNED: UNIT (MMBTU)							г	
	UNIT COST (S/MMBTU)							- 1	
io [	AMOUNT (S)								
	OTHER								
7	PURCHASES:								
8	UNIT (MMBTU)								
	UNITCOST (S/MMBTU)								
	AMOUNT (S) BURNED:							L	
	UNIT (MMBTU)		Paris Democracy Co.					٢	
3	UNITCOST (S/MMBTU)								
	MOUNT (5) ENDING INVENTORY:							L	
	UTEMMIT (MMBTU)		1	- 1			7	Г	
7 [	JNIT COST (S/MMBTU)								
3 /	WOUNT (5)							-	
a	DAYS SUPPLY:			2.0		+		-	
· L				20				_	

COMPANY		
OCIAII LIIAI		

A			ESTIMAT	ED FOR THE PERI	OD OF:					
ent										
ŭ	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	1	(A)	

Attachmen	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7	)	(8)	(9)	(10)
3			1,	1.1	MWH	``		WH		``	80% GAIN
TES			TYPE	TOTAL	WHEELED	MWH FROM	(A)	(B)	TOTAL \$ FOR	TOTAL COST	ON ECONOMY
	MONTH	SOLD TO	8.	MWH	FROM OTHER	OWN	FUEL	TOTAL	FUEL ADJUSTMENT	•	ENERGY
			SCHEDULE	SOLD	SYSTEMS	GENERATION	COST	COST	(6) X (7) (A)	(6) X (7) (B)	SALES
1						:			1		1
			*								
		1					v	·			
1											
-											1
-	**										1
1								ļ			
				ļ							
. ]											
		1			1						
120						1			3		
-				7		}					
					e e						
					1						
-											
- [	TOTAL*		1					ļ			

SCHEDULE E6

\* TOTAL \$ INCLUDES 80% OF GAIN ON ECONOMY ENERGY SALES

Docket No. 100084-EI
March 4, 2010

#### PURCHASED POWER

#### (EXCLUSIVE OF ECONOMY ENERGY PURCHASES)

COMPANY \_\_\_\_\_\_
ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: \_\_\_\_\_

SCHEDULE E7

	¥									
ſ	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		(9)
									WH	
			TYPE	TOTAL	MWH	MWH	MWH	(A)	(B)	TOTAL \$ FOR
	MONTH	PURCHASED FROM	&	MWH	FOR OTHER	FOR	FOR	FUEL	TOTAL	FUEL ADJUSTMENT
Į			SCHEDULE	PURCHASED	UTILITIES	INTERRUPTIBLE	FIRM	COST	COST	(7) X (8)(A)
,								36		
					*					
	i			1						
			1							
*		,	1							
										22
	1									
			]	,						
			1			1				
				,						
	<i>y</i>									
10	*									
4, 2010									_	
<del>,</del>	TOTAL				<u> </u>	<u> </u>				

#### **ENERGY PAYMENT TO QUALIFYING FACILITIES**

COMPANY	Z-14-21 1-24

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF:	
------------------------------	--

SCHEDULE E8

{	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8	1)	(9)
	is a								WH	(4)
	MONTH	PURCHASED FROM	TYPE	TOTAL	MWH	MWH	MWH	(A)	(B)	TOTAL\$FOR
	MONTH	1 OLICHASED LHOW		MWH	FOR OTHER		FOR	FUEL	TOTAL	FUEL ADJUSTMENT
l			SCHEDULE	PURCHASED	UTILITIES	INTERRUPTIBLE	FIRM	COST	COST	(7) X (8)(A)
[					<u> </u>					
		.41			0					
		• *								
.										
		,								
										23
				ş					,	
						٠				
				· · · · ·						
	e"									
0107						7				
	TOTAL									

Docket No. 100084-EI March 4, 2010

#### **ECONOMY ENERGY PURCHASES**

Attachment A

Docket No. 100084-EI March 4, 2010

COMPANY		I ENERGY PUNCE	14555		
ESTIMATED	FOR THE PER	RIOD OF:		<del>-</del>	SCHEDULE E9
(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1 1		147		•	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7	)	(8)
				u.			ST IF	(-)
MONTH	DUDOULO	TYPE	TOTAL	TRANSACTION	TOTAL \$ FOR	GENE	RATED	FUEL
MONTH	PURCHASED FROM		MWH	COST	FUEL ADJ.	(A)	(B)	SAVINGS
		SCHEDULE	PURCHASED	¢/KWH	(4) X (5)	¢/KWH	(\$000's)	(7)(B) - (6)
				·				
		ä						
			ē					
			(					
				ļ				·
						並		
			,					1
			·					
BF .								
								ļ
					*			
TOTAL								
LIDIAL			1					1

24

# Attachment A

# Docket No. 100084-EI March 4, 2010

#### RESIDENTIAL BILL COMPARISON FOR MONTHLY USAGE OF 1000 KWH

COMP										
ESTIMAT	ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD* OF:									
MONTH							TOTAL			
			3							
BASE RATE REVENUES \$										
FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR ¢/KW	Н									
GROUP LOSS MULTIPLIER										
FUEL RECOVERY REVENUES \$										
TOTAL REVENUES \$							C			

\* MONTHLY AND CUMULATIVE SIX MONTH ESTIMATED DATA

#### State of Florida



## Hublic Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

February 16, 2010

TO:

FROM:

RE:

Division of Economic Regulation (Hewitt) Proposed Rule 25-6.0424, Petition for Mid-Course Correction, F.A.C.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED RULE

#### 1. Why is the rule being proposed?

Proposed Rule 25-6.0424, Petition for Mid-Course Correction, would codify existing Commission requirements for electric utilities filing a petition for mid-course correction to fuel cost recovery or capacity cost recovery factors. Section 366.061(1), F.S. requires that all applications for changes in rates shall be made to the Commission in writing under prescribed rule and regulations.

#### 2. What does the rule do and how does it accomplish the goal?

The proposed rule specifies what must be included in a petition for a mid-course correction to fuel cost recovery or capacity cost recovery factors. Minimum filing requirements include an estimated percentage of year-end over-recovery or under-recovery and a completed Form PSC/ECR 009-E. A 10 percent or greater over-recovery or under-recovery value would require a notification and petition to the Director of the Division of Economic Regulation.

#### **IMPACT ON THE PSC**

#### Incremental costs

There should be no incremental costs for the Commission.

#### Incremental benefits

There could be some minor benefit from codifying mid-course correction requirements.

## WHO BESIDES THE PSC WILL BE AFFECTED BY ADOPTION OF THE PROPOSED RULE

Utilities/Regulated Companies

There are 5 investor-owned electric utilities (IOUs) regulated by the Commission.

Customers

Customers would not be affected.

Outside business and local governments

Small businesses and local governments would not be affected.

## HOW ARE THE PARTIES ABOVE AFFECTED BY THE ADOPTION OF THE PROPOSED RULE

Estimated transactional costs to individuals and entities

Utilities

IOUs should have no transactional costs but could have some minimal benefits with the requirements for a petition for a mid-course correction specifically spelled out in one place in a rule.

Customers

Customers would not be affected.

Outside businesses including specifically small businesses

Outside businesses, including small business, would not be affected by the proposed rule.

Local governments

Local governments would not be affected by the proposed rule.

## ANY OTHER PERTINENT COMMENTS REGARDING THE ADOPTION OF THE PROPOSED RULE

No other pertinent comments are germane to the proposed rule.

CH:kb

cc:

Tim Devlin

Chuck Hill