1	EI OD TOA	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter o	f:
4		DOCKET NO. 110029-TX
5	COMPLIANCE INVE	STIGATION OF EASY
6	TELEPHONE SERVI APPARENT VIOLAT	CES COMPANY FOR ION OF RULE
7		ROVIDER SELECTION.
8		
9	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 4
10	gol <b>a</b> taga outra	TIEM NO. 4
11	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM
12		COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ
13		COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALBIS COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN
14	DATE:	Tuesday, February 22, 2011
15	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
16		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
17	DEDODÆRD DV.	
18	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR Official FPSC Reporter
19		(850) 413-6734
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

0 | 239 FEB 24 = FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CLERK

## PROCEEDINGS

\_

Okay.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: So that moves us to Item

Number 4. If we can turn over to Item Number 4.

MS. CURRY: Kiwanis Curry on behalf of Commission Staff.

Item Number 4 is Staff's recommendation that the Commission accept Easy Telephone Services Company's proposed settlement offer to make a voluntary contribution in the amount of \$106,000 to resolve 106 apparent violations of Rule 25-4.118. Representatives from the company are not present; however, Staff is available for questions.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you. I guess we're back here to the board. Okay. Commissioner Edgar.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I did have one or two questions.

I know in the item and as you've just said it lists 106 specific instances of violation or of a slamming complaint. How many customers does this company have in the State of Florida? I'm just looking for a little context.

MS. CURRY: They have approximately 3,927

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

customers in Florida.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Thank you.

And I notice that in the information in the backup, the letter from the company describing the proposed settlement, there's language on the second page that says that the company has contacted each customer that had filed a complaint and has ensured that full resolution of the issues were to the customer's satisfaction. And I was just looking for a little more information about that.

And I will say as background, a number of years ago, long, long, long before I had ever even heard of the Florida Public Service Commission, I was actually slammed many, many, many times and got into that bouncing back and forth between two companies, which -- and had a lot of excess charges. And I'm not at all implying that that is the instance here, but yet when I read that every single customer's every single issue has been resolved to their full satisfaction, that, that's really amazing. So could you give us a little more information about what was done and how that was done and were there instances of double billing and, if so, were they all fixed?

MS. CURRY: As far as like the double

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

billing, I'm not sure -- from what I read from reading the complaints there were no double billing issues. If there were, the customers did receive a full credit.

But basically based on the complaints that we received, most of the customers generally requested two things as far as the resolution: One was to be switched back to the provider of their choice; and two was to have all charges that they incurred as a result of the additional, as a result of being switched to Easy Telephone Service, they wanted those charges removed. All of the customers were switched back to the provider of their choice and they all did receive a full credit if they incurred any additional charges as a result of the unauthorized switch. So in that instance or in -- the customers were satisfied because for the most part that was what, the resolution that they were seeking, so.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. So, and just to round that out then, because that statement that I partially read, partially described, and it's the second point too on the second page, because this document is, basically would be adopted as part of the proposed settlement, our Staff, you're

1

2

4

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

comfortable that that is an accurate statement?

I would like to add to that. MR. KENNEDY: This, this will be ongoing. We will monitor this company routinely now and we will work with Consumer Affairs. If there's any customer who contacts us back that has a problem, we will ensure that it gets So we will monitor this company, we will run reports monthly to see if they have any more slamming complaints because they're not supposed to have any after a certain cutoff date, which was December the 9th. They claim they have no further -- because they fired their marketing agent, they should have no further slamming complaints. we're going to watch that monthly. If we see new complaints that occurred after that, we'll bring another recommendation, open another docket and bring it back to you.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. And,

Commissioners, it does appear from the information

available to us that this company did take action to

try to rectify the problem in a, in a timely manner,

which is certainly appreciated. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you.

Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: I have two questions

for Mr. Kennedy.

2.2

In the Staff's recommendation -- well, let me start with this. What is, what is the maximum penalty that this Commission can assess for these complaints?

MR. KENNEDY: By statute the maximum penalty is \$25,000 per complaint per day. So that's, that's the statutory limits. By practice, since about 1997, the Commission has imposed a preliminary penalty of \$10,000 per complaint has been the Commission's practice.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: So normally we, we assess a \$10,000 fine.

MR. KENNEDY: That's correct. And we typically have settled for less, and this is in the window of settlement for, for the cooperation and spirit of we will fix the problem from the company, their attitude.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: So one of the conditions in accepting a lower settlement offer is -- could you kind of go into some of the factors that you look into as far as assessing a lower penalty?

MR. KENNEDY: Sure. What we, what we look at is did the slamming stop? It appears to have

stopped. What they tell us about discontinuing the marketing on December the 9th -- I had them provide a list of slamming complaints of actually when the service, service slam occurred. To date I have none after December the 9th. Of course that's what we're going to be looking for going forward.

They were also very cooperative. We actually never put them in notice on writing on this. They were here to brief us on expanding their company operations, and we brought it up because it just had occurred. And they were quick to respond, to resolve it, and that goes a long ways because some companies it takes forever to fix the issues. So all those are mitigating circumstances that, that we believe would allow you to accept a smaller offer for each complaint.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Seeing no other lights,
can I get a motion?

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Move Staff.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Second.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: It's been moved and seconded Staff's recommendation on Item Number 4.

Any further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, say aye.

1	(Vote taken.)
2	Those opposed? By your action you've moved
3	the Staff recommendation on Item Number 4.
4	(Agenda item concluded.)
5	* * * *
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	STATE OF FLORIDA )		
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON )		
3			
4	I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission		
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein		
6	stated.		
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct		
8	supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.		
9	T EIDTUED CEDTIEV that I am not a relation		
LO	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'		
L1	attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.		
L2	DATED THIS And of February, 2011.		
L3	day of rebluary, 2011.		
L4	Luida Boles		
L5	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR  FPSC Official Commission Reporter		
L6	(850) 413-6734		
L7			
L8			
_9			
20			
21			
22			
23			