## RECEIVED-FPSC

## GISTROINC A FLORIDA CORPORATION

11 MAY -2 AM 11: 52

April 28, 2011

pob 366 7 20 MMISSION
Bo ita Springs FICLERK
(239)495 8089 tel.
(239)495 8089 fax
Hlzbrg@comcastmail.com

Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commisson 2540 Shumard Uak Boulevard Tallahassee Florida 323399

Bureau of Certification, Economics & Tariffs RE: Docket No. 100453-SU, Application for Certificate to provide Wastewater Service in Lee County by Gistro Inc.

## Dear Commissioners:

Art Graham Chairman, Lisa Polak Edgar, Ronald A. Brise, Eduardo E Balbois, Julie Imanuel Braun.

On March 2006 the Florida P.S.C. granted Gistro Inc. the original certificate No. 541-S. to provide wastewater service to the Forest Mere Development in Bonita Springs, Lee County. Based on some misunderstandings the certificate, which was obtained with a large amount of funds and a great effort on time and work, was returned to the P.S.C. On March 13, 2007 the return was approved by the P. S.C.

Gistro Inc. is kindly requesting the return of the original certificate to Gistro Inc. without formalities. All necessary documentation for issuing a certificate have been Completed before 2006 to the satisfaction of the issuing Authority. Gistro Inc. has Maintained the system since it was installed in 1983, without receiving any payment. Gistro Inc. has paid for the installation and for all the repairs and maintaining costs without receiving compensation in almost 20 years.

The granting of our request will be highly appreciated.

Most sincerely

Fritz Holzberg
 For Gistro Inc.

4 Pages of a tran

4 Pages of a transcript From March 14,2007 Included.

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

02988 MAY-2=

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTIETH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA CIVIL ACTION

FOREST MERE JOINT

VENTURE, a Florida Joint

Venture,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BONITA SPRINGS

UTILITIES, INC., a
Florida corporation,

Defendant.

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Before the Honorable Judge Gerald,
Circuit Judge, in the above-styled action at the Lee
County Justice Center, Fort Myers, Florida, commencing
at 9:00 a.m. on March 14, 2007.

FORT MYERS COURT REPORTING 2231 First Street Fort Myers, Florida 33901 (239) 334-1411 FAX (239) 334-1476 hearing was continued by Judge Rosman.

We've provided the discovery. Now we have reset the motion. So it has not been ruled on by Judge Rosman, Your Honor.

THE COURT: In the agreement, how do we know when it ends? Don't we have to have some parameters of when a contract ends? It can't go on indefinitely.

MR. SHIPPY: Your Honor, I would suggest to
The Court that the purpose and the effect of the
agreement has already been satisfied. I'm not here
to -- I'll give you the background if The Court
cares to hear about it. I don't want to be
proffering evidence.

But the bottom line is the agreement -- Bonita Springs Utilities --

THE COURT: I've read the agreement. I just want to see, there isn't a --

MR. SHIPPY: It's been fully executed, Your Honor. Its purpose has been satisfied.

THE COURT: But, in the wording of the contract, there's no termination date, so it has to come --

MR. SHIPPY: And my point is it's been satisfied, Your Honor. It served its purpose.

THE COURT: So, in some ways you're agreeing with the Defendant -- the Plaintiff, rather, in the idea that the contract really doesn't exist anymore, because it's been fully executed? I know you're coming at it from a different angle.

MR. SHIPPY: I suppose that's one way to look at it. We think it's immaterial to the allegations of their complaint.

THE COURT: What else do you want to tell me?

I didn't mean to interrupt you.

MR. SHIPPY: I was simply going to say, the purpose behind the presale agreement was, when Bonita Springs Water System embarked on becoming a waste water or sewer utility, Your Honor, in order to be able to sell the bonds, which is what they used to finance the infrastructure and the purchase of the waste water treatment plant, they went out -- and this is not the only agreement. There were several presale agreements that were entered into with local package plants.

And the purpose for that was they needed to have some evidence to show to the people who might buy the bonds, look, we're going to have customers who have committed to connect to this system if and when we make it available to them.

as a result of an injunction entered by Your Honor.

right, though, that Forest Mere has to maintain and keep these lines and gets no compensation?

MR. SHIPPY: The reason they don't get any compensation, Your Honor, is because they won't follow through with the PSC. One of the documents that will be provided to The Court today is a recommendation from the Public Service Commission staff that says, yes, you're going to be recognized as a utility, and you're also going to be able to charge monies for it.

The rub came, Your Honor, is that Mr. Holzberg was asking for \$96,000 a year. The staff was recommending an award of about -- or rates and charges equal to about 21,000, which it turned out to be about 22 percent of the amount of the monies that they were seeking.

THE COURT: I don't need to get into that. I just wanted to know what was happening.

All right, I'm going to reserve on the summary judgment. I just need to think about it. Let's go ahead and talk about what else you got. You want to talk about the injunction?

MR. BURANDT: Yes, Judge. We filed a motion