



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: October 12, 2011
TO: Keino Young, Senior Attorney, Office of the General Counsel
FROM: Stephen E. Garl, Regulatory Analyst II, Division of Regulatory Analysis
RE: Docket No. 110009-EI, Document No. 06288-11

Progress Energy Florida's (PEF) Request for Confidential Classification of certain information contained in PEF's response to an Office of Public Council discovery request, Document No. 06288-11, should be approved. The information provided in the response contains monetary payment information and valuations of services to be provided which, if publicly disclosed, would impair PEF's ability to conduct similar negotiated agreements with other parties on favorable terms in the future.

Attachment: Clerk Memo, dated 9/1/11

COM _____
 APA _____
 ECR _____
 GCL _____
 RAD _____
 SRC _____
 ADM _____
 OPC _____
 CLK M.M.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
 07517 OCT 13 =
 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: 09/1/11

TO: Division of Economic Regulation, Office of Primary Responsibility

FROM: Office of Commission Clerk

RE: CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN INFORMATION

Docket No(s): 110009-EI Document No(s): 06288-11

Description: Progress (Huhta) - (CONFIDENTIAL) Portions of responses to Citizens' 5th set of interrogatories (Nos. 61-177), specifically Nos. 66, 90, 127, and 142; and Citizens' 5th request for PODs (Nos. 27-36), specifically Nos. 27 through 29. [CLK note: Document consists of 1 CD only.] [x-ref. DNs 04054-11 and 04596-11]

Source: Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

The above-referenced confidential material was filed along with a revised twelfth request for confidential classification. Please check all applicable information and forward a copy to the attorney assigned to the docket, along with a brief memorandum supporting your recommendation. Copies of your recommendation should also be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk and the Office of General Counsel.

- The document(s) is (are), in fact, what the utility asserts it (them) to be.
- The utility has provided enough details to perform a reasoned analysis of its request.
- The material has been received incident to an inquiry.
- The material is confidential business information because it includes:
 - (a) Trade secrets;
 - (b) Internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors;
 - (c) Security measures, systems, or procedures;
 - (d) Information concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms;
 - (e) Information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of information;
 - (f) Employee personnel information unrelated to compensation, duties, qualifications, or responsibilities;
- The material appears to be confidential in nature and harm to the company or its ratepayers will result from public disclosure.
- The material appears not to be confidential in nature.
- The material is a periodic or recurring filing and each filing contains confidential information.

This response was prepared by Stephen E. Garl on 10/12/11. I have provided one copy of the full recommendation to the Office of General Counsel and two copies to the Office of Commission Clerk for the docket file and processing of the confidential material.

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE
07517 OCT 13 =
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK