

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Nuclear Power Plant Cost Recovery Clause Docket No. 110009-EI Submitted for Filing: July 15, 2011

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S THIRTEENTH REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION REGARDING PORTIONS OF THE LATE FILED EXHIBIT OF JOHN ELNITSKY AND THE LATE FILED EXHIBITS OF JON FRANKE

Exhibit B


DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 04896 JUL 15 = FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

CONFIDENTIAL

PEF Planning Scenarios

Docket No. 110009-El Progress Energy Florida, Inc. John Elnitsky 6/13/2011 Deposition Late Filed Exhibit No. 1

.



~

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DAM

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Docket No. 110009-EI, In Re: Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause Deposition of Jon Franke June 13, 2011

Late Filed Exhibit #6: ICCMS contract execution date and amount of contract.

CONFIDENTIAL

• · ·

The ICCMS contract with Scientech has an effective date of 3/31/11 and was executed by both parties on 4/1/11. The contract is for a


Docket No. 110009-EI, In Re: Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause Deposition of Jon Franke June 13, 2011

Late Filed Exhibit #7: The Company's comparable estimate for construction scope of work for the EPU phase prior to when the November 2010 SGT study was conducted.

CONFIDENTIAL

· . .

The contractor SGT's construction estimate totaled \$ 112.3M, consisting of the following categories:



The contractor's construction estimate was reviewed by the EPU project team and resulted in a reduction of \$34.7M to \$77.6M. **Contractor's** in contingency was then added to the contractor's construction estimate based on engineering being approximately 50% complete when the estimate was prepared.

Consequently, in responding to the question presented, the appropriate number for comparison from the SGT study is the total construction estimate added for the Balance of Work portion (which does not include POD or Siemens Turbine Contract) of \$77.6M +

In Integrated Project Plan ("IPP") Revision 3 dated May 24, 2010, the estimate for construction scope of work for 2010 and 2011/2012 prior to the SGT study being performed in November of 2010 was approximately **Example 1**. These estimates were not performed like the SGT estimate provided so it is not a direct comparison.