VOTE SHEET

August 23, 2011

Docket No. 110153-SU – Application for increase in wastewater rates in Lee County by Utilities, Inc. of Eagle Ridge.

<u>Issue 1:</u> Should the Utility's final wastewater rates be suspended?

Recommendation: Yes. Eagle Ridge's proposed final wastewater rates should be suspended.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 2:</u> Should any interim revenue increase be approved?

Recommendation: Yes, Eagle Ridge should be authorized to collect annual wastewater revenues as indicated below:

	Adjusted Test		Revenue	
	Year Revenues	\$ Increase	Requirement	% Increase
Wastewater	\$989,749	\$132,768	\$1,122,517	13.41%

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY	<u>DISSENTING</u>
Ch-	
Li Cola	
11.01	

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06047 AUG 23 =

PSC/CLK033-C (Rev 03/07)

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

- Vote Sheet

August 23, 2011

Docket No. 110153-SU – Application for increase in wastewater rates in Lee County by Utilities, Inc. of Eagle Ridge.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 3:</u> What are the appropriate interim wastewater rates?

Recommendation: The wastewater service rates for Eagle Ridge in effect as of December 31, 2010, should be increased by 13.41 percent, to generate the recommended revenue increase for the interim period. The approved rates should be effective for service rendered as of the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1)(a), F.A.C. The rates should not be implemented until staff verifies that the tariff sheets are consistent with the Commission's decision, the proposed customer notice is adequate, the required security has been filed, and the customers have received the notice. The Utility should provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days after the date of notice.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 4:</u> What is the appropriate security to guarantee the interim increase?

Recommendation: A corporate undertaking is acceptable contingent upon receipt of the written guarantee of the parent company, Utilities, Inc. (UI), and written confirmation of UI's continued attestation that it does not have any outstanding guarantees on behalf of UI-owned utilities in other states. UI should be required to file a corporate undertaking on behalf of its subsidiaries to guarantee any potential refunds of revenues collected under interim conditions. UI's total guarantee should be a cumulative amount of \$855,562, which includes an amount of \$77,484 subject to refund in this docket. Pursuant to Rule 25-30.360(6), F.A.C., the Utility should provide a report by the 20th of each month indicating the monthly and total revenue collected subject to refund. Should a refund be required, the refund should be with interest and in accordance with Rule 25-30.360, F.A.C.

APPROVED

Issue 5: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation:</u> No. The docket should remain open pending the Commission's PAA decision on the Utility's requested rate increase.

APPROVED