## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Energy conservation cost recovery DOCKET NO. 110002-EG clause.

Filed: October 3, 2011

## **SOUTHERN ALLIANCE FOR CLEAN ENERGY'S PETITION TO INTERVENE**

Pursuant to Sections 120.569, 120.57, Florida Statutes, and Rules 25-22.039, 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE), through its undersigned counsel, files its Petition to Intervene in this docket and in support thereof states:

Name and address of agency affected by this petition is: 1.

> Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Name and address of Petitioner is: 2.

> Southern Alliance for Clean Energy P.O. Box 1842 Knoxville, Tennessee 37901 Telephone: 865-637-6055

All pleadings, motions, orders and other documents directed to the Petitioner 3.

1

should be served on:

George Cavros, Esq. 120 E. Oakland Park Blvd, Ste. 105 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33334 Telephone: 954.563.0074 Facsimile: 866.924.2824

Email: george@cavros-law.com

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

07178 oct - 3 =

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERE

- 4. Petitioner received notice of this docket by a review of the Public Service Commission's (Commission) website.
- 5. Statement of Substantial Interests. SACE is a non-profit corporation organized under the laws of the State of Tennessee and authorized to conduct operations in Florida. The mission of SACE is to promote responsible energy choices that solve global warming problems and ensure clean, safe and healthy communities throughout the Southeast, including the State of Florida. SACE has staff working on this mission in Tennessee, North Carolina, Georgia, South Carolina, and Florida. SACE has a substantial membership base in Florida, in the service territories of the investor-owned utilities, dedicated to the promotion of responsible energy choices.
- 6. SACE advocates for adoption, implementation, and enforcement of meaningful clean energy policy, during the course of which they have presented experts and provided testimony in numerous forums in Florida, including before the Governor's Climate and Energy Action Team, the Florida State Legislature, the Department of Environmental Protection, and this Commission. SACE places an especially high priority on the importance of thoroughly evaluating and implementing all cost-effective energy efficiency programs as a means of mitigating or displacing the need for new more costly electricity generation. SACE has been granted party status in number of dockets before the Commission, including: *In re: Commission Review of Numeric Conservation Goals*, Docket Nos. 080407- 13 EG which set conservation goals for the Florida's investor-owned utilities,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The investor-owned utility territories for which SACE has substantial membership include: Florida Power and Light Company, Progress Energy Florida, Inc., Tampa Electric Company, Gulf Power Company, and Florida Public Utilities Company.

and in the Demand Side Management (DSM) Plan dockets; Nos. 100154, 55, 57, 58-61, that were intended to implement the conservation goals set by the Commission.

- 7. In DSM Plan dockets, SACE raised concerns numerous times over the unnecessarily high per kWh cost of energy savings of the DSM plans submitted by the investor-owned utilities. For instance, SACE filed comments in Docket No. 100160 on August 15, 2010, September 3, 2010, December 23, 2010, April 25, 2011 and May 10, 2011, citing concerns that the energy savings costs from DSM plans were significantly and unnecessarily more expensive per kWh than energy savings from similar programs conducted by peer utilities in other states. In other words, utility customers were not getting "the most bang for the buck" from their utility's DSM expenditures. SACE recommended to the Commission that its staff or the utilities explore the use of different programs, more reliance on lower cost programs, or simply better design existing programs. The Commission took no action at the Agenda Conferences that considered the utilities' DSM plans to address SACE's concerns or recommendations.
- 8. Instead, the Commission was assured by Staff that the prudency of such expenditures would be addressed in the Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause (ECCR) docket. Staff, for instance, states in its recommendation in DSM Plan Docket No. 100160 that "[s]taff notes the Commission will have the opportunity to review planned and actual expenditures associated with this program during the ECCR clause proceeding, where PEF [Progress Energy Florida]must still demonstrate that expenditures in implementing this DSM program are reasonable and prudent."<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Public Service Commission, Docket No. 100160, Staff Recommendation, May 12, 2011, p. 9.

- 9. In this case, the Commission will approve the prudency of DSM expenditures and set conservation cost recovery factors for the state's five investor-owned utilities. The scope of the prudency review must naturally include the consideration of whether the energy efficiency program costs are unnecessarily expensive and whether there are less costly program alternatives or program designs for delivering the same energy savings to Florida utility customers. Overpriced utility DSM programs will undermine public support for energy efficiency as a low-cost resource in meeting electricity demand.
- 10. The interests of members of SACE are the type of interests this proceeding is designed to protect. Ameristeel Corp. v. Clark, 691 So.2d 473 (Fla. 1997); Agrico Chemical Co. v. Department of Environmental Regulation, 406 So.2d 478 (Fla. 2d DCA 1981), reh. denied, 415 So.2d 1359 (Fla. 1982); Florida Home Builders Ass 'n v. Department of Labor and Employment Security, 412 So.2d 351, 353-54 (Fla. 1982). SACE has a substantial number of members in the investor-owned utilities' territories that will be directly affected by a Commission order in this docket. A core interest of SACE and its members is advocacy for cost-effective energy efficiency as a primary resource in meeting electricity demand. Additionally, if programs are approved with unnecessarily high costs, SACE members in the affected utility's territory will have a higher cost of service due to unnecessarily overpriced DSM programs. The subject matter of this docket is within the scope of interest and activities of SACE, and the relief requested is the type of relief appropriate for SACE to receive on behalf of its members. The rights and interests of members of SACE cannot be adequately represented by any other party in this docket, and intervention will not unduly delay or prejudice the rights of other parties.

- 11. <u>Disputed Issues of Material Fact</u>: include, but are not limited to:
  - a) Have the investor-owned utilities appropriately established the costs for their DSM Plan programs?;
  - b) Are the costs of the DSM Plan programs prudent?;
  - c) Would a different mix of compliant DSM Plan programs result in a lower conservation cost recovery factor?;
  - d) Would modifying the design of existing compliant DSM Plan programs result in a lower conservation cost recovery factor?
- 12. <u>Statement of Ultimate Facts Alleged</u>: include, but are not limited to: what are the total conservation cost recovery amounts to be collected during the period of January 2012 through December 2012 for each investor-owned utility?
- 13. Rules and Statutes justifying relief: that entitle SACE to intervene and participate in this case include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - a) Sections 366.80-.85, Florida Statutes;
  - b) Section 120.569, Florida Statute;
  - c) Section 120.57, Florida Statute;
  - d) Rule 25-22.039, Florida Administrative Code; and
  - e) Rule 28-106.21, Florida Administrative Code
- 14. <u>Relief.</u> SACE respectfully requests that it be permitted to intervene as a full party in this docket.

WHEREFORE, SACE respectfully request that the Commission enter an order granting it leave to intervene and participate in this docket as a full party and require that all parties provide the undersigned with all notices, pleadings, testimony, evidence and discovery filed in these dockets.

## Respectfully submitted this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of October, 2011 by:

/s/ George Cavros

George Cavros, Esq. 120 E. Oakland Park Blvd, Ste. 105 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33334 Telephone: 954.563.0074 Facsimile: 866.924.2824

Email: george@cavros-law.com

Attorney for Southern Alliance for Clean Energy

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail and US Mail on this  $3^{\rm rd}$  day of October, 2011, to the following:

Lee Eng Tan, Esq. Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Ltan@psc.state.fl.us	Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 618 Tallahassee, FL 32301 bkeating@gunster.com
James Beasley & J. Jeffry Wahlen Ausley & McMullen Law Firm P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 jbeasley@ausley.com jwhalen@ausley.com	Kenneth M. Rubin Florida Power and Light 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, FL 33408-00420 Ken.rubin@fpl.com
John T. Burnett Associate General Counsel Progress Energy Service Company, LLC 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701 John.burnett@pgnmail.com	Vicki Gordon Kaufman & Jon Moyle Keefe Anchors Gordon & Moyle, PA 118 N. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 vkaufman@kagmlaw.com jmoyle@kagmlaw.com
Patricia Christensen Office of Public Counsel c/o Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, #812 Tallahassee, FL 32399 Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us	Jeffery Stone & Russell A. Badders Beggs & Lane Law Firm P.O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591 jas@beggslane.com rab@beggslane.com
Karen S. White c/o AFCESA-ULFSC 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1 Tyndall Air Force Base, FL 32403-5319 Karen.white@tyndall.af.mil	Randy B. Miller 15843 Southeast 78 <sup>th</sup> Street P.O. Box 300 White Springs, FL 32096 Rmiller@pcsphosphate.com
Suzanne Brownless Suzanne Brownless, P.A. 433 North Magnolia Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32308 suzannebrownless@comcast.net	James W. Brew/F. Alvin Taylor Eighth Floor, West Tower 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Washington, DC 20007-5201 jbrew@bbrslaw.com

Kenneth Hoffman Florida Power and Light 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1858 Ken.Hoffman@fpl.com	Thomas A. Geoffroy Florida Public Utilities 401 South Dixie Highway West Palm Beach, FL 33401-5886 tgeoffroy@cfgas.com
Susan Ritenour Gulf Power One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520 sdriteno@southernco.com	Paula K. Brown Tampa Electric Company P. O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111
Mr. Paul Lewis, Jr. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740 Paul.lewisjr@pgnmail.com	

/s/ George Cavros
George Cavros, Esq.