	1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
	3		THOMAS G. FOSTER
	4		ON BEHALF OF
	5		PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA
	6		DOCKET NO. 100007-EI
	7		AUGUST 27, 2010
	8		(REVISED OCTOBER 7, 2010)
	9	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
	10	А.	My name is Thomas G. Foster. My business address is 299 First Avenue North,
	11		St. Petersburg, FL 33701.
	12		
	13	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
	14	А.	I am employed by Progress Energy Service Company, LLC, as Supervisor of
	15		Regulatory Planning Florida.
	16		
	17	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission in this
	18		proceeding?
	19	А.	Yes, I have.
5	20		
	· 21	Q.	Have your duties and responsibilities remained the same since you last filed
ECR 0	22		testimony in this proceeding?
GCL RAD	23	А.	Yes.
SSC	u .		DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE
OPC	- prR		08407 OCT-72
CLK (I	[////	•	FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1 **Q.**

•

What is the purpose of your testimony?

2	А.	The purpose of my testimony is to present, for Commission review and
3		approval, PEF's calculation of the revenue requirements and its ECRC factors
4		for application on customer billings during the period January 2011 through
5		December 2011. My testimony addresses the capital and operating and
6		maintenance ("O&M") expenses associated with PEF's environmental
7		compliance activities for the year 2011 and actions to date related to its emission
8		allowance procurement strategy as part of its Integrated Clean Air Compliance
9		Plan for complying with the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) and related
10		regulatory requirements.
11		
12	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your direction,
13		supervision or control any exhibits in this proceeding?
13 14	A.	supervision or control any exhibits in this proceeding? Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits:
	А.	
14	А.	Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits:
14 15	А.	Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits: 1. Exhibit No(TGF-3), which consists of PSC Forms 42-1P through 42-
14 15 16	А.	 Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits: 1. Exhibit No. (TGF-3), which consists of PSC Forms 42-1P through 42- 8P; and
14 15 16 17	А.	 Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits: 1. Exhibit No(TGF-3), which consists of PSC Forms 42-1P through 42-8P; and 2. Exhibit No(TGF-4), which provides details of four capital projects by
14 15 16 17 18	А.	 Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits: 1. Exhibit No(TGF-3), which consists of PSC Forms 42-1P through 42-8P; and 2. Exhibit No(TGF-4), which provides details of four capital projects by site.
14 15 16 17 18 19	Α.	 Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits: 1. Exhibit No(TGF-3), which consists of PSC Forms 42-1P through 42- 8P; and 2. Exhibit No(TGF-4), which provides details of four capital projects by site. The following individuals will also be co-sponsors of Forms 42-5P pages 1
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	 Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits: 1. Exhibit No(TGF-3), which consists of PSC Forms 42-1P through 42- 8P; and 2. Exhibit No(TGF-4), which provides details of four capital projects by site. The following individuals will also be co-sponsors of Forms 42-5P pages 1 through 16 as indicated in their testimony:

1 Mr. Sorrick will co-sponsor Forms 42-5P page 7. 2 **Q**. What is the total recoverable revenue requirement relating to the 3 projection period January 2011 through December 2011? 4 Α. The total recoverable revenue requirement including true-up amounts and 5 6 revenue taxes is \$174,303,552 as shown on Form 42-1P, Line 5 of Exhibit No. (TGF-3). 7 8 9 **Q**. What is the total true-up to be applied in the period January 2011 through December 2011? 10 11 Á. The total true-up applicable for this period is an over-recovery of \$38,881,686. 12 This consists of the final true-up of over-recovery of \$4,562,177 for the period from January 2009 through December 2009 and an estimated true-up over-13 14 recovery of \$34,319,509 for the current period of January 2010 through 15 December 2010. The detailed calculation supporting the estimated true-up was provided on Forms 42-1E through 42-8E of Exhibit No. (TGF-1) filed with 16 17 the Commission on October 7, 2010. 18 19 **Q**. Are all the costs listed in Forms 42-1P through 42-7P attributable to 20 Environmental Compliance projects previously approved by the **Commission?** 21 Yes. PEF's 2011 ECRC projections include the following projects that have A. 22 23 been previously approved by the Commission:

1	The Substation and Distribution System O&M programs (Nos. 1 and 2) were
2	previously approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-02-1735-FOF-EI.
3	
4	The Pipeline Integrity Management Program (No. 3) and the Above Ground
5	Tank Secondary Containment Program (No. 4) were previously approved in
6	Order No. PSC-03-1348-FOF-EI.
7	
8	The recovery of SO ₂ Emission Allowances (No. 5) was previously approved in
9	Order No. PSC-95-0450-FOF-EI; however, the costs were moved to the ECRC
10	Docket from the Fuel Docket beginning January 1, 2004 at the request of Staff
11	to be consistent with the other Florida investor owned utilities.
12	
13	The Phase II Cooling Water Intake 316(b) Program (No. 6) was previously
14	approved in Order No. PSC-04-0990-PAA-EI.
15	
16	PEF's Integrated Clean Air Compliance Plan (Program No.7), which the
17	Commission approved as a prudent and reasonable means of complying with
18	CAIR and related regulatory requirements in Order No. PSC-07-0922-FOF-EI.
19	
20	The Arsenic Groundwater Standard Program (No. 8), the Sea Turtle Lighting
21	Program (No. 9), and the Underground Storage Tanks Program (No. 10) were
22	previously approved in Order No. PSC-05-1251-FOF-EI.
23	

.

1		The Modular Cooling Tower Program (No. 11) was previously approved by the
2		Commission in Order No. PSC-07-0722-FOF-EI.
3		
4		The Crystal River Thermal Discharge Compliance Project (No. 11.1) and the
5		Greenhouse Gas Inventory and Reporting Project (No. 12) were previously
6		approved in Order No. PSC-08-0775-FOF-EI.
7		
8		The Total Maximum Daily Loads for Mercury Project (No. 13) was previously
9		approved in Order No. PSC-09-0759-FOF-EI.
10		
- 11		The Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) ICR Project (No. 14) was previously
12		approved in Docket No. 100025-EI.
13	·	
14	Q.	Have you prepared schedules showing the calculation of the recoverable
15		O&M project costs for 2011?
16	А.	Yes. Form 42-2P contained in Exhibit No. (TGF-3) summarizes the
17		recoverable O&M cost estimates for these projects in the amount of
18		\$46,998,896.
19		
20	Q.	Have you prepared schedules showing the calculation of the recoverable
21		capital project costs for 2011?
22	А.	Yes. Form 42-3P contained in Exhibit No. (TGF-3), summarizes the cost
23		estimates projected for these projects. Form 42-4P, pages 1 through 15, shows

1		the calculations of these costs that result in recoverable jurisdictional capital
2		costs of \$166,060,934.
3		
4	Q.	Have you prepared schedules providing the description and progress
5		reports for all environmental compliance activities and projects?
6	A.	Yes. Form 42-5P, pages 1 through 16, contained in Exhibit No. (TGF-3)
7		which provides each project description and progress, as well as the projected
8		recoverable cost estimates.
9		
10	Q.	What is the total projected jurisdictional costs for environmental
11		compliance activities in the year 2011?
12	А.	The total jurisdictional capital and O&M costs of \$213,059,829 to be recovered
13		through the ECRC, are calculated on Form 42-1P, contained in Exhibit No.
14		(TGF-3).
15		
16	Q.	Please describe how the proposed ECRC factors were developed.
17	А.	The ECRC factors were calculated as shown on Forms 42-6P and 42-7P contained
18		in Exhibit No(TGF-3). The demand component of class allocation factors
19		were calculated by determining the percentage each rate class contributes to the
20		monthly system peaks and then adjusted for losses for each rate class. This
21		information was obtained from PEF's July 2009 load research study. The energy
22		allocation factors were calculated by determining the percentage each rate class
23		contributes to total kilowatt-hour sales and then adjusted for losses for each rate

I		class. Form 42-7P presents the calculation of the proposed ECRC billing factors
2		by rate class.
3		
4	Q.	Have you made any changes in how the costs associated with the Integrated
5		Clean Air Compliance Plan (Project 7) are being allocated to the different
6		rate classes?
7	А.	Yes. Project 7 capital and O&M costs are being allocated to the retail rate classes
8		on an energy basis as opposed to a production demand basis as approved in Order
9		PSC-09-0759-FOF-EI in Docket 090007. Previously, pursuant to the settlement in
10		Docket 050078, PEF was allocating the costs of this project to the rate classes on a
11		demand basis.
12		
13	Q.	What are PEF's proposed 2011 ECRC billing factors by the various rate
13 14	Q.	What are PEF's proposed 2011 ECRC billing factors by the various rate classes and delivery voltages?
	Q. A.	
14	-	classes and delivery voltages?
14 15	-	classes and delivery voltages? The computation of PEF's proposed ECRC factors for customer billings in 2011 is
14 15 16	-	classes and delivery voltages? The computation of PEF's proposed ECRC factors for customer billings in 2011 is shown on Form 42-7P, contained in Exhibit No(TGF-3). In summary, these
14 15 16 17	-	classes and delivery voltages? The computation of PEF's proposed ECRC factors for customer billings in 2011 is shown on Form 42-7P, contained in Exhibit No(TGF-3). In summary, these
14 15 16 17 18	-	classes and delivery voltages? The computation of PEF's proposed ECRC factors for customer billings in 2011 is shown on Form 42-7P, contained in Exhibit No(TGF-3). In summary, these
14 15 16 17 18 19	-	classes and delivery voltages? The computation of PEF's proposed ECRC factors for customer billings in 2011 is shown on Form 42-7P, contained in Exhibit No(TGF-3). In summary, these
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	-	classes and delivery voltages? The computation of PEF's proposed ECRC factors for customer billings in 2011 is shown on Form 42-7P, contained in Exhibit No(TGF-3). In summary, these
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	-	classes and delivery voltages? The computation of PEF's proposed ECRC factors for customer billings in 2011 is shown on Form 42-7P, contained in Exhibit No(TGF-3). In summary, these

	ECRC FACTORS
RATE CLASS	12CP & 1/13AD
Residential	0.491 cents/kWh
General Service Non-Demand	
@ Secondary Voltage	0.482 cents/kWh
@ Primary Voltage	0.477 cents/kWh
@ Transmission Voltage	0.472 cents/kWh
General Service 100% Load Factor	0.463 cents/kWh
General Service Demand	
@ Secondary Voltage	0.471 cents/kWh
@ Primary Voltage	0.466 cents/kWh
@ Transmission Voltage	0.462 cents/kWh
Interruptible	
@ Secondary Voltage	0.464 cents/kWh
@ Primary Voltage	0.459 cents/kWh
@ Transmission Voltage	0.455 cents/kWh
Curtailable	
@ Secondary Voltage	0.451 cents/kWh
@ Primary Voltage	0.446 cents/kWh
@ Transmission Voltage	0.442 cents/kWh
Lighting	0.470 cents/kWh

Q. When is PEF requesting that the proposed ECRC billing factors be made effective?

A. PEF is requesting that its proposed ECRC billing factors be made effective with
 the first bill group for January 2011 and continue through the last bill group for
 December 2011.

6

7

Q. Please summarize your testimony.

8 A. My testimony supports the approval of an average environmental billing factor of

9 0.480 cents per kWh which includes projected capital and O&M revenue

requirements of \$213,059,829 associated with a total of 15 environmental projects

and a true-up over-recovery provision of \$38,881,686. My testimony also

demonstrates that the projected environmental expenditures for 2011 are

13 appropriate for recovery through the ECRC.

14

15 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

16 A. Yes, it does.

Witness: T.G. Foster Portion of Exhibit_(TGF -3) Revised 10/07/10

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY COMMISSION FORMS 42-1P THROUGH 42-8P

JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Calculation of the Projected Period Amount January through December 2011 DOCKET NO. 100007-EI

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Total Jurisdictional Amount to be Recovered For the Projected Period JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 (in Dollars) Form 42-1P Revised 10/06/10

Line		Energy (\$)	Transmission Demand (\$)	Distribution Demand (\$)	Production Demand (\$)	Total (\$)
י וי a	otal Jurisdictional Rev. Req. for the projected period Projected O&M Activities (Form 42-2P, Lines 7 through 9)	\$32,876,935	\$988,344	\$9,191,580	\$3.942.037	\$46,998,896
b	Projected Capital Projects (Form 42-3P, Lines 7 through 9)	163,940,992	φ300,044 0	3,570	2,116,372	166,060,934
c	Total Jurisdictional Rev. Req. for the projected period (Lines 1a + 1b)	\$196,817,927	\$988,344	\$9,195,150	\$6,058,409	\$213,059,829
2	True-up for Estimated Over/(Under) Recovery for the current period January 2010 - December 2010					
	(Form 42-2E, Line 5 + 6 + 10)	32,634,175	(1,826,794)	(555,669)	4,067,797	\$34,319,509
3	Final True-up for the period January 2009 - December 2009 (Form 42-1A, Line 3)	3,223,408	148,765	855,587	334,416	\$4,562,177
4	Total Jurisdictional Amount to Be Recovered/(Refunded) in the Projection period January 2011 - December 2011 (Line 1 - Line 2 - Line 3)	\$160,960,344	\$2,666,373	\$8,895,231	\$1,656,196	\$174,178,144
5	Total Projected Jurisdictional Amount Adjusted for Taxes (Line 4 x Revenue Tax Multiplier of 1.00072)	\$161,076,236	\$2,668,292	\$8,901,636	\$1,657,388	\$174,303,552

Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No. (TGF-3) Page 2 of 38

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011

O&M Activities	
(in Dollars)	

namedation, strate Enformation 134,706 132,700	(in uowars)														
1 Description of CAM Anisets 1 Description of CAM Anisets \$100,800 \$120,8	Line	Description													Period
Amendation, in Parallello, Para	1	Description of O&M Activities													
In Description Finance Finance <thfinance< th=""> Finance <thf< td=""><th></th><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thf<></thfinance<>															
Hamediation, and Palace Prevention. 134/706		Remediation, and Pollution Prevention 18 Distribution Substation Environmental Investigation	\$120,920	\$120,920	\$120,920	\$120,920	\$120,920	\$120,920	\$120,920	\$120,920	\$120,920	\$120,920	\$120,920	\$120,92	0 \$1,451,040
Barnestein, Hannestein, Hannestein, Hannes, Johnson, Louis, Johnson, Lander, Barnestein, Hannestein, Hannestein, Hannestein, Hannes, Ha		Remediation, and Pollution Prevention	134,706	134,706	134,706	134,706	134,706	134,706	134,706	134,706	134,706	134.706	134 706	134.706	1 616 473
3 Performe Links Control Contr		Remediation, and Pollution Prevention	1,568,000	1,018,000	1,046,200	750,400	761,200	761,200	534.400	443 000	492 200				. ,
4 Accel Courd Fight Security Contained - Figure 1 122,70 <td< td=""><th></th><td>3 Pipeline Integrity Management, Review/Update Plan and Risk Assessments - Intm</td><td>132 750</td><td>132 750</td><td>133 750</td><td>133 760</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td>•</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>1,000,000</td></td<>		3 Pipeline Integrity Management, Review/Update Plan and Risk Assessments - Intm	132 750	132 750	133 750	133 760			-	,	,	•	-		1,000,000
Press I Dockey Water Haus 31(6): - Bail 40, 201, 200 201, 200 201, 200 40, 201 201, 200 40, 201 11, 202 11, 202 11, 202 11, 202 11, 202 11, 202 11, 202 11, 203 11, 203 21, 207, 201 12, 207, 201 12, 207, 201 12, 207, 201 12, 207, 201 12, 207, 201 12, 207, 201 12, 207, 201 12, 207, 201 12, 207, 201 12, 207, 201 12, 207, 201 12, 207, 201 12, 207, 201 12, 207, 201		4 Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Pkg 5 SO2 & NOr Emissions Allowanana Entern	0	0	0	0	0	0				132,750 0	132,750 0		
B Phote 3 Code Weter Hinke 31(8): http: 0		6 Phase II Cooling Water Intake 316(b) - Base		-					•				336,590	368,218	5,934,929
7.4 CMR Oystal Rive - Base 1.424 (off 1.2577/g0 <			-	•	-	-		õ	•	•	-	•	0	-	•
************************************		7.4 CAIR Crystal River - Base			•	÷		•	•		0 1 257 763				131,200
6 Asset: Onton-Costet Standard-State Standard-State 10 0 0 3,750 10.5 1,750 11.6 1,160							1,246,669	1,266,008	1,189,286	1,229,973	1,259,051	1,247,075	1,053,038	955,914	13,270,832
1 texture table 150			0	Ó 0	3,750	0	0		· • • · · —						
12 Determinus des inventiony and Flags Maximum Loads Montholing - Energy 0 0 4,800 0 0 10.1111 10.000 0 2.20,761 2.40,761 3.281,571 3.201,671 <th></th> <td>11 Modular Cooling Towers - Base</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>150</td> <td>150</td> <td>150</td> <td>150</td> <td>1,600</td>		11 Modular Cooling Towers - Base									150	150	150	150	1,600
14 Hazardous (IAP Politable (IAP) (DF Porgam - Energy 0		12 Greenhouse Gas Inventory and Reporting - Energy 13 Mercury Total Daily Maximum Loads Monitoring - Energy		•			ō	0	0	0		-			
19 Endlame Instance Column Column <thcolumn< th=""> <thcolumn< td="" th<=""><th></th><td>14 Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) ICR Program - Energy</td><td></td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>•</td><td>38,000</td></thcolumn<></thcolumn<>		14 Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) ICR Program - Energy		0			-			-	-		-	•	38,000
Bit Construction Sci 1/12-000 Sci 1/12-		15 Effluent Limitation Guidelines ICR Program - Energy	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	ō	-	-		-	•
- -	2	Total of O&M Activities	5,112,650	3,983,140	3,817,154	3,769,466	4,187,864	5,023,407	4,785,739	4,703,760	4,643,457	3,695,096	3,300,320	3,258,574	\$50,280,628
4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Transm 120,920 120,	3	Recoverable Costs Aliocated to Energy	1,670,891	1,274,009	1,119,773	1,371,063	1,769,233	1,911,025	1,903,908	1,913,329	1,870,075	1,711,194	1,389,628	1,344,132	19.248.262
neucrematic Obsist Allicitate 10 Bernand - Ustrin 1,702,466 1,182,456 1,182,456 1,181,056 685,256 896,056 696,056 697,056 438,256 134,852 1,421,750 1257,753 1,267,75	4				120,920	120,920	120,920	120,920	120.920	120.920	120 920	120 920	120.020		
Recoverable Costs Allocated to Damar - Pod-kinn 1,257,763 1,267,763 1,		Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Distrib													
Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Prod-Peaking Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - AdG 102,790		Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Proclipting									1,961,513	1,257,763			
Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - A&G 1,142 </td <th></th> <td>Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Prod-Reaking</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>132,750</td> <td>132,750</td> <td>132,750</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Prod-Reaking									132,750	132,750	132,750		
5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor 0.95713 0.97279 0.98062 0.97895 0.97979 0.97902 0.97730 0.97680 0.97680 0.97785 0.97725 0.97837 6 Retail Olsthuution Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.66113<		Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - A&G	•		•	•			-						131,200
6 Retail Transmission Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.66113 0.	5	Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.95713	0.97279	0.98062	0.98030	0.97895	0.97979	0.97902	0.97730	• • -			-	14,001
Retail Olstinbuition Dermand Jurisdictional Factor 0.99624	6	Retail Transmission Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.68113	0 69113	0.60113	0 69110	0.00140						0.97725	0.97837	
Retail Production Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Base 0.91089 0.91248															
Retail Production Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Naka 0.91089 0.91248 0.91248 0.91248 <th0.91248< th=""> 0.91248 0.9</th0.91248<>			0.91089												
Hetal Production Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Peaking 0.91248			0.58962	0.58962											
Hetal Production Damand Junsdictional Factor - A&G 0.87691		Retail Production Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Peaking		0.91248											
6 Unisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Transm (B) 82,362		Hetall Production Demand Jurisdictional Factor - A&G	0.87691	0.87691	0.87691	0.67691	0.87691	0.87691							
8 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Transm (B) 82,362	7	Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A)	1,599,263	1,239,339	1,098,075	1,344,047	1,731,993	1,872,395	1,863,962	1,869,901	1,826,694	1,671,087	1,358,015	1,315,060	18,789,831
Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Distin (B) 1,866,453 1,146,521 1,176,615 881,927 892,687 892,687 666,740 575,683 554,961 436,606 134,349 134,349 949,191,580 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Prod-Base (B) 1,351,844 1,145,684 1,145,684 1,786,723 1,783,307 1,786,723 1,145,684 1,364,990 1,368,406 16,957,136 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Prod-Base (B) 78,272 <	8							82,362	82,362	82,362	82.362	82.362	82 362		
Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Prod-Hase (b) 1,38,444 1,145,684 1,145,684 1,786,723 1,783,307 1,786,723 1,145,684 1,364,990 1,368,405 1,697,136 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Prod-Hase (b) 0 39,875 0 0 9,125 0 0 0 29,656 20,531 21,927,188 1,445,684 1,149,100 1,145,684 1,364,990 1,368,406 1,697,136 1,917,136 1,902 1,002 </td <th></th> <td>Jurisdictional Domand Recoverable Costs - Distrib (B)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>666,740</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Jurisdictional Domand Recoverable Costs - Distrib (B)							666,740						
Outside closes Prod-ment (B) 78,272		Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Prod-Base (B)							1,783,307						
Operational Demand Recoverable Costs - Proc-Pairing (B) 0 39,875 0 0 9,125 0 0 0 29,656 20,531 20,531 119,718 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - A&G (B) 1,002		Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Prod-Intm (B)	,						78,272						
P Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M \$4,809,196 \$3,735,055 \$3,585,426 \$3,533,795 \$3,941,125 \$4,713,441 \$4,476,645 \$4,330,014 \$3,445,172 \$3,039,521 \$2,999,982 \$46,999,996		Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Proc-Peaking (B)	-		•	•		-	0	0					
Activities (Lines 7 + 8) \$4,809,196 \$3,735,055 \$3,585,426 \$3,533,795 \$3,941,125 \$4,713,441 \$4,475,645 \$4,390,527 \$4,330,014 \$3,445,172 \$3,039,521 \$2,999,982 \$46,998,896			1,002	1,002	1,002	1,503	1,002	1,002	1,002	1,002	1,002		-,		
			\$4 809 198	\$3,735,055	\$3 585 425	\$3 523 70F	82 044 105	A4 710 444							
	Notes:	. ,		40,100,000	40,000,420	93,203,795	\$3,941,125	34,/13,441	\$4,475,645	\$4,390,527	\$4,330,014	\$3,445,172	\$3,039,521	\$2,999,982	\$46,998,896

Notes: (A) Line 3 x Line 5 (B) Line 4 x Line 6

Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 3 of 38

Form 42-2P Revised 10/06/10

.

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected Jun - 11	Projected Jul - †1	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Total
1	Description of Investment Projects (A)											•		
	3.1 Pipeline Integrity Management - Bartow/Anclote Pipeline-Intermediate	\$37,076	\$37,004	\$36,934	\$36,864	\$36,793	\$36,722	\$36,802	\$37,027	\$37,106	\$37,182	\$37,260	\$37,618	\$444,388
	4.1 Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Peaking	122,568	122,290	122,012	121,730	121,452	121,169	120,892	120,613	120,335	120,057	119,778	119,498	1,452,394
	4.2 Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Base	33,260	33,204	33,150	33,095	33,041	32,985	32,931	32,876	32,822	32,768	32,713	32,658	395,503
	4.3 Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment - Intermediate	3,103	3,098	3,094	3,088	3,084	3,079	3,074	3,069	3,065	3,059	3,054	3,050	36,917
	5 SO2 & NOX Emissions Allowances - Energy	277,815	273,786	269,861	266,423	262,636	257,297	251,124	244,773	238,853	233,980	230,362	227,047	3,033,957
	7.1 CAIR Anciote- Intermediate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	7.2 CAIR CT's - Peaking	21,410	21,380	21,346	21,315	21,281	21,250	21,217	21,183	21,154	21,120	21,088	21,055	254,799
	7.3 CAIR Crystal River - Base	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	31,728
	7.4 CAIR Crystel River AFUDC - Base	14,822,113	14,804,527	14,780,981	14,757,438	14,733,892	14,710,349	14,686,805	14,663,258	14,639,715	14,616,173	14,593,450	14,570,726	176,379,427
	7.4 CAIR Crystal River - Energy	4,372	4,812	4,812	4,812	4,812	4,812	4,812	4,812	4,812	4,812	4,812	4,812	
	9 Sea Turtle - Coastal Street Lighting -Distribution	188	203	229	250	265	290	311	326	352	372	386	411	3,583
	10.1 Underground Storage Tanks-Base	1,831	1,828	1,826	1,823	1,820	1,817	1,815	1,812	1,809	1,806	1,804	1,801	21,792
	10.2 Underground Storage Tanks-Intermediate	668	. 867	865	863	861	860	857	856	853	852	850	848	10,300
	11 Modular Cooling Towers - Base	12,320	12,218	12,117	12,016	11,914	11,812	9,051	599	599	599	599	599	84,443
	11.1 Crystal River Thermal Discharge Compliance Project AFUDC - Base	4,070	4,065	4,061	4,055	4,051	4,046	4,042	4,037	4,032	4,028	4,023	4,018	48,528
2	Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	15,343,638	15,321,926	15,293,932	15,266,418	15,238,546	15,209,132	15,176,377	15,137,885	15,108,151	15,079,452	15,052,823	15,026,785	182,255,060
Э	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	282,187	278,598	274,673	271,235	267,448	262,109	255,936	249,585	243,665	238,792	235,174	231,859	3,091,258
4	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Distribution	188	203	229	250	265	290	311	326	352	372	386	411	3,583
	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Production - Base	14,876,238	14,858,486	14,834,779	14,811,071	14,787,362	14,763,653	14,737,288	14,705,226	14,681,621	14,658,018	14,635,233	14,612,446	176,961,421
	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Production - Intermediate	41,047	40,969	40,893	40,815	40,738	40,661	40,733	40,952	41,024	41,093	41,164	41,516	491,605
	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand - Production - Peaking	143,978	143,670	143,358	143,045	142,733	142,419	142,109	141,796	141,489	141,177	140,866	140,553	1,707,193
5	Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.95713	0.97279	0.98062	0.98030	0.97895	0.97979	0.97902	0.97730	0.97680	0.97656	0.97725	0.97837	
6	Retail Distribution Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.99624	0.99624	0.99624	0.99624	0.99624	0.99624	0.99624	0.99624	0.99624	0.99624	0.99624	0.59624	
	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production - Base	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	
	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production - Intermediate	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	
	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production - Peaking	0.91248	0.91248	0.91248	0.91248	0.91248	0.91248	0.91248	0.91248	0.91248	0.91248	0.91248	0.91248	
7	Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (B)	270,090	271,01 6	269,350	265,890	261,818	256,810	250,566	243,920	238,012	233,195	229,624	226,844	3,017,336
8	Jurisdictional Damand Recoverable Costs - Distribution (C)	187	202	228	249	264	289	310	325	351	371	385	409	3,570
	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Production - Base (C)	13,550,616	13,534,446	13,512,852	13,491,256	13,469,660	13,448,064	13,424,048	13,394,843	13,373,342	13,351,842	13,331,087	13,310,331	161.192.389
	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Production - Intermediate (C)	24,202	24,156	24,111	24,065	24,020	23,975	24,017	24,146	24,189	24,229	24,271	24,479	289,860
	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs - Production - Peaking (C)	131,377	131,096	130,811	130,528	130,241	129,954	129,672	129,386	129,106	128,821	128,537	128,252	1,557,779
9	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for													
	Investment Projects (Lines 7 + 8)	\$13,976,473	\$13,960,917	\$13,937,353	\$13,911,987	\$13,886,004	\$13,859,092	\$13,828,613	\$13,792,620	\$13,764,999	\$13,738,458	\$13,714,104	\$13,690,315	\$166,060,934

Notes:

(A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expanses on Form 42-4P, Line 9; Line 5 for Project 5 - Allowances and Project 7.4 - Reagents.
 (B) Line 3 x Line 5
 (C) Line 4 x Line 6

Docket No. 100007-EJ Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 4 of 38

Form 42-3P Revised 10/06/10

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Rotum on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: PIPELINE INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT - Bartow/Anclots Pipekine (Project 3.1)

(in Dollars)

Line	Description		ginning of od Amount	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May • 11	Projected Jun - 11	Projected Jul - 11	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Total
1	Investments															
	a. Expenditures/Additions			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$32,500	\$32,500	\$0	\$32,500	\$ 0	\$32.500	\$130.000
	 Clearings to Plant 			0	0	0	0	õ	0	40 2 ,000	402,000 D	*0	402,000	3-V 0	\$32,500 130,000	\$130,000
	c. Retirements			0	0	0	ō	ō	ō	õ	ñ	ő	ŏ	0	130,000	
	d. Other (A)			0	0	0	0	0	ò	ō	ō	õ	õ	ŏ	ő	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$3	3.579.735	3.579,735	3,579,735	3,579,735	3.579.735	3,579,735	3,579,735	3.579.735	0 570 705	0.030 500				
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation		(658,240)	(665,973)	(673,706)	(681,439)	(689,172)	(696,905)	(704,638)		3,579,735	3,579,735	3,579,735	3,579,735	3,709,735	
4	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing		0	(000,070)	(0/0,/00)	(001,400)	(003,172)	(090,905)	(704,638)	(712,371) 32,500	(720,104) 65.000	(727,837)	(735,570)	(743,303)	(751,220)	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$	2,921,496	2,913,763	2,906,030	2.898.297	2,890,564	2.882.831	2,875,096	2.899.865	2,924,632	65,000 2,916,899	97,500 2,941,666	97,500	0	
					-,		410001001	2,002,001	2,010,000	2,033,005	2,324,032	2,310,033	2,341,000	2,933,933	2,958,516	
6	Average Net Investment			2,917,629	2,909,896	2,902,163	2,894,430	2,886,697	2,878,964	2,887,481	2,912,248	2,920,765	2,929,282	2,937,799	2,946,224	
7	Return on Average Net Investment (B)															
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes	8.02%		19.508	19,455	19,404	19.353	19.301	19,249	19,307	19.472	19.530	19,585	19.642	40.000	
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x Rate x 1/12)	2.95%		7,178	7,159	7,140	7,121	7,102	7.083	7,105	7,165	7,186	7,207	19,642	19,699 7,249	\$233,505 85,923
	c. Other			0	0	0	0	0	.,	7,100	,,,,00	7,100	1,20/	/,220 Û	7,249	00,923
_								-	-	-	•	•	•	v	Ū	0
8	Investment Expenses															
	a. Depreciation (C)			7,733	7,733	7,733	7,733	7,733	7,733	7,733	7,733	7,733	7,733	7,733	7,917	92,980
	b. Amortization			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement			N/A	NA	N/A	N/A									
	 d. Property Taxes (D) e. Other 			2,657	2,657	2,657	2,657	2,657	2,657	2,657	2,657	2,657	2,657	2,657	2,753	31,980
	e. Outer			. 0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)			37,076	37.004	36,934	36,864	36,793	36,722	36.802	37,027	37.106	37,182	37,260	37,618	444,388
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,02,	0	37,182	ar,200	37,618	414,365
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand			37,076	37,004	36,934	36,864	36,793	36,722	36,802	37,027	37,106	37,182	37,260	37,618	444,388
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor			N/A												
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediat	ie)		0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	N/A 0.58962	N/A 0.58962	N/A 0.58962	
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)			٥	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0				-	
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)			21,861	21.818	21,777	21,736	21,694	21,652	21,699	21,632	0 21.878	0 21,923	0 21.969	0	0
14	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)			\$21,861	\$21,818	\$21,777	\$21,736	\$21,694	\$21,652	\$21,699	\$21,832	\$21,878	\$21,923	\$21,969	22,180 \$22,180	262,020 \$262,020
Nator												421,010	461,020	421,000	#E2,100	\$202,02U

Notes: (A) N/A (B) Line 6 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (C) Depreciation calculated in Pipeline Integrity Management section of Capital Program Detail file only on assets placed inservice. Calculated on that schedule as Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Depreciation Rate based on approved rates in Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (D) Properly tax calculated in Pipeline Integrity Management section of Capital Program Detail file only on assets placed inservice. Calculated on that schedule as Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2009 Effective Tax Rate on original cost. (E) Line 8 x Line 10 (F) Line 9 x Line 11

Docket No. 100007-EJ Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No. (TGF-3) Page 5 of 38

Form 42-4P Page 1 of 15

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: ABOVE GROUND TANK SECONDARY CONTAINMENT - PEAKING (Project 4.1)

(in Dollars)

Line	Description		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected Jun - 11	Projected Jul - 11	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Total
1	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant C. Retirements d. Other (A)			\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0		\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0
2 3 4 5	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	-	\$10,064,499 (843,390) (0) \$9,221,108	10,064,499 (873,896) 0 9,190,603	10,064,499 (904,402) 0 9,160,097	10,064,499 (934,908) 0 9,129,591	10,064,499 (965,414) 0 9,099,085	10,064,499 (995,920) 0 9,068,579	10,064,499 (1,026,426) 0 9,038,073	10,064,499 (1,056,932) 0 9,007,567	10,064,499 (1,087,438) 	10,064,499 {1,117,944} 0 8,946,555	10,064,499 (1,148,450) 0	10,064,499 (1,178,956) 0	10,064,499 (1,209,462) 0	
6	Average Net Investment	-		9,205,857	9,175,351	9,144,845	9,114,339	9,083,833	9,053,327	9,022,821	8,992,315	<u>8,961,809</u>	8,931,303	8,885,543	8,855,037	
7	Return on Average Net Investment (B) a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes b. Debt Component (Line 6 x Rate x 1/12) c. Other	8.02% 2.95%		61,551 22,650 0	61,348 22,575 0	61,145 22,500 0	60,940 22,423 0	60,735 22,350 0	60,530 22,272 0	60,327 22,198 0	60,124 22,122 0	59,920 22,048 0	59,717 21,973	59,513 21,898	59,308 21,823	\$725,158 266,832
5	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) b. Amortization c. Dismantioment		M	30,506 0 VA N	30,506 0 VA N	30,506 0 (A	30,506 0 N/A N	30,506 0 /A N	30,506 0	30,506 0 V/A N	30,506 0	30,506 0	30,506 0	30,506 0	30,506 0	0 366,072 0
	d. Property Taxes (D) e. Other		-	7,861	7,861	7,861 0	7,861	7,861 0	7,861 0	7,861 0	7,861 0	7,861	7,861 0	7,861	A N 7,861	VA 94,332
. 9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand			122,568 0 122,568	122,290 0 122,290	122,012 0 122,012	121,730 0 121,730	121,452 0 121,452	121,169 0 121,169	120,892 0 120,892	120,613 0 120,613	120,335 0 120.335	120,057 0 120,057	119,778 0 119,778	119,496 0 119,496	1,452,394 0 1,452,394
10 11	Energy Jurisdictional Factor Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Peaking)			N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.9†248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	1,1132,004
12 13 14 Notes:	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		-	0 111,641 \$111,841	0 111,587 \$111,587	0 111,334 \$111,334	0 111,076 \$111,076	0 110,823 \$110,823	0 110,564 \$110,564	0 110,312 \$110,312	0 110,057 \$110,057	0 109,803 \$109,803	0 109,550 \$109,550	0 109,295 \$109,295	0 109,040 \$109,040	0 1,325,280 \$1,325,280

Notes: (A) N/A (B) Line 6 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38,575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (B) Line 6 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38,575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (C) Depreciation calculated in Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment section of Capital Program Detail file only on assets placed inservice. Calculated on that schedule as Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Depreciation Rate based on approved rates in Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (D) Property tax calculated in Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment section of Capital Program Detail file only on assets placed inservice. Calculated on that schedule as Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2009 Effective Tax Rate on original cost. (E) Line Ba y Line 10

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: ABOVE GROUND TANK SECONDARY CONTAINMENT - Base (Project 4.2)

(in Dollars)

Line	Description		Beginning of eriod Amount	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Ma <u>r - 11</u>	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May 11	Projected Jun - 11	Projected Jul - 11	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected	End of Period Total
1	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions			\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant			0	õ	0	ŏ	0	0		Ű	3 0	* 0	a-0	3-U 0	30
	c. Retirements			Ó	0	0	Ō	0	0	ō	ō	ō	Ō	Ö	ő	
	d. Other (A)			0	0	Đ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ø	0	,
2	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base		\$2.849.412	2.849.412	2,849,412	2,849,412	2,849,412	2,849,412	2.849.412	2,649,412	2.849.412	2.849.412	2.849.412	2.849.412	2,849,412	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation		(143,208)	(149,177)	(155,146)	(161,115)	(167,084)	(173,053)	(179,022)	(184,991)	(190,960)	(196,929)	(202,898)	(208,867)	(214,836)	
4	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing		0	0	Ó	0	, o	Ó	0	D	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	-	\$2,706,205	2,700,236	2,694,267	2,688,298	2,682,329	2,676,360	2,670,391	2,664,422	2,658,453	2,652,484	2,646,515	2,640,546	2,634,577	
6	Average Nel Investment			2,703,221	2,697,252	2,691,283	2,685,314	2,679,345	2,673,376	2,667,407	2,661,438	2,655,469	2,649,500	2,643,531	2,637,562	
7	Return on Average Net Investment (B)															
	 Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes 	8.02%		18,075	18,034	17,994	17,955	17,915	17,874	17,834	17,795	17,755	17,715	17.675	17,635	\$214,256
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x Rale x 1/12)	2.95%		6,651	6,636	6,622	6,606	6,592	6,577	6,563	6,547	6,533	6,519	6,504	6,489	78,839
	c. Other			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0
8	Investment Expenses															
	a. Depreciation (C)			5,969	5,969	5,969	5,969	5,969	5,969	5,969	5,969	5,969	5,969	5,969	5,969	71,628
	b. Amortization C. Dismantiement			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes (D)			NVA 2,565	N/A 2,565	N/A 2,565	N/A 2,565	N/A 2,565	N/A 2,565	N/A 2,565	N/A 2,565	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	e. Other			2,000	2,000	2,303	2,005 0	2,005	2,565	2,365 D	∠,565 0	2,565 0	2,565 0	2,565 0	2,565	30,780 0
•	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		_	33,260	33,204	33,150	33,095	33,041	32,985	32,931	32,876					
•	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy			33,200	33,204 ß	33,150	33,095 N	33,041	32,985 O	32,931	32,876	32,822 0	32,768	32,713	32,658 0	395,503
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand			33,260	33,204	33,150	33,09 5	33,041	32,985	32,931	32,876	32,822	32,768	32,713	32,658	395,503
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NVA	N/A	N/A	N/A	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Base)			0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91069	
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	n	0	٥	0	0
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)			30,296	30,245	30,196	30,146	30,097	30,046	29,997	29,946	29.897	29.848	29,798	29,748	360,260
14	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13	3)		\$30,296	\$30,245	\$30,196	\$30,146	\$30,097	\$30,046	\$29,997	\$29,946	\$29,897	\$29,848	\$29,798	\$29,748	\$360,260
			-													1000120-

Notes: (A) IVA (B) Line 6 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI, (B) Line 6 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI, (C) Depreciation calculated in Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment section of Capital Program Detail file only on assets placed inservice. Calculated on that schedule as Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2009 Effective Tax Rate on original cost. (E) Line 8a x Line 10

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: ABOVE GROUND TANK SECONDARY CONTAINMENT - Intermediate (Project 4.3)

(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected Jun - 11	Projected Jul - 11	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Total
1	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	••
	d. Other (A)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	
			U	Ű	U	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$290,297	290,297	290,297	290,297	290.297	290,297	290.297	290,297	290,297	290,297	290,297	290,297	290.297	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(28,602)	(29,134)	(29,666)	(30,198)	(30,730)	(31,262)	(31,794)	(32,326)	(32,858)	(33,390)	(33,922)	290,297 (34,454)	290,297 (34,986)	
	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(00,000,	(30,322)	(37,737)	(34,366)	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$261,696	261,164	260,632	260,100	259,568	259,036	258,504	257,972	257,440	256,908	256,376	255,844	255,312	
6	Average Net Investment		261,430	260,896	260,366	259,834	259,302	258,770	258,238	257,706	257,174	256,642	256,110	255,578	
7	Return on Average Net Investment (B)														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes 8.02	!%	1,748	1,744	1,741	1.737	1,734	1.730	1,727	1.723	1,720	1,716	4 740		
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x Rate x 1/12) 2.95	i%	643	642	641	639	638	637	635	634	633	631	1,712 630	1,709 629	\$20,741
	c. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	õ	0	0	029	7,632
	Investment Expenses												-	-	-
-	a. Depreciation (C)		532	532	532	532	532	500							
	b. Amontization		~~_0					532	532 0	532	532 0	532	532	532	6,384
	c. Dismantlement		N/A	0 N/A	0	0	0								
	d. Property Taxes (D)		180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	N/A 160	N/A	N/A
	e. Other	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	0	0	180 D	160	180	2,160
٩	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		0.400											V	<u>v</u>
-	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		3,103 n	3,098	3,094	3,086	3,084	3,079	3,074	3,069	3,065	3,059	3,054	3,050	36,917
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		3,103	3.096	3.094	0 3.088	0 3,084	0 3.079	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0,100	3,086	3,034	3,000	3,004	3,079	3,074	3,069	3,065	3,059	3,054	3,050	36,917
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		N/A												
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate)		0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	N/A 0.58962	
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	۵	٥	0				_					
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		1,830	1.827	1,824	U 1,821	0 1,818	0 1,815	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		\$1,830	\$1,827	\$1,824	\$1,821	\$1,818	\$1,815	1,812	1,810	1,807	1,804	1.801	1,798	21,767
Notes	. ,	-		.,	*		91,010	41,010	91,01Z	91,0IU	\$1,807	\$1,804	\$1,801	\$1,798	\$21,767

Notes: (A) N/A (B) Line 6 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (C) Depreciation calculated in Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment section of Capital Program Detail file only on assets placed inservice. Calculated on that schedule as Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Depreciation Rate based on approved rates in Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (D) Property tax calculated in Above Ground Tank Secondary Containment section of Capital Program Detail file only on assets placed inservice. Calculated on that schedule as Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2009 Effective Tax Rate on original cost.

(E) Line 9a x Line 10 (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Docket No. 100007-E1 Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 8 of 38

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Schedule of Amortization and Return Deferred Gain on Sales of Emissions Allowances (Project 5) (in Dollare)

Line	Description		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected Jun - 11	Projected Jul - 11	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Totat
1	Working Capital Dr (Cr)															
	a. 1581001 SO ₂ Emission Allowance Inventory		\$5,783,283	\$5,723,119	\$5,666,550	\$5,600,196	\$5,567,217	\$5,502,591	\$5,423,555	\$5.345.412	\$5.263.250	\$5,184,780	\$5,115,943	\$5.063,143	\$5,001,231	** ***
	b. 25401 FL Auctioned SO ₂ Allowance		(1,775,556)	(1,758,207)	(1,739,846)	(1,721,489)	(1,732,535)	(1,710,500)	(1.688.465)	(1,666,430)	(1,644,395)	(1,622,360)	(1,600,325)	(1,578,290)		\$5,001,231
•	c. 1581002 NOx Emission Allowance Inventory		26,601,612	26,174,654	25,800,557	25,402,459	25,140,920	24,661,146	24,073,130	23,424,116	22.800.887	22,246,298	21,838,481	21,532,655	(1,556,255) 21,184,314	(1,556,255) 21,184,314
2	Total Working Capital		\$30,608,329	30,139,566	29,727,259	29,281,167	28,975,602	28,453,237	27,808,220	27,103,098	26,419,742	25,808,717	25,354,098	25,017,508	24,629,290	24,629,290
3	Average Net Investment			30,373,947	29,933,412	29,504,213	29,128,384	28,714,420	28,130,729	27,455,659	26,761,420	26,114,230	25,581,408	25,185,803	24,823,399	
4	Return on Average Net Working Capital Balance (A)															
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes	8.02%		203,086	200,140	197,271	194,758	191,990	188,087	183,574	178.932	174.604	171.042	168,397	165.974	\$2,217,855
5	 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x Rate x 1/12) Total Return Component (B) 	2.95%	-	74,729	73,646	72,590	71,665	70,646	69,210	67,550	65,841	64,249	62,938	61,965	61.073	¥2,217,855 816,102
2	rotar Hellom Component (B)		-	277,815	273,786	269,861	266,423	262,636	257,297	251,124	244,773	238,853	233,980	230,362	227,047	3.033.957
6	Expense Dr (Cr)															
	a. 5090001 SO ₂ allowance expense			\$60,164	\$56.569	\$66.354	\$32.979	\$64.626	\$79.036	\$78,143		····				
	b. 4074004 Amortization Expense			(18,359)	(18,359)	(18,359)	(33,062)	(22,035)	(22,035)	(22,035)	\$82,162 (22,035)	\$78,470	\$68,837	\$52,799	\$61,912	782,052
-	c. 5090003 NOx allowance expense		_	426,958	374.097	398,097	261,539	479,774	588.017	649,014	(22,035) 623,229	(22,035) 554,590	(22,035) 407,817	(22,035) 305,826	(22,035)	(264,421)
7	Net Expense (C)		_	468,763	412,306	446,092	261,456	522,364	645,017	705,122	683,356	611,025	454,619	336,590	348,341	5,417,298
8	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 5 + 7)			746.578	686.092	715,953	527.879	785.000								0.001,023
	a. Recoverable costs allocated to Energy			746,578	686.092	715,953	527,879	785.000	902,314 902,314	956,246 956,246	928,129 928,129	849,878	688,599	566,952	615,265	8,968,886
	b. Recoverable costs allocated to Demand			0	0	0	0	0	0	0,240	920,129	849,878 0	688,599 0	566,952 0	615,265 0	8,968,886
9	Energy Jurisdictional Factor			0.95713	0.97279	0.98062	0.98030	0.97895	0.97979	0.97902					_	•
10	Demand Jurisdictional Factor			N∕A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.97979 N/A	0.97902 N/A	0.97730 N/A	0.97680 N/A	0.97656 N/A	0.97725 N/A	0.97837 N/A	
11	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (D)			714,574	667.422											
12	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E)			(14,5/4	667,422	702,080	517,477	768,477 0	684,075	936,183	907,063	830,163	672,460	554,054	601,957	8,755,984
				•	v		U	v	U	U	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 11 + 12)	1	_	\$714,574	\$667,422	\$702,080	\$517,477	\$768,477	\$864,075	\$936,183	\$907,063	\$830,163	\$672.460	\$554.054	\$601,957	\$8.755.984
					-										4001,007	40,700,964

Notes: (A) Line 3 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (B) Line 5 is reported on O&M Schedule (C) Line 8 ax Line 9. (D) Line 8 ax Line 9.

(E) Line 8b x Line 10.

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: CAIR - Intermediate (Project 7.1 - Anciate Low Nox Burners and SOFA) (in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Arricunt	Projected Jan - <u>11</u>	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected Jun - 11	Projected Jul - 11	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Total
1	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant C. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	· \$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0	\$0
2 3 4 5	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$0 0 0 \$0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	
6			0	0	0	0				0	0	0		0	
7	Return on Average Net Investment (B) a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes b. Debt Component (Line 6 x Rate x 1/12) 2.95% c. Other		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	0	0	0	\$0 0
8	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) N/A b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Taxes (D) N/A e. Other		0 0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A 0 0	0 0 N/A 0 0	0 0 N/A 0 0	0 0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A 0	0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A 0	0 0 N/A 0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Linas 7 + 8) a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0
10 11	Energy Jurisdictional Factor Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate)		N/A 0.58962	N/A 0.58962	N/A 0.58962	N/A 0.58962	N/A 0.58962	N/A 0.58962	N/A 0.58962	N/A 0.58962	N/A 0.58962	N/A 0.58962	N/A 0.58962	0 N/A 0.58962	U
12 13 14	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		0 0 \$0	0 0 \$0	0 0 \$0	0 0 \$0	0 0 \$0	0 0 \$0	0 0 \$0	0 0 \$0	0 0 \$0	0 0 \$0	0 0 \$0	0 0 \$0	0 0 \$0
A1															30

Notes: (A) N/A (B) Line 6 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (D) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2009 Effective Tax Rate on original cost. (E) Line 9a x Line 10 (F) Line 9b x Line 11

PROGRESS ENERGY FLOHIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: CAIR - Peeking (Project 7.2 - CT Emission Monitoring Systems)

(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected Jun - 11	Projected Jul - 11	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Total
1	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retikements d. Other (A)		\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0
2 3 4	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing Not brockment (I non 0 + 2) + 0	\$1,934,400 (133,504) - <u>(0)</u> \$1,800,896	1,934,400 (137,044) (0) 1,797,356	1,934,400 (140,584) (0) 1,793,816	1,934,400 (144,124) (0) 1,790,276	1,934,400 (147,664) (0) 1,786,736	1,934,400 (151,204) (0) 1,783,196	1,934,400 (154,744) (0) 1,779,656	1,934,400 (158,284) (0) 1,776,118	1,934,400 (161,824) (0) 1,772,576	1,934,400 (165,364) (0) 1,769,036	1,934,400 (168,904) (0) 1,765,496	1.934,400 (172,444) (0) 1,761,956	1,934,400 (175,984) (0) 1,758,416	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) Average Net Investment	31,440,680	1,799,127	1,795,587	1,792,047	1,768,507	1,784,967	1,781,427	1,777,887	1,774,347	1,770,807	1,767,267	1,763,727	1,760,187	
7	Return on Average Net Investment (B) a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes b. Debt Component (Line 6 x Rate x 1/12) c. Other	8.02% 2.95%	12,028 4,426 0	12,006 4,418 0	11,981 4,40 9 0	11,959 4,40 0 0	11,934 4,391 0	11,911 4,383 0	11,887 4,374 0	11,864 4,363 0	11,841 4,357 0	11,816 4,348 0	11,793 4,339 0	11,769 4,330 0	\$142,789 52,538 0
8	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Taxes (D) e. Other		3,540 O N/A 1,416 0	3,540 0 N/A 1,416 0	3,540 0 N/A 1,416 0	3,540 0 N/A 1,418 0	3,540 0 N/A 1,416 0	3,540 0 N/A 1,416 0	3,540 0 №A 1,416 0	3,540 0 N/A 1,416 0	3,540 0 N/A 1,416 0	3,540 0 N/A 1,416 0	3,540 0 N/A 1,416 0	3,540 0 N/A 1,416 0	42,480 0 N/A 16,992 0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		21,410 0 21,410	21,380 0 21,380	21,346 0 21,346	21,315 0 21,315	21,281 0 21,281	21,250 0 21,250	21,217 0 21,217	21,183 0 21,183	21,154 0 21,154	21,120 0 21,120	21,088 0 21,088	21,055 0 21,055	254,799 0 254,799
10 11	Energy Jurisdictional Factor Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Peaking)		N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N∕A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	N/A 0.91248	
12 13 14	Reitail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) Reitail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Linas 12 + 13))	0 19,536 \$19,536	0 19,509 \$19,509	0 19,478 \$19,478	0 19,450 \$19,450	0 19,418 \$19,418	0 19,390 \$19,390	0 19,360 \$19,360	0 19,329 \$19,329	0 19,303 \$19,303	0 19,272 \$19,272	0 19,242 \$19,242	0 19,212 \$19,212	0 232,499 \$232,499

Notes: (A) N/A (B) Line 6 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (C) Depreciation calculated in CAIR CTs section of Capital Program Detail file only on assets placed inservice. Calculated on that schedule as Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (D) Property tax calculated in CAIR CTs section of Capital Program Detail file only on assets placed inservice. Calculated on that schedule as Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2009 Effective Tax Rate on original cost. (E) Line 9 a x Line 10 (F) Line 90 x Line 11

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: CAIR - Crystal River - Base (Project 7.3 - Continuous Mercury Monitoring Systems) (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected	Projected Jul - 11	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Total
1	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	õ	õ	ő			au.
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ŏ	õ	õ	ő	
	d. Other (A)		• 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	ō	ŏ	ŏ	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n	0	0				
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	Ó	Ō	ō	õ	Ň	ŏ	ŏ	0	0	
4	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	289,107	289,107	289,107	269,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	269,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	
5	Net investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	
6	Average Net Investment		289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	289,107	
7	Return on Average Net Investment (B)														
		8.02%	1,933	1,933	1,933	1,933	1,933	1.933	1.933	1,933	1,933	1.933	1.933	4 688	
		2.95%	711	711	711	711	711	711	711	711	711	711	711	1,933	\$23,196
	c. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	, ii		711 0	8,532 0
	Investment Expenses												_	-	Ũ
	a. Depreciation (C) 3,70%		o	0	· •			_	_	-					
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A V	N/A	N/A U	N/A	N/A U	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes (D) 0.010800					0	1WA 0	nv~ 0	N#A 0	NVA o	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	e. Other	_	ŏ	ŏ	Ő	õ	ŏ	ŏ	ő	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)	_										v			0
-	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	31,728
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		2.644	2.644	0 2.644	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			2,044	2,044	2,044	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	2,644	31,728
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Base)		0.91069	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91089	
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		n	0	0	0	0	0	0						
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2.408	0 2,408	0	0	0	0	0
14	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		\$2,408	\$2,408	\$2,408	\$2,408	\$2,408	\$2,408	\$2,408	\$2,408	2,408	2,406	2,408	2,408	28,901
	,	-				41,100	44-1-100	44,700	96,700	94,406	92,408	\$2,408	\$2,408	\$2,408	\$28,901

Notes: (A) NA (B) Line 6 x 10.96% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (C) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2009 Effective Tax Rate on original cost.

(E) Line 9a x Line 10 (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Ead of

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: CAIR - Base - AFUDC (Project 7.4 - Crystal River FGD and SCR)

(in Dollars)

Line	Description		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected	Projected	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Total
1	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements			\$1,303,543 1,309,543 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	50 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 0	\$0 0 D	\$0 .0 0	\$0 0	\$0 0	\$180,000 0	\$0 0	\$1,483,543
	d. Other (A)			Ō	. 0	ō	ō	ō	Ō	ō	Ő	ŏ	0	ő	0 0	Đ
2 3 4	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation CWIP - AFUDC-Interest Bearing Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)		\$1,249,219,610 (32,546,928) 0 \$1,216,672,681	1,250,523,153 (35,121,090) 0 1,215,402,063	(37,695,252)	(40,269,414) 0	(42,843,576) 0	1,250,523,153 (45,417,738) 0	1,250,523,153 (47,991,900) 0	1,250,523,153 (50,566,062) 0	1,250,523,153 (53,140,224) 0	1,250,523,153 (55,714,386) 0	1,250,523,153 (58,288,548) 0	1,250,523,153 (60,862,710) 180,000	1,250,523,153 (63,436,872) 	
•		-	\$1,210,072,001				1,207,679,577		1,202,531,253	1,199,957,091	1,197,382,929		1,192,234,605	1,189,840,443	1,187,266,281	
	Average Net Investment (B)			1,216,037,371	1,214,114,980	1,211,540,818	1,208,966,656	1,206,392,494	1,203,818,332	1,201,244,170	1,198,670,008	1,196,095,846	1,193,521,684	1,191,037,522	1,188,553,360	
7	Return on Average Net Investment (C) a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes b. Debt Component (Line 5 x Rate x 1/12) c. Other	8.02% 2.95%		8,130,647 2,991,834 0	8,117,792 2,987,103 0	8,100,580 2,980,769 0	8,083,370 2,974,436 0	8,066,157 2,968,102 0	8,048,949 2,961,768 0	6,031,737 2,955,436 0	8,014,523 2,949,103 0	7,997,314 2,942,769 0	7,980,103 2,936,438 0	7,963,492 2,930,326 0	7,946,883 2,924,211 0	\$96,481,547 35,502,295 0
a	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantiement d. Property Taxes (E) e. Other			2,574,162 0 N/A 1,125,470 0	2,574,162 0 N/A 1,125,470 0	2,574,162 0 №A 1,125,470 0	2,574,162 0 N/A 1,125,470	2,574,162 0 N/A 1,125,470 0	2,574,162 0 N/A 1,125,470 0	30,889,944 0 N/A 13,505,640						
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		-	14,622,113 0 14,622,113	14,804,527 0 14,804,527	14,780,981 0 14,780,981	14,757,438 ບັ 14,757,438	14,733,892 0 14,733,891	14,710,349 0 14,710,349	14,686,805 0 14,686,805	14,663,258 0 14,663,258	14,639,715 0 14,639,715	14,616,173 0 14,616,173	14,593,450 0 14,593,450	14,570,726 0 14,570,726	176,379,427 0 176,379,426
10 11	Energy Jurisdictional Factor Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Base)			N/A 0.91069	N/A 0.91069	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91069	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91089	
12 13 14	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (F) Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (G) Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13))		0 13,501,315 \$13,501,315	0 13,485,296 \$13,485,296	0 13,463,848 \$13,463,848	0 13,442,403 \$13,442,403	0 13,420,954 \$13,420,954	0 13,399,510 \$13,399,510	0 13,378,064 \$13,378,064	0 13,356,615 \$13,356,615	0 13,335,170 \$13,335,170	0 13,313,726 \$13,313,726	0 13,293,026 \$13,293,029	0 13,272,329 \$13,272,329	0 160,662,255 \$160,662,255

Notes: (A) N/A

(A) NA
 (B) Line represents the average nat investment excluding Interest-bearing CWIP projects. Refer to Capital Program Detail for Average Net Investment Return on which Line 7 is calculated.
 (C) Return on equity and debt calculated only on assets placed in service which appear in CAIR Crystal River AFUDC section of Capital Program Detail file. Calculation in the Capital Program Detail is reflected as follows: Line 6 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of a guity component a guity component of a guity component of a guity component of

(G) Line 9b x Line 11

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011

Scheduke of Amortization and Return For Project: CAIR - Energy (Project 7.4 - Resgents and By-products) (In Dollars)

_ Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected	Projected Jul - 11	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Total
1	Working Capital Dr (Cr) a. 1544001 Ammonia Inventory b. 1544004 Limestone Inventory	\$40,074 389,740	\$41,276 484,800	\$41,276 484,800	\$41,276 484,800	\$41,276 484,800	\$41,276 484,800	\$41,276 484.800	\$41,276 484,800	\$41,276 484,800	\$41,276 484,800	\$41,276	\$41,276	\$41,276	\$41,276
2	Total Working Capital	\$429,814	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076	526.076	484,800	484,800	484,800	484,800
3	Average Net Investment		477,945	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076	526,076
4		8.02% 2.95%	3,196 1,176	3,517 1,294	3,517 1,294	3,517 1,294	3,517 1,294	3,517	3,517	3,517	3,517	3,517	3,517	3,517	\$41.887
5	Total Return Component (B)		4,372	4.812	4.812	4.812	4.812	1,294	1,294	1,294	1,294	1,294	1,294	1,294	15,413
	-				4,014		4,012	4,012	4,812	4,812	4,812	4,812	4,812	4,812	57,301
6	Expense Dr (Cr) a. 5020011 America Expense b. 5020012 Limestone Expense c. 5020013 Dibasic Acid Expense d. 5020003 Gypsum Disposal/Sale d. Other		430,383 482,436 0 279,809	311,462 348,255 0 201,985	239,545 265,593 10,000 154,042	392,008 441,837 10,000 256,262	437,559 505,895 10,000 293,415	442,826 514,675 10,000 298,507	417,183 482,347 10,000 279,757	436,151 502,421 0 291,400	448,722 512,869 0 297,460	445,912 507,068 0 294,095	380,782 425,481 0 246,776	350,612 383,104 0 222,198	4,733,146 5,371,980 50,000 3,115,706
7	Net Expense (C)		1,192,628	861,703	669.181	1,100,107	1,246,869	1,266,008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ð	Total System Recoverable Expanses (Lines 5 + 7) a. Recoverable costs allocated to Energy b. Recoverable costs allocated to Demand		1,196,999 1,196,999 0	866,514 866,514 0	673,993 673,993 0	1,104,919 1,104,919 0	1,251,680 1,251,680 1,251,680 0	1,270,820 1,270,820 1,270,820 0	1,189,286 1,194,098 1,194,098 0	1,229,973 1,234,784 1,234,784 0	1,259,051 1,263,862 1,263,862 0	1,247,075 1,251,887 1,251,887 0	1,053,038 1,057,850 1,057,850 0	955,914 960,726 960,726 0	13,270,832 13,328,133 13,328,133 0
9 10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor Damand Jurisdictional Factor		0.95713 N/A	0.97279 N/A	0.96062 N/A	0.98030 N/A	0.97895 N/A	0.97979 N/A	0.97902 N/A	0.97730 N/A	0.97680 N/A	0.97656 N/A	0.97725 N/A	0.97837 N/A	-
11 12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (D) Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		1,145,686 0	842,934 0	660,933 0	1,083,147 0	1,225,334 0	1,245,131 0	1,1 69,045 0	1,206,758 0	1,234,544 0	1,222,545 0	1,033,784 0	939,946 0	13,009,787 0
13	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 11 + 12)	-	\$1,145,686	\$842,934	\$660,933	\$1,083,147	\$1,225,334	\$1,245,131	\$1,169,045	\$1,206,758	\$1,234,544	\$1,222,545	\$1,033,784	\$939,946	\$13,009,787

Notes: (A) Line 3 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EL. (B) Line 5 is reported on O&M Schedule (D) Line 8a x Line 9. (E) Line 8b x Line 10.

End of

-

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: SEA TURTLE - COASTAL STREET LIGHTING - (Project 9) (in Qollars)

	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected Jun - 11	Projected Jul - 11	Projected Aug - <u>11</u>	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	Period Total
<u>Line</u> 1	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)	<u> </u>	\$1,667 0 0 0	\$1,667 0 0 0	\$1,667 5,000 0 0	\$1,667 0 0 0	\$1,667 0 0 0	\$1,667 5,000 0 0	\$1,667 0 0 0	\$1,667 0 0 0	\$1,667 5,000 0 0	\$1,667 0 0	\$1.667 0 0 0	\$1,667 5,000 0 0 35,200	\$20,000
2 3 4	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	\$15,200 (1,019) 0	15,200 (1,058) <u>1,667</u> 15,809	15,200 (1,097) 	20,200 (1,143) (0) 19,057	20,200 (1,195) 	20,200 (1,247) 3,333 22,287	25,200 (1,306) (0) 23,694	25,200 (1,371) 1,667 25,496	25,200 (1,436) 3,333 27,098	30,200 (1,508) (0) 28,692	30,200 (1,586) <u>1,667</u> <u>30,281</u>	30,200 (1,664) 3,333 31,870	(1,749) (0) 33,451	
5 6	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) Average Net Investment	\$14,181	14,995	16,623	18,247	19,865	21,479	23,091	24,695	26,297	27,895	29,487	31,075	32,661	
7	Return on Average Net Investment (B) a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes b. Debt Component (Line 6 x Rate x 1/12) c. Other	8.02% 2.9 5 %	100 37 0	111 41 0	122 45 0	133 49 0	144 53 0	154 57 0	165 61 0	176 65 0	187 69 0	197 73 0	208 76 0	218 80 0	\$1,915 706 0
8	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 3.10% b. Amortization c. Dismantiement d. Property Taxes (D) 0.009673		39 0 N/A 12 0	39 0 N/A 12 0	46 0 N/A 16 0	52 0 N/A 16 0	52 0 N/A 16 0	59 0 N/A 20 0	65 0 N/A 20 0	65 0 N/A 20 0	72 0 N/A 24 0	78 0 N/A 24 0	78 0 N/A 24 0	85 0 N/A 28 0	730 0 N/A 232 0
9	e. Other Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		188 0 168	203 0 203	229 0 229	250 0 250	265 0 265	290 0 290	311 0 311	326 0 326	352 0 352	372 0 372	386 0 386 N/A	411 0 411 N/A	3,583 0 3,583
10 11	Energy Jurisdictional Factor Demand Jurisdictional Factor - (Distribution)		N/A 0.99624	N/A 0.99624	N/A 0.99624	N/A 0.99624	N/A 0.99624	N/A 0.99624	N/A 0.99624	N/A 0.99624	N/A 0.99624 0	N/A 0.99624 0	0,99624	0.99624	o
12 13 14	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 1	3)	0 187 \$187	0 202 \$202	0 228 \$228	0 249 \$249	0 264 \$264	0 289 \$289	0 310 \$310	0 325 \$325	<u>351</u> \$351	371 \$371	385 \$385	409 \$409	3,570 \$3,570

Notes: (A) N/A (B) Line 5 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (C) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Depreciation Rate based on approved rates in Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (D) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2009 Effective Tax Rate on original cost. (E) Line 9a x Line 10 (F) Line 9b x Line 11

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS - BASE (Project 10.1)

(in Dollara)

Line	Description	<u>!</u>	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected Jun - 11	Projected	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Total
1	Investments															
	 Expenditures/Additions 			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	*0	••			
	b. Clearings to Plant			0	0	0	0	õ	õ	ő		\$0 0	\$0 0	\$0	\$0	
	C. Retirements d. Other (A)			0	0	0	0	Ō	ō	õ	ő	ů	0	0	0	
	u. Otter (A)			0	0	0	0	D	0	Ó	ō	ŏ	ő	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base		\$168.941	168,941	168,941	168,941	168,941							•	v	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation		(17,584)	(17,880)	(18,176)	(18,472)	(18,768)	168,941 (19,064)	168,941 (19,360)	168,941 (19,656)	168,941	168,941	168,941	168,941	168,941	
4	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing		0	0	(,	(10,472)	(10,700)	(13,004)	(19,300)	(19,656)	(19,952)	(20,248)	(20,544)	(20,840)	(21,136)	
5	Net investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	_	\$151,357	151,061	150,765	150,469	150,173	149,877	149,581	149,285	148,989	148,693	148,397	148,101	0	
5	Average Net Investment			151,209	150,913	150,617	150,321	150,025	149,729	149,433	149,137	148,841	148,545	148,249	147,805	
7	Return on Average Net Investment (6)															
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes	8.02%		1,011	1,009	1,007	1.005	1,003	1.001	999						
	Debt Component (Line 6 x Rate x 1/12)	2.95%		372	371	371	370	369	368	368	997	995 366	993	991	989	\$12,00
	c. Other			0	Ó	ů.	0	0	0	300 0	367 0	306	365	365	364	4,41
1	Investment Expenses						-	v	·	0	U	v	0	0	0	I
•	a. Depreciation (C) 2,10%															
	b. Amortization			296	296	296	296	296	296	296	296	296	296	296	296	3,55
	c. Dismantiement			0 N/A	0	0 N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,50g
	d. Property Taxes (D) 0.010800			152	N/A 152	N/A 152	N/A 152	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A (N/A	N.
	e. Other			0	0	132	152	152	152 D	152 0	152	152	152	152	152	1,824
	T		_		•				··· -····	<u>u</u>	0	0	0	0	0	(
•	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)			1,831	1,828	1,826	1,823	1,820	1,817	1,815	1,812	1,809	1,806	1.804		
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,000	1,304	1,801	21,792
	C. Hecoverage Costs Anocated to Demand			1,631	1,828	1,826	1,823	1,820	1,817	1,815	1,812	1,809	1.806	1.804	0 1,801	0 21,792
	Energy Jurisdictional Factor			₩A	N/A	N/A	N/A	b	N 14						1,001	21,792
	Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Base)			0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91069	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91089	N/A 0.91089	
2 3	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	o	0	-		
4	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 1)		_	1,668	1,665	1,663	1,661	1,658	1.655	1,653	1,651	1,648	1.645	0 1.643	0	0
	• Gray Junscictional Mecoverable Costs () mes 12 + 13	9)		\$1,668	\$1,665	\$1,663	\$1,661	\$1,658	\$1,655	\$1,653	\$1,651	\$1,648	\$1,645	\$1,643	1,641 \$1,641	19,850 \$19,850

Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 20 of 38

Form 42-5P Page 1 of 16

Project Title: Substation Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution Prevention Project No. 1

Project Description:

Chapter 376, Florida Statutes, requires that any person discharging a prohibited pollutant shall undertake to contain, remove, and abate the discharge to the satisfaction of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Similarly, Chapter 403, Florida Statutes provides that it is prohibited to cause pollution so as to harm or injure human health or welfare, animal, plant, or aquatic life or property. For Progress Energy Florida to continue to comply with these statutes, it is conducting environmental investigation, remediation, and pollution prevention activities associated with its substation facilities to determine the existence of pollutant discharges, and if present, their removal and remediation. Activities also include development and implementation of best management and pollution prevention measures at these facilities.

Project Accomplishments:

PEF has completed environmental remediations at 29 substations during 2010. PEF is continuing to work with the FDEP on remaining remediations.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010: Project expenditures are estimated to be \$7,471,465 higher than originally projected. This variance is primarily due to multiple sites containing more contamination than originally projected as well as scheduling conflicts that resulted in multiple sites being rescheduled from the 2009 and into 2010.

Project Progress Summary:

PEF continues to remediate substation sites in accordance with the approved Substation Assessment and Remedial Action Plan.

Project Projections:

Estimated project expenditures for the period January 2011 through December 2011 are expected to be \$3,067,512.

Project Title: Distribution System Environmental Investigation, Remediation, and Pollution Prevention Project No. 2

Project Description:

Chapter 376, Florida Statutes, requires that any person discharging a prohibited pollutant shall undertake to contain, remove, and abate the discharge to the satisfaction of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Similarly, Chapter 403, Florida Statutes provides that it is prohibited to cause pollution so as to harm or injure human health or welfare, animal, plant, or aquatic life or property. For Progress Energy Florida to continue to comply with these statutes, it is conducting environmental investigation, remediation, and pollution prevention activities associated with its distribution system facilities to determine the existence of pollutant discharges, and if present, their removal and remediation. Activities also include development and implementation of best management and pollution prevention measures at these facilities.

Project Accomplishments:

Progress Energy has completed all TRIP inspections and has finalized its remaining targets. PEF is expecting to complete remediations on 751 distribution padmount transformer sites in 2010. All remediations have been conducted in accordance with the FDEP approved Environmental Remediation Strategy.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010: Project expenditures are estimated to be approximately \$290,000 lower than originally projected.

Project Progress Summary:

This project is on schedule according to the approved Distribution System Investigation, Remediation and Pollution Prevention Program.

Project Projections:

Estimated project expenditures for the period January 2011 through December 2011 are expected to be approximately \$7,608,000. Progress Energy is expecting to complete remediations on approximately 635 sites.

Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 22 of 38

Form 42-5P Page 3 of 16

Project Title: Pipeline Integrity Management, Review/Update Plan and Risk Assessments

Project No. 3

Project Description:

The U.S. Department of Transportation ("USDOT") Regulation 49 CFR Part 195, as amended effective February 15, 2002 and the new regulation published at 67 Federal Register 2136 on January 16, 2002 requires PEF to implement a Pipeline Integrity Management Program. Prior to the February 15, 2002 amendments, the USDOT's pipeline integrity management regulations applied only to operators with 500 miles or more of hazardous liquid and carbon dioxide pipelines that could affect high consequence areas. The amendments which became effective on February 15, 2002 extended the requirements for implementing integrity management to operators who have less than 500 miles of regulated pipelines. As such, PEF must improve the integrity of pipeline systems in order to protect public safety and the environment, as well as comply with continual assessment and evaluation of pipeline systems integrity through inspection or testing, data integration and analysis, and follow up with remedial, preventative, and mitigative actions.

Effective February 2010, amendments to 49 CFR 195 were finalized to improve opportunities to reduce risk through more effective control of pipelines. Compliance with these amendments will enhance pipeline safety by coupling strengthened control room management with improved controller training and fatigue management. PEF must develop these Pipeline control room management procedures by August 1, 2011 and implement said procedures by February 1, 2013.

PEF owns one hazardous liquid pipeline that is subject to the new regulation and must comply with the new requirements for the Bartow/Anclote 14-inch hot oil pipeline, extending 33.3 miles from the Company's Bartow Plant north of St. Petersburg to the Anclote Plant in Holiday.

Project Accomplishments:

During 2010 the USDOT Pipeline and Hazardous Material Safety Administration ("PHMSA") conducted an audit of the Bartow Anclote Pipeline. No fines or violations were identified. A smart pig 180 day repair was completed along with several risk reduction projects. Smart pig data validation, corrosion rate calculations, anomaly ranking, repair planning, inspection interval determination, risk analysis updates, spill consequence updates, data alignment, and biennial review activities have been initiated and are ongoing. Design and construction coordination is ongoing for third party projects at US 19 and Haines Bayshore Road, 9th Street and Gandy Boulevard, 118th Avenue, Dump Road, Progress Energy Trail, and Spruce Street.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010: O&M project expenditures are estimated to be approximately \$108,129 below the originally projected expenses.

Project Progress Summary:

Ongoing smart pig anomaly evaluation, data validation, corrosion rate calculations, repair ranking, repair implementation, program biennial review activities, and third party project coordination continue. This compliance work will continue through the end of 2010, and into the future.

Project Projections:

For the period January 2011 through December 2011 O&M expenditures are expected to be \$1,593,000 and Capital expenditures are expected to be \$130,000.

Docket No. 100007-E1 Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 23 of 38

Form 42-5P Page 4 of 16

Project Title: Above Ground Storage Tank Secondary Containment

Project No. 4

Project Description:

Florida Department of Environmental Protection Rule 62-761.510(3) states that the Company is required to make improvements to many of its above ground petroleum storage tanks in order to comply with those provisions. Subsection (d) of that rule requires all internally lined single bottom above ground storage tanks to be upgraded with secondary containment, including secondary containment for piping in contact with the soil. Rule 62-761.500(1)(e) also requires that dike field area containment for pre-1998 tanks be upgraded, if needed, to comply with the requirement.

Project Accomplishments:

2009 work resulted in the following tanks being placed into service: DeBary 1, Turner 7, Turner 8 and Higgins 1. The following tanks will be completed and placed into service during 2010: Bartow 6 and Turner P-1 and P-2 piping work.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010: There are no projected O&M project expenditures for this project in 2010. Capital expenditures are projected to be approximately \$638k and relate to the completion of the Turner and Bartow tanks.

Project Progress Summary:

PEF will continually evaluate its compliance program, including project prioritization, schedule, and technology applications.

Project Projections:

Estimated capital expenditures for the period January 2011 through December 2011 are expected to be \$0 as all upgrade work to the tanks was completed in 2010. Additionally, no O&M expenditures are projected at this time.

Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 24 of 38 Page 5 of 16

Project Title: SO₂ and NOx Emissions Project No. 5

Project Description:

In accordance with Title IV of the Clean Air Act, CFR 40 Part 73 and Part 76, and Florida Adminstrative Code Rule 62-214 and the Clean Air Interstate Rule, PEF manages the Company's SO₂ and NOx emissions allowance inventory for the purpose of offsetting sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides emissions in compliance with the Federal Acid Rain Program.

Project Accomplishments:

For purposes of compliance with an affected unit's sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides emissions requirements under the Acid Rain Program, the air quality compliance costs are administered by an authorized account representative who evaluates a variety of resources and options. Activities performed include purchases of SO₂ and NOx emissions allowances as well as auctions and transfers of SO₂ emissions allowances.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010: Project expenditures are estimated to be \$1,379,220 higher than originally projected. This variance is primarily driven by higher than projected energy requirements during the first quarter of 2010 due to significantly cooler weather than originally projected.

Project Progress Summary:

PEF continually evaluates its compliance strategy to manage the most cost effective program and to mitigate higher gas prices which can impact the fuel mix as it relates to emissions as a result of residual oil.

Project Projections:

For the period January 2011 through December 2011 Estimated SO₂ expenditures are expected to be \$782,052 and NOx project expenditures for the period and \$5,417,298, respectively. PEF also expects approximately \$264,421 in amortization expense from SO_2 auction proceeds in 2011.

Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 25 of 38

Form 42-5P Page 6 of 16

Project Title: Phase II Cooling Water Intake Project No. 6

Project Description:

Section 316(b) of the Federal Clean Water Act, requires that "the location, design, construction, and capacity of cooling water intake structures reflect the best technology available for minimizing adverse environmental impact." 33 U.S.C. Section 1326. In the past, EPA and the state regulatory agency implemented Section 316(b) on a case-by-case basis. In the new Phase II rules, EPA has established "national performance standards" for determining compliance with Section 316(b) at certain existing electric generating facilities. See 40 CFR 125.94(b). The process of compliance involves planning and scheduling efforts, conducting certain biological studies, and evaluation of options for compliance. These compliance options involve engineering measures, operational measures, restorative measures and/or cost assessment measures. See generally 40 CFR 125.94 and 125.95.

Project Accomplishments:

PEF facilities subject to EPA's new Phase II rules include Anclote, Bartow, Crystal River and Suwannee plants. Early in 2004 PEF requested competitive bids for an environmental consultant to support the development of a Compliance Strategy and Implementation Plan (CSIP); that contract was secured and the CSIP is now complete. The consultant completed a Proposals for Information Collection (PICs) for Anclote & Bartow, Crystal River, and Suwannee and they have been submitted and approved by the FDEP.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 - December 30, 2010: Due to a federal courts vacatur of the Phase II rules, the estimated project O&M expenditures for the period January 2010 through December 2010 are projected to be \$0.

Project Progress Summary:

The original baseline biological studies have been completed. Work has been suspended pending completion of additional rulemaking. The EPA is expected to issue a new proposed 316(b) rule in late 2010 which would become final in 2012.

Project Projections:

Due to the vacatur, the estimated project O&M expenditures for the period January 2011 through December 2011 are projected to be \$0.

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No. __(TGF-3) Page 26 of 38

Form 42-5P Page 7 of 16 Revised 10/06/10

Project Title: Project No. 7 Integrated Clean Air Compliance Plan (CAIR)

Project Description:

Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR), 40 CFR 24, 262, imposes significant new restrictions on emissions of sulfur dioxide ("SO₂") and nitrogen oxides ("NOx") from power plants in 28 eastern states, including Florida and the District of Columbia. The CAIR rule apportions region-wide SO₂ and NOx emission reduction requirements to the individual states, and further requires each affected state to revise its State Implementation Plans ("SIP") by September 2006 to include measures necessary to achieve its emission reduction budget within the prescribed deadlines.

Project Accomplishments:

Progress Energy achieved several significant project milestones in 2010. In May 2010, PEF placed the Crystal River Unit 4 Selective Catalytic Reduction ("SCR") system and the Unit 4 Flue Gas Desulfurization ("FGD" or "scrubber") system into service. During 2010, PEF is transitioning from the construction phase of the project into the operation phase.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010: PEF's capital expenditures for the Crystal River Projects in 2010 will be approximately \$3.4 million (6%) higher than PEF's 2010 Projection filing. The difference is primarily attributable to work carried forward from 2009 to 2010 (as mentioned in prior testimony). PEF's O&M expenditures for this project in 2010 will be \$1.4 million (6%) lower than PEF's 2010 Projection filing.

Project Progress Summary:

The construction portion of the project will be complete in 2010. PEF is currently in the process of transitioning to Operations. Until the transition is complete, PEF's construction team will continue to track project expenditures against the detailed project scopes to ensure that PEF receives what it contracted for and that any turnover changes are properly evaluated and documented. PEF also will continue to conduct regularly scheduled meetings with the primary contractors and senior management to maintain supervision of the project, to ensure that management remains fully informed, and to ensure that management expectations are communicated to the outside vendors and the project team.

Project Projections:

The remaining construction projects relating to the Crystal River Units 4&5 SCR and FGD systems are scheduled to be completed by the end of 2010. 2011 will be the first full year of both Units 4&5 being operational under the Integrated Clean Air Compliance Plan. Estimated capital expenditures for the period January 2011 through December 2011 are expected to be approximately \$1.5million. Additionally, O&M expenditures are projected to be approximately \$28.6 million.

Form 42-5P Page 8 of 16

Project Title: Arsenic Groundwater Standard Project No. 8

Project Description:

On January 22, 2001, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) adopted a new maximum contaminant level (MCL) for arsenic in drinking water, replacing the previous standard of 0.050 mg/L (50ppb) with a new MCL of 0.010 mg/L (10ppb). Effective January 1, 2005, FDEP established the USEPA MCL as Florida's drinking water standard. See Rule 62-550, F.A.C. The new standard has implications for land application and water reuse projects in Florida because the drinking water standard has been established as the groundwater standard by Rule 62-520.420(1), F.A.C. Lowering the arsenic standard will require new analytical methods for sampling groundwater at numerous PEF sites.

Project Accomplishments:

Sampling of existing monitoring wells continues as required by the reissued Industrial Wastewater Permit. Discussions are continuing with FDEP relative to an acceptable strategic plan.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010: O&M costs are expected to be \$20,000 higher than originally forecasted as work continues with FDEP to establish an arsenic compliance plan and schedule.

Project Progress Summary:

PEF will continually evaluate analytical results and maintain ongoing communication with FDEP regarding compliance strategies.

Project Projections:

Progress Energy Florida continues to work with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection to comply with the terms of the renewed industrial wastewater permit for the Crystal River Energy Complex (January 9, 2007) and the modified Conditions of Certification (May 14, 2010). PEF expects work to continue with the FDEP to establish an arsenic compliance plan and schedule. PEF is projecting \$15,000 in costs in 2011 associated with this.

Docket No. 100007-FI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No. (TGF-3) Page 28 of 38

Form 42-5P Page 9 of 16

Project Title: Project No. 9 Sea Turtle - Coastal Street Lighting

Project Description:

PEF owns and leases high pressure sodium streetlights throughout its service territory, including areas along the Florida coast. Pursuant to Section 161.163, Florida Statutes, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP), in collaboration with the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC) and the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS), has developed a model Sea Turtle lighting ordinance. The model ordinance is used by the local governments to develop and implement local ordinances within their jurisdiction. To date, Sea Turtle lighting ordinances have been adopted in Franklin County, Gulf County and the City of Mexico Beach in Bay County, all of which are within PEF's service territory. Since 2004, officials from the various local governments, as well as FDEP, FFWC, and USFWS, have advised PEF that lighting it owns and leases is affecting turtle nesting areas that fall within the scope of these ordinances, As a result, the local governments are requiring PEF to take additional measures to satisfy new criteria being applied to ensure compliance with the ordinances.

Project Accomplishments:

PEF has worked with Franklin County to determine the most cost-effective compliance measures for affected lighting on St. George Island. Compliance measures that have been performed include retrofitting existing streetlights, monitoring them for effectiveness, and making modifications to the retrofitted lights where applicable. Project studies are ongoing with University of Florida and are expected to continue through 2010.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010: O&M costs are expected to be \$1,296 or 72% lower and Capital costs are expected to be \$14,947 or 75% lower than originally projected.

Project Progress Summary:

PEF is on schedule with the activities identified for this program.

Project Projections:

Estimated project expenditures for the period January 2011 through December 2011 are expected to be \$1,800 in O&M costs and \$20,000 in capital expenditures to ensure ongoing compliance with sea turtle ordinances.

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects Docket No. 100007-E1 Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 29 of 38

Form 42-5P Page 10 of 16

Project Title: Underground Storage Tanks Project No. 10

Project Description:

FDEP rules require that underground pollutant storage tanks and small diameter piping be upgraded with secondary containment by December 31, 2009. See Rule 62-761.510(5), F.A.C. PEF has identified four tanks that must comply with this rule: two at the Crystal River power plant and two at the Bartow power plant.

Project Accomplishments:

Work on Crystal River and Bartow USTs was completed in the fourth quarter 2006.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010: \$0 was projected to be spent in 2010.

Project Projections:

No project capital expenditures are anticipated for the period January 2011 through December 2011.

Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.__(TGF-3) Page 30 of 38

Form 42-5P Page 11 of 16

Project Title: Modular Cooling Towers Project No. 11

Project Description:

The project involves installation and operation of modular cooling towers in the summer months to minimize "de-rates" of PEF's Crystal River Units 1 and 2 necessary to comply with the NPDES permit limit for the temperature of cooling water discharged from the units.

Project Accomplishments:

Vendors of modular cooling towers were evaluated regarding cost of installation and operation. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection reviewed the project and approved operation. A vendor was selected and the towers were installed during the second quarter of 2006.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010: Project O&M costs are expected to be approximately \$819,000 or 20% lower than originally projected.

Project Progress Summary:

Modular cooling towers began operation in June 2006 and have successfully minimized de-rates of Units 1 and 2.

Project Projections:

Estimated project expenditures are expected to be approximately \$3.3 million for the period January 2011 thru December 2011.

Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 31 of 38

Form 42-5P Page 12 of 16

Project Title: Crystal River Thermal Discharge Compliance Project

Project No. 11.1

Project Description:

This project will evaluate and implement the best long term solution to maintain compliance with the thermal discharge limit in FDEP industrial wastewater permit for Crystal River 1 & 2 that is currently being addressed in the short term by the Modular Cooling Towers approved in Docket No. 060162- EI for ECRC recovery.

Project Accomplishments:

The Study phase of the project is complete. The recommendation is to replace the modular cooling towers in coordination with the cooling solution for the CR3 EPU discharge canal cooling solution. The new cooling tower associated with the CR3 EPU will be sized to mitigate both the increased temperatures from the EPU as well as serve to replace the modular cooling towers.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010: PEF is projecting capital expenditures to be \$20 million lower for this project in 2010 than originally forecast. This variance is mainly attributable to the refinement of project costs reflecting the shift of construction to coincide with the next Crystal River Unit 3 refueling outage in 2012.

Project Progress Summary:

The design contract for the CR3 EPU cooling tower has been awarded and a cooling tower supplier has been selected.

Project Projections:

Estimated project capital expenditures are expected to be approximately \$30.7 million for the period January 2011 thru December 2011.

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects Docket No.100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.__(TGF-3) Page 32 of 38

Form 42-5P Page 13 of 16

Project Title: Project No. 12

Greenhouse Gas Inventory and Reporting

Project Description:

The Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Inventory and Reporting Program was created in response to Chapter 2008-277, Florida Laws, which established the Florida Climate Protection Act, to be codified at section 403.44, Florida Statutes. Among other things, this legislation authorizes FDEP to establish a cap and trade program to GHG emissions from electric utilities. Utilities subject to the program, including PEF, will be required to use The Climate Registry for purposes of GHG emission registration and reporting. The requirement to report to The Climate Registry was repealed during the 2010 legislative session; however, EPA's GHG Reporting Rule (40 CFR 98) does require that PEF submit 2010 GHG data to the EPA by March 31, 2011.

Project Accomplishments:

During 2009, Progress Energy joined The Climate Registry and submitted the 2008 GHG inventory. 2009 data will be submitted during the third quarter of 2010 and will be validated by a third party as required by the EPA's GHG Reporting Rule by the 1st Quarter 2011.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010: PEF expects that total O&M project expenditures for the year will be approximately \$11,250 lower than originally projected.

Project Progress Summary:

The 2009 GHG inventory is currently verification ready and planning is underway for reporting 2010 data in 2011.

Project Projections:

January 2011 to December 2011: Because the EPA web-based system for submitting data is not yet developed, PEF is not certain of the complexity of this process; therefore, O&M project expenses are estimated to be approximately \$4,500 in the event that an outside contractor is hired to assist with completing a timely filing.

Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No. (TGF-3) Page 33 of 38

Form 42-5P Page 14 of 16

Project Title: Project No. 13 Mercury Total Daily Maximum Loads Monitoring (TMDL)

Project Description:

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires each state to identify state waters not meeting water quality standards and establish a TMDL for the pollutant or pollutants causing the failure to meet standards. Under a 1999 federal consent decree, TMDLs for over 100 Florida water bodies listed as impaired for mercury must be established by September 12, 2012. DEP has initiated a research program to provide the necessary information for setting the appropriate TMDLs for mercury. Among other things, the study will assess the relative contributions of mercury-emitting sources, such as coal-fired power plants, to mercury levels in surface waters.

Project Accomplishments:

Atmospheric & Environmental Research, Inc (AER) completed the literature review on mercury deposition in Florida, this document was sent to the FDEP Division of Air Resource Management and the TMDL team for review. In addition, the Florida Electric Power Coordinating Group ("FCG") Mercury Task Force met with the FDEP Division of Air Resource Management to discuss the review. AER performed the Florida mercury deposition modeling for the Division of Air Resource Management. The FCG Mercury Task Force contracted with Tetra Tech to conduct aquatic field sampling, including an aquatics modeling report, to develop a "Conceptual Model for the Florida Mercury TMDL." This document is expected to be finalized during the third quarter of 2010. AER is also developing a mercury atmospheric model coincidental with the work of University of Michigan (working for FDEP). These modeling efforts (aquatic and atmospheric) will continue into 2011 with a final TMDL report to be submitted to FDEP during the first part of 2012.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010: PEF expects that total O&M project expenditures for the year will be approximately \$36,077.

Project Progress Summary:

The FCG Mercury task force continues to meet with the state as the changes in the program evolve. In 2009 FCG contracted with a private contractor to develop a conceptual model, and to continue that work into 2011.

Project Projections:

Estimated project expenditures are expected to be approximately \$38,000 for the period January 2011 thru December 2011.

Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 34 of 38

Form 42-5P Page 15 of 16

Project Title: Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) ICR Program Project No. 14

Project Description:

In 2009, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) initiated efforts to develop an Information Collection Request ("ICR"), which requires that owners/operators of all coal- and oil-fired electric utility steam generating units provide information that will allow the EPA to assess the emissions of hazardous air pollutants from each such unit. The intention of the ICR is to assist the Administrator of the EPA in developing national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants under Section 112(d) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7412. Pursuant to those efforts, by letter dated December 24, 2009, the EPA formally requested that PEF comply with certain data collection and emissions testing requirements for several of its steam electric generating units. The EPA letter states that initial submittal of existing information must be made within 90 days, and that the remaining data must be submitted within 8 months. Collection and submittal of the requested information is mandatory under Section 114 of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7414.

Project Accomplishments:

The Company will complete the ICR during 2010.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010: PEF expects that total O&M project expenditures for the year will be approximately \$400,000.

Project Progress Summary:

PEF is in process of completing the ICR.

Project Projections:

PEF is not anticipating any expenditures in this program during 2011.

Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 35 of 38

Form 42-5P Page 16 of 16

Project Title: Project No. 15

5

Effluent Limitation Guidelines ICR Program

Project Description:

The Effluent Limitation Guidelines ICR Program was created in response to Section 304 of the federal Clean Water Act which directs the U.S. EPA to develop and periodically review regulations, called effluent guidelines, to limit the amount of pollutants that are discharged to surface waters from various point source categories. 33 U.S.C. §13 14(b). In October 2009, EPA announced that it intended to update the effluent guidelines for the steam electric power generating point source category, which were last updated in 1982. PEF is required to complete the ICR and submit responses to U.S. EPA within 90 days. Collection and submittal of the requested information is mandatory under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act.

Project Accomplishments:

The Company will complete the ICR during 2010.

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010: PEF expects that total O&M project expenditures for the year will be approximately \$60,000.

Project Progress Summary:

PEF is in process of completing the ICR.

Project Projections:

PEF is not anticipating any expenditures in this program during 2011.

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Energy & Demand Allocation % by Rate Class JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	7(a)	(8) Class Max MW	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Rate Class		Average 12CP Load Factor at Meter (%)	Sales at Meter (mWh)	Avg 12 CP at Meter (MW) (2)/(8760hrsx(1))	NCP Class Max Load Factor	Delivery Efficiency Factor	Sales at Source (Generation) (mWh) (2)(5)	Avg 12 CP at Source (MW) (3)/(5)	Sales at Source (Distrib Svc Only) (mWh)	at Source Level	mWh Sales at Source Energy Allocator (%)	12CP Demand Transmission Allocator (%)	12CP & 1/13 AD Demand Allocator (%)	NCP Distribution Allocator (%)
											(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(14)	(~)	(,,,,
Residential														
RS-1, RST-1	I, RSL-1, RSL-2, RSS-1													
Seco	ndary	0.494	18,156,533	4,195.68	0.361	0.9342388	19,434,573	4,491.01	19,434,573	6,145.6	50.132%	62.283%	61.349%	63.305%
<u>General Ser</u> GS-1, GST-1	<u>vice Non-Demand</u> 1													
Secor	ndary	0.695	1,166,288	191.57	0.423	0.9342388	1,248,383	205.05	1,248,383	336.9	3,220%	2.844%	2.673%	3.470%
Prima		0.695	4,416	0.73	0,423	0.9687000	4.559	0.75	4,559	1.2	0.012%	0.010%	0.010%	0.013%
Trans	mission	0.695	3,699	0.61	0,423	0.9787000	3,780	0.62		0.0	0.010%	0.009%	0.009%	0.000%
		•	-,						_		3.242%	2.863%	2.892%	3.483%
General Ser	vice												2,002,0	0.10070
GS-2 Secon		1.000	97,312	11.11	1.000	0.9342388	104,162	11.89	104,162	11.9	0.269%	0.165%	0.173%	0.122%
<u>General Ser</u> GSD-1, GSD	<u>vice Demand</u>)T-1													
Secor	ndary	0.785	12,131,043	1,764,10	0.612	0.9342388	12,984,948	1,888.28	12,984,948	2,422.1	33.495%	26.187%	26,750%	24.950%
Prima		0.785	2,266,966	329.66	0.612		2,340,215	340.32		436.5	6.037%	4.720%	4.821%	4.497%
	mission	0.785	0	0.00	0.612	0.9787000	0	0.00		0.0	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%
SS-1 Prima		1.546	8	0.00	0.207	0.9687000	8	0.00	ŝ	0.0	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%
	m Del/ Transm Mtr	1.546	11,483	0.85	0.207	0.9787000	11,733	0.87	ő	0.0	0.030%	0.012%	0.013%	0.000%
	m Del/ Primary Mtr	1.546	4,471	0.33	0.207		4,615	0.34	0 0	0.0	0.012%	0.005%		
		1.010	1,11,1	0.00	0,207	0.0001000	4,010	0.04	Ű	0.0	39.574%	30.924%	0.005%	0.000%
<u>Curtailable</u> CS-1, CST-1	, CS+2, CST-2, SS-3													
Secon	ndary	0.935	0	0.00	0,592	0.9342388	0	0.00	. 0	0.0	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%
Prima	irv	0.935	171,491	20.94	0.592	0.9687000	177.032	21.61	177.032	34.1	0.457%	0.300%	0.312%	0.352%
SS-3 Prima		0.451	3,536	0.90	0.047	0.9687000	3,650	0.92	3.650	8.9	0.009%	0.013%	0.013%	0.091%
			-,					•••			0.466%	0.313%	0.324%	0.443%
<u>interruptible</u> IS-1, IST-1, K														
Secon		0.983	100,117	11.63	0.768	0.9342388	107,164	12.44	107,164	15.9	0.276%	0.173%	0.181%	0.164%
	el/Primary Mtr	0.963	4,623	0.54	0.768	0.9687000	4,772	0.55	4,772	0.7	0.012%	0.008%	0.008%	0.007%
Prima	uy Del / Primary Mtr	0.963	1,166,627	135.48	0.768	0.9687000	1,204,322	139.86	1,204,322	179.0	3.107%	1.940%	2.029%	1.844%
Prima	ry Del / Transm Mtr	0.983	16,410	1.91	0.768	0.9787000	16,767	1.95	16,767	2.5	0.043%	0.027%	0.028%	0.026%
Transi	m Del/ Transm Mtr	0.983	289,741	33.65	0.768	0.9787000	296,047	34.38	0	0.0	0.764%	0.477%	0.499%	0.000%
Transi	m Del/ Primary Mtr	0.983	264,215	30.68	0.768	0.9687000	272,752	31.67	0	0.0	0.704%	0.439%	0.460%	0.000%
\$\$-2 Prima	iry	0.929	75,224	9.24	0.447	0.9687000	77,655	9.54	77,655	19.8	0.200%	0.132%	0.138%	0.204%
Transi	m Del/ Transm Mtr	0.929	64,481	7.92	0.447	0.9787000	65,884	8.10	0	0.0	0.170%	0.112%	0.117%	0.000%
Transi	m Del/ Primary Mtr	0.929	14,531	1.79	0.447	0.9687000	15,001	1.84	0	0.0	0.039%	0.026%	0.027%	0.000%
Lighting										-	5.315%	3.333%	3.486%	2.245%
LS-1 (Second	dary)	5.151	363,266	8.05	0.479	0.9342368	388,836	8.62	386,836	g 2.7	1.003%	0.120%	0.187%	0.955%
			36,376,481	6,757.34				7,210.62	38,097,048	9,70 <u>7.8</u>	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%

Notes:

(1) Average 12CP load factor based on load research study filed July 31, 2010 (2) (3) (4) Projected kWh sales for the period January 2011 to December 2011

Calculated: Column 2 / (8,760 hours x Column 1)

NCP load factor based on load research study filed July 31, 2010

(5) Based on system average line loss analysis for 2009

(6) Column 2 / Column 5

Column 3 / Column 5 (7) (7a)

- Column 6 excluding transmission service (8)
 - Calculated: Column 7a / (8,760 hours/ Column 4)
- (9) Column 6/ Total Column 6
- (10) Column 7/ Total Column 7
- (11) Column 9 x 1/13 + Column 10 x 12/13
- (12) Column 8/ Total Column 8

Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 36 of 38

Form 42-6P

				PROGRESS Environmental Cost vironmental Cost Re JANUARY 201	covery Clause I	e (ECRC) Rate Factors by	Rate Class					Form 42-7P Revised 10/06/10
Rate Clas	55	(1) mWh Sales at Source Energy Allocator (%)	(2) 12CP Transmission Demand Allocator (%)	(3) 12CP & 1/13th AD Demand Allocator (%)	(4) NCP Distribution Allocator (%)	(5) Energy- Related Costs (\$)	(6) Transmission Demand Costs (\$)	(7) Distribution Demand Costs (\$)	(8) Production Demand Costs (\$)	(9) Total Environmental Costs (\$)	(10) Projected Effective Sales at Meter Level (mWh)	(11) Environmental Cost Recovery Factors (cents/kWh)
Resident RS-1, RS	ial T-1, RSL-1, RSL-2, RSS-1 Secondary	50.132%	62.283%	61.349%	63.305%	\$80,750,62 0	\$1,661,900	\$5,635,223	\$1,016,784	\$89,064,526	18,156,533	0.491
<u>General</u> GS-1, GS	Secondary Primary Transmission			0.0000	0.0000			#040 off		A 5 050 044	1,166,288 4,372 3,625	0.482 0.477 0.472
<u>General</u> GS-2	TOTAL GS Service Secondary	0.269%	2.863%	2.892%	<u>3.483%</u> 0.122%	\$5,221,675 \$432,792	\$76,386 \$4,400	\$310,051 \$10,903	\$47,929 \$2,865	\$5,656,041 \$450,961	1,174,285 97,312	0.463
	Service Demand ISDT-1, SS-1 Secondary Primary Transmission TOTAL GSD	39.574%	30.924%	31.589%	29.446%	\$63,743,989	\$825,140	\$2,621,185	\$523,557	\$67,713,871	12,131,043 2,248,731 11,253 14,391,027	0.471 0.466 0.462
<u>Curtailat</u> CS-1, CS	T-1, CS-2, CST-2, CS-3, CST-3 Secondary Primary Transmission										173,277	0.464 0.459 0.455
Interrupt IS-1, IST-	TOTAL CS ble 1, IS-2, IST-2, SS-2	0.466%	0.313%	0.324%	0.443%	\$750,735	\$8,340	\$39,432	\$5,376	\$803,883	173,277	
	Secondary Primary Transmission TOTAL IS	5.315%	3.333%	3.486%	2.245%	\$8,560,811	\$88,938	\$199,870	\$57,769	\$8,907,388	100,117 1,509,968 363,219 1,973,304	0.451 0.446 0.442
<u>Lighting</u> L\$-1	Secondary	1.003%	0.120%	0.187%	0.955%	\$1,615,614	\$3,189	\$84,972	\$3,107	\$1,706,882	363,266	0.470
		100.000%	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%	\$161,076,236	\$2,668,292	\$8,901,636	\$1,657,388	\$174,303,552	36,329,004	0.480
Notes:	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11)	From Form 42-6P 12 & 1/1: From Form 42-6P 12 & 1/1: From Form 42-6P 12 & 1/1: From Form 42-6P 12 & 1/1: Column 1 x Total Energy Ju Column 1 x Total Energy Ju Column 2 x Total Distributic Column 3 x Total Productio Column 5 + Column 6 + Co Projected kWh sales at effe Column 7/ Column 8 x 100	3, Column 10 3, Column 11 3, Column 11 risdictional Dollars I sion Demand Jurisdi n Demand Jurisdicti Iumn 7 + Column 8	ictional Dollars from ional Dollars from F onal Dollars from Fo	Form 42-1P, lin form 42-1P, line form 42-1P, line t	5						Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No(TGF-3) Page 37 of 38

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projection Period Amount January 2011 through December 2011

Progress Energy Florida Capital Structure and Cost Rates

Class of Retail Capital Amount		St	aff Adjusted	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost Rate	Pre-Tax Weighted Cost Rate	
CE	\$	2.916.026	\$	2,945,782	46.74%	0.10500	4.908%	7.990%
PS	•	21.239	Ŧ	21,456	0.34%	0.04510	0.015%	0.025%
LTD		2,817,708		2,846,460	45.17%	0.06178	2.790%	2.790%
STD		41,245		41,666	0.66%	0.03720	0.025%	0.025%
CD-Active		144,119		145,590	2.31%	0.05950	0.137%	0.137%
CD-Inactive		1,457		1,472	0.02%	0.00000	0.000%	0.000%
ADIT		415,881		420,125	6.67%	0.00000	0.000%	0.000%
FAS 109		(122,914)		(124,168)	-1.97%	0.00000	0.000%	0.000%
ITC		3, <u>857</u>		3,896	0.06%	0.08360	0.005%	0.008%
Total	\$	6,238,618	\$	6,302,278	100.00%		7.881%	10.976%

Total Debt	2.952%	2.95%
Total Equity	4.928%	8.02%

Source: Per Staff 13-Month Average Capital Structure worksheet - Schedule 2 REVISED - handed out at 1/11/10 Rate Case Agenda - Docket No. 090079-EI

Rationale: The Company is using the currently approved capital structure and cost rates in accordance with the 2009 Settlement Agreement.

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS - INTERMEDIATE (10.2)

(in Dollare)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected Jun - 11	Projected Jul - 11	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Total
1	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	S O
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	õ	õ	ő	õ	***
	c. Retirements d. Other (A)		0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	õ	ō	
	u. Oller (A)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$76.006	76.006	76.006	76.006	76,006	76,006	76.006	76,006	76,006	76,006	76.006	76.006	76,006	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(9,805)	(9,808)	(10,011)	(10,214)	(10,417)	(10,620)	(10,823)	(11,026)	(11,229)	(11,432)	(11,635)	(11,838)	(12,041)	
4	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	((10,020,	(10,020)	(11,020)	(31,663)	(11,436)	(1,035)	(11,036)	(12,041)	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$66,401	66,198	65,995	65,792	65,589	65,386	65,183	64,980	64,777	64,574	64,371	64,168	63,965	
6	Average Net Investment		66,300	66,097	65,894	65,691	65,488	65,285	65,082	64,879	64,676	64,473	64,270	64,067	
7	Return on Average Net Investment (B)														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes 8.02	%	443	442	441	439	438	437	435	434	432	431	430	428	\$5.230
	 Debt Component (Line 6 x Rate x 1/12) 2.95 	%	163	163	162	162	161	161	160	160	159	159	430	426	აರ,∠30 1,926
	c. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ő	0	0	0	0	1,920
	Investment Expenses														
-	a. Depreciation (C) 3.20%		203	203	203	203	000								
	b. Amortization		203	203	203	203	203	203 0	203 0	203	203	203	203	203	2,436
	c. Dismantlement		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A U	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A U	N/A U	0 N/A	0 N/A	0	0
	Property Taxes (D) 0.009330		59	59	59	59	59	59	59	59	59	59	59	N/A 59	N/A 708
	e. Other	_	0	0	0	0	õ	õ	0	0	0	0			70a N
	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)								-					-	
•	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		868 0	867 D	865	863	861	860	857	856	853	852	850	848	10,300
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		868	867	0 865	0 863	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			800	607	905	863	861	860	857	856	853	852	850	848	10,300
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		N/A												
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Intermediate)		0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	0.58962	
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0			_
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		512	511	510	509	508	507	505	505	503	0 502	0 501	0 500	0 6.073
14	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		\$512	\$511	\$510	\$509	\$508	\$507	\$505	\$505	\$503	\$502	\$501	\$500	\$6,073
									****		000	4006	4001	000	40,073

Notes: (A) N/A (B) Une 6 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (C) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2009 Effective Tax Rate on original cost. (D) Line 9 x Line 10 (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Docket No. 1090007-EJ Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No. (TGF-3) Page 17 of 38

Form 42-4P

Page 13 of 15

Revised 10/06/10

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: MODULAR COOLING TOWERS - BASE (Project 11) (in Dollars)

_ Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Jan - 11	Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected Jun - 11	Projected Jul - 11	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Total
1	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	Ō	-
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other (A)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$665,141	665.141	665.141	665,141	665,141	665.141	665,141	665,141	665.141	665,141	665.141	665,141	665.141	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(590.211)	(601,297)	(612,383)	(623,469)	(634,555)	(645,641)	(656,727)	(665,141)	(665,141)	(665,141)	(665,141)	(665,141)	(665,141)	
4	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	Ó	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	0	0	(000,111)	0	0	(000,141)	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$74,930	63,844	52,758	41,672	30,586	19,500	8,414	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	
6	Average Net Investment		69,387	58,301	47,215	36,129	25,043	13,957	4,207	o	0	0	0	0	
7	Return on Average Net Investment (B)														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes	8.02%	464	390	316	242	167	93	28	a	Ð	Ð	0	0	\$1,700
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x Rate x 1/12)	2.95%	171	143	116	69	62	34	10	Ō	ō	ŏ	ŏ	õ	625
	c. Other		0	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0
8	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (C) 20.00%		11,086	11,086	11.086	11,086	11,086	11,086	8.414	0	0	D	0	0	74,930
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	Ŭ	ō	õ	õ	0
	c. Dismantlement		N/A												
	d. Property Taxes (D) 0.010800		599	599	599	599	599	599	599	599	599	599	599	599	7,188
	e. Other	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		12,320	12,218	12,117	12,016	11,914	11.812	9.051	599	599	599	599	599	84,443
	 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 		12,320	12,218	12,117	12,016	11,914	11,B12	9,051	599	599	599	599	599	84,443
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		N/A	NA	N/A	N/A	N/A								
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Base)		0.91089	0.91089	0.91069	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91069	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		0	0	0	0	Ó	D	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		11,222	11,129	11,037	10,945	10.852	10,759	8,244	546	546	546	546	546	76,918
14	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	-	\$11,222	\$11,129	\$11,037	\$10,945	\$10,852	\$10,759	\$8,244	\$546	\$546	\$546	\$546	\$546	\$76,918
											4				4,0,010

Notes: (A) N/A (B) Line 6 x 10.98% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (C) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Depreciation rate based on 5 year life of project, as stated in DkL 060162-EI. (D) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2009 Effective Tax Rate on original cost. (E) Line 9a x Line 10 (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Docket No. 100007-EI Progress Energy Florida Witness: T.G. Foster Exhibit No.___(TGF-3) Page 18 of 38

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

Form 42-4P Page 15 of 15 Revised 10/06/10

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount JANUARY 2011 - DECEMBER 2011 Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Crystal River Thermal Discharge Compilance Project-AFUDC - Base (Project 11.1) (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning Period Amo		Projected Feb - 11	Projected Mar - 11	Projected Apr - 11	Projected May - 11	Projected Jun 11	Projected Jul - 11	Projected Aug - 11	Projected Sep - 11	Projected Oct - 11	Projected Nov - 11	Projected Dec - 11	End of Period Total
1	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements		\$1,435,506 0	\$1,444,373 0	\$1,740,852 0	\$1,929,432 0	\$2,206,392 0 0	\$2,115,884 0	\$2,754,031 0	\$2,702,377 0	\$3,340,551 0 0	\$3,706,700 0 0	\$3,688,109 0	\$3,676,718 0 0	\$30,740,925
	d. Other (A)		133,941	140,269	147,220	155,144	164,008	173,283	183,665	195,233	207,996	222,763	238,284	253,842	2,215,649
2	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base	\$361,7	35 361,735	361,735	361,735	361,735	361.735	361,735	361,735	361,735	361,735	361,735	361,735	361,735	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(8,5	(9,090)	(9,602)	(10,114)	(10,626)	(11,138)	(11,650)	(12,162)	(12,674)	(13,186)	(13,698)	(14,210)	(14,722)	
4	CWIP - AFUDC- Interest Bearing	21,650,8		24,804,986	26,693,058	28,777,634	31,148,034	33,437,202	36,374,898	39,272,508	42,821,055	46,750,518	50,676,912	54,607,471	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$22,004,0	4 23,572,989	25,157,119	27,044,679	29,128,743	31,498,632	33,787,287	36,724,471	39,621,569	43,169,605	47,098,555	51,024,437	54,954,485	
6	Average Net Investment (B)		352,902	352,390	351,878	351,366	350,854	350,342	349,630	349,318	348,806	348,294	347,782	347,270	
7	Return on Average Net Investment (C)														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes	8.02%	2,360	2,356	2,353	2,349	2,346	2,342	2,339	2,336	2,332	2,329	2,325	2,322	\$28,089
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x Rate x 1/12)	2.95%	868	867	866	864	863	862	861	859	858	857	856	854	10,335
	c. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Investment Expenses														
•	a. Depreciation (D)		512	512	512	512	512	512	512	512	512	512	512	512	6,144
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	d. Property Taxes (E)		330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	3,960
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		4,070	4,065	4,061	4,055	4,051	4,046	4,042	4,037	4,032	4,028	4,023	4,018	48,528
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		4,070	4,065	4,061	4,055	4,051	4,046	4,042	4,037	4,032	4,028	4,023	4,018	48,528
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Production (Base)		0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91069	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	0.91089	
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (G)		3,707	3,703	3,699	3,694	3,690	3,685	3,682	3,677	3,673	3,669	3,665	3,660	44,204
14	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13))	\$3,707	\$3,703	\$3,699	\$3,694	\$3,690	\$3,685	\$3,682	\$3,677	\$3,673	\$3,669	\$3,665	\$3,660	\$44,204

Notes: (A) AFUDC rate reflected within Docket 100134-EI as initiated under Order PSC-10-0258-PCO-EI and recently voted on and approved by the Commission. (B) Line represents the average net Investment excluding interest-bearing CWIP projects. Refer to Capital Program Datail for Average Net Investment Return on which Line 7 is calculated. (C) Line 6 x 10.96% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.5%, weighted cost of equity component of capital structure of 4.93%, and statutory income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). Based on 2010 rate case Order PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. (D) Line 2 x rate x 1/12. Based on 2009 Effective Tax Rate on original cost. (F) Line 8 x Line 10 (C) Line 6 x 10.96% x 1/12. Based on 2009 Effective Tax Rate on original cost.

(G) Line 9b x Line 11