| 1 | | 1 |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | | BEFORE THE RIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
| 2 | FLOI | KIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
| 3 | In the Matter of: | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | FUEL AND PURCHASED COST RECOVERY CLAUS | SE WITH |
| 6 | GENERATING PERFORM INCENTIVE FACTOR. | ANCE , |
| 7 | | / |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | PROCEEDINGS: | PREHEARING |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER | COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ |
| 16 | PARTICIPATING: | PREHEARING OFFICER |
| 17 | DATE: | Thursday, October 20, 2011 |
| 18 | PLACE: | Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148 |
| 19 | | 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida |
| 20 | | Tarranabbee, Trorraa |
| 21 | REPORTED BY: | JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporter |
| 22 | | (850) 413-6732 |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | DOCUMENT NUMBER-EAT |
| | | RIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 07766 OCT 24 = |
| | FLO | FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK |

| | 2 |
|----|---|
| 1 | APPEARANCES : |
| 2 | JAMES D. BEASLEY and ESQUIRE, and J. JEFFRY |
| 3 | WAHLEN, ESQUIRE, Ausley Law Firm, Post Office Box 391, |
| 4 | Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of Tampa |
| 5 | Electric Company. |
| 6 | JEFFREY A. STONE, ESQUIRE, RUSSELL A. BADDERS, |
| 7 | ESQUIRE and STEVEN R. GRIFFIN, ESQUIRE, Beggs & Lane Law |
| 8 | Firm, Post Office Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida |
| 9 | 32591-2950, appearing on behalf Gulf Power Company. |
| 10 | JAMES W. BREW, ESQUIRE and F. ALVIN TAYLOR, |
| 11 | ESQUIRE, c/o Brickfield Law Firm, 1025 Thomas Jefferson |
| 12 | Street, NW, Eighth Floor, West Tower, Washington D.C., |
| 13 | 20007, appearing on behalf of White Springs Agricultural |
| 14 | Chemicals, Inc. |
| 15 | KAREN S. WHITE, STAFF ATTORNEY, and CAPTAIN |
| 16 | SAMUEL MILLER, ESQUIRE, Federal Executive Agencies, c/o |
| 17 | AFCESA-ULFSC139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1, Tyndall AFB, Florida |
| 18 | 32403-5319, appearing on behalf of Federal Executive |
| 19 | Agencies. |
| 20 | JON C. MOYLE, JR., ESQUIRE, Keefe, Anchors, |
| 21 | Gordon & Moyle Law Firm, 118 North Gadsden Street, |
| 22 | Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida |
| 23 | Industrial Power Users Group. |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |

| ĺ | 3 |
|----|---|
| 1 | APPEARANCES (continued): |
| 2 | JOHN T. BUTLER, ESQUIRE, Florida Power & Light |
| 3 | Company, 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida |
| 4 | 33408-0420, Florida Power & Light Company and appearing |
| 5 | on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company. |
| 6 | ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT, ESQUIRE and JOHN T. |
| 7 | LAVIA, III, ESQUIRE, c/o Young Law Firm, 225 South Adams |
| 8 | Street, Suite 200, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on |
| 9 | behalf of Florida Retail Federation and the City of |
| 10 | Marianna. |
| 11 | BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Gunster Law Firm, 215 |
| 12 | South Monroe Street, Suite 618, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, |
| 13 | appearing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company. |
| 14 | PATRICIA A. CHRISTENSEN, ESQUIRE, CHARLES |
| 15 | REHWINKEL, ESQUIRE and JOSEPH MCGLOTHLIN, ESQUIRE, Office |
| 16 | of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 W. |
| 17 | Madison St., Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, |
| 18 | appearing on behalf of the Citizens of Florida. |
| 19 | ALEXANDER GLENN, ESQUIRE, and JOHN T. BURNETT, |
| 20 | ESQUIRE, Progress Energy Service Company, LLC, Post Office |
| 21 | Box 14042, Saint Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042, appearing |
| 22 | on behalf of Progress Energy Florida, Inc. |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | |

| | 4 |
|----|--|
| 1 | APPEARANCES (continued): |
| 2 | LISA BENNETT, ESQUIRE, and MARTHA BARRERA, |
| 3 | ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak |
| 4 | Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on |
| 5 | behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff. |
| 6 | MARY ANNE HELTON, Deputy General Counsel, Florida |
| 7 | Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, |
| 8 | Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, Advisor to the Florida |
| 9 | Public Service Commission. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| | C C |
|----|--|
| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Good morning, once again. |
| 3 | Today we are going to deal with the prehearing |
| 4 | for the clause dockets. Today is October 20th, 2011, and |
| 5 | we are going to deal with Docket Numbers 110003-GU, |
| 6 | 110004-GU, 110001-EI, 110007-EI, 110002-EG. And, I guess, |
| 7 | officially I must say that we called this prehearing to |
| 8 | order, so I will do that. And I will ask staff to read |
| 9 | the notice. |
| 10 | MS. CRAWFORD: Thank you, Commissioner. |
| 11 | Pursuant to notice, this time and place has been |
| 12 | set aside for the purpose of conducting a prehearing |
| 13 | conference in the following dockets: 110001-EI, 110002-EG, |
| 14 | 110003-GU, 110004-GU, and 110007-EI. The purpose of the |
| 15 | prehearing is set forth more fully in the notice. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. |
| 17 | At this time I will take appearances, and since |
| 18 | there are five dockets that we must address today, we're |
| 19 | going to take all of the appearances at this time. We are |
| 20 | going to from my left to right. |
| 21 | MR. GLENN: Alex Glenn on behalf of Progress |
| 22 | Energy Florida. Also, I will enter an appearance for John |
| 23 | Burnett, Dianne Triplett, and Gary Perko in the ECRC |
| 24 | docket, as well. |
| 25 | MR. BADDERS: Good morning, Commissioner. |
| | |
| | |

Russell Badders on behalf of Gulf Power Company. 1 With me I have Jeffrey A. Stone and Steven Griffin. 2 We are all appearing on behalf of Gulf Power in the 01, 02, 3 and 07 dockets. 4 MR. BEASLEY: Good morning. 5 James D. Beasley and J. Jeffry Wahlen of the law 6 firm of Ausley and McMullen. We are representing Tampa 7 Electric Company in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. 8 9 MR. BUTLER: Good morning, Commissioner. John Butler, Florida Power and Light Company, 10 appearing in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. Also appearing 11 for FPL in the 02 docket is Ken Rubin. Thank you. 12 MS. KEATING: Good morning, Commissioner. 13 Beth Keating with the Gunster law firm appearing 14 today on behalf of FPUC in the 01 docket, FPUC in the 02 15 docket, FPUC and Florida City Gas in the 03 docket, and 16 FPUC, FPUC Indiantown, Chesapeake, and Florida City Gas in 17 the 04 docket. 18 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Do you have a scorecard we can 19 20 use for that? (Laughter.) 21 Good morning. Joe McGlothlin of the Office of 22 Public Counsel. Also appearing will be Patty Christensen 23 24 and Charles Rehwinkel. MS. BROWNLESS: Good morning. Suzanne 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| | 7 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Brownless. I am appearing on behalf of the Florida Solar |
| 2 | Industries Association in the 02 docket. |
| 3 | MR. CAVROS: Good morning. George Cavros on |
| 4 | behalf of the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy appearing |
| 5 | in the 02 docket. |
| 6 | MR. MOYLE: John Moyle, Keefe Anchors Gordan & |
| 7 | Moyle law firm, appearing on behalf of the Florida |
| 8. | Industrial Power Users Group, FIPUG. I'd like to also |
| 9 | enter an appearance for my colleague, Vicki Kaufman. |
| 10 | CAPTAIN MILLER: Good morning, Commissioner. |
| 11 | I'm Captain Sam Miller. I'm making an |
| 12 | appearance on behalf of the Federal Executive Agencies. I |
| 13 | am also making an appearance on behalf Karen White for the |
| 14 | 01, 02, and 07 dockets. |
| 15 | MR. TAYLOR: Al Taylor on behalf of PCS |
| 16 | Phosphate, I'm also entering an appearance for Jay Brew in |
| 17 | the 01 and 02 dockets. |
| 18 | MR. WRIGHT: Good morning, Commissioner. |
| 19 | Schef Wright appearing on behalf of the Florida |
| 20 | Retail Federation in the 0001 docket. I would also like |
| 21 | to enter an appearance for my partner, John T. Lavia, III, |
| 22 | in the fuel docket on behalf of the Federation. Thank |
| 23 | you. |
| 24 | MR. HORTON: Commissioner, Norman H. Horton, Jr. |
| 25 | appearing in the 04 docket on behalf of Sebring Gas |
| | |

System, Inc. 1 MS. BENNETT: Commissioner Brisé -- oh, I'm 2 3 sorry. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: I believe we have one other 4 appearance. 5 MS. CHRISTENSEN: I believe Mr. McGlothlin also 6 announced me, but Patty Christensen on behalf of Office of 7 Public Counsel. 8 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. 9 Staff. 10 MS. BENNETT: Lisa Bennett and Martha Barrera on 11 behalf of the Public Service Commission in the 01 docket. 12 MS. BROWN: Martha Carter Brown and Charles 13 Murphy on behalf of the Commission in the 07 docket. 14 MS. TAN: Lee Eng Tan on behalf of the 15 Commission in the 02 docket. 16 MS. CRAWFORD: Jennifer Crawford on behalf of 17 the 03 and 04 docket. And, perhaps, Commissioner -- oh, 18 go ahead. 19 MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton, Advisor to the 20 Commission, in all the dockets. 21 MS. CRAWFORD: Sorry about that. 22 It would, perhaps, be appropriate to note for 23 the record that St. Joe Natural Gas Company and Peoples 24 Gas System have requested and received permission to be 25

8

excused from the prehearing conference. 1 2 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. 3 COMMISSIONER BRISE: And now we are going to 4 5 move to Docket 01. MS. BENNETT: I don't think we'll go through 6 this one as quickly as 3 and 4, but hopefully we will move 7 pretty quickly. We have already read the notice in this 8 docket, so would you like to go to preliminary matters? 9 COMMISSIONER BRISE: Yes. We can proceed to 10 preliminary matters on Docket 110001-EI. 11 I would like to note that MS. BENNETT: Okay. 12 TECO filed on Monday a motion to approve its stipulation 13 on Issue 26A, and to revise its testimony and exhibits to 14 reflect the stipulation. It's not within the 15 prehearing -- it is within the purview of the Commission 16 to approve the stipulation, but within the prehearing 17 officer's authority to approve the revisions to the 18 testimony and exhibits. I believe all of the parties have 19 agreed that the testimony and exhibits can be revised, so 20 I would ask that you approve that portion of the motion. 21 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: 22 Okay. MS. BENNETT: And then the other thing I wanted 23 to note as a preliminary matter is that there are several 24 issues for the fuel, GPIF, and capacity that look like 25

9

they might be stipulated at the prehearing conference, and 1 we will be able to reflect those issues that we stipulate 2 today as either a Type B, meaning that staff and the 3 utilities have reached an agreement and the other parties 4 take no position, or a Type A agreement where everybody 5 agrees to it. But to do that we probably need to go 6 Those are the two preliminary matters 7 issue-by-issue. that staff has. 8

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Are there any other 9 preliminary matters that anyone else might have? All 10 right. Now, we are going to proceed through the 11 prehearing draft order. I'll identify the sections, and I 12want the parties to let me know if there are any 13 corrections, changes, additions, or deletions that need to 14 be made. We'll move through this rather quickly, so 15 please speak up as we go through them. 16

Section I, case background. Section II, conduct
of proceedings. Section III, jurisdiction. Section IV,
procedure for handling confidential information. Section
V, prefiled testimony, and exhibits, and witnesses.

21MR. BUTLER:Commissioner Brisé?22COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:Yes.23MR. BUTLER:John Butler for FPL.I would just

note that it is kind of premature to address it at this point, but we will likely want to come back to this to

section to see whether it's possible to have any of FPL's 1 witnesses excused based on what we are able to achieve in 2 the way of stipulation on issues later. 3 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sounds reasonable. 4 MS. BENNETT: And actually he is just one step 5 ahead you, because the next section is the order of 6 witnesses where we can excuse those. 7 MR. BUTLER: I'm sorry, I missed a section. Ι 8 was looking at VI and I mistook it for V. My apology. 9 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: No problem. 10 Section VI, order of witnesses. 11 MS. BENNETT: I don't believe that any of the --12 I believe this is the order of the witnesses as they will 13 appear at the hearing, unless any party needed to change 14 any of the witness order. And then we might also look at 15 excusing witnesses. I might take a stab at this. It 16 appears to me that all witnesses except FPUC's Martin and 17 Progress Energy's Olivier might be subject to excusal. Τ 18 don't believe any parties have questions, so we could ask 19 the Commissioners if all of the other witnesses could be 20 excused. But you might want to check with the parties and 21 see if there's any additional witnesses. 22 COMMISSIONER BRISE: Okay. Considering the two 23 witnesses that were mentioned by staff, Martin and 24 25 Olivier, are there any other witnesses that parties feel

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 that they are going to examine? 2 MR. MOYLE: FIPUG may very well able to get there with respect to some of Progress Energy's witnesses, 3 4 but not able to get there this morning. We'll work with 5 them, but we plan on bringing up an issue in the Progress case that we are not comfortable with excusing any of them 6 at this point in time. 7 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So you are not 8 comfortable excusing any of Progress' witnesses? 9 MR. MOYLE: Not this morning. 10 COMMISSIONER BRISE: Not this morning. Okay. 11 Any other parties? 12 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Just for clarification, I 13 would agree with FIPUG regarding the Progress Energy 14 witnesses, that at this point OPC would not be comfortable 15 excusing those witnesses. However, regarding FPL 16 witnesses, TECO, Gulf, and FPUC's witnesses, except for 17 Witness Martin, we have no questions and no objection to 18 excusing those witnesses. 19 COMMISSIONER BRISE: Okay. Would that be the 20 same position for FIPUG that you are specific to Progress? 21 MR. MOYLE: That's right. So we are okay with 22 excusing Power and Light, Gulf, and TECO witnesses, but 23 not Progress. 24

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

25

| 1 | MR. GLENN: Commissioner Brisé? |
|--|--|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes. |
| 3 | MR. GLENN: If I may ask a clarifying question. |
| 4 | On the witness excusals, there are a couple of Progress |
| 5 | witnesses that have probably nothing to do with the issues |
| 6 | that FIPUG or anybody else is addressing, particularly Mr. |
| 7 | McCallister who is solely talking about hedging where no |
| 8 | one has taken a position at this time, Mr. Garrett, who is |
| 9 | simply a true-up witness, on which no one has any position |
| 10 | at this time, and I think Mr. Oliver. So I just don't |
| 11 | understand why those can't be stipulated to today. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: OPC. |
| | |
| 13 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: Thank you. |
| 13 14 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: Thank you. For OPC's part Mr, Rehwinkel is the attorney |
| | |
| 14 | For OPC's part Mr, Rehwinkel is the attorney |
| 14 15 | For OPC's part Mr, Rehwinkel is the attorney that would be addressing the Progress Energy portion of |
| 14 15 16 | For OPC's part Mr, Rehwinkel is the attorney that would be addressing the Progress Energy portion of this docket, and this morning I'm not comfortable with |
| 14 15 16 17 | For OPC's part Mr, Rehwinkel is the attorney that would be addressing the Progress Energy portion of this docket, and this morning I'm not comfortable with agreeing to excuse any of the witnesses. I'm sure that |
| 14 15 16 17 18 | For OPC's part Mr, Rehwinkel is the attorney that would be addressing the Progress Energy portion of this docket, and this morning I'm not comfortable with agreeing to excuse any of the witnesses. I'm sure that with further discussion with Progress and the other |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 | For OPC's part Mr, Rehwinkel is the attorney that would be addressing the Progress Energy portion of this docket, and this morning I'm not comfortable with agreeing to excuse any of the witnesses. I'm sure that with further discussion with Progress and the other intervenors, there can be some agreement as to who the |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | For OPC's part Mr, Rehwinkel is the attorney that would be addressing the Progress Energy portion of this docket, and this morning I'm not comfortable with agreeing to excuse any of the witnesses. I'm sure that with further discussion with Progress and the other intervenors, there can be some agreement as to who the witnesses are that are necessary to discuss the issue that |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | For OPC's part Mr, Rehwinkel is the attorney that would be addressing the Progress Energy portion of this docket, and this morning I'm not comfortable with agreeing to excuse any of the witnesses. I'm sure that with further discussion with Progress and the other intervenors, there can be some agreement as to who the witnesses are that are necessary to discuss the issue that Mr. Moyle and Mr. Rehwinkel would like to address, but at |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 | For OPC's part Mr, Rehwinkel is the attorney that would be addressing the Progress Energy portion of this docket, and this morning I'm not comfortable with agreeing to excuse any of the witnesses. I'm sure that with further discussion with Progress and the other intervenors, there can be some agreement as to who the witnesses are that are necessary to discuss the issue that Mr. Moyle and Mr. Rehwinkel would like to address, but at this time I don't feel comfortable agreeing. And we will |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | For OPC's part Mr, Rehwinkel is the attorney that would be addressing the Progress Energy portion of this docket, and this morning I'm not comfortable with agreeing to excuse any of the witnesses. I'm sure that with further discussion with Progress and the other intervenors, there can be some agreement as to who the witnesses are that are necessary to discuss the issue that Mr. Moyle and Mr. Rehwinkel would like to address, but at this time I don't feel comfortable agreeing. And we will take under advisement the scope of what they have |

with sufficient time before the hearing so that they don't 1 have to travel, which I assume is what Mr. Glenn's concern 2 And we will endeavor to work with them so that they 3 ìs. don't incur the travel prior to the hearing. 4 MR. MOYLE: We will do the same. We will work 5 with Progress, and if we don't need them we will let them 6 But we don't want to do it this morning. 7 qo. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. 8 So, staff, how do we proceed in terms of 9 excusing the balance of the witnesses? 10 MS. BENNETT: We will identify in the prehearing 11 order all of the witnesses except for the four Progress 12 witnesses and FPUC's Martin as the parties not having any 13 questions. We'll ask the Commissioners if they have 14 questions and notify the parties as quickly as we can. 15 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right, thank you. 16 Section XII -- I'm sorry, Section VII, basic 17 positions. Section VIII, issues and positions. Staff? 18 Staff would note that the order MS. BENNETT: 19 establishing procedure requires that a party take a 20 position at the prehearing conference, unless good cause 21 is shown why they can't take a position at this time, 22 accordingly. And there is a lot of situations in this 23 prehearing order in which the parties have taken no 24 position at this time. They either at this point need to 25

| 1 | take a position or no position or explain why they can't. |
|----|--|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 3 | MS. BENNETT: And then I believe we are ready to |
| 4 | go issue-by-issue through the prehearing order. |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right. We will go through |
| 6 | the positions. We have, in an effort to make it more |
| 7 | efficient, sort of grouped some of these issues by |
| 8 | company. So Issue 1A. |
| 9 | MS. BENNETT: It appears that we can reflect |
| 10 | that as no position on all the parties. |
| 11 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: That's correct. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Issue 1B. |
| 13 | MS. BENNETT: It appears we can reflect that as |
| 14 | no position, also, in the prehearing order. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 1C. |
| 16 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: For 1C for Office of Public |
| 17 | Counsel, we would also like to agree with FIPUG and |
| 18 | include the remainder of the FIPUG language. Right now |
| 19 | our position is no, and we would include the remainder of |
| 20 | the FIPUG language, PEF should not be permitted to recover |
| 21 | any cost of replacement power due to the CR-3 outage |
| 22 | pending resolution of the issues in Docket Number |
| 23 | 100437-EI. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 25 | MS. BENNETT: Staff will reflect that in the |
| | |
| | |

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I

ľ

1 prehearing order.

2 **CAPTAIN MILLER:** FEA would also agree with FIPUG 3 on that issue.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: 4 Okay. MR. MOYLE: And FIPUG would like to have another 5 issue that is tied to 1C that is not really a factual 6 7 issue, but a legal issue. And if I could just read it for the record. 1C says, "Should PEF be permitted to recover 8 the cost of replacement power due to the extended outage 9 at Crystal River 3 in this docket?" And we can 10 characterize it as 1C little 1. "If yes, does this 11 recovery constitute an unconstitutional taking of customer 12 property or violate constitutional due process 13 provisions?" 14 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Staff? 15 MS. BENNETT: Progress might want to speak to 16 that issue, and then we will provide a recommendation. 17 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Progress? 18 MR. GLENN: And we would object to the inclusion 19 of that issue. It's purely a legal issue. And if they 20 want to brief the constitutionality of it, they are free 21 to brief that in the post-hearing briefs, but that is not 22 an appropriate issue for the docket. 23 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Staff. 24 MS. BENNETT: We would recommend that that be a 25

position of FIPUG on Issue 1C rather than an issue itself.
And we can reflect that, whatever change. If he would
like to send that out by the end of today, we can include
that in the prehearing order.

5 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. I think I tend to 6 agree with staff.

So I'm assuming, based on Progress' MR. MOYLE: 7 answer, that there is no issue as to whether they are 8 unclear about the fact that there are constitutional 9 issues here, and at least FIPUG is attempting to raise 10 those constitutional issues, and that they are part and 11 parcel of this proceeding. I just don't want there to be 12 an argument at some point down the line to say, well, you 13 know, you quys never brought up these constitutional 14 issues, therefore, you waive them. So my effort today is 15 to try to bring up the taking and the due process issues. 16 The order establishing procedures, I think, gives parties 17 the right to bring up issues at the prehearing. I want to 18 bring them up, so -- anyway, I just want to make sure we 19 are clear on that point. 20

21 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Let me ask you a question. 22 Do you think that including it in your position and adding 23 it to your position sufficiently brings that up?

24 MR. MOYLE: It's hard for me to answer that 25 question, because it requires me to presuppose how a staff

| | 18 |
|----|---|
| 1 | recommendation is going to look and how a final order of |
| 2 | the PSC is going to look. I know by putting it forward |
| 3 | separately as an issue that it's going to be addressed, |
| 4 | and that is what I would hope to be able to do. Because |
| 5 | to the extent that, you know, there is an issue that |
| 6 | somebody says, well, I don't think this is right. It's an |
| 7 | issue of law, I want to do something with it, it needs to |
| 8 | be raised and specifically addressed. If it's not |
| 9 | addressed, I don't know how it would be reviewed. |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 11 | MS. BENNETT: May I speak? |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure; please. |
| 13 | MS. BENNETT: As Mr. Moyle was speaking, I had |
| 14 | an abundance of legal opinions provided to me, all of |
| 15 | which concluded administrative agencies don't have the |
| 16 | jurisdiction to decide a constitutional issue. So you |
| 17 | will know what staff's recommendation is ahead of time. |
| 18 | I don't think it hurts to raise it as position |
| 19 | that if you want to on appeal from the Commission's |
| 20 | decision, you know, raise it on appeal to a court which |
| 21 | would have jurisdiction. And if the prehearing officer |
| 22 | would like to take this issue under advisement, we could |
| 23 | do that, rather than make a bench decision today. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Progress, are there |
| 25 | any further comments you would like to make on that? |
| | |

| | 19 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. GLENN: NO. |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. |
| 3 | Yes, I will go ahead and take this issue under |
| 4 | advisement. |
| 5 | All right. Moving forward with Issue 2A. |
| 6 | MS. BENNETT: It appears that this item may be |
| 7 | stipulated. I believe that all parties will take no |
| 8 | position on 2A. |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 2B. |
| 10 | MS. BENNETT: And, again, this item looks like |
| 11 | it will be no position by all the parties, so we can |
| 12 | reflect that as a stipulation. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: 2C. |
| 14 | MS. BENNETT: It appears that the parties have |
| 15 | taken no position. Staff is ready to take a position at |
| 16 | this time, and can state it on the record so that it can |
| 17 | be reflected in the prehearing order. Staff's position is |
| 18 | 186,895,413. Pursuant to the stipulation and settlement |
| 19 | agreement approved by this Commission in Docket Number |
| 20 | 080677-EI on December 14th, 2010, FPL has provided the |
| 21 | projected 2012 jurisdictional fuel savings to compare with |
| 22 | the projected 2012 WCEC-3 jurisdictional nonfuel revenue |
| 23 | requirements. That would be staff's position on Issue 2C. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 2D. |
| 25 | MR. BUTLER: I'm sorry, Commissioner Brisé. |
| | |
| | FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
| | |

| | 20 |
|----|--|
| 1 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes. |
| 2 | MR. BUTLER: I'd like to ask whether any of the |
| 3 | parties have a disagreement with the staff position on 2C |
| 4 | that was just identified? |
| 5 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: The Office of Public Counsel |
| 6 | will take no position on this issue. |
| 7 | MR. WRIGHT: No position from the Retail |
| 8 | Federation, Commissioner. Thank you. |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: FIPUG? |
| 10 | MR. MOYLE: No position. |
| 11 | CAPTAIN MILLER: No position from FEA. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 13 | MR. BUTLER: With that, Commissioner, I would |
| 14 | ask that it seems like this may qualify for a |
| 15 | stipulation on the same basis as the 2A and 2B that have |
| 16 | just been discussed, and we'd propose that. |
| 17 | MS. BENNETT: This will be reflected as a Type B |
| 18 | Stipulation in the prehearing order. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. |
| 20 | MR. BUTLER: Thank you. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: 2D. |
| 22 | MS. BENNETT: Issue 2D, it appears that no party |
| 23 | is taking a position, and if that is the case, if no party |
| 24 | is taking a position, staff is willing to take a position |
| 25 | at this time. For the record, staff's position is yes, |

| | 21 |
|----|---|
| 1 | FPL's time-of-use fuel factors based on seasonally |
| 2 | differentiated marginal fuel costs should be approved for |
| 3 | 2012. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Any parties taking a |
| 5 | position? |
| 6 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position for OPC. |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 8 | MR. WRIGHT: No position. Thank you. |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Seeing no one |
| 10 | is coming up with a position, I guess we can take this as |
| 11 | а Туре В |
| 12 | MS. BENNETT: Type B Stipulation, yes. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Type B Stipulation. All |
| 14 | right. |
| 15 | Issue 3A. |
| 16 | MS. BENNETT: It appears that the parties have |
| 17 | not taken a position at this time. Staff has taken a |
| 18 | position. It's reflected already in the draft prehearing. |
| 19 | If FPUC agrees with staff's position, then this can be |
| 20 | reflected as a Type B Stipulation. |
| 21 | MS. KEATING: FPUC does agree with staff's |
| 22 | position. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. All right. So we |
| 24 | will mark this as a Type B Stipulation. |
| 25 | Issue 3B. |
| | |
| | FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |

MS. BENNETT: For Issue 3B, staff has 1 2 outstanding discovery, and so we are not ready to stipulate. You might check with the parties to see if 3 they have a position. 4 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Office of Public Counsel takes 5 no position on this issue. 6 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. FIPUG? 7 MR. MOYLE: No position. 8 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: FEA? 9 CAPTAIN MILLER: No position. 10 MR. WRIGHT: No position, Commissioner. 11 Thank you. 12 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. Okay. 13 So now we're moving on to 4A. 14 MS. BENNETT: And 4A appears to be a Type B 15 Stipulation, unless any party wants to change its position 16 at this time. 17 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position. 18 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 4B. 19 MS. BENNETT: 4B also appears to be a Type B 20 Stipulation, unless the parties wish -- intervenors wish 21 to change their position at this time. 22 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position. 23 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: 4C. 24 MS. BENNETT: It appears that 4C -- staff has 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

taken a position on Issue 4C, and if the utility agrees 1 with staff's position, and if the intervenors do not take 2 a position, then this will be a Type B Stipulation. 3 MR. BADDERS: Gulf agrees with staff's position. 4 **COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:** Okay. 5 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Office of Public Counsel takes 6 no position. 7 COMMISSIONER BRISE: No position. 8 CAPTAIN MILLER: FEA agrees with staff's 9 position. 10 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. FIPUG? 11 MR. MOYLE: No position. 12 MR. WRIGHT: No position, Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER BRISE: Thank you. 14 So this will be a Type B Stipulation. Okay. 15 This moves us on to 5A. 16 MS. BENNETT: It appears that 5A can be 17 stipulated if the utility agrees with staff's position and 18 if all parties, including the intervenors, take no 19 20 position. Tampa Electric agrees. MR. BEASLEY: 21 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position for the Office of 22 Public Counsel. 23 COMMISSIONER BRISE: No position across the 24 board? 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. MOYLE: Yes, I think we will take no 1 position. Unless we raise our hand and say something, 2 3 we'll take no position. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Okay. Will 4 that be the practice this morning? 5 MR. WRIGHT: We take no position on this issue, 6 and I'm perfectly comfortable with the default 7 interpretation of silence being no position. Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Perfect. 9 Issue 5B. 10 MS. BENNETT: 5B, again, can be a Type B 11 Stipulation if the utility agrees with staff position. 12 MR. BEASLEY: We agree with staff. 13 COMMISSIONER BRISE: Okay. Moving on to 14 Issue 6. 15 MS. BENNETT: On Issue 6, staff has taken a 16 position, and the numbers agree with the utilities' 17 positions. All other parties have taken no position. 18 This can be a Type B Stipulation. 19 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. This will be a Type 20 21 B Stipulation. Issue 7. MS. BENNETT: On Issue 7, staff has taken a 22 position. For all of the utilities the numbers match, the 23 numbers agree with the utility. All other parties have 24 taken no position. This can be a Type B Stipulation. 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| 1 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. At quick glance it |
|--|--|
| 2 | seems that this is a Type B Stipulation. Issue 8. |
| 3 | MS. BENNETT: On Issue 8, I would like to make |
| 4 | sure that the parties take no position at this time, |
| 5 | because staff is ready to take some positions on all of |
| 6 | the positions except Progress. |
| 7 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: Office of Public Counsel would |
| 8 | take no position as to FPL, FPUC, Gulf, and TECO. And |
| 9 | then would at this time we can't take a or would |
| 10 | take a position that it is less the recovery for CR-3. |
| 11 | Would that be sufficient for staff? I think what we are |
| 12 | trying to do is not reflect fuel recovery for CR-3 in |
| 13 | 2012. |
| | |
| 14 | MS. BENNETT: Perhaps the parties would like to |
| 14 15 | MS. BENNETT: Perhaps the parties would like to provide me with changed language reflecting their |
| | |
| 15 | provide me with changed language reflecting their |
| 15 16 | provide me with changed language reflecting their positions on the next several issues. I understand that |
| 15 16 17 | provide me with changed language reflecting their positions on the next several issues. I understand that these are fallout issues, and 1C effects each and every |
| 15 16 17 18 | provide me with changed language reflecting their positions on the next several issues. I understand that these are fallout issues, and 1C effects each and every one of these. So it might be best if they provided me |
| 15 16 17 18 19 | provide me with changed language reflecting their positions on the next several issues. I understand that these are fallout issues, and 1C effects each and every one of these. So it might be best if they provided me with their positions by the close of business today. |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 | provide me with changed language reflecting their positions on the next several issues. I understand that these are fallout issues, and 1C effects each and every one of these. So it might be best if they provided me with their positions by the close of business today. MS. CHRISTENSEN: I mean, I can do my best to do |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | provide me with changed language reflecting their positions on the next several issues. I understand that these are fallout issues, and 1C effects each and every one of these. So it might be best if they provided me with their positions by the close of business today. MS. CHRISTENSEN: I mean, I can do my best to do that. Really what we are reflecting is whatever the cost |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | provide me with changed language reflecting their positions on the next several issues. I understand that these are fallout issues, and 1C effects each and every one of these. So it might be best if they provided me with their positions by the close of business today. MS. CHRISTENSEN: I mean, I can do my best to do that. Really what we are reflecting is whatever the cost is less the inclusion of the CR-3 fuel, but I can try and |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | <pre>provide me with changed language reflecting their positions on the next several issues. I understand that these are fallout issues, and 1C effects each and every one of these. So it might be best if they provided me with their positions by the close of business today.</pre> |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | provide me with changed language reflecting their positions on the next several issues. I understand that these are fallout issues, and 1C effects each and every one of these. So it might be best if they provided me with their positions by the close of business today. MS. CHRISTENSEN: I mean, I can do my best to do that. Really what we are reflecting is whatever the cost is less the inclusion of the CR-3 fuel, but I can try and encapsulate that into some language. As far as the other companies go, we would take |

ľ

25

| 1 | has an impact as the fallout on those issues. So we would |
|----|---|
| 2 | take no position as to the remainder of the companies. |
| 3 | And then as to Progress regarding the CR-3 impact, we |
| 4 | would just note that it would be whatever the number is |
| 5 | less the CR-3 impact. And I'll send that language to Ms. |
| 6 | Bennett by the end of the day, hopefully. |
| 7 | MS. BENNETT: And actually I can wait until |
| 8 | Friday, if we need to. |
| 9 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: I would appreciate that. |
| 10 | Thank you. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 12 | MR. MOYLE: I can just, for FIPUG, make it |
| 13 | easier. I mean, I think what we are dealing with are a |
| 14 | lot of fallout issues here that may hinge on the Crystal |
| 15 | River 3 issue, and we would just take the position that |
| 16 | costs replacement fuel costs associated with the |
| 17 | Crystal River 3 outage should not be included in any |
| 18 | adjustments that impose additional costs on consumers. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. FEA? |
| 20 | CAPTAIN MILLER: FEA agrees with FIPUG. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Retail Federation? |
| 22 | MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Commissioner. |
| 23 | For ease of administration, we agree with Public |
| 24 | Counsel and FIPUG. But if you will just show our position |
| 25 | as agree with OPC, that will make it easier all around, I |
| | |

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| | 27 |
|----|--|
| 1 | think, on all these issues. And, again, we take no |
| 2 | position with respect to these fallout issues as to |
| 3 | utilities other than Progress Energy Florida. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. PCS? |
| 5 | MR. TAYLOR: Again, we maintain our position of |
| 6 | agreement with OPC. |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So have we got what |
| 8 | we need, staff? |
| 9 | MS. BENNETT: I would just ask that both FIPUG |
| 10 | and OPC provide me with their language. I didn't write |
| 11 | fast enough. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Understood. |
| 13 | MS. BENNETT: So with that, staff is ready to |
| 14 | take a position for FPL, FPUC, Gulf, and TECO. For FPL, |
| 15 | staff's position is 45,498,409 underrecovery. Staff's |
| 16 | position for FPUC Northwest is 885,786 overrecovery. |
| 17 | Staff's position for FPUC Northeast is \$856,166 |
| 18 | overrecovery. Staff's position for Gulf is 3,609,728 |
| 19 | underrecovery. That's dollars. And for TECO it's |
| 20 | \$5,086,991 overrecovery. It appears that this could be |
| 21 | reflected as a Type B Stipulation for FPL, FPUC, Gulf, and |
| 22 | TECO. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Are the parties in |
| 24 | agreement? |
| 25 | MR. BEASLEY: Yes, sir. |
| | |
| | FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |

| 1 | MR. BUTLER: Yes. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. KEATING: (Indicating affirmatively.) |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. Okay. |
| 4 | Issue 9. |
| 5 | MS. BENNETT: I think Issue 9 is in the same |
| 6 | posture for the intervenors. I guess I shouldn't presume |
| 7 | to speak for you all, but I think they will take the same |
| 8, | position for Progress. Staff is ready to take a position |
| 9 | for FPL, FPUC, Gulf, and TECO, if parties have no position |
| 10 | on those. |
| 11 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: That's correct. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 13 | MR. MOYLE: Staff is correct. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Very good. |
| 15 | MS. BENNETT: So this will be a Type B |
| 16 | Stipulation for FPL at \$109,641,629 underrecovery. For |
| 17 | FPUC Northwest Division, \$682,002 overrecovery. For |
| 18 | Northeast Division of FPUC, \$2,292,856 overrecovery. For |
| 19 | Gulf, \$8,441,457 underrecovery. And for TECO, 42,726,419 |
| 20 | overrecovery. Those will be reflected as a Type B |
| 21 | Stipulation. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Issue 10. |
| 23 | MS. BENNETT: Again, Issue 10 appears to be a |
| 24 | Type B Stipulation for all utilities except Progress, |
| 25 | unless any party wishes to take excuse me for just a |
| | |
| | |

J

28

| - | |
|----|--|
| 1 | minute. (Pause.) |
| 2 | I apologize. It can be a Type B Stipulation for |
| 3 | FPL, FPUC, and TECO. Staff is awaiting some outstanding |
| 4 | discovery from Gulf, and then we'll be ready to take a |
| 5 | position and can stipulate if all of the other parties |
| 6 | take no position on Gulf's. So let me check with the |
| 7 | parties, or ask that you check with the parties and see if |
| 8 | they take a position on Gulf or any of the others. |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Is there any specific |
| 10 | position on Gulf at this time? |
| 11 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: Office of Public Counsel takes |
| 12 | no position at this time. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. And I |
| 14 | saw heads nod. |
| 15 | MR. MOYLE: Our silence is consent, right. |
| 16 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: No position. So the |
| 18 | parties have taken no position. |
| 19 | MS. BENNETT: I lost my place. |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Gulf. |
| 21 | MS. BENNETT: Issue 10 for FPL is a Type B |
| 22 | Stipulation then, or can be reflected as a Type B |
| 23 | Stipulation in the prehearing order for \$155,140,123 |
| 24 | underrecovery. For FPUC Marianna, \$1,567,788 |
| 25 | overrecovery. For Marianna's Northeast Division, |
| | |

l

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| 1 | \$3,149,022 overrecovery. For TECO, \$47,813,410 |
|----|--|
| 2 | overrecovery. |
| 3 | MR. MOYLE: And the same position with respect |
| 4 | to Progress on the Crystal River 3. |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Staff, do we have |
| 6 | what we need on 10? |
| 7 | MS. BENNETT: We do. And may I have just a |
| 8 | minute to talk with staff on Issue 11? |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. We will take an |
| 10 | informal five-minute recess. (Pause.) |
| 11 | MR. BADDERS: Commissioner Brisé, I have a |
| 12 | question. There may be some confusion on this. On Number |
| 13 | 10, Number 10 is really just the addition of the positions |
| 14 | on 8 and 9 which have already been stipulated. I believe |
| 15 | the issue that may still be pending some discovery might |
| 16 | be Issue Number 11. |
| 17 | MS. BENNETT: The little voice in the back of my |
| 18 | head says he is correct. Staff is able to take a position |
| 19 | on Issue 10, but not on Issue 11 for Gulf. So it can be |
| 20 | reflected as a Type B Stipulation for Gulf for Issue 11. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Perfect. |
| 22 | MS. BENNETT: I mean, I'm sorry, for Issue 10. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Ten, correct. Parties, are |
| 24 | we comfortable with that? Okay. Very good. |
| 25 | MS. BENNETT: And staff's position for the |
| | |
| | |

| 1 | record, for Issue 10 is 12 million dollars, 51 12 |
|----|--|
| 2 | million, 50 thousand, 185 thousand let me try that one |
| 3 | more time. \$12,051,185 underrecovery. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Issue 11. |
| 5 | MS. BENNETT: For Issue 11, staff is not quite |
| 6 | ready to take a final position. There have been some |
| 7 | confusion on what numbers go into making up this is a |
| 8 | fallout issue adding several numbers together. We will be |
| 9 | sending out a staff position later this week, and if all |
| 10 | of the parties all of the intervenors take no position |
| 11 | at this time, then staff and the utilities can reach an |
| 12 | agreement that can be reflected in the prehearing order as |
| 13 | stipulated. A Type B Stipulation. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Intervenors, do you take a |
| 15 | position at this time on this particular |
| 16 | MS. BENNETT: Except for Progress. |
| 17 | MR. MOYLE: Except for Progress, no position. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. Okay. |
| 19 | Moving on to |
| 20 | MS. BENNETT: There are no Issues 12, 13, and |
| 21 | 14. The next one will be 15A. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Moving on to Issue 15A. |
| 23 | MS. BENNETT: Staff has taken a position on |
| 24 | Issue 15A. If TECO agrees with staff's position, and all |
| 25 | other parties take no position, this can be a Type B |
| | |
| | |

lĺ

| | 32 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Stipulation. |
| 2 | MR. BEASLEY: Tampa Electric agrees with staff's |
| 3 | position. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. There's silence, so |
| 5 | we will take that as a Type B Stipulation. |
| 6 | Issue 16. |
| 7 | MS. BENNETT: Issue 16 is the first of the |
| 8 | generic generating performance incentive factor issues, |
| 9 | and the staff has taken a position. All of the parties |
| 10 | appear to be taking no position, and so this can be |
| 11 | reflected in the prehearing order as a Type B Stipulation. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. There is silence, so |
| 13 | we will take this as a Type B Stipulation. |
| 14 | Issue 17. |
| 15 | MS. BENNETT: Issue 17. Staff has taken a |
| 16 | position. If the utilities agree with staff's position, |
| 17 | this can be reflected as a Type B Stipulation, assuming |
| 18 | that the parties continue to take no position. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. There is continued |
| 20 | silence, so we will take that as a Type B Stipulation. |
| 21 | Issue 18. |
| 22 | MS. BENNETT: On Issue 18, this is the fuel |
| 23 | factor calculation issues. And for Issue 18, staff is |
| 24 | ready to take a position for FPL, FPUC, and TECO. We are |
| 25 | awaiting discovery from Gulf, and may be able to reach an |
| | |

| 1 | agreement with Gulf later this week. And then Progress |
|----|--|
| 2 | I believe the parties would take positions on Progress' |
| 3 | final number. So unless parties take a position on FPL, |
| 4 | FPUC, TECO, or Gulf, we are ready I'm sorry, FPL, FPUC, |
| 5 | and TECO, we are ready to present our positions. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Silence is golden. |
| 7 | All right. |
| 8 | MS. BENNETT: I'm not sure I can read this |
| 9 | number. It's \$4,232,816,559 for FPL. For FPUC it's |
| 10 | \$34,443,981, that's the Northwest Division. For FPUC |
| 11 | Northeast Division it's 40,276,293. For TECO it is |
| 12 | \$796,618,188. Those will be reflected as a Type B |
| 13 | Stipulation. I want to confirm that the parties have not |
| 14 | taken a position or are not going to take a position on |
| 15 | Gulf. |
| 16 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position on Gulf. |
| 17 | MR. WRIGHT: No position on Gulf. Thank you. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: FIPUG? |
| 19 | MR. MOYLE: No position on Gulf. We maintain |
| 20 | our position on Progress. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: FEA. |
| 22 | CAPTAIN MILLER: Agree with FIPUG. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. |
| 24 | Moving on to Issue 19. |
| 25 | MS. BENNETT: Issue 19 can be a Type B |
| | |
| | FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |

| | 34 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Stipulation unless any party, intervenor, wishes to take a |
| 2 | position. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. It doesn't seem like |
| 4 | any intervenor wants to take a position, so moving on to |
| 5 | Issue 20. |
| 6 | MS. BENNETT: For Issue 20, staff is ready to |
| 7 | take a position on FPL, FPUC, and TECO. Staff's position |
| 8 | for FPL is 4.131 cents per kilowatt hour. For FPUC it's |
| 9 | 6.544 cents per kilowatt hour for the Northwest Division. |
| 10 | For the Northeast Division it is 5.961 cents per kilowatt. |
| 11 | And for TECO it is 4.183 cents per kilowatt hour, and that |
| 12 | is before the application of time-of-use multipliers. And |
| 13 | I want to confirm that all parties take no position for |
| 14 | Gulf. |
| 15 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position on Gulf. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 17 | MS. BENNETT: This will be reflected as a Type B |
| 18 | Stipulation for FPL, FPUC, and TECO. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Issue 21. |
| 20 | MS. BENNETT: It appears that Issue 21 may be |
| 21 | stipulated. Staff did pass out a corrected table, set of |
| 22 | tables to the parties, and if the parties are willing to |
| 23 | agree to staff's tables that we handed out this morning, |
| 24 | then this would be reflected as a Type B Stipulation, |
| 25 | assuming intervenors take no position. |
| | |

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| 1 | 35 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position. |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 3 | MR. GLENN: Progress we're just reviewing |
| 4 | that right now with our folks, and we will get back to you |
| 5 | today. |
| 6 | MR. BUTLER: FPL is in the same position. We |
| 7 | are checking over the table. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 9 | MS. BENNETT: Okay. |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: So on this one I guess we |
| 11 | will wait for everybody to review this information and we |
| 12 | will expect a response later on today. |
| 13 | MS. BENNETT: Thank you. |
| 14 | MR. BEASLEY: Tampa Electric agrees with staff's |
| 15 | revised table. |
| 16 | MR. BADDERS: As does Gulf. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 18 | MR. MOYLE: And we would on this issue and all |
| 19 | other issues that relate to the Crystal River 3 issue |
| 20 | continue to maintain a position as articulated earlier. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 22 | MR. BUTLER: Commissioner Brisé, I expect that |
| 23 | we will be able to confirm very shortly. So if you want |
| 24 | to just defer briefly and come back to it, we would be |
| 25 | happy to do that. |
| | |
| | FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
| | |

| 1 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. Would that be the |
|----|--|
| 2 | same for Progress? |
| 3 | MR. GLENN: Yes, Commissioner. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Can we come back to |
| 5 | this issue? |
| 6 | MS. BENNETT: Yes. And when we come back to it, |
| 7 | I do want to let I know FIPUG said their position would |
| 8 | be the same for Progress, but this is just the line loss |
| 9 | multiplier issue, so you might want to review that and see |
| 10 | what effect, if any, the CR-3 outage would have on this |
| 11 | issue. I don't believe it does. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So we will come back |
| 13 | to this issue at a later time today. |
| 14 | Issue 22. |
| 15 | MS. BENNETT: For Issue 22, if all parties take |
| 16 | no position for FPL and TECO, staff is ready to take a |
| 17 | position on these issues. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: It doesn't seem like anyone |
| 19 | is taking any position. |
| 20 | MS. BENNETT: For Issue 22, staff would agree |
| 21 | with FPL's position and staff would agree with TECO's |
| 22 | position. We are awaiting discovery for Gulf, and would |
| 23 | like to confirm that parties will continue to take no |
| 24 | position on Gulf. |
| 25 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position. |
| | |
| | |

_

36

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. That seems to be 1 2 confirmed. So for 22 you said that staff is taking a position on FPL and TECO? 3 MS. BENNETT: That is correct, FPL and TECO. 4 We have an issue still with FPUC outstanding. We have 5 discovery outstanding for Gulf, so we can't take a 6 position yet for that. And then the intervenors have a 7 position on Progress, so we will not be able to reflect 8 9 that as a stipulation. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So then this Sure. 10 will be a Type B Stipulation for FPL and TECO at this 11 point? 12 MS. BENNETT: That's correct. 13 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 23A. 14 23A depends upon the Commission's MS. BENNETT: 15 decision on the Monday Nuclear Cost Recovery Agenda 16 Conference, so there cannot be a position from any party 17 including staff yet. 18 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: And the same would be true 19 from 24A? 20 MS. BENNETT: That's correct. 21 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: 24B. 22 MS. BENNETT: Staff has taken a position, and if 23 FPL agrees with staff's position and all other parties 24 take no position, this can be a Type B Stipulation. 25

37

| | 50 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position. |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. It seems that there |
| 3 | is silence, so then this would be a Type B Stipulation. |
| 4 | 24C. |
| 5 | MS. BENNETT: Okay. For 24C, staff is prepared |
| 6 | to take a position that agrees with FPL's position. I |
| 7 | would like to read our position into the record. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. |
| 9 | MS. BENNETT: It is \$166,860,714. This amount |
| 10 | is the lesser of the projected 2012 WCEC-3 jurisdictional |
| 11 | nonfuel revenue requirements and the projected 2012 WCEC-3 |
| 12 | jurisdictional fuel savings pursuant to the stipulation |
| 13 | and settlement agreement approved by this Commission in |
| 14 | Docket Number 080677 on December 14th, 2010. FPL's |
| 15 | recovery of the WCEC-3 nonfuel revenue requirements in |
| 16 | this manner is allowed until the next base rate |
| 17 | proceeding. And if FPL is in agreement with that |
| 18 | position, this could be reflected as a Type B Stipulation. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: FPL? |
| 20 | MR. BUTLER: Yes. We agree with that language. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. And parties maintain |
| 22 | no position? |
| 23 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So this will be |
| 25 | reflected as a Type B Stipulation. |
| | |

II

| | 39 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. BENNETT: There is no 25. Our next one is |
| 2 | 26, and that is a proposed Type A Stipulation. It's |
| 3 | reflected in Section X. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 5 | MR. GLENN: Commissioner Brisé? |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes. |
| 7 | MR. GLENN: Just to get back to Issue 21, we are |
| 8 | good with that, and I believe Mr. Butler had indicated |
| 9 | that FPL was, as well. |
| 10 | MR. BUTLER: That's correct. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Let's finish with |
| 12 | 26A and then we will go back to 21. |
| 13 | MS. BENNETT: Okay. So 26A will be reflected as |
| 14 | a Type A Stipulation, I believe, unless the parties |
| 15 | instead of no position want I guess I wasn't sure |
| 16 | whether they were taking no position on 26A or whether |
| 17 | they were taking they were agreeing with TECO. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 19 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: For clarification, Patty |
| 20 | Christensen with the Office of Public Counsel, we were |
| 21 | agreeing with the withdrawal of the issue from this |
| 22 | docket. So to the extent that that is a Type A, then we |
| 23 | are in agreement. |
| 24 | MS. BENNETT: It sounds like a Type A. |
| 25 | MR. WRIGHT: The same for the Federation. Thank |
| | |
| | FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
| | |

| | 40 |
|----|--|
| 1 | you. |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Is that across the board? |
| 3 | MR. WRIGHT: Yes, sir. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. So then we have |
| 5 | a Type A Stipulation on 26A. |
| 6 | MS. BENNETT: Thank you. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. Now going back to |
| 8 | Issue 21. And we were listening to Progress. |
| 9 | MR. GLENN: Yes. We are good with the revised |
| 10 | Issue 21. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 12 | MR. BUTLER: And the same is true for FPL. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: And we already had |
| 14 | agreement from Gulf and TECO. |
| 15 | MR. BADDERS: That's correct. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. |
| 17 | MS. BENNETT: Commissioner Brisé, I'm not sure |
| 18 | that we had an agreement on Progress from the intervenors. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right. |
| 20 | MR. MOYLE: I can say that to the extent |
| 21 | Progress makes a representation that there are no dollars |
| 22 | associated with Crystal River 3 in this issue, we agree. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Progress? |
| 24 | MR. GLENN: I believe that's correct. |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| | |

MR. WRIGHT: Just officially we will take no 1 2 position. I believe that is correct, that the line loss multiplier does not affect the CR-3 dollars. 3 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. OPC. 4 MS. CHRISTENSEN: And OPC has taken no position. 5 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 6 MS. BENNETT: So Issue 21 will be reflected as 7 as a Type B Stipulation. 8 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. 9 Issue 27. 10 MS. BENNETT: Issue 27 is the beginning of the 11 generic capacity cost-recovery factors, and it appears 12 that this can be reflected as a Type B Stipulation unless 13 parties take a position on this. 14 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position. 15 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. There seems to be 16 silence. 17 MS. BENNETT: We will reflect it as a Type B 18 Stipulation. 19 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Issue 28. 20 MS. BENNETT: Issue 28 also can be reflected as 21 a Type B Stipulation unless the parties take a position on 22 this. 23 No position. MS. CHRISTENSEN: 24 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Silence again, so a 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| | 72 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Type B Stipulation. |
| 2 | Issue 29. |
| 3 | MS. BENNETT: Issue 29 appears to be a Type B |
| 4 | Stipulation, also. |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Very good. |
| 6 | Issue 30. |
| 7 | MS. BENNETT: For Issue 30, for FPL and |
| 8 | Progress, staff is not yet ready to take a position |
| 9 | because these are dependent upon the Commission's vote on |
| 10 | Monday in the Nuclear Cost-Recovery Clause docket. But |
| 11 | for Gulf and TECO, it appears that we can take a position, |
| 12 | and it will be reflected as a Type B Stipulation if no |
| 13 | party has a position. The staff's position for Gulf is |
| 14 | \$46,396,792. Staff's position for TECO is \$44,720,668. |
| 15 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: Could I ask for a |
| 16 | clarification from staff? We are taking no position as to |
| 17 | Gulf or TECO, and as to FPL and Progress, since it's |
| 18 | dependent on Monday's agenda, we can remain with no |
| 19 | position at this time until that time, is that correct? |
| 20 | MS. BENNETT: Yes. |
| 21 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think that is what you did |
| 22 | for the early one, so we will take no position as to Gulf |
| 23 | and TECO. |
| 24 | MR. BUTLER: Commissioner Brisé. |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes. |
| | |
| | |

I

42

| [| 43 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR. BUTLER: We're on 30, right; Issue 30? |
| 2 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: We are on 30. |
| 3 | MR. BUTLER: It seems like 30, both our position |
| 4 | and staff's position exclude the effects of Issue 24A and |
| 5 | 24C, which are the nuclear cost-recovery amounts in the |
| 6 | West County 3 recovery. So I guess I'm a little bit |
| 7 | unsure why this one needs to be held open. I think maybe |
| 8 | there is one that does, but at least as we were |
| 9 | interpreting it, this one doesn't seem to be subject to |
| 10 | that contingency. |
| 11 | MS. BENNETT: You are correct. |
| 12 | Staff did take a position. I didn't flip the |
| 13 | page to see staff's position. And if the parties agree, |
| 14 | if the utilities agree to staff's position for FPL, Gulf, |
| 15 | and TECO I mean, FPL, Gulf, and Progress, and we have |
| 16 | already taken a position on TECO, then these could be |
| 17 | reflected as a Type B Stipulation. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 19 | MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, I apologize, I did |
| 20 | not hear everything that Ms. Bennett just said. I was |
| 21 | conferring with Public Counsel. We would take no |
| 22 | position the Retail Federation would take no position |
| 23 | with respect to utilities other than Progress. I am |
| 24 | uncertain as to whether there are any capacity cost |
| 25 | dollars, any dollars that Progress proposes to recover |
| | |

| i | 44 |
|----|--|
| 1 | through the capacity cost-recovery clause that are |
| 2 | associated with purchasing replacement power as a result |
| 3 | of the CR-3 outage. If Progress represents that there are |
| 4 | no such dollars to be recovered through the capacity |
| 5 | cost-recovery clause, then, we would be fine with it. |
| 6 | Otherwise, I understand there is a deposition on |
| 7 | Monday at which we expect to learn what Progress' |
| 8 | replacement power purchase and fuel costs are associated |
| 9 | with the CR-3 outage, and we wouldn't be able to take a |
| 10 | position until after that deposition. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Progress? |
| 12 | MR. GLENN: I believe there are capacity costs |
| 13 | included in that related to CR-3. |
| 14 | MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Commissioner. |
| 15 | MR. MOYLE: But I think we have gone through a |
| 16 | whole bunch of issues with respect to capacity, so, you |
| 17 | know, this discussion we are having on this issue, I |
| 18 | assume, also ties to other capacity issues. |
| 19 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: Could I get some clarification |
| 20 | on this issue? With regards to FPL, if I am understanding |
| 21 | correctly, there is no impact from the nuclear, and we are |
| 22 | prepared to take no position with regards to FPL. |
| 23 | With regards to Progress, since there does |
| 24 | appear to be impact regarding the CR-3 fuel replacement |
| 25 | costs being flowed through, we would have a position on |
| | |

| ĺ | 45 |
|----|--|
| l | that, and we may need to provide that to you. Or if we |
| 2 | are allowed to remain with no position at this time, we |
| 3 | would just do that pending the outcome of the deposition |
| 4 | and the discovery so that we can actually, maybe, state an |
| 5 | amount or a cost associated with that. |
| 6 | MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner? |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes. |
| 8 | MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. |
| 9 | Following Mr. Moyle's comment, again, I am |
| 10 | uncertain as to what is or is not included in dollars, but |
| 11 | to the extent that there are capacity costs reflected in |
| 12 | the true-up amounts that are addressed in Issue 27 |
| 13 | relative to purchases that Progress may have made to |
| 14 | replace power that would otherwise have been provided by |
| 15 | CR-3, I think we would go back to taking we would like, |
| 16 | with your permission, to take no position at this time, |
| 17 | again, pending the outcome of Monday's deposition. Thank |
| 18 | you. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: You're talking on Issue 30 |
| 20 | or going back to 27? |
| 21 | MR. WRIGHT: Going back to 27, which is the |
| 22 | true-up amount for 10, and, I guess, also 29 as well, |
| 23 | which is the true-up amount for 2012. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Well, let's see if we can |
| 25 | get some clarification from staff first, and then we'll |
| ĺ | |
| | |

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ļ

| | 46 |
|----|--|
| 1 | move forward. |
| 2 | MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. |
| 3 | MS. BENNETT: We will change the prehearing |
| 4 | order to reflect that for Issue 27 it is a Type B |
| 5 | Stipulation for every party except Progress, and then ask |
| 6 | the intervenors to provide us with a new position on |
| 7 | Issues 27, 29, 30 and we haven't gotten to 31 yet, have |
| 8 | we? |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: No, we haven't. |
| 10 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: Is 29 also an issue that may |
| 11 | be impacted by the CR-3 fuel replacement costs, because it |
| 12 | is the estimated/actual for 2011? |
| 13 | MS. BENNETT: 29 would also be impacted. |
| 14 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: 28. |
| 15 | MS. BENNETT: 28? There is no oh, there is a |
| 16 | 28. Sorry. Yes. |
| 17 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. And we can provide a |
| 18 | response for Progress on all those issues as it relates to |
| 19 | the CR-3 fuel replacement. |
| 20 | MS. BENNETT: And to staff and a position for |
| 21 | staff by close of business on Friday to put into the |
| 22 | prehearing order? |
| 23 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes, we can put in a |
| 24 | placeholder position, and if we get something better after |
| 25 | the depositions, we can address that then. |
| | |
| | FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
| | |

| | 47 |
|----|--|
| 1 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Does that work or do you |
| 2 | prefer the firm position buy tomorrow? |
| 3 | MS. BENNETT: Well, that works. I think what |
| 4 | they are telling me is that they will take a position, but |
| 5 | it could change, and they may be able to agree with |
| 6 | Progress after their depositions, and we might be able to |
| 7 | eventually reflect this as a Type B Stipulation. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 9 | MR. MOYLE: Just so the record is clear on it, I |
| 10 | think we previously did not indicate a position with |
| 11 | respect to FIPUG did not with respect to 27, 28, 29 |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: And we are on 30 right now. |
| 13 | MR. MOYLE: and 30. And this all relates to |
| 14 | capacity payments. So our position would be that any |
| 15 | capacity payments associated with the Crystal River 3 |
| 16 | outage should not be included in any adjustments that |
| 17 | impose additional costs on customers. And I'd like to |
| 18 | amend our previous position to state that, if that is |
| 19 | okay. |
| 20 | MS. BENNETT: And because I didn't write it |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: We will need it in writing. |
| 22 | MS. BENNETT: if you will provide it. |
| 23 | MR. MOYLE: I will. |
| 24 | MS. BENNETT: Thank you. |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. |
| | |

lf

| l | CAPTAIN MILLER: That would also be FEA's |
|----|--|
| 2 | position. |
| 3 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: And the Office of Public |
| 4 | Counsel would concur, and we can provide a written |
| 5 | position for staff to include in the order. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 7 | MR. WRIGHT: We also will provide a written |
| 8 | position to the staff for the prehearing order. |
| 9 | Thank you, Commissioner. |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. |
| 11 | All right. Are we all clear on Issues 27, 28, |
| 12 | 29, and 30? |
| 13 | MS. BENNETT: I am indeed. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 15 | Issue 31. |
| 16 | MS. BENNETT: Staff will be presenting an |
| 17 | alternative set of positions. We passed it out earlier |
| 18 | today. I think in fairness to the parties, we'll give |
| 19 | them some time to review it, so it won't be reflected |
| 20 | quite yet as a Type B Stipulation. And, of course, it |
| 21 | would not be Progress until the parties have had an |
| 22 | opportunity to depose the Progress witnesses. So for |
| 23 | right now, we will leave the positions open I'm sorry, |
| 24 | let me try this again. Staff will provide an amended |
| 25 | position, and if the parties take no position for FPL, |

l

48

| 1 | Gulf, and TECO, then we can reflect those as a Type B |
|----|--|
| 2 | Stipulation, if they agree with staff's position. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Is that something |
| 4 | that and this to the parties, is that something that we |
| 5 | think we can accomplish before we are done today? I'm |
| 6 | assuming they will be done maybe in an hour, an hour and a |
| 7 | half, or so. |
| 8 | MR. BUTLER: In the case of FPL, we have |
| 9 | actually reviewed what staff handed out, and we don't have |
| 10 | any objection to it, so that would be fine with us. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 12 | MR. BADDERS: For Gulf, we can agree with |
| 13 | staff's number, because it appears to be in complete |
| 14 | agreement with our number. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 16 | MR. BEASLEY: And Tampa Electric, as well, sir. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. |
| 18 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: Office of Public Counsel would |
| 19 | take no position as to FPL, TECO, and Gulf; and, of |
| 20 | course, PEF is subject to the CR-3 objections. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right now we are just |
| 22 | looking at FPL, TECO, and Gulf. We have sort of excluded |
| 23 | Progress. Okay. And I see silence I hear silence. |
| 24 | MS. BENNETT: Very good. We will reflect Issue |
| 25 | 31 as a Type B Stipulation with staff's alternative |
| | |
| | |

ľ

position that we passed out today. That will be reflected 1 in the prehearing order. And for Progress, we will expect 2 to see positions from the intervenors by close of business 3 4 Friday. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Issue 32. 5 6 MS. BENNETT: I believe Issue 32 can be a Type B 7 Stipulation. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Any comments on that? 8 So 9 32 will be a Type B Stipulation. Issue 33. 10 11 MS. BENNETT: Staff is ready to take a position for both Gulf and TECO. We agree with the utilities' 12 positions in Gulf and TECO. We are not ready yet to take 13 a position for FPL and Progress because it does depend 14 upon the Commission's decision on Monday. 15 16 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 17 MS. CHRISTENSEN: With respect to TECO and Gulf, 18 we would take no position. 19 MR. MOYLE: We would just maintain our position with respect to Progress on the Crystal River 3 that no 20 monies associated with capacity cost-recovery factors 21 associated with Crystal River 3 outage should be included. 22 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 23 MR. MOYLE: We are okay with everybody else. 24 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. 25

50

1 So with Issue 33, we'll have a Type B on Gulf and TECO? 2 3 MS. BENNETT: That is correct. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. At this point we are 4 done with the issues. 5 I apologize, there is one more 6 MS. BENNETT: 7 issue. It's Issue 34, the effective date. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Yes, I'm sorry, 34. 8 MS. BENNETT: And staff has taken -- staff is 9 going to revise its position. I would like to read it 10 into the record, and if the utilities agree with staff's 11 position we can reflect this as a Type B. 12 Staff's new position is that the new factors 13 should be effective beginning with the first billing cycle 14 for January 2012. And the first billing cycle may start 15 before January 1, 2012, thereafter the fuel adjustment 16 factors and the capacity cost-recovery factors should 17 remain in effect until modified by the Commission. 18 MR. BUTLER: That's fine with FPL. 19 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okav. 20 That's fine with Gulf. 21 MR. BADDERS: MS. KEATING: That's fine with FPU. 22 MR. BEASLEY: Tampa Electric is fine. 23 MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, show the Retail 24 Federation as agreeing with staff. 25

51

| | 52 |
|----|--|
| 1 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. |
| 2 | MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Progress? |
| 4 | MR. GLENN: That's fine. |
| 5 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: And Office of Public Counsel |
| 6 | will take no position. |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. FIPUG? |
| 8 | MR. MOYLE: No position. |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: FEA? |
| 10 | CAPTAIN MILLER: FEA agrees with staff. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. PCS? |
| 12 | MR. TAYLOR: No position. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. So this |
| 14 | will be a Type B Stipulation. |
| 15 | MS. BENNETT: Yes. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: And I think that takes care |
| 17 | of all the issues. |
| 18 | MS. BENNETT: Yes, it does. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Section Number IX, exhibit |
| 20 | list. Staff. |
| 21 | MS. BENNETT: Staff would note that there is one |
| 22 | additional exhibit that did not appear on the exhibit |
| 23 | list. It is W. Garrett, WG-4T. We will reflect that in |
| 24 | the prehearing order as being added. And, additionally, |
| 25 | we are in the process of preparing a comprehensive exhibit |
| | |
| | |

| 1 | 53 |
|----|---|
| 1 | list, which includes all prefiled exhibits and also |
| 2 | includes exhibits staff wishes to include in the record. |
| 3 | Staff will check with the parties prior to the |
| 4 | hearing to determine if there are any objections to the |
| 5 | comprehensive exhibit list or any of staff's exhibits |
| 6 | being entered into the record. I don't believe there's |
| 7 | any action required of you at this time. It's something I |
| 8 | need to finish. |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right. Okay. Everyone is |
| 10 | comfortable with that? Okay. |
| 11 | Section X, proposed stipulations. |
| 12 | MS. BENNETT: The stipulations entered into at |
| 13 | the prehearing conference will be listed here in this |
| 14 | section and they will be listed as either A or B type of |
| 15 | agreements. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. |
| 17 | Section XI, pending motions. |
| 18 | MS. BENNETT: You have previously addressed |
| 19 | TECO's motion to revise the testimony as a preliminary |
| 20 | matter, and the only remaining motions as far as staff is |
| 21 | aware are motions for temporary protective order which |
| 22 | will be taken care of by separate orders. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. |
| 24 | Section XII, pending confidentiality motions. |
| 25 | MS. BENNETT: There are several confidentiality |
| i | |

| 1 | requests. We will take care of those by separate order. |
|----|---|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Section XIII, post-hearing |
| 3 | procedures. |
| 4 | MS. BENNETT: Usually in this docket there is a |
| 5 | bench decision and post-hearing briefs are not usually |
| 6 | necessary. Sometimes there is one or two issues, and I |
| 7 | believe there will be in this case that the Commission |
| 8 | would like to have briefed or that the parties request to |
| 9 | have briefed. If they are to be briefed, the staff |
| 10 | recommends position statements of no more than 50 words |
| 11 | and post-hearing statements and briefs no longer than 40 |
| 12 | pages. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Are all parties in |
| 14 | agreement with the 50 words and no longer than 40 pages? |
| 15 | MR. MOYLE: Yes, and we would like to have the |
| 16 | ability to submit proposed findings of fact and |
| 17 | conclusions of law affirmatively, so I want to make that |
| 18 | clear. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Progress. |
| 20 | MR. GLENN: Yes, we are good with the page |
| 21 | limits. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. All right. Very |
| 23 | good. |
| 24 | MS. BENNETT: Before we leave that section, I |
| 25 | would like to make sure that the parties are aware that |
| | |
| | |

| | 55 |
|----|--|
| 1 | because this is the fuel clause and we need to get the |
| 2 | factors into effect in January, the briefing schedule is |
| 3 | shortened. We will have briefs due the CASR reflects |
| 4 | briefs due on November 8th for the November 22nd agenda |
| 5 | conference. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. I just want to make |
| 7 | sure that everyone is clear on that. November 8th all |
| 8 | briefs are due, and we will have that Agenda Conference on |
| 9 | the 22nd of November. |
| 10 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: Could I ask for clarification? |
| 11 | Are daily transcripts going to be available for the |
| 12 | parties? |
| 13 | MS. BENNETT: Yes. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. At this point, |
| 15 | Section XIV, rulings. |
| 16 | MS. BENNETT: Staff suggests five minutes per |
| 17 | side for opening statements. |
| 18 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: Per side? |
| 19 | MS. BENNETT: I did say per side. Per party. |
| 20 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. Per party I can live |
| 21 | with; per side makes it a little bit short. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Five minutes per party for |
| 23 | opening statements. Okay. And that's what it will be. |
| 24 | Progress. |
| 25 | MR. GLENN: One thing on that. If there are |
| | |
| | FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |

I

| 1 | going to be legal issues raised, for example, by FIPUG and |
|----|--|
| 2 | others, would it be appropriate to have maybe ten minutes |
| 3 | for the Progress case? |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Staff. |
| 5 | MS. BENNETT: Ten minutes for Progress and then |
| 6 | the parties each have five minutes on it, is that what you |
| 7 | are suggesting? |
| 8 | MR. GLENN: Yes. |
| 9 | MS. BENNETT: The parties might want to OPC |
| 10 | and FIPUG might want to take a position and then maybe you |
| 11 | can take it under advisement. |
| 12 | MR. MOYLE: If I can just jump in. You know, |
| 13 | five minutes might be a little tight, particularly to the |
| 14 | extent that we raise legal issues. You know, ten would |
| 15 | probably provide a little more flexibility, but it |
| 16 | wouldn't necessarily mean we are going to use ten. So, I |
| 17 | don't have any objection to Mr. Glenn's suggestion of ten, |
| 18 | but I would recommend that whatever it be, if it is ten |
| 19 | for the goose, it be ten for the gander. |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Any other |
| 21 | thoughts, OPC, on that? |
| 22 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: I would tend to agree, that |
| 23 | if you know, that what's good for the goose is good for |
| 24 | the gender and that we should all have ten. But we would |
| 25 | endeavor, of course, to keep it as short as possible, |
| | |

I

| | 57 |
|----|--|
| 1 | because we know that we don't want to necessarily |
| 2 | repeat ourselves. |
| 3 | MR. GLENN: I would just note that it is really |
| 4 | ten for the goose and like one, two, three, four, |
| 5 | five 60 or 70 for the gander. |
| 6 | MS. CHRISTENSEN: That might be a slight |
| 7 | exaggeration, 60 or 70. |
| 8 | MS. BENNETT: In other situations where we have |
| 9 | had and you guys can correct me if I'm wrong, but it |
| 10 | seems like we have allowed the utility maybe an extended |
| 11 | amount of time, ten minutes, and then the parties together |
| 12 | maybe 15 minutes to share the time among themselves as an |
| 13 | alternative to 60 minutes of 70 minutes of legal |
| 14 | argument. |
| 15 | MR. MOYLE: The other thing, I think this |
| 16 | hearing is scheduled for three days, and given all the |
| 17 | stipulations and everything, I think it's probably going |
| 18 | to get done. We have got witnesses that are still live, |
| 19 | so I think that the distinction between five and ten |
| 20 | minutes is not that big a deal. We'd ask for ten. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: I will think about it and I |
| 22 | will provide a ruling on that. |
| 23 | MS. BENNETT: Okay. And that ruling will be |
| 24 | reflected in the prehearing order. And I did want to |
| 25 | remind everyone that we are not the only docket that's |
| | |
| | |

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| 1 | going to be heard in those three days. There are two |
|----|---|
| 2 | other dockets that have outstanding issues to be |
| 3 | considered. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right. |
| 5 | MS. BENNETT: So your ruling on the opening |
| 6 | statements, and your ruling on the revisions to the |
| 7 | testimony will be reflected in this section. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes. Section XI, other |
| 9 | matters. Are there any other matters that we need to |
| 10 | address in this prehearing conference? |
| 11 | MS. BENNETT: Staff is not aware of any others. |
| 12 | MR. BEASLEY: I had a brief point of |
| 13 | clarification, Commissioner. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. |
| 15 | MR. BEASLEY: Our stipulation on Issue 26A |
| 16 | indicated that discovery relating to that issue would be |
| 17 | rendered moot, and I just wanted to confirm that we don't |
| 18 | have to submit responses to the outstanding discovery. |
| 19 | MS. BENNETT: Yes, that is correct. We will not |
| 20 | require TECO to respond to our outstanding discovery on |
| 21 | cyber security. |
| 22 | MR. BEASLEY: Thank you, sir. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. |
| 24 | MR. MOYLE: And just a point of clarification. |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. |
| | |
| | |

| 1 | MR. MOYLE: FIPUG's attempt to assert that |
|----|---|
| 2 | issue, the legal issue that we read into the record, I |
| 3 | think would also be appropriately considered under |
| 4 | rulings, as well. |
| 5 | MS. BENNETT: We will reflect that under the |
| 6 | rulings section of the prehearing order. |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. |
| 8 | MR. BEASLEY: Under other matters, are we going |
| 9 | to address potential excusal of witnesses? |
| 10 | MS. BENNETT: I think we addressed that at the |
| 11 | beginning when we had all of the witnesses are |
| 12 | potentially excused except for FPUC's Martin and all four |
| 13 | Progress witnesses. |
| 14 | MR. BEASLEY: Great. Thank you. |
| 15 | MR. BUTLER: Commissioner Brisé. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes, sir. |
| 17 | MR. BUTLER: Sorry to interrupt, but one point |
| 18 | for FPL. Mr. Beasley had just confirmed that discovery |
| 19 | with respect to cyber security responses weren't needed |
| 20 | for TECO. I just checked with staff, and at least my |
| 21 | understanding is that that applies also to FPL. We had |
| 22 | received discovery on cyber security issues, and I think |
| 23 | that I am correct in the understanding that FPL would not |
| 24 | need to respond to those as well. Confirm that with |
| 25 | staff. |
| | |

59

| 1 | MS. BENNETT: I will clarify that. That is for |
|----|---|
| 2 | all four utilities. We do not need the discovery on cyber |
| 3 | security for any of the utilities at this point. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you very much. |
| 5 | MR. BUTLER: Thank you. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Are there any other matters |
| 7 | that we need to take care of at this point? Okay. Seeing |
| 8 | none, we are going to adjourn 110007. I mean, sorry, |
| 9 | 110001. And we are moving forward to 110007. |
| 10 | I think it might be appropriate at this time to |
| 11 | take a five-minute break and give everyone a chance to |
| 12 | stretch their legs and walk around a little bit. |
| 13 | (Recess.) |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |

I

| | 61 |
|----------|--|
| 1 | |
| 2 | STATE OF FLORIDA) |
| 3 | : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
| 4 | COUNTY OF LEON) |
| 5 | I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services |
| 6 7 | Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated. |
| 8 | IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I |
| 8 9 | stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and |
| 10 | that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. |
| 11 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a |
| 12 | relative or employee of any of the parties, nor am 1 a counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. |
| 13 | |
| 14 15 | DATED THIS 24th day of October, 2011. |
| 16 | MAR ATUNA |
| 17 | JANE FAUROT, RPR |
| 18 | Official PSC Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6732 |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |