### Marguerite McLean

090019-EI

From:

Al Taylor [Al.Taylor@bbrslaw.com]

Sent:

Friday, March 26, 2010 5:47 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc: 'sda@trippsco

'sda@trippscott.com'; 'larry.r.allen@navy.mil'; 'cecilia.bradley@myfloridalegal.com'; Jay Brew; 'john.burnett@pgnmail.com'; 'Khojasteh.Davoodi@navy.mil'; Katherine Fleming; 'alex.glenn@pgnmail.com';

'vkaufman@kagmlaw.com'; Caroline Klancke; 'John T. Lavia, III'; 'paul.lewisir@pgnmail.com';

'rick@rmelsonlaw.com'; 'jmoyle@kagmlaw.com'; Charles Rehwinkel; Erik Sayler; 'jtselecky@consultbai.com';

'Stright, Lisa'; 'audrey.VanDyke@navy.mil'; 'Schef Wright'; Keino Young; 'Walls, J. Michael';

dianne.triplett@pgnmail.com; 'Bernier, Matthew R.'; 'Costello, Jeanne'

Subject:

FPSC Docket 090079 - PCS Phosphate Comments on Motion for Reconsideration

Attachments: PCS Response to Reconsideration Motion - FINAL.doc

a. Person responsible for filing

James W. Brew Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. Eighth Floor West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007 Tel: (202) 342-0800

Fax: (202) 342-0800 jwb@bbrslaw.com

- b. Docket No. 090079-EI, In re: Petition for Rate Increase by Progress Energy Florida
- c. Filed on behalf of White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate White Springs
- d. Total Pages = 4
- e. The document being filed is PCS Phosphate's Response to Motion for Reconsideration

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02193 HAR 29 º

# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for Rate Increase by Progress Energy Florida	)	Docket No. 090079-EI Filed: March 26, 2010
	)	

# RESPONSE OF WHITE SPRINGS AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS, INC. d/b/a PCS PHOSPHATE – WHITE SPRINGS TO PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Pursuant to the Florida Public Service Commission's Rule 25.22.060,<sup>1</sup> Florida Administrative Code, White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs ("PCS Phosphate"), through its undersigned attorney, files this response to Progress Energy Florida's ("Progress" or "PEF") March 18, 2010 Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI to Correct Calculation Mistakes in the Commission's Depreciation Expense, Accumulated Depreciation Reserve, and Revenue Requirements ("Reconsideration Motion").

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The Commission conducted evidentiary hearings in September and October 2009 concerning the Progress petition to increase base retail rates. On January 11, 2010, the Commission held a Special Agenda Conference to address all elements of the proposed rate increase at which it determined to deny any further increase in base rates. On March 5, 2010, the Commission issued its Final Order in this matter denying the rate increase. Order No. PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

Rule 25-22.060(e)(3) requires a response to a motion for reconsideration to be filed within seven days. In this instance, counsel for PEF advised active parties on March 23, 2010, that PEF agreed to extend the time for filing such replies to March 29.

On March 18, 2010, Progress filed its Reconsideration Motion, in which it identified nine alleged "mathematical mistakes in the calculation of the Company's depreciation expense and accumulated depreciation reserve . . ." Reconsideration Motion at 1. If accepted by the Commission, PEF asserts that the proposed modifications result in an increase in Progress revenue requirements of \$36.2 million. PEF seeks a corresponding increase in base rates of \$36.2 million to be imposed as a uniform percent increase to all customer classes. PCS Phosphate opposes any change in retail rates based on the errors alleged in the utility's Reconsideration Motion.

#### II. DISCUSSION

PCS Phosphate participated as an active participant throughout this proceeding. PCS did not sponsor testimony on the depreciation expense issues and adjustments that are the focus of the PEF Reconsideration Motion. With respect to the merits of the purported errors in the Final Order that PEF claims, PCS Phosphates defers to and supports the assessment of the Office of Public Counsel. Further, PCS Phosphate strongly agrees with FIPUG, in its response to the Reconsideration Motion, that ascertaining the extent of any computational, transcription or other errors related to depreciation expense and depreciation reserve, is not dispositive of whether an increase in revenue requirements and base rates should be ordered. As FIPUG accurately relays, ratemaking is not a piecemeal, serial process of simply adding up discrete revenue and expense components. The Commission has broad discretion in the rate setting process to balance and consider all factors necessary to determine just and reasonable rates. In this docket, as FIPUG also discusses, the Commission took pains to balance the presence of a substantial excess depreciation reserve, among other factors, in ultimately determining

that no further increase in PEF base retail rates was warranted.

Similarly, the Commission should assess what bearing, if any, that these errors, if

shown to be accurate, should have on the ultimate Commission determination to deny any

increase to base rates. This should require further consideration of any factors presented

in the record of this docket that the Commission deems pertinent to whether any change

in revenue requirements should be authorized. PCS Phosphate agrees with FIPUG that,

to the extent that any of the claimed \$36 million in errors are found to be accurate, that

the appropriate response is to adjust the excess depreciation reserve as necessary and

appropriate. There should be no increase to PEF customer base rates.

Respectfully submitted the 26th day of March, 2010.

BRICKFIELD, BURCHETTE, RITTS & STONE, P.C.

s/James W. Brew

James W. Brew

F. Alvin Taylor

Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.

1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW

Eighth Floor, West Tower

Washington, DC 20007

Tel: (202) 342-0800

Fax: (202) 342-0800

E-mail: jbrew@bbrslaw.com

Attorneys for

White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc.

d/b/a/ PCS Phosphate - White Springs

3

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail and/or U.S. Mail this 26th day of March 2010 to the following:

Carlton Fields Law Firm	Federal Executive Agencies	
J. Michael Walls	Kay Davoodi, Director, Utility Rates	
Post Office Box 3239	c/o Naval Facilities Engineering Comma	
Tampa, FL 33601-3239	1322 Patterson Avenue SE	
	Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374-5065	
Federal Executive Agencies	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	
Audrey Van Dyke	Vicki G. Kaufman/Jon C. Moyle, Jr.	
c/o Naval Facilities Engineering Comma	c/o Keefe Law Firm, The Perkins House	
720 Kennon Street, S.E. Building 36, R	118 North Gadsden Street	
Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374-5065	Tallahassee, FL 32301	
Florida Retail Federation	Office of Attorney General	
Robert Scheffel Wright/John T. LaVia	Bill McCollum/Cecilia Bradley	
c/o Young Law Firm	The Capitol - PL01	
225 South Adams Street, Suite 200	Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050	
Tallahassee, FL 32301		
Office of Public Counsel	Progress Energy Florida, Inc.	
J.R. Kelly/Charles Rehwinkel	Mr. Paul Lewis, Jr.	
c/o The Florida Legislature	106 East College Avenue, Suite 800	
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812	Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740	
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400		
Progress Energy Service Company, LLC	Richard D. Melson	
John T. Burnett	705 Piedmont Drive	
P.O. Box 14042	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Saint Petersburg, FL 33733-4042	Tallahassee, FL 32312	
Saint I cicisouig, I'L 33/33-4042		
Katherine Fleming	444.1	
Staff Counsel		
Florida Public Service Commission		
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd		
Tallahassee, FL 32399		

s/ F. Alvin Taylor