07770 OCT 24 =

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA PU	BLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:	DOGWEE NO. 11000E DI
4		DOCKET NO. 110007-EI
5	ENVIRONMENTAL COST CLAUSE.	RECOVERY ,
6		/
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING
14	CONTIGUIONED	
15	COMMISSIONER PARTICIPATING:	COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ PREHEARING OFFICER
16	DATE:	Thursday, October 20, 2011
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
18	14.02	Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
19		Tallahassee, Florida
20	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporter
21		(850) 413-6732
22		
23		
24		
25		DOCUMENT NUMBER (

APPEARANCES:

JAMES D. BEASLEY, ESQUIRE and J. JEFFRY
WAHLEN, ESQUIRE, Ausley Law Firm, Post Office Box
391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf
of Tampa Electric Company.

JEFFREY A. STONE, ESQUIRE, RUSSELL A.

BADDERS, ESQUIRE and STEVEN R. GRIFFIN, ESQUIRE,

Beggs & Lane Law Firm, Post Office Box 12950,

Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950, appearing on behalf

Gulf Power Company.

KAREN S. WHITE, STAFF ATTORNEY, and CAPTAIN SAMUEL MILLER, ESQUIRE, Federal Executive Agencies, c/o AFCESA-ULFSC139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1, Tyndall AFB, Florida 32403-5319, appearing on behalf of Federal Executive Agencies.

VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, ESQUIRE and JON C.

MOYLE, JR., ESQUIRE, Keefe, Anchors, Gordon & Moyle

Law Firm, 118 North Gadsden Street, Tallahassee,

Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida

Industrial Power Users Group.

JOHN T. BUTLER, ESQUIRE, Florida Power & Light Company, 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420, Florida Power & Light Company, appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Co.

APPEARANCES (continued):

GARY V. PERKO, ESQUIRE, Hopping Law Firm,
Post Office Box 6526, Tallahassee, Florida 32314,
appearing on behalf of Progress Energy Florida. Inc.

PATRICIA A. CHRISTENSEN, ESQUIRE and CHARLES REHWINKEL, ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison St., Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of Florida.

R. ALEXANDER GLENN, ESQUIRE, and JOHN T.

BURNETT, Progress Energy Service Company, LLC, Post

Office Box 14042, Saint Petersburg, Florida

33733-4042, appearing on behalf of Progress Energy

Florida, Inc.

MARTHA BROWN, ESQUIRE and CHARLIE MURPHY,
ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard
Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850,
appearing on behalf of the Florida Public Service
Commission Staff.

MARY ANNE HELTON, Deputy General Counsel, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, Advisor to the Florida Public Service Commission.

1 PROCEEDINGS

2.0

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Good morning, once again.

Today we are going to deal with the prehearing for the clause dockets. Today is October 20th, 2011, and we are going to deal with Docket Numbers 110003-GU, 110004-GU, 110001-EI, 110007-EI, 110002-EG. And, I guess, officially I must say that we called this prehearing to order, so I will do that. And I will ask staff to read the notice.

MS. CRAWFORD: Thank you, Commissioner.

Pursuant to notice, this time and place has been set aside for the purpose of conducting a prehearing conference in the following dockets:

110001-EI, 110002-EG, 110003-GU, 110004-GU, and
110007-EI. The purpose of the prehearing is set forth more fully in the notice.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you.

At this time I will take appearances, and since there are five dockets that we must address today, we're going to take all of the appearances at this time. We are going to from my left to right.

MR. GLENN: Alex Glenn on behalf of Progress Energy Florida. Also, I will enter an appearance for John Burnett, Dianne Triplett, and Gary Perko in the ECRC docket, as well.

MR. BADDERS: Good morning, Commissioner. 1 Russell Badders on behalf of Gulf Power Company. With me I have Jeffrey A. Stone and Steven 3 Griffin. We are all appearing on behalf of Gulf Power in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. 5 MR. BEASLEY: Good morning. James D. Beasley and J. Jeffry Wahlen of the 7 law firm of Ausley and McMullen. We are representing 8 Tampa Electric Company in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. 9 MR. BUTLER: Good morning, Commissioner. 10 John Butler, Florida Power and Light Company, 11 appearing in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. Also appearing 12 for FPL in the 02 docket is Ken Rubin. Thank you. 13 MS. KEATING: Good morning, Commissioner. 14 15 Beth Keating with the Gunster law firm 16 appearing today on behalf of FPUC in the 01 docket, FPUC in the 02 docket, FPUC and Florida City Gas in the 03 17 docket, and FPUC, FPUC Indiantown, Chesapeake, and 18 Florida City Gas in the 04 docket. 19 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Do you have a scorecard we 20 21 can use for that? 22 (Laughter.) Good morning. Joe McGlothlin of the Office of 23 Public Counsel. Also appearing will be Patty 24 Christensen and Charles Rehwinkel. 25

MS. BROWNLESS: Good morning. Suzanne 1 2 Brownless. I am appearing on behalf of the Florida Solar Industries Association in the 02 docket. 3 MR. CAVROS: Good morning. George Cavros on behalf of the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy 5 appearing in the 02 docket. 6 7 MR. MOYLE: John Moyle, Keefe Anchors Gordan & Moyle law firm, appearing on behalf of the Florida 8 Industrial Power Users Group, FIPUG. I'd like to also 9 10 enter an appearance for my colleague, Vicki Kaufman. 11 CAPTAIN MILLER: Good morning, Commissioner. 12 I'm Captain Sam Miller. I'm making an appearance on behalf of the Federal Executive Agencies. 13 14 I am also making an appearance on behalf Karen White for the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. 15 MR. TAYLOR: Al Taylor on behalf of PCS 16 Phosphate, I'm also entering an appearance for Jay Brew 17 in the 01 and 02 dockets. 18 MR. WRIGHT: Good morning, Commissioner. 19 Schef Wright appearing on behalf of the 20 Florida Retail Federation in the 0001 docket. I would 21 also like to enter an appearance for my partner, John T. 22 Lavia, III, in the fuel docket on behalf of the 23 Federation. Thank you. 24 25 MR. HORTON: Commissioner, Norman H. Horton,

1	Jr. appearing in the 04 docket on behalf of Sebring Gas
2	System, Inc.
3	MS. BENNETT: Commissioner Brisé oh, I'm
4	sorry.
5	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: I believe we have one
6	other appearance.
7	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I believe Mr. McGlothlin
8	also announced me, but Patty Christensen on behalf of
9	Office of Public Counsel.
10	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you.
11	Staff.
12	MS. BENNETT: Lisa Bennett and Martha Barrera
13	on behalf of the Public Service Commission in the 01
14	docket.
15	MS. BROWN: Martha Carter Brown and Charles
16	Murphy on behalf of the Commission in the 07 docket.
17	MS. TAN: Lee Eng Tan on behalf of the
18	Commission in the 02 docket.
19	MS. CRAWFORD: Jennifer Crawford on behalf of
20	the 03 and 04 docket. And, perhaps, Commissioner oh,
21	go ahead.
22	MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton, Advisor to the
23	Commission, in all the dockets.
24	MS. CRAWFORD: Sorry about that.
25	It would, perhaps, be appropriate to note for

1	the record that St. Joe Natural Gas Company and Peoples
2	Gas System have requested and received permission to be
3	excused from the prehearing conference.
4	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you.
5	* * * * * *
6	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. We will
7	reconvene at this time, and we will move to Docket
8	Number 110007-EI.
9	And, Ms. Brown, are there any preliminary
10	matters?
11	MS. BROWN: Commissioner, I'm not aware of any
12	preliminary matters. I don't know if the parties have
13	any or not.
14	MS. CHRISTENSEN: One minor preliminary
15	matter. Patty Christensen with the Office of Public
16	Counsel. If, for appearances, we could reflect Mr.
17	McGlothlin.
18	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you.
19	MS. BROWN: Yes, we will. And also,
20	Commissioner, I think I lost track of Gary Perko's
21	address, and I have him at his old office, so he can
22	provide me with the new information.
23	MR. PERKO: Sure. Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Does that take care of
25	all the preliminary matters at this time?

All right. At this time let's go through the draft prehearing order. I will identify the sections and I want the parties to let me know if there are any corrections or changes that need to be made. We may go quickly through a lot of this, so don't hesitate to speak up if you have a change or correction that needs to be made.

Section I, conduct of proceedings. Any changes or corrections? This is sort of backwards. So it should be case background, right?

MS. BROWN: Yes, I think so. Excuse me.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Section I, case background. Section II, conduct of proceedings.

Section III, jurisdiction. Section IV, procedure for handling confidential information. Section V, prefiled testimony and exhibits, witnesses. Section VI, order of witnesses.

Staff.

MS. BROWN: Commissioners, we expect that most witnesses' testimony and exhibits will be stipulated into the record with the exception of PEF's Witness Foster and Gulf's Witness Vick. The parties will be notified as soon as possible, depending on how the issues flesh out here in the prehearing conference, whether the Commissioners will have any questions for

any of those other witnesses, and we will let them know as soon as we can.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MS. BROWN: The testimony of the excused witnesses will be inserted into the record as though read, and all exhibits submitted with those witnesses' testimony will be identified as shown in Section X of the prehearing order.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

Section VII, basic positions. Section VIII, issues and positions.

Staff.

MS. BROWN: Yes. Commissioner, if I just might by way of information explain that the way these issues are laid out, the generic issues come first.

These are the issues that appear every year in the ECRC docket, and the company-specific issues appear last.

That's the reverse of what Ms. Bennett does. I just wanted to let you know.

With respect to Issue 1, I guess now would be the time to ask OPC and FIPUG if they are going to take any positions on these issues going forward. They haven't -- I've attempted to ask them and not heard from them.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Only to the extent that they are impacted by positions that we have taken on a company-specific. And I think if my recollection is correct, there is the CR-3 issue for Progress and there is the turbine issue for Gulf. And otherwise I think for TECO and FPL we would have no position on the remaining issues. But as far as the generic issues are impacted as a fallout of removing dollars from those two projects, then we would take that position, and we can follow up in writing with some sort of written statement to that effect.

MS. BROWN: That would be very helpful,

Commissioner. Issue 10G is the Progress issue, and

Issue 11C is the Gulf issue.

MR. PERKO: Commissioner Brisé, it's my understanding that Issue 1 would not be affected by the resolution of 10G, so I think we might be able to indicate whether they took a position at that time, at this time.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. It would be helpful as we go through the issues if Progress could state whether CR-3 effects that particular issue. It might help expedite the process.

FIPUG.

MR. MOYLE: Yes, we would agree with OPC that

the Crystal River 3 issue that we have talked about in the previous proceeding permeates -- to the extent it permeates some of these issues, our position would be the same that no dollars associated with the Crystal River 3 should be recovered, even though it's now in the environmental clause as compared to the fuel clause. And we also have an issue with recovery of the Gulf turbine through this clause.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MS. BROWN: If we go through the individual issues, I think we can identify which ones FIPUG and OPC take no position on. But Issue 1, it's my understanding for all four utilities, the outstanding issues in the docket don't affect the numbers for the true-ups for 2010.

MR. PERKO: That is my understanding as well with respect to Progress Energy.

MS. BROWN: And in that instance, staff has not taken a position, but will now. And staff's position is the same as the numbers reflected by the utilities. So for FPL, for example, I won't go and read them all, \$5,036,426 overrecovery. And we agree, staff agrees with those numbers, and I think then that we can have a stipulated issue for Issue 1.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Gulf wanted to --

1	MR. BADDERS: Yes. For Gulf, I'm not sure if
2	anyone has taken issue with the 2010 true-up amount.
3	MS. BROWN: I don't think so.
4	MR. BADDERS: So I believe that one might be
5	possible.
6	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think for 2010, I think
7	we can take no position. I think that's correct.
8	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.
9	MR. MOYLE: And to the extent there are no
10	dollars sought for those turbines in 2010, we would
11	agree.
12	MS. BROWN: Then it's my understanding that is
13	a stipulated issue.
14	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right.
15	MR. PERKO: Just for clarification, with
16	respect to Progress, as well?
17	MR. MOYLE: I'm not comfortable on Issue 1
18	stipulating to it with respect to the Crystal River 3
19	dollars.
20	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.
21	MR. MOYLE: So we would maintain our position
22	as any dollars associated with the Crystal River 3
23	outage which are included herein should not be
24	recovered.
25	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Staff, do we need

them to articulate that position in writing to us? 1 MS. BROWN: Yes, that would be great, as soon 2 as they can. 3 MS. CHRISTENSEN: We will do that. 4 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 5 MS. BROWN: As to FPL, TECO, and Gulf, 6 however, that issue can be stipulated. 7 MR. MOYLE: That's correct. 8 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So we have a Type 9 B Stipulation as we would call it. 10 MS. BROWN: Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Like in the last one, for 12 FPL, TECO, and Gulf. 13 MS. BROWN: Yes, Commissioner. 14 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Moving on to Issue 15 2. 16 MS. BROWN: With respect to Issue 2, I need to 17 hear from the parties regarding Gulf and Progress. 18 don't think that there's any objection to the numbers 19 for Issue 2 for FPL and TECO. 20 MS. CHRISTENSEN: OPC would take no position 21 on FPL and TECO. With regards to PEF and Gulf, to the 22 extent that the turbine for Gulf or the CR-3 for PEF, 23 then we would have a position, and we will provide it in 24 writing by Friday. 25

1 MR. PERKO: Just for the record, it's my 2 understanding that the numbers in Issue Number 2 would 3 not be affected by the resolution of Issue 10G. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Gulf. MR. BADDERS: That may not be the case on 5 I'll have to confirm that. 6 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. 7 MS. BROWN: Well, for now, then, let's leave 8 9 it where there is a Type B Stipulation for FPL and TECO, 10 and then the parties can get back to me with their positions for Gulf and Progress. 11 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Are we comfortable 12 with Issue 2? 13 MS. CHRISTENSEN: 14 COMMISSIONER BRISE: Okay. Moving on to Issue 15 16 3. 17 MS. BROWN: Commissioner, with respect to Issue 3, the staff has requested that Progress and Gulf, 18 19 the companies that have the outstanding company-specific 20 issues, provide us with two sets of numbers reflecting 21 whichever way the Commission goes on the company-specific issues. And we will in staff's 22 23 position for this issue reflect that alternative, so it is clear to the Commissioners when we get to the hearing what the numbers will look like. We have received this 25

information from Gulf, and we expect to receive it from 1 Progress shortly. With respect to FPL and TECO, staff's 2 numbers agree with theirs, and we can propose a 3 stipulation for them. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 5 MS. CHRISTENSEN: We can take no position on 6 FPL and TECO, and to the extent that the numbers reflect 7 the removal of the turbines and the CR-3 costs, we can 8 take no position as to that. And then the other ones we 9 would provide a written position, or however you want to 10 accommodate that. 11 MS. BROWN: Right. But, Commissioner, when I 12 prepare the final prehearing order for you, I will 13 clarify that in this issue, and the parties can give me 14 the information. 15 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Right. We would certainly 16 take no position with those dollars removed, but with 17 them in there, I will provide the written position. 18 MS. BROWN: Right. 19 **COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:** Okay. 20 MR. MOYLE: FIPUG would take the same position 21 as OPC. 22 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. FEA, same position 23

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CAPTAIN MILLER: Yes, Commissioner.

as FIPUG?

24

25

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Gulf, anything?

Progress? Okay. So we are comfortable with Issue 3.

Moving on to Issue 4.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, Issue 4, we have also requested two sets of numbers from Progress and Gulf reflecting the alternatives that the Commission may choose between for those contested issues. The numbers for FPL and TECO staff agrees with, and we will reflect those numbers for Gulf. And if the intervenors would like, we can make the same notation of their position as for Issue 3.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: That would be correct. No position for FPL and TECO, and no petition with the dollars removed for Progress and Gulf relating to the CR-3 and the turbines. And then we'll provide you a written position for the others.

MR. MOYLE: The same.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. That seems to be a consistent position.

MS. BROWN: Yes, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Moving on to Issue 5.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, this is a standard issue that we have every year. I believe it's a possible stipulated issue, and can reflect in the final

1 prehearing order that it is a stipulation, a proposed stipulation for the Commission to decide on. And if I'm not correct, I would appreciate the parties letting me 3 know. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So we will go with 5 6 the parties one-by-one just letting us know where you are on the possible stipulated issue on Issue 5. 7 MR. PERKO: For Progress Energy, we can 8 9 stipulate that issue. MR. BADDERS: As can Gulf. 10 11 MR. BEASLEY: As can Tampa Electric. MR. BUTLER: The same for FPL. 12 13 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position for OPC. MR. MOYLE: No position. 14 15 CAPTAIN MILLER: No position. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you. 16 Issue 6. 17 MS. BROWN: Commissioners, staff is okay with 18 the jurisdictional separation factors for all the 19 I believe -- I would like to hear from OPC and 20 parties. FIPUG and FEA, but I believe this can be a stipulated 21 22 issue. 23 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes. We would take no position. 2.4

MR. MOYLE: No position.

25

CAPTAIN MILLER: No position.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. And the parties?
Okay. We're fine with 6.

Issue Number 7.

MS. BROWN: With respect to Issue Number 7, there are two sets of numbers for Progress, again, that we are going to be receiving, and Gulf, to reflect the issues that are in dispute. With respect to FPL and TECO, staff agrees with the numbers represented here, and we can have stipulations for FPL and TECO, I believe.

motion as to FPL and TECO. And as to Progress and Gulf, we would have no position on the amount that reflects the removal of the turbine and the CR-3 amounts, and the other one we will provide a written response.

MR. MOYLE: The same position.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

CAPTAIN MILLER: Same position.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Very good.
Moving on to Issue 8.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, this is an issue that is in the prehearing every year, and I believe this is a possible stipulated issue unless the parties say otherwise.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. We'll go down one-by-one, once again, on Issue 8.

MR. BUTLER: Excuse me, Commissioner Brisé.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes, sir.

MR. BUTLER: Let me interject. I may be a little bit out of line here, but FPL would like to propose that we stipulate to the language in this docket that we approved as the stipulated language for the corresponding issue in the 01 docket. I will just read that into the record and see if that would be acceptable.

The language that was approved for the 01 docket reads, "The new factors should be effective beginning with the first billing cycle for January 2012. The first billing cycle may start before January 1, 2012, but thereafter the cost-recovery factors should remain in effect until modified by the Commission."

MR. MOYLE: Could I just ask a question, because I may be able to agree. The removal of the language that I think as originally proposed removes the language that says it would be in effect through December 2012. And I'm curious as to whether there is contemplation that what's approved would go beyond December 2012. Is that the reason for the removal of what appears to be an end date?

MR. BUTLER: I think the idea is, and certainly the expectation is that they would end on December 31, 2012, or the end of the cycle 2012. I think the idea of the wording in the 01 docket was to cover the remote possibility that for some reason, you know, that a decision got delayed on the new factors, that the factors approved here would stay in effect until modified.

MR. MOYLE: That sounds like a yes, then. I guess it would flow in through the next year according to the language.

MR. BUTLER: Yes. In that circumstance, if for some reason there was a delay in the decision on the new factors. I mean, obviously if things happen as expected you would have the modification, whatever was in the new factors would take the place of the ones that had been approved this year.

MR. MOYLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for allowing that clarification.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: How does that work for you?

MR. MOYLE: I think it's safer for me to take no position on that rather than to agree to it. Because if I understand what Mr. Butler said, to the extent that there is not action, it may be that there is no recovery

of monies, but we'll take no position. 1 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. OPC. 2 MS. CHRISTENSEN: OPC would take no position, 3 as well. 4 5 MS. BROWN: And, Commissioner, my apologies. Mr. Butler and I talked to about this and I missed it. 6 7 Staff doesn't have any problem with the language since 8 it is already part of the 01 and it probably ought to be consistent. 9 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So, therefore, 10 this would not necessarily be stipulated. 11 MS. BROWN: I think it is stipulated. 12 Ιt 13 would be your Type B Stipulation, and the stipulation would be to the new language that FPL read into the 14 15 record. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Progress, are you 16 comfortable with that language? 17 MR. PERKO: Progress can accept that language, 18 19 yes. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Gulf? 20 21 MR. BADDERS: Gulf can accept the language. 22 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: TECO? 23 MR. BEASLEY: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER BRISE: Thank you. So that will 24 25 be a Type B Stipulation.

Issue 9. We are moving into the 1 company-specific issues, so beginning with FPL, Issue 9. 2 MS. BROWN: Yes, this is Issue 9A, 3 Commissioner, and I believe this is a stipulated issue 4 if FPL, OPC -- I mean, if OPC, FIPUG, and FEA take no 5 position. 6 MS. CHRISTENSEN: OPC takes no position. 7 MS. BROWN: If I might, perhaps, speed this up 8 a little bit. My understanding is that all of the 9 issues -- well, no, there are going to be some changes. 10 We better go through --11 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: One-by-one. 12 MS. BROWN: -- one-by-one, yes. But we will 13 14 reflect Issue 9A as a stipulated issue. 15 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. OPC, no position. FIPUG, no position. FEA, no position. Okay. 16 9B. 17 MS. BROWN: I think we have a stipulation on 18 Issue 9B, if OPC, FIPUG, and FEA take no position. 19 20 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position. 21 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. No position? All right. 9C. 22 MS. BROWN: The same with 9C, although I have 23 a typo in staff's position I would point out. 24 second line of staff's position, Environmental 25

1	Protection Agency should be two words. Put a space in
2	there. But other than that, I think this is a
3	stipulated issue.
4	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. OPC?
5	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position.
6	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: FIPUG?
7	MR. MOYLE: No position.
8	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: FEA?
9	CAPTAIN MILLER: No position.
10	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Moving to 9D.
11	MS. BROWN: Commissioner, I think this is a
12	stipulated issue, also.
13	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position.
14	MR. MOYLE: No position.
15	CAPTAIN MILLER: No position.
16	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.
17	9E.
18	MS. BROWN: Commissioner, if I might just
19	clarify. FIPUG now takes no position on this issue.
20	They did agree with staff.
21	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: On 9B?
22	MR. MOYLE: I'm sorry, we'll maintain the
23	agree with staff.
24	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay, perfect. Thank
25	you. 9E.

MS. BROWN: All right. 9E. We have, I 1 believe, a stipulation on 9E, as well, depending on 2 whether OPC, FIPUG, and FEA take a position. 3 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position. 4 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 5 MR. MOYLE: No position. 6 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. 7 MS. BROWN: Commissioner, there are two little 8 periods after FIPUG's position agree with staff. Take 9 one of those out. 10 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 11 MS. BROWN: And other than that, I think we 12 have a stipulated issue. 13 MS. CHRISTENSEN: OPC would take no position. 14 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. FIPUG maintains 15 its agree with staff? 16 MR. MOYLE: We agree with staff, right. 17 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: FEA, no position. 18 CAPTAIN MILLER: Agree with staff. 19 COMMISSIONER BRISE: Agree with staff, okay. 20 9G. 21 MS. BROWN: Commissioner, I think we have a 22 proposed stipulation on 9G. There is one change to be 23 made to staff's position. That position now reads, "FPL 24 has agreed to remove." We would like to change that to 25

1 cross out agreed to and leave FPL has removed the projected ESP project costs. We have received new 2 filings from FPL to reflect that they took those costs 3 out. 4 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: 5 Okay. MS. BROWN: And my understanding for FIPUG's 6 7 position is that FIPUG does not object to the 8 stipulation, but doesn't join in it. And I don't know whether FIPUG wants to keep that language in the front 9 portion of its position or not, since the costs have now 10 been removed from the factor. 11 MR. MOYLE: No. Our motion was it should be 12 removed. It sounds like FPL is removing it, so, you 13 14 know, we can take no position. MS. BROWN: No position? 15 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: No position. 16 MS. BROWN: All right. Well, then we have a 17 stipulated issue. 18 MS. CHRISTENSEN: And Office of Public Counsel 19 would take no position. 2.0 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. FEA you have agree 21 22 with staff. And, FPL, would you take no position or maintain that position? 23 CAPTAIN MILLER: We will take no position. 24 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 25 9H.

1	MS. BROWN: 9H is another possible stipulation
2	if the intervenors take no position.
3	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position.
4	MR. MOYLE: No position.
5	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So it's
6	stipulated. 9I.
7	MS. BROWN: 9I is another possible stipulation
8	if the intervenors take no position.
9	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position for OPC.
10	MR. MOYLE: No position.
11	CAPTAIN MILLER: No position.
12	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Moving on to
13	Progress Energy.
14	Issue 10A.
15	MS. BROWN: Commissioner, I believe Issue
16	10 is also a stipulated issue if the intervenors take no
17	position.
18	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position.
19	MR. MOYLE: No position.
20	CAPTAIN MILLER: No position.
21	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 10B.
22	MS. BROWN: 10B also is a stipulation if the
23	intervenors take no position.
24	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position.
25	MR. MOYLE: No position.

1	CAPTAIN MILLER: No position.
2	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 10C.
3	MS. BROWN: 10C also is a stipulation if the
4	intervenors take no position.
5	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position.
6	MR. MOYLE: No position.
7	CAPTAIN MILLER: No position.
8	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. We just did
9	10C, right?
10	MS. BROWN: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Moving on to 10D.
12	MS. BROWN: This is also a stipulated issue if
13	the intervenors take no position.
14	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position.
15	MR. MOYLE: No position.
16	CAPTAIN MILLER: No position.
17	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. 10E.
18	MS. BROWN: Commissioners, on 10E there are a
19	couple of changes to be made. The issue itself should
20	be take out the word annual. It says CAIR-related
21	annual NOx allowances. It should say CAIR-related NOx
22	allowances.
23	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.
24	MS. BROWN: And then if we flip to staff's
25	position, there are some changes to be made there to

take out the word annual in the second paragraph, third 1 line down. And the third paragraph on Page 24, the last 2 sentence in that paragraph, take out annual before NOx The last paragraph, take out the word annual allowance. in the first line and in the next to the last line, and 5 then we have an addition to the issue at the end to say 6 after it says NOx allowances through the ECRC, put a 7 comma there and include, "and expense the amount into 8 the ECRC based on actual usage consistent with current 9 practice." And I think if we make these changes -- go 10 11 ahead. MR. PERKO: I wasn't sure if we cut all the 12 annuals. On the last paragraph, on Page 24, Line 4. 13 Oops, we missed an annual. MS. BROWN: 14

MR. PERKO: With that clarification, I believe we can accept the language and this would be a stipulated issue.

MS. BROWN: Unless the parties -- if the intervenors take no position.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Office of Public Counsel takes no position.

MR. MOYLE: No position.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CAPTAIN MILLER: No position.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So with that this will be a stipulated issue for 10E. Moving forward with

1 10F.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, I believe this is a stipulated issue, as well, if the intervenors take no position.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position.

MR. MOYLE: No position.

CAPTAIN MILLER: No position.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. Okay. 10G.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, this is the issue that is contested, so we won't be able to reach a stipulation today. But staff is prepared to take a position on this issue, and it is this issue should be resolved consistent with the decision the Commission will make on Issue 1C in Docket Number 110001-EI, because, Commissioner, when you get to the hearing, we will go first on that and have the evidence presented in that docket, and we want to be consistent.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MR. PERKO: Commissioner Brisé.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes.

MR. PERKO: Gary Perko on behalf of Progress Energy. I just had a question. In light of OPC and FIPUG taking no position on the revised numbers, if the Commission decides to back out the CR-3 costs, I'm not sure why testimony from Mr. Foster would be necessary.

I was wondering if we could stipulate his testimony in.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: As I suggested with Mr.

Glenn, we are certainly happy to look at the witnesses.

It is Mr. Rehwinkel's issue, and if he feels

comfortable, I'm sure he can agree to excuse any witness
that's not necessary. And if that witness was only
going to be proffered for the dollar amounts associated
with it and they are produced ahead of time and he can
take a look at it and we take no position, we may be in
a position to agree prior to the hearing and try to
avoid some of the travel costs for Progress' witnesses.

But at this time, I would not feel comfortable with
agreeing to the excusal of any of Progress' witnesses in
this docket. But we can get together with them, or Mr.
Rehwinkel can and discuss that prior to the hearing.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: FIPUG.

MR. MOYLE: We will do the same. We are not able to do that this morning, but we will work with counsel, and to the extent we don't feel we need them for anything, we will work in good faith to let them go.

I guess to the extent that staff was marrying this issue to the 1C issue that was in the fuel docket, we had put forward another issue that tied to 1C which raised the constitutional issues of due process and taking, and so that we are lined up properly and, you

know, whatever is decided on those issues match throughout, we would also suggest that that issue be included in this docket, as well. And if you want, I can read it for the record, or we can refer back to the transcript.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, you can take that under advisement, if you would like.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: As I did for the last one.

MR. PERKO: But it was my understanding that was not accepted as an issue but as a position from FIPUG, is that correct?

MS. BROWN: I think the Commissioner took it under advisement.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: I said I would take it under advisement.

MR. PERKO: Thank you.

MR. MOYLE: And I guess the same thing here.

I mean, our issue is, you know, if yes, does this recovery constitute an unconstitutional taking of customer property or violate constitutional due process provisions. We think that is an issue. It should be a live issue. It should be decided and briefed and we would ask that it be included just as we asked that it be included in the 01 docket. And I understand that

issue as to whether to include it or not is under 1 advisement. 2 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right. And I will take 3 that under advisement in this case, as well. MS. CHRISTENSEN: And before we leave this 5 issue, Commissioner, I would just ask that OPC's 6 position on this be expanded to read similar to FIPUG's, 7 which is no, and then PEF should not be permitted to 8 recover any further costs related to the CR-3 extended 9 10 outage until the issues in Docket Number 100437-EI are 11 resolved. CAPTAIN MILLER: And, Mr. Commissioner, FEA 12 would adopt that position also. 13 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. 14 MS. BROWN: We will have that reflected in the 15 final prehearing order. 16 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. 17 Does that take care of everything that we need to take care 18 of with Issue 10G at this time? 19 MS. BROWN: Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. That takes care of 21 all of the Progress-specific issues for this section. 22 So moving to Gulf Power-specific issues. 23 Issue 11. 24 MS. BROWN: Commissioner, Issue 11A, I 25

1	believe, is a stipulated issue if the intervenors take
2	no position.
3	MS. CHRISTENSEN: OPC takes no position.
4	MR. MOYLE: No position.
5	CAPTAIN MILLER: No position.
6	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.
7	11B.
8	MS. BROWN: This also is a stipulated issue if
9	the intervenors take no position or agree with staff,
10	whichever.
11	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.
12	MS. CHRISTENSEN: We take no position.
13	MR. MOYLE: No position.
14	CAPTAIN MILLER: No position.
15	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.
16	MR. MOYLE: Actually, you know what, on 11B we
17	agreed with staff, so we'll maintain that position.
18	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay, perfect. You will
19	maintain that to be consistent.
20	MS. BROWN: And, I'm sorry, I didn't hear
21	FEA's position.
22	CAPTAIN MILLER: We'll actually agree with
23	staff on that.
24	MS. BROWN: Okay.
25	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Issue 11C.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, this is the 1 contested issue. Staff's position is here. We don't 2 have a position from FEA yet. The position from OPC and 3 FIPUG we have. We have nothing from FEA. CAPTAIN MILLER: FEA would agree with staff on 5 this. 6 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 7 MS. BROWN: So this will be reflected as a 8 9 contested issue for the hearing. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. 10 11D. 11 MS. BROWN: I believe this is a stipulated 12 issue if the intervenors take no position. 13 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No position. 14 15 MR. MOYLE: No position. CAPTAIN MILLER: No position. 16 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. 17 11E. 18 MS. BROWN: Commissioner, I'm not sure we are 19 at a stipulation on this issue because OPC has added 20 21 some language that we haven't really reviewed. So what I would suggest is that we hear from FIPUG and FEA and 22 then discuss with OPC language that we can agree to. 23 And if we can, we'll reflect this as stipulated issue in 24 the final prehearing order. 25

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. FIPUG?

MR. MOYLE: Yes. It looks to me like OPC is trying to preserve the ability to recapture any dollars associated with the turbines that are in dispute, so I guess there will be a conversation to that extent. But if that's the intent, then we would adopt the position of OPC.

CAPTAIN MILLER: FEA would also adopt the position of OPC.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So that will remain a contested issue?

MS. BROWN: For now, but I don't think there is really that much disagreement, so we may be able to reach an agreement.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Does that take care of all the company-specific issues?

MS. BROWN: Yes, it does, Commissioner. This might be the time to go back to the witnesses and see which witnesses might be excused, stipulate the testimony into the record. That would be on Page 4, order of witnesses.

If the intervenors agree and the parties agree, it looks as if FPL's Witness Keith and LaBauve could be excused if the other Commissioners don't have any questions for them.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Office of Public Counsel has no objection to the excusal of FPL and TECO's witnesses. And regarding Progress' witnesses, as I suggested earlier, we would have to get back with the parties on that issue, and I would like to have Mr. Rehwinkel review that and determine for himself which witnesses he thinks he would need to have available. And as far as Gulf goes, I think there was only two issues, and it looks like they both may be addressing that issue, but we could also look at that a little bit closer if there appears that one of them could be excused. And we can have that discussion with Mr. Badders prior to the hearing.

MS. BROWN: It would be good if you could have -- if you would keep staff in that loop, so that I can go around to the Commissioners to see if they have any questions.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Oh, clearly. It is just -MS. BROWN: And we have ten days until the
hearing.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Understandable, and I will try and resolve this as quickly as possible. But just from the appearance of right now, I can't tell which -- if there is one of the Gulf witnesses that doesn't need to be there. One of them will obviously need to be

which one is the best issue -- or the best witness and which one may just be a numbers fallout person. And to the extent we are going to get the fallout numbers, we can look at those and feel comfortable enough with those, I think we have taken no position on it. I think we might be in a position to excuse the numbers person.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Gulf.

MR. BADDERS: We'll work with the Office of Public Counsel on that, and I believe we will be able to reach an accommodation on at least one of the witnesses.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MR. MOYLE: And FIPUG essentially would take the same position as OPC, and not excuse Progress Energy or Gulf witnesses at this point, but with the expectation that there will be excusals as we have discussions with counsel for the respective parties, and we don't have a need to talk to any FPL or TECO witnesses.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. FEA.

CAPTAIN MILLER: Same position as OPC.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. Staff.

MS. BROWN: We will reflect that and expect to hear from the parties as soon as possible on that. I would just add that if the position that we are taking

in this issue regarding Progress is piggybacking on the issue that is going to be decided in 01, that evidence and testimony really would pretty much already be in the record in 01. I'm not sure we would need all of these witnesses, but they can look and see what they want to do. MR. PERKO: I would tend to agree with Ms. Brown, but we look forward to further discussions with the parties.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you.

Okay. Moving to Section IX, which is the exhibit list.

MS. BROWN: Yes, Commissioner. We will prepare a comprehensive exhibit list for the hearing and that will include all prefiled exhibits reflected in Section IX of the prehearing order, as well as a stipulated composite exhibit of all staff's discovery exhibits that it wishes to put in the record, and we will run that by the parties. It is almost ready, and to get their input into whether we can stipulate to those issues. And we will -- we'll have that at the hearing.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MR. BUTLER: Commissioner Brisé, one small point on Section IX. Mr. Keith's Exhibit TJK-3, we need

to add the word revised in there. We filed a revision of that. That is actually what Ms. Brown was referring to earlier where we removed the costs associated with the ESP project.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MS. BROWN: Excuse me, that is Keith TJK-3 should read revised appendix one?

MR. BUTLER: No, just revised. Like the TJK-2 above it, just add the word revised.

MS. BROWN: Okay. I've got it.

MR. PERKO: Commissioner, I think the same would be true for Mr. Foster's Exhibit TGF-3 as revised. We filed a revised -- some revisions to that exhibit to reflect the agreement with staff on Issue 10E.

MS. BROWN: All right. We'll reflect that in the prehearing order.

commissioner Brisé: Thank you. Any other issues or concerns with respect to the exhibit list?

Okay. Section X, proposed stipulations.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, in the final prehearing order I will reflect the stipulations that we have met, we have reached today, and that will show up in Section X. And I would point out it does appear we have Issue 10G and 11C and maybe one other that are contested.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Pending motions.

MS. BROWN: I'm not aware of any,

Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Are there any -- okay.

Issue 12. I mean, Section XII, rather, pending confidentiality matters.

MS. BROWN: There are a few pending confidentiality matters, and they will be addressed by separate order.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. Section XIII, post-hearing procedures.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, as Ms. Bennett mentioned in 01, usually we do have a bench decision in this case, and don't require post-hearing procedures. But if Issue 10G and 11C are not stipulated, I'm not sure what the parties' position will be on filing post-hearing position and issues. If they want to -- I have actually been having discussions with Gulf on whether they believe we can do a bench decision, the Commission could have a bench decision in that issue.

I don't think that's going to be the case for Progress. And in that light, the briefing schedule would be very short, probably the same as in 01, and the positions should be no longer than 50 words, and the briefs should be no longer than 40 pages.

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
	2	
	3	
2	4	

MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think the Office of Public Counsel would like the opportunity to brief the turbine issue as well as the CR-3 issue. The CR-3 issue, I think, can be kind of intertwined with the 01, to that respect. And then the turbine issue, I don't know how much that will take; I think it will be a relatively short argument on that. But we would like the opportunity, and if we can have daily transcripts, then the November 8th date should be doable.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, I will request daily transcripts from the Clerk's Office, and I think we'll have probably six days to file the briefs, because staff will need time to prepare a written recommendation for that 22nd agenda.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Progress?

MR. PERKO: That's fine.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Gulf?

MR. GRIFFIN: That's fine for Gulf.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So, once again, all briefs need to be in by the 8th.

MS. BROWN: I think so, Commissioner. I haven't actually scheduled that yet, but I think it would be the same, because there is just no time.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right. Section XIV, rulings. Staff, do you have some suggestions?

MS. BROWN: Yes, Commissioner. We have suggested that opening statements not exceed five minutes. There was discussion on that in 01. If you want to hold off on that ruling and think about how much time you want the parties to have, that would be fine.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. I will hold off on ruling on that, but I will give you my thought process on how I will get there. Obviously there will be parties that have different positions on these two -- I mean, these issues, and I will probably provide parties with the same amount of time on two separate sides. So if there is an issue and there are multiple parties who may be considering what I would consider one side of the issue, then we will give the block of time to one and the block of time to the other to have that divided. And I will give that some more thought. If you have some thoughts on that, this is the time for you to express that. But I'm just giving you how I'm thinking and where I'm thinking about that.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, it appears to me that the 01 and 07 related to the CR-3 are just integrally related, and that can probably be addressed in one opening statement maybe with the 01 portion of it. And to the extent that we do an opening statement on the turbine issue, I think that five minutes should

be sufficient for that, I would think. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I mean, keeping that in mind, because I don't -- since all the Commissioners won't be present for all the dockets, I don't think they need to have a rehashing of the issue in opening statements twice. And I think it would probably be preferable for us to give the bulk of the time in the 01 for the CR-3 issue, and then just to the extent we do some shorter opening on the turbine issue in the 07.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. FIPUG.

MR. MOYLE: I tend to think that it will work out if there is additional time provided in 01, we won't need it in this process. I will say I have a little concern with respect to the notion of providing, you know, the utility five minutes for openings and then providing all of us on this side five minutes. Because I did quick math; if you do the four parties divided by five, you get a minute and fifteen seconds to, you know, hit the turbine issue and the other issue. think that's enough time. I think -- I don't think parties over the years have abused the opening process that I can recall, so I would encourage that the parties' five minutes is appropriate in this docket. Ι think we can handle it, particularly if we are given ten minutes in the other. But I would urge it be five

minutes per party, and we will work not to be redundant or repetitive on that.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MR. PERKO: I would tend to agree with Ms.

Stevenson (sic) regarding the need for additional opening statements or argument regarding the Progress CR-3 issue, 10G. So I think if we do have opening statements there, it can be limited to five minutes.

MR. GRIFFIN: On the Gulf Power turbine issue, five minutes should be plenty for Gulf's portion of that. And I'm not going to argue that they should only get a 1:15, but, I mean, obviously I would not like to hear six five minute openings.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think there is only three of us that are even interested in that topic, so I think it will be relatively short anyway.

commissioner Brisé: Okay. As I stated earlier, I will render a ruling on that a little bit later. I mean, as part of the prehearing order, and I was just giving you a sense of what my thought process was. Other matters.

MS. BROWN: There are no other matters,

Commissioner. But as you just pointed out, we will

reflect the rulings that you have made today, the

rulings that you will make in this Section XIV of the prehearing order. There are no other matters that I'm aware of.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you very much. So at this point we will adjourn that particular docket.

We are going to move to 02, 110002-EI.

MR. MOYLE: And just on that point before we left that, I will probably get a ruling on that effort to have that issue asserted as well in the environmental clause about the constitutional issue.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right.

* * * * * * *

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	
5	I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was
6	heard at the time and place herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that
8 9	the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
10 11	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the
12	parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
13	DATED THIS 24th day of October, 2011.
14	
15	ane tunot
16	JAME FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter
17	3 50) 413-6732
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	