# AUSLEY \& MCMULLEN <br> ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW <br> 123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET <br> P.O. BOX 391 (Z1P 32302) <br> TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 <br> ( 850 ) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222.7560 



December 15, 2011

## HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Ann Cole, Director

Office of Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
$\qquad$ claim of confidentiality
$\qquad$ notice of intent request for confidentiality filed by OPC

For DN Of $992-11$, which is in locked storage. You must be authorized to view this DN.-CLK

## Re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor FPSC Docket No. 110001-EI

## Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are the original and ten (i) recievied - eon Electric Company's Request for Specified Confidential Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order relating to portions of Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 423-2(a) and 423-2(b) for the month of October 2011.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.
Sincerely,

COM
ABA
CR


CL

SR
ADM

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power )
$\qquad$
FILED: December 15, 2011

## TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S <br> REQUEST FOR SPECIFIED CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT AND MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pursuant to §366.093, Fla. Stat., Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "the company") submits the following Request for Specified Confidential Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order relating to the company's Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 423-2(a) and 4232(b) for the month of October 2011:

1. Attached hereto as Exhibit " A " is a detailed justification for the requested confidential treatment of the highlighted portions of Tampa Electric's 423 Forms for the month of October 2011.
2. Tampa Electric requests that the information for which Tampa Electric seeks confidential classification not be declassified until the dates specified in Exhibit " B " to this request. The time periods requested are necessary to allow Tampa Electric's affiliated companies to negotiate future contracts without their competitors (and other Customers) having access to information which would adversely affect the ability of these affiliates to negotiate future contracts. The period of time requested will ultimately protect Tampa Electric and its Customers.
3. The material for which classification is sought is intended to be and is treated by Tampa Electric and its affiliates as private and has not been disclosed.

WHEREFORE, Tampa Electric submits the foregoing as its request for confidential treatment and motion for temporary protective order relating to the information identified as Exhibit "A".

DATED this $15^{\text {th }}$ day of December 2011.
Respectfully submitted,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { JAMES D. BEASLEY } \\
& \text { J. JEFFRY WAHLEN } \\
& \text { Ausley \& McMullen } \\
& \text { Post Office Box } 391 \\
& \text { Tallahassee, Florida } 32302 \\
& \text { (850) 224-9115 } \\
& \text { ATTORNEYS FOR TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY }
\end{aligned}
$$

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing Request for Specified Confidential
Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order, filed on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, has been furnished by hand delivery $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ or U. S. Mail on this $\qquad$ day of December 2011 to the following individuals:

Ms. Lisa Bennett*
Senior Attorney
Office of General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
Mr. John T. Burnett
Associate General Counsel
Progress Energy Service Co., LLC
Post Office Box 14042
St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042
Mr. Paul Lewis, Jr.
Progress Energy Service Co., LLC
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740
Ms. Vicki Kaufman
Mr. Jon C Moyle
Keefe Anchors Gordon \& Moyle, PA
118 N. Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Ms. Patricia A. Christensen
Associate Public Counsel
Office of Public Counsel
111 West Madison Street - Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
Ms. Beth Keating
Gunster, Yoakley \& Stewart, P.A.
215 S. Monroe St., Suite 618
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Karen S. White, Staff Attorney c/o AFCESA-ULFSC
139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1
Tyndall AFB, FL 32403-5319
Mr. Tom Geoffroy
Florida Public Utilities Company
P. O. Box 3395

West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395
Mr. John T. Butler
Managing Attorney - Regulatory
Florida Power \& Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
Mr. Kenneth Hoffman
Florida Power \& Light Company
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859
Ms. Susan Ritenour
Secretary and Treasurer
Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place
Pensacola, FL 32520-0780
Mr. Jeffrey A. Stone
Mr. Russell A. Badders
Mr. Steven R. Griffin
Beggs \& Lane
Post Office Box 12950
Pensacola, FL 32591-2950

Mr. Robert Scheffel Wright
Mr. John T. LaVia, III
Gardner, Bist, Wiener, Wadsworth,
Bowden, Bush, Dee, LaVia \& Wright, P.A. 1300 Thomaswood Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32308
Mr. Randy B. Miller
White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc.
Post Office Box 300
White Springs, FL 32096

Ms. Cecilia Bradley
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
The Capitol - PL01
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
Mr. James W. Brew
Mr. F. Alvin Taylor Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts \& Stone, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, D.C. 20007-5201


## October 2011 <br> Docket No. 110001-EI <br> Request for Specified Confidential Treatment

FORM 423-1(a)

## Line(s)

Tampa Electric Company:
1-9

## Column Justification

## H

(1) This information is contractual information which, if made public, "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. The information shows the price which Tampa Electric has paid for No. 2 fuel oil per barrel for specific shipments from specific suppliers. This information would allow suppliers to compare an individual supplier's price with the market "for that date of delivery" and thereby determine the contract pricing formula between Tampa Electric and that supplier.

Disclosure of the invoice price would allow suppliers to determine the contract price formula of their competitors. The knowledge of each other's prices would give suppliers information with which to actually control the pricing in No. 2 oil by either all quoting a particular price or adhering to a price offered by a major supplier. This could reduce or

## FORM 423-1(a) (continued)

## Plant Name:

## Line(s)

Column
Justification
eliminate any opportunity for a major buyer, like Tampa Electric, to use its market presence to gain price concessions from any individual supplier. The end result is reasonably likely to be increased No. 2 fuel oil prices and, therefore, increased electric rates.
(2) The contract data found in Columns I through O are algebraic functions of Column H . Thus, the publication of these columns together, or independently, could allow a supplier to derive the invoice price of No. 2 oil paid by Tampa Electric.
(3) See item (2) above.
(4) See item (2) above.

Company:
1-9

Tampa Electric
L
Company:
1-9

Tampa Electric
M
Company:
1-9

## Tampa Electric

J
Company:
1-9

Tampa Electric
K

## FORM 423-1(a) (continued)

## Plant Name:

 Line(s)the shipment, or accept the shipment and apply a quality adjustment. This is, in effect, a pricing term which is as important as the price itself and is therefore confidential for the reasons stated in paragraph (1) relative to price concessions.

Tampa Electric N Company:
1-9
(7) See item (2) above. In addition, this column is as important as H from a confidentiality standpoint because of the relatively few times that there are quality or discount adjustments. That is, Column N will equal Column H most of the time. Consequently, it needs to be protected for the same reason as set forth in paragraph (1).

## Tampa Electric

O Company:

## Plant Name:

 Line(s)TECO United Maritime Group Big Bend Station 1-7

Big Bend Station 1-5

United Maritime Group Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-2

Polk Station 1

## FORM 423-2

## Column

G

(9) Disclosure of the effective purchase price "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla Stat. Additionally, prohibiting the purchase price would enable one to ascertain the total transportation charges by subtracting the effective price from the delivered price at the transfer facility, shown in Column I. Any competitor with knowledge of the total transportation charges would be able to use that information in conjunction with the published delivered price at the United Maritime Group ("UMG") Transfer Facility to determine the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation charges for river barge transport and for deep water transportation across the Gulf of Mexico from the transfer facility to Tampa. It is this segmented transportation cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such

Plant Name:

## Line(s)

Column Justification
disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric in Docket No. $860001-E I-D . A$ copy of Mr. Rowe's Direct Testimony from the September 29, 1986 hearing in that docket is attached hereto as Exhibit " A " and by reference made a part hereof.

In the Commission's Order No. 12645 issued in Docket No. 830001-EU on November 3, 1983 (In re: Investigation of Fuel Adjustment Clauses of Electric Utilities), the Commission prescribed the current 423 Form filings. In so doing, the Commission observed:

Next, we must determine whether any portion of the monthly reports should be accorded confidential treatment. We agree that certain portions of the confidential information. However, many portions of the monthly reports will not. The proprietary information for all types of fuel is transportation. Any breakout of transportation costs must be treated confidentially. In addition, F.O.B. mine prices for coal is proprietary in nature as is the price of fuel oil. Disclosure of separate transportation or F.O.B. mine prices would have a direct impact on a utility's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services. Disclosure of fuel oil prices would have an indirect effect upon bidding suppliers. Suppliers would be reluctant to provide

Plant Name: Line(s)

TECO United Maritime Group Big Bend Station 1-7

Big Bend Station 1-5

## Column Justification

significant price concessions to an individual utility if prices were disclosed because other purchasers would seek similar concessions.

The vigorous competition discussed in Mr. Rowe's earlier testimony, as recognized by the Commission, justifies proprietary confidential treatment of the information in Column G.

Disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods and services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. This information would inform other potential suppliers as to the price Tampa Electric is willing to pay for coal. This would give present and potential coal suppliers information which could be harmful to Tampa Electric's interests in negotiating coal supply agreements. This is much the same as paragraph (1) under Form 423-1(a) regarding No. 2 oil suppliers.
(10) The disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. As was stated in (1), Columns $G$ and $H$ both need confidential protection because disclosure of either column will enable competitors to determine the segmented transportation charges.

## FORM 423-2 (continued)

## Plant Name:

 Line(s) Column JustificationUnited Maritime
Group Transfer
Facility
Polk Station
1-2
Polk Station
1

Accordingly, the same reasons discussed in (1) likewise apply
with regard to Column H .

## Plant Name:

## Line(s)

## Column

## FORM 423-2(a)

## Justification

TECO United Maritime Group
Big Bend Station 1-7

Big Bend Station 1-5

United Maritime
Group Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-2

Polk Station 1
(11) If the original invoice price is made public, one can subtract the original invoice price from the publicly disclosed delivered price at the UMG Transfer Facility and thereby determine the segmented river transportation cost. Disclosure of the river transportation cost "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (UMG Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

J
H
(12) This information, like that contained in Column H, would enable a competitor to "back into" the segmented transportation cost using the publicly disclosed delivered price at the UMG Transfer Facility. This would be done by subtracting the base price per ton from the delivered price at UMG, thereby revealing the river barge rate. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and

## FORM 423-2(a) (continued)

## Plant Name:

 Line(s)
## Column Justification

in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 UMG Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

TECO United<br>Maritime Group<br>Big Bend Station<br>1-7<br>Big Bend Station<br>1-5<br>United Maritime<br>Group Transfer<br>Facility<br>Polk Station<br>1-2<br>Polk Station

L (13) This information, if publicly disclosed, would enable a competitor to back into the segmented waterborne transportation costs using the already publicly disclosed delivered price of coal at the UMG Transfer Facility. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (UMG Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

Plant Name: Line(s)

## Column

## Justification

TECO United Maritime Group
Big Bend Station 1-7

Big Bend Station 1-5

United Maritime
Group Transfer
Facility
Polk Station
1-2
Polk Station 1

TECO United Maritime Group Big Bend Station 1-7

Big Bend Station 1-5

G
(14) Disclosure of the effective purchase price in Column G "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Such disclosure would enable a competitor to "back into" the segmented transportation cost using the publicly disclosed delivered price for coal at the UMG Transfer Facility. This would be done by subtracting the effective purchase price per ton from the price per ton delivered at UMG, thereby revealing the river barge rate. Additional justification appears in Exhibit " A " and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (UMG Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station). Such disclosure would also adversely affect Tampa Electric's ability to negotiate future coal supply contracts.

I
(15) Disclosure of the rail rate per ton would adversely affect the ability of Tampa Electric affiliate, Gatliff Coal, to negotiate favorable rail rates. Disclosure of the rail rates paid would effectively eliminate any negotiating leverage and could lead to higher rail rates. This would work to the

## Plant Name: Line(s)

United Maritime
Group Transfer Facility
Polk Station 1-2

Polk Station 1

TECO United Maritime Group
Big Bend Station 1-7

Big Bend Station 1-5

United Maritime Group Transfer Facility Polk Station 1-2

Polk Station 1

TECO United
Maritime Goup Big Bend Station 1-7

Big Bend Station 1-5

Column Justification
ultimate detriment of Tampa Electric and its customers. Accordingly, disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.
(16) These columns contained information the disclosure of which "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Each of these columns provides specific information on segmented transportation costs which are the primary objects of this request. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality for Column $G$ on 423-2 (UMG Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).
(17) See item (16) above.

## FORM 423-2(b) (continued)

## Plant Name:

 Line(s)
## Column

 JustificationUnited Maritime
Group Transfer
Facility
Polk Station
1-2

Polk Station
1

TECO United M (18) See item (16) above. Maritime Group Big Bend Station 1-7

Big Bend Station 1-5

United Maritime
Group Transfer Facility
Polk Station
1-2

## Polk Station

1

## Plant Name:

Line(s)

TECO United
Maritime Group Big Bend Station 1-7

Big Bend Station 1-5

United Maritime
Group Transfer Facility
Polk Station 1-2

Polk Station 1

TECO United Maritime Group Big Bend Station 1-7

Big Bend Station 1-5

United Maritime
Group Transfer
Facility
Polk Station
1-2
Polk Station
1
TECO United
Maritime Group Big Bend Station 1-7

Big Bend Station 1-5

## Column Justification

## Plant Name:

## Column Justification

United Maritime
Group Transfer
Facility
Polk Station
1-2
Polk Station
1
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BPPORE TEE FLORIDK PUBIIC SERVICP COMNISSION PREPKFED TESTIMONY

## OP

JOEN R．ROWE，JR．
0．Will you please state your name，aōaress and occupation．

A．My name is John R．Rowe，J：．My business aidiess is 702 No：th Eranklin Street，Tampa，Fioriea 33602．I am A．ssistant vice 2＝esident of Tampa Electric Company．

Q．2lease desčibe your educational background ana business experience．

 Pernsyivaniz．I was g＝aduzむed in June， 1962 Exom the Geoこgiz Institute of Technolozy hiti a Ba＝heloz of Seience Eegzee in jnoustzial Kanagement，ano E＝om the university OE SOUth ミIoミiEa in Kerch，Io71 with a Master of ヨusiness



 Tampa ミiectio Company in July，lob2 and I have sezveai in vazious capacities in tine personneq，Custome＝fccounting，

Credit，General hccounting and Buoget Departments over the past 23 years．I became Assistant Controllez in 2974， Controller in 1981，and $I$ was elected to my present position $2 s$ Assistant vice presioent in 2pril，1984．my present＝esponsibilities incluae cooroination of accounting and regulatory matters before this Commission （FPSC）and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission （EERC）．I have presentea testimony before this Commission in other proceecings．

Q．What is the purpose of your testimony？

A．The purpose of my testimony is to respond to various azeas of Commission ana company concern regareing the conficentiality of certain fuel cost inEormetion suppliea to the Commission．I intena to aemonstrate why some of the ciate hiniei is supplied in reguler montily feports on Euel costs to this commission shoula be excluoed from pubile cisclosure as being＂pzopzietazy coniioential business inEozmationn as ietinea by Secさion 366．093， ミ1orića Statutes．

Q．－－Does Tampe Electric object to pavioing the Comission With $a$＝eport ietailing zil purchases of Euel，亡renspoztation and fuel henciing services？

No．Tampe Electric does not object to continuing to furnish to the Comission the information it neecis to review company expencitures for fuel，transportation and Euel hanoling services．Eowever，as $I$ will describe later，it is clearly in the best interests of the ratepayers and the company for this Commission to continue to treat certain of this information submitied as ＂Specified ConEioentiz1．＂

Q．What portion of the report should be confioentizl？

A．The cost 0 E watez transportation of coal winich is billeo to the fegulated company by an aEミiliazea company should be たこeatea as conEioential．Tampa ミlectric Eonmea a hatez ここanspoこさえたion system for the transport of coal in the 1950＇s Hinici not only provides the necessazy sevvices for Tampa Electric but also enjoys aōitional economies as it g＝ovides competitive sezvices to outsione custome＝s．This system has saved ouz electzic customens many miilions oE Dollezs in t＝ansportミさion costs over the yezas and these savings aze likely to continue in the Euture so long as the zEziliated companies zae zble to maineain thei＝ competitive eoge．Finvouch tins t＝anspoこえation system mampa ミlečニic＇s a夫ミiliaぇed companies are asie to move coal by zivez berges from sources in Rentucky，Okiznoma and

IIlinois to a tesminal on the east baak of the Mississippi River south of liew Ozieans for the puzpose of off－ioaing， storing and transferring coal to ocean－going barges for transport to Tampa．These services aze performed by meco rranspozt and Traie companies：Miai－South Towing Company， which haniles the coal by ziver bazge；Electio Coal rranster Corporation，which opezates the storage and transfer Eacility and Gule Coast Transit Company which provioes ocean－going tugs and barges to move coal aceoss the Gulf of Mexico．

Q．Are Tampa Electric Eミミミiliates Eaced with compeさiたion？

A．Yes，the maこkeさ Eor buIk comocity E＝Ensportation is ve＝y competitive．スsije from the coal たrersportetion services

 comoさities ミoェ otiez customers as welu．The zEミiliates
 cevelop in ミュoェica ミor boti incustaial ana electric powe＝ geneこa亡ion puzposes，ana hope to capture a portion of the
 mミュkeむ is veこy compeささたシve．
 solely in the one－hizy Ezenspor亡a亡ion of cozl，however．

Mia－South zowing Company has provioud，and continues to provioie，both upstzeam and downstream transporitation services soz other bulk comodities，incluaing grain and phosphate products．Electro－Coal Mransfer Cozporation is involved in the direct vessel－to－vessel transfer of ganin and other bulk commodities in ajeition to the transter of coal and coke on diverse routes，including phosphates from Florioa to New Orleans，and grain from New Ozqeans to international markets．

2．s commercial enterorises，the a£ミiliates £ace significant competition for each of the other taansportation，t＝ansfe＝ and storage services thet they perEozm．OpE＝atozs on the inlana katerways inciuae epproximetely 2，000 inciviauel cErriens．In size these canaiezs zange finom operators of single towboats to those operating iezge Eleets of vessels and barges．Oniy a very smail pezcentage oj iniano
 caエriezs Eこe not＝eモui＝ec to publish Eevenues，opezeさing


With reference to tine＝ivez こ＝ニnspoさえニえion of coal end …－．．．other buik commocities，NiさーSouti Fowing Company＇s卫ミincipal competitors inciuoe，among others：the onio Siver Company；zmezican Commercial Sarge inne Company；

Dravo Mechling Corporation; and The Velley Iine Company. Mia-South Towing also Eaces intermocial competition from the zailroais.

Electro-Coal Iranster Corporation competes with others for the performance 0 Etansfer and stozage services. Electro-Cocl's principal competitors with both shoresioe transfer and ground stozage capabiliたies zre: International Mazine Terminal; Burnsioe Teminals, Inc.; and New O_leans Buik Terminal. A pozion of the transfe: market is. also sezved by companies whose opezations are mici-stream in the Mississippi Riven. Principal among these is Coopez-Smitin Company.

Finaly, Gulfcoest Transit Company competes witin many other companies to provioue ocean-going tug ana basge trensportation se=vice. Principal among those competitors a=e: Dirie Caz=ie=s, Inc.; St. Zhillizs Towing Company; Sheこicien Towing Company Red Ci=cle T=anspori Company ena उeker Inơustzies, zac.
rould the ciscloscze of cost information expose the

A. Ves. The cost of =enjering buIk commociity transportation
service over a given distance varies litile from one commodity to another．On a per－ton unit basis，it costs a barge company the same amount to transport a ton of srain， for example，as to transpori $a$ ton of coal．Retes for bulk commocity transportation service also tend to show 1itさle variation from one commoiity to the nex：t．

In such a hichly competitive envizonment，a given company＇s market share is constantly at zisk and must be carefuly safeguariec．Competitors who are able to ciscern，either oinectiy or inãirectiy，a given company＇s costs or proitit mazgin are in a position to Eeptuie a portion o三 thet company＇s ma＝ket share by anticipating its Euture biEs and selectively uncezcutぇing its p＝ices． Similarly，such knowlecige jermits the company＇s customens， who may be paying iifferent prices for similaz se＝vices， to bazgain for more favorable terms fiom the comeany ana among its competitors．

The きこimezy oeterminants of a company＇s paoiti mezsin，of couzse，$=$ Ee its costs and pzices．Not surpzisingly，given the＝eiative ease with which both costs ana prices mey be

 costs and paices Erom each othe＝．This fact accounts for
the scatcity of published information concerning the financial workings of the unregulaied segment of the industry．

Tampa Electzic＇s competitors and customers aze awaze that Tampz Elect＝ic＇s watez 亡zansportation expense repzesents the axtiliates＇costs incluaing a＝etuzn on equity．i． company＇s cost zepzesents the limit of its vilnerability in the sense that it cannot．long survive in cizcumstances where costs exceed＝evenues．Sustainea＂unoerpricing＂ beiow that perceived margin would have a jevastating EEEect upon the EsEiniates＇business，ana woula make こeさもnさion of mazket sneres impossible．Competitozs woula be given aceess to very valuajle inEormãion binich wily enable those Eizns to ミこice tieir sezvice to theiz 2ōvanえage．

Q．Eow does the opeことさion of the competitive business ásect



A．The inc土ezsea volumes allow for economies of scale tiaえ cannot be こモEiミzed i三 Tampa ミIecえこic were the oniy


and others on a per son basis，the backhaul and Eansefer of grain and other bulk commodities reduces the amount of fixed costs allocated to mampa Electioc．İ the affiliates＇backheul and other outsioe customer activity is lost or diminished，or if Exansfer activity is lost oue to the disclosure of its cometitive position，the cost of coal Exarsportation to Tampa Electric would increase proportionateiy．ictions which hurt the atfiliates＇ competitive position will，therefore，increase the cost of electricity supplied to Tampa Elect＝ic＇s customers．This Commission theze£oze shoula cerefully avoia the cisclosuze OE the E\＆ミinizさes＇costs and puices．

Is Tempa ミIecさニic setisミied hiti the Commission＇s cuz＝en＝ こeきロニたing＝equiこemenえs？

A．No．The company believes thet the Comission＇s cuarent こeฮuizemenミs £oz public ísclosure zun an unnecessazy zisk




 to zecuce costs to zampz ミlectこic．ミuニtiour，z believe thet pubife cisciosure of coej prices paie lhitiout

25
transpoztation costs）does not increase competition àmong suppliers of coal but rather it serves to oecrease the aovantage of the purchaser in neootiating lowe p pices． If potertial venoiors of coal knew from public aisclosure the prizes being paid or paia in the past，I believe theiz tenoency in bioding or reporiing coal prices tend to center around known pices．In this hay，the venoiors know they can offer a price lower than the price thet has been paia and exactiy how much lowe their paice will be．If the present ana past prices paid were not pubiciy avaizable，the venoors woula have to bia as low as they coult in bcpes thet they woula bia the best ミこice to win the conさza＝t since they have no publishea guide to tell them how low to cuoze．

During all of this ciscussion you shoula keez in mina that Tampa Electiic has no cbjection to continuing its Exll Cisciosuze of Euel t＝anspoさたたさion cost inEozmẽion to the Comission on a specíied conEiaentizl besis．The company is prous oz iさs innovaさive ťensporたation system ana Eesizes to continue to shaze all＝elevant t＝anspoこtation cest inEoznaさion with the Commission on a conticential besis．

Eow joes tinis Commission＇s Eueq cost yepoこさing
recuizements aEfect the confioentiality of the proprietery transportation cost information？

A．The Commission must be very careEul in its requizements for public aisclosure of various segments of fuel cost inzormetion in oroer to protect the proprietary transportation cost information．A reguirement to pubizciy report any one segment of costs conio enable competitors and transportation customers to calculate the inEormation sought to be protected．

Q．Diease illustこate how coniiaential inEozmation conia be cevived iz the Commission＇s zepozting zeguirements zue chargec？

2．Mins was Euly Eiscussed in Docket No．E30001－PU．On גp：il 24，1984，the Commission consioezed Tamea Electこic＇s

 sought ze＝0nsioueztion of that portion of ordez No． 12645卫ヒニニとシning to which cost infozmetion shoula be consioezed


 Eeminal Eacilities，woulo zesult in the cisclosure oE
proprietary confioential business information．The Comaission agreed and determined that the F．O．B．mine mouth and plant prices should be jeported on a＂specified confioential＂basis．The same circumstances exist tojay ana the need for considential treatment is just as čitical now as it wis in 1983.

Q．Eow would you recommend the commission approach its duty Of implementing Section 366．093，Floriea 5 tatutes？

A．In the case of Tampa Electiic＇s t＝anspoこtation aziiliates， the test shoula be：is there a eeasonable probability that cisclosure $0 \equiv$ the information in question will
 Tampa ミlectric ano its Customers？IE so，then the informetion shoula be treatea as speciミied confiaentiel information which is exempt from pubiic cisciosure． Section 350．093，Eloziec statutes，does not appeaz to fequise a loos probability of heim．In the case of bies 0 other contractual data，the test is only whether it woulo＂inpaizn the efforts of the public utiliさy to contrect £o services on Ezvorable Eerms．IE ce＝tain LnEozmetion is Eisclosea ana もbe disclosure only EiEs but coes not guazanter a competitoz＇s ability to compete with

informetion ought to be protectec，even though tis tisclosure would not quarantee that the competitor will take business away from the Tampa Electric afilitate．xny more stringent oz absolute a stanéard for contioentiality would iemana more then is reguized unjer the statute． staied differentiy，if the statute is auministered in such a hay as to prohibit oriy the disclosure of a specific cost，but not a mysiad of related infozmation bits of ＂hints＂which enable a zesourceful competitor to pinpoint or come very close to the specitic cost，then the protection afinaiea by the statute will inoeed be hollow． in sioñ，we acuocete fully eisclosing to the Comission the inミovmation it neecis to peこミorm its utility ovezsicit Eunction but because of the exteeme sensi＝ivity of the
 to publiciy accessible sources．Utility custome＝s E＝e もhe ultimate beneficiazies of this p＝otection，ana we hope the Comission will continue to acminister the statute in a menne＝winich meximizes such p＝oteこさion．

Q．Does this concluce your Eestimony？

(Em@ oミ ユニeミilé Dミこect Testimony)


| FORM | LINE(S) | COLUMN | DATE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $423(1) \mathrm{a}$ | $1-9$ | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ | $12 / 15 / 2013$ |
| $423-2$ | $1-7$ | $\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{H}$ | $12 / 15 / 2013$ |
| $423-2(\mathrm{a})$ | $1-7$ | $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{L}$ | $12 / 15 / 2013$ |
| $423-2(\mathrm{~b})$ | $1-7$ | G,I,K,L, <br> M,N,O,P | $12 / 15 / 2013$ |

## Rationale:

## Coal and Coal Transportation Data

1. Tampa Electric also seeks protection of the coal and coal transportation contract information specified as confidential for a minimum period of two years.
2. The need for two or more years of confidentiality is vital not only to Tampa Electric and its ratepayers, but to the vendors of coal and coal transportation services as well.
3. Bidders for the sale of coal will always seek to optimize their profit margin. Full knowledge of the prices paid by the utility for coal enables the bidder to increase the price bid and thereby optimize the bid from the viewpoint of the seller and to the detriment of the ratepayer. Tampa Electric firmly believes that the disclosure of information on prices paid within the last two years will increase the price Tampa Electric will be required to pay for coal and will be detrimental to ratepayers.
4. Recent bids received by Tampa Electric contained a $\$ 4.17$ per ton spread between the bids. The low bid undoubtedly would have been higher with full knowledge of prices paid by Tampa Electric. Bidders will always seek to optimize their profits by submitting bids that are as high as the market will bear. If market data is disclosed which discourages suppliers from bidding competitively, they will increase their bids to the level of past payments to other suppliers by the buyer.
5. The disclosure of rail transportation rates will result in demands by other shippers to lower any rates which are above the disclosed rates. The effect of disclosure will be to increase the lower rate as the transportation provided will seek to protect the rates charged on other routes. The delay of this disclosure for two years will be of direct benefit to ratepayers by delaying any increases that might occur as a result of such disclosure.
6. Gatliff Coal and TECO Transport \& Trade sell coal and bulk commodity transportation services in the open non-regulated marketplace. The prices at which their goods and services are sold are not publicly disclosed anywhere by publication or voluntary dissemination because it would materially lessen their competitive posture with customers other than Tampa Electric. Outside customers who negotiate for coal or coal transportation services are placed at a competitive advantage for these goods or services if they know the cost of the goods or services.
7. An analyst for an outside customer of Gatliff or TECO Transport who reads the written transcripts of public fuel hearings or reads the written orders of the FPSC can easily discover that until November 1, 1988, Tampa Electric paid cost for coal from Gatliff and for coal transportation from TECO Transport. Further, the publication of the stipulation agreement between the parties in 1988 indicated that the initial benchmark price was close to cost and subsequent testimony indicates the revised contract escalates from cost.
8. As long as an outside customer does not know how such an escalation clause changes price, the cost cannot be calculated. However, publicizing the price of coal or coal transportation services will tell an outside customer how much the escalation has been and make it easy for him to calculate cost. Because of seasonality of costs in both businesses, a full year's cost data is necessary for an accurate cost measurement.
9. A second year must pass before one full year can be compared with a second year to measure the escalation accurately. So a perceptive vendor seeks two years of data to make his cost estimates. The competitive industries recognize that data beyond two years is not helpful to them, as enough factors may change in that time frame for costs to be much different from what was incurred. Any date less than two full years old is extremely valuable to outside customers in contracting for services with Gatliff or TECO Transport. The difference of small amounts per ton can mean millions of dollars' difference in cost.
10. A loss of outside business by Gatliff or TECO Transport will affect not only Gatliff or TECO Transport, but if large enough it could affect the credibility of the companies. The prices negotiated with Tampa Electric by these vendors took into consideration their costs and revenues at the time of negotiation, including the revenues from outside customers. A significant loss of outside business could cause Gatliff or TECO Transport to fail, since under market pricing regulation Tampa Electric will not make up the difference to them in cost. In turn, a failure of these vendors would leave Tampa Electric and its customers with only higher cost alternatives for Blue Gem coal and for coal transportation to Tampa, a higher cost that would be paid by Tampa Electric's ratepayers. So the continued credibility of Gatliff and TECO Transport is important to protect Tampa Electric's ratepayers from higher cost alternatives.
