

Diamond Williams

From: Mary Davis [MD@beggslane.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 3:13 PM
To: Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Cc: Caroline Klancke; cguyton@gunster.com; chris.thompson.2@tyndall.af.mil; sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us; kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us; Jeffrey Stone; jmoyle@kagmlaw.com; mcglothlin.joseph@leg.state.fl.us; Karen.white@tyndall.af.mil; Keino Young; Keith L. Harris (klharris@southern.com); Martha Barrera; rick@rmelsonlaw.com; schef@gbwlegal.com; Russell Badders; Steven R. Griffin; sdriteno@southernco.com; merchant.tricia@leg.state.fl.us; vkaufman@kagmlaw.com
Subject: E-filing Docket 110138-EI
Attachments: Objections to OPCs 6th POD.pdf

- a. Person responsible for this electronic filing:

Steven R. Griffin
 Beggs & Lane
 P.O. Box 12950
 501 Commendencia Street
 Pensacola, FL 32576-2950
 (850)432-2451
srg@beggslane.com

- b. Docket 110138-EI

In re: Petition for increase in rates by Gulf Power Company

- c. Document being filed on behalf of Gulf Power Company
- d. There are 9 pages to Gulf's Objections
- e. The document attached for electronic filing is Gulf's Objections to OPC's Sixth Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 107-151)

Mary E. Davis
 Legal Assistant to Jeffrey A. Stone,
 Russell A. Badders and Steven R. Griffin
 Beggs & Lane
 501 Commendencia Street
 Pensacola, FL 32502
 (850)432-2451
 Fax (850)469-3331
md@beggslane.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use and benefit of the individual or entity to which they are addressed. This communication may contain material protected by the attorney-client privilege. If you are not the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error, and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify Beggs & Lane, RLLP by return e-mail or at telephone number (850)432-2451 ext. 4221.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
 07016 SEP 28 =
 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

9/28/2011

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition for increase in rates by Gulf
Power Company.

Docket No. 110138-EI
Dated: September 28, 2011

**GULF POWER COMPANY'S OBJECTIONS TO CITIZENS' SIXTH REQUEST
FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (NO. 107-151)**

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, Rule 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Order Establishing Procedure in this matter, Gulf Power Company ("Gulf") hereby serves its objections to Florida Citizens' ("OPC") Sixth Request for Production of Documents (No. 107-151, together "the Requests") and states as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

With respect to any "Definitions" and "Instructions" in OPC's Requests for Production, Gulf objects to any definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with Gulf's discovery obligations under applicable rules. If some question arises as to Gulf's discovery obligations, Gulf will comply with applicable rules and not with any of OPC's definitions that are inconsistent with those rules. Gulf also objects to any request that calls for documents to be produced from the files of Gulf's counsel in this matter because such documents are privileged and are otherwise not within the scope of discovery under the applicable rules and law. Furthermore, Gulf objects to any definition or request that seeks to encompass persons or entities other than Gulf who are not parties to this action and thus are not subject to discovery. Records of affiliated companies, including the Southern Company, that are directly relevant to Gulf's rate request, including records regarding transactions or cost allocations among Gulf and its affiliated companies may be provided, upon request. Otherwise, no responses to the requests will be made on behalf of persons or entities other than Gulf. Gulf also objects to OPC's request that Gulf provide documents in a specific electronic format. Gulf will produce electronic data in its native

format. Non-electronic documents will be scanned and produced in PDF format. However, Gulf objects to OPC's request to produce documents in an OCR searchable format on the grounds that doing so would be unduly burdensome and otherwise beyond Gulf's discovery obligations under applicable law. Furthermore, Gulf objects to any request that calls for Gulf to create documents that it otherwise does not have because there is no such requirement under the applicable rules and law.

Additionally, Gulf generally objects to OPC's Requests to the extent that they call for documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. Gulf will provide a privilege log in accordance with the applicable law or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent, if at all, that any document request calls for the production of privileged or protected documents.

Further, in certain circumstances, Gulf may determine upon investigation and analysis that documents responsive to certain requests to which objections are not otherwise asserted are confidential and proprietary and should be produced only under an appropriate confidentiality agreement and protective order, if at all. By agreeing to provide such information in response to such a request, Gulf is not waiving its right to insist upon appropriate protection of confidentiality by means of a confidentiality agreement, protective order, or the procedures otherwise provided by law or in the Order Establishing Procedure. Gulf hereby asserts its right to require such protection of any and all information that may qualify for protection under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, the Order Establishing Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, rules and legal principles.

Gulf generally objects to OPC's Requests for Production to the extent that they call for the production of "all" documents of any nature, including, every copy of every document responsive to the requests. Gulf will make a good faith, reasonably diligent attempt to identify and obtain responsive documents when no objection has been asserted to the production of such documents, but it is not practicable or even possible to identify, obtain, and produce "all" documents. In addition, Gulf reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to OPC's Request for Production if Gulf cannot produce documents immediately due to their magnitude and the work required to aggregate them, or if Gulf later discovers additional responsive documents in the course of this proceeding.

Gulf also objects to any request that calls for projected data or information beyond the year 2012 because such data or information is wholly irrelevant to this case and has no bearing on this proceeding, nor is such data or information likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Gulf generally objects to each Request to the extent that it calls for information that is not relevant to this case. Where practical, Gulf has made efforts to redact irrelevant information with a notation regarding the nature of the redaction.

Gulf further objects to any request that categorizes years 2010 and 2011 as "test years." In this proceeding, there is a historic period (2010), a prior year (2011) and a test year (2012).

By making these general objections at this time, Gulf does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to OPC's discovery at the time Gulf's response is due under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and the Order Establishing Procedure. Gulf provides these general objections at this time to comply with the intent of the Order

Establishing Procedure to reduce the delay in identifying and resolving any potential discovery disputes.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

Request 118: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 118 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an *interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.*

Request 119: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 119 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an *interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.*

Request 120: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 120 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an *interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.*

Request 121: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 121 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an *interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.*

Request 122: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 122 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an *interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.*

Request 123: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 123 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an *interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.*

Request 124: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 124 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 126: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 126 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 127: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 127 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 128: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 128 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents. Gulf further objects to this request to the extent that it directs Gulf to provide workpapers in a particular format.

Request 129: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 129 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 130: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 130 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 131: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 131 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 133: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 133 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 134: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 134 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 136: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 136 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 137: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 137 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 138: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 138 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 139: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 139 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 140: Gulf objects to OPC’s request number 140 to the extent that it directs Gulf to “explain all assumptions and calculations used.” This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf’s obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 142: Gulf objects to OPC's request number 142 to the extent that it directs Gulf to "explain all assumptions and calculations used." This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf's obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 147: Gulf objects to OPC's request number 147 to the extent that it directs Gulf to "explain all assumptions and calculations used." This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf's obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Request 149: Gulf objects to OPC's request number 149 to the extent that it directs Gulf to "explain all assumptions and calculations used." This directive is in the nature of an interrogatory and exceeds Gulf's obligation under Rule 1.350 to produce responsive documents.

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of September, 2011.

JEFFREY A. STONE
Florida Bar No. 325953
RUSSELL A. BADDERS
Florida Bar No. 007455
STEVEN R. GRIFFIN
Florida Bar No. 627569
Beggs & Lane
P. O. Box 12950
501 Commendencia Street
Pensacola, FL 32576-2950
(850) 432-2451

CHARLES A. GUYTON
Florida Bar No. 398039
Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A.
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 618
Tallahassee, FL 32301
(850) 521-1980

RICHARD D. MELSON
Florida Bar No. 201243
705 Piedmont Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32312
(850) 894-1351
Attorneys for Gulf Power Company

BY: s/ Steven R. Griffin
Steven R. Griffin
Fla. Bar No. 627569

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished electronically and via U.S. Mail this 28th day of September, 2011 to all counsel of record as indicated below:

Office of Public Counsel
J. R. Kelly/Joseph A. McGlothlin/Erik
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street,
Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32393-1400
Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us
mcglothlin.joseph@leg.state.fl.us
Saylor.erik@leg.state.fl.us
merchant.tricia@leg.state.fl.us

Caroline Klancke/Keino Young/Martha
Barrera
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
mbarrera@psc.state.fl.us
cklancke@psc.state.fl.us
kyoung@psc.state.fl.us

Florida Retail Federation
227 South Adams Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Robert Scheffel Wright/John T. La Via,
1300 Thomaswood Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32308
schef@gbwlegal.com

Gunster Law Firm
Charles A. Guyton
215 S. Monroe St.,
Suite 618
Tallahassee, FL 32301
cguyton@gunster.com

Richard Melson
705 Piedmont Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32312
rick@rmelsonlaw.com

Florida Industrial Power
Users Group
Vicki G. Kaufman/Jon C. Moyle,
Jr.
c/o Keefe Law Firm
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
vkaufman@kagmlaw.com
jmoyle@kagmlaw.com

Federal Executive Agencies
c/o Major Christopher C.
Thompson
Ms. Karen White
AFLOA/JACL-ULFSC
139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1
Tyndall Air Force Base,
Florida 32403
chris.thompson.2@tyndall.af.mil
karen.white@tyndall.af.mil

BY: s/ Steven R. Griffin
Steven R. Griffin
Fla. Bar No. 627569