

VOTE SHEET

September 14, 2010

Docket No. 100154-EG – Petition for approval of demand-side management plan of Gulf Power Company. (Deferred from the August 31, 2010, Commission Conference, revised recommendation filed.)

Issue 1: Does Gulf's proposed Demand-Side Management (DSM) Plan satisfy the company's numeric conservation goals set by the Commission in Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG?

Recommendation: No. Gulf's DSM plan fails to meet its residential and commercial/industrial goals for multiple years during the ten-year period. Gulf's failure to meet its annual conservation goals may result in financial penalties or other appropriate action.

Consistent with Section 366.82(7), F.S., staff recommends that Gulf file specific program modifications or additions that are needed in order for the 2010 DSM Plan to be in compliance with Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG within 30 days of the Commission's Order in this docket. The compliance filing should ~~not~~ include savings associated with Gulf's solar pilot programs.

APPROVED, *as modified.*

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures in the majority column]

[Empty lines in the dissenting column]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

Oral modification, document number 07611-10, attached.

DOCUMENT NUMBER 07611-10

07683 SEP 14 2010

September 14, 2010

Docket No. 100154-EG – Petition for approval of demand-side management plan of Gulf Power Company. (Deferred from the August 31, 2010, Commission Conference, revised recommendation filed.)

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Are the programs contained in Gulf's proposed 2010 Demand-Side Management Plan cost-effective as this criterion is used in Commission Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG?

Recommendation: Yes. All programs in Gulf's proposed 2010 DSM Plan pass the E-TRC and Participants tests. Audits, Pilot Programs, and Research & Development programs are not included in this evaluation because they are not required to pass cost-effectiveness testing. Gulf should be required to file program standards within 30 days of the Commission's Order in this docket.

The Commission should approve cost-effective programs to allow Gulf to file for cost recovery. However, Gulf must still demonstrate, during the cost recovery proceeding, that expenditures in executing its DSM Plan were reasonable and prudent. In addition, the Commission will evaluate Gulf's compliance filing and make a final determination at that time regarding the cost-effectiveness of any modified or new programs.

DENIED *and not approve programs. Staff to communicate with the utilities regarding the programs. Parties to refile plan within 30 days.*

Issue 3: Does Gulf's proposed Demand-Side Management Plan include pilot programs that encourage the development of solar water heating and solar PV technologies consistent with Commission Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG?

Recommendation: Yes. The cost of the proposed pilot programs is within the annual expenditure cap of \$900,338 as specified in Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG. However, the allocation of funds to: (1) solar thermal vs. solar PV, (2) private customers vs. public institutions, and (3) low-income residential varies widely among the investor-owned utilities. If the Commission desires to have more uniformity among the IOUs' programs, then the Commission should initiate public workshops to explore that issue further.

APPROVED *with the understanding that there will be a workshop to better understand how the solar dollars would be spent.*

Issue 4: Do any of the programs in Gulf's proposed Demand-Side Management Plan have an undue impact on the costs passed on to customers?

Recommendation: No. The proposed programs costs are not undue because the increase in program costs correlates with the increase in goals. The Commission should evaluate the Company's compliance filing and make a final determination in the ECCR clause proceedings regarding the appropriateness of incentive levels.

MOOT

Voté Sheet

September 14, 2010

Docket No. 100154-EG – Petition for approval of demand-side management plan of Gulf Power Company.
(Deferred from the August 31, 2010, Commission Conference, revised recommendation filed.)

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 5: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. This docket should remain open in order for Gulf to refile its demand-side management plan within 30 days from the date of this Order. In addition, if the Commission approves any programs, the programs should become effective on the date of the Consummating Order. If a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the Order, the programs should not be implemented until after the resolution of the protest.

APPROVED.

Ann Cole

Item 8

From: Tim Devlin
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2010 2:05 PM
To: Ann Cole
Cc: Commissioners Advisors; Curt Kiser; Mary Anne Helton; Beth Salak; Bob Trapp; Tom Ballinger; Katherine Fleming; Sharon Allbritton
Subject: FW: Request for Oral Modification
Attachments: Modification for Docket No. 100154-EG.doc

Approved.

From: Robert Graves
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2010 10:34 AM
To: Tim Devlin
Cc: Sharon Allbritton; Tom Ballinger; Katherine Fleming; Bob Trapp; Beth Salak
Subject: Request for Oral Modification

Mr. Devlin

Staff is requesting to make oral modifications to staff's recommendation in Docket No. 100154-EG at the September 14, 2010 agenda conference.

In its review of the Commission Staff's Recommendation in Docket No. 100154-EG, Gulf discovered an inconsistency in the Residential Projected Savings Values presented in Tables 1 and 3. The "Total" values, in Tables 1 and 3, presented in Staff's recommendation represent the summation of Gulf's projected annual savings. As described by Gulf, the Company only projected savings associated with its proposed Home Energy Reporting program for plan years 2011, 2012, and 2013.

Staff's requested modification is intended to correct the described inconsistency.

The requested modifications do not change staff's overall recommendation.

Attached to this correspondence are revised Tables 1 and 3 reflecting staff's requested modifications in legislative format.

Please let me know if you approve this request.

Thank You,
Robert E. Graves

9/10/2010

DOCUMENT NUMBER: DATE
07611 SEP 10 9
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Table 1 – Comparison of Residential Goals and Projected Savings

Year	Summer (MW)		Winter (MW)		Annual (GWh)	
	Commission Approved Goal	Gulf Projected Savings	Commission Approved Goal	Gulf Projected Savings	Commission Approved Goal	Gulf Projected Savings
2010	7.5	5.0	5.9	5.9	35.0	15.4
2011	8.3	10.6	6.5	11.5	37.6	40.1
2012	9.4	13.6	7.4	14.5	40.6	54.5
2013	10.5	17.0	8.5	17.2	43.8	57.3
2014	11.7	19.4	9.5	19.0	46.8	65.1
2015	12.8	18.9	10.9	18.6	50.2	63.2
2016	14.0	17.0	12.1	17.0	53.6	58.5
2017	14.7	16.0	12.7	16.4	55.4	55.2
2018	14.9	15.2	13.3	15.9	56.2	52.7
2019	15.1	14.4	13.7	15.5	56.7	50.3
Total	118.9	147.1 138.8	100.5	151.5 143.2	475.9	512.3 478.0

Table 3: Comparison of Residential Goals to DSM Plan (excluding Solar Pilot Programs)

Year	Summer Demand (MW)		Winter Demand (MW)		Annual Energy (GWh)	
	Commission Approved Goal	GULF Projected Savings	Commission Approved Goal	GULF Projected Savings	Commission Approved Goal	GULF Projected Savings
2010	7.5	4.8	5.9	5.8	35.0	14.9
2011	8.3	10.4	6.5	11.4	37.6	39.6
2012	9.4	13.4	7.4	14.4	40.6	54.0
2013	10.5	16.8	8.5	17.1	43.8	56.8
2014	11.7	19.2	9.5	18.9	46.8	64.6
2015	12.8	18.9	10.9	18.6	50.2	63.2
2016	14.0	17.0	12.1	17.0	53.6	58.5
2017	14.7	16.0	12.7	16.4	55.4	55.2
2018	14.9	15.2	13.3	15.9	56.2	52.7
2019	15.1	14.4	13.7	15.5	56.7	50.3
Total	118.9	146.1 137.8	100.5	150.9 142.6	475.9	509.8 475.5