I	000001
1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	FLORIDA POBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:
4	EXAMINATION OF THE OUTAGE AND DOCKET NO. 100437-EI REPLACEMENT FUEL/POWER COSTS
5	ASSOCIATED WITH THE CR3 STEAM GENERATOR REPLACEMENT PROJECT,
6	BY PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
7 8	FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST DOCKET NO. 120001-EI RECOVERY CLAUSE WITH GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR.
9	ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY DOCKET NO. 120007-EI CLAUSE.
10	NUCLEAR COST RECOVERY CLAUSE. DOCKET NO. 120009-EI
11	PETITION FOR LIMITED PROCEEDING DOCKET NO. 120022-EI
12	TO APPROVE STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BY PROGRESS
13	ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
14	PROCEEDINGS: DUKE/PROGRESS ENERGY MEETING
15	PROCEEDINGS: DUKE/PROGRESS ENERGY MEETING
16	PROCEEDINGS: DUKE/PROGRESS ENERGY MEETING COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING: CHAIRMAN RONALD A. BRISÉ
17	COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR
18	COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALBIS COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN
19	DATE: Monday - aC Wednesday, August 13, 2012
20	TIME: Commenced at 1:00 p.m.
21	Concluded at 2:47 p.m.
22	PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
23	4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
24	REPORTED BY: LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR
25	Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	06043 SEP-6≌
	EPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

	000002
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	DUKE/PROGRESS ENERGY:
4	JIM ROGERS
5	VINNY DOLAN ALEX GLENN KEITH TRENT
6	KEIIH IRENI
7	COMMISSION STAFF:
8	LISA BENNETT
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	I I

000003

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Good afternoon. Today is August 13th, and it is 1:00 p.m. And we have a special Commission meeting this afternoon, and if I can get our staff to read the notice.

MS. BENNETT: Certainly. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, and members of the audience, this special meeting between Commissioners and Duke executives has been set for this date, time, and place. The purpose of this meeting is for the Commissioners to meet the new leadership of Progress Energy Florida's parent corporation and for the Commission to discuss how the Duke/Progress merger will affect Florida consumers, which will necessarily include a discussion about the settlement agreement.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you very much. At this time I want to welcome each of you to the special meeting. The purpose of this meeting, as was stated, is for the Commission to meet the new leadership of Progress Energy/Duke, Florida's parent corporation, and the Commission to discuss how the Duke/Progress merger will affect Florida consumers, which will necessarily include discussions about the settlement agreement.

I want to advise all that are in attendance that while this meeting has been noticed in several open

dockets, it is not an evidentiary hearing. There will
not be testimony or evidence taken at this meeting.
Nothing stated in this meeting can be used for
evidentiary, for an evidentiary proceeding, and it
cannot be the basis for a decision in any other docket.

Having said that, if there are topics that are raised which Commissioners or Commission staff feels need to be pursued, the Commission staff can and will follow up with formal discovery questions in the appropriate docket.

Finally, the parties to the dockets that were noticed are advised that this is an opportunity for the Commissioners to ask questions of the parent company or parent corporation of the regulated entity, Progress Energy Florida.

Some of the areas of inquiry touch on dockets that are open. Parties should not perceive that questions related to open dockets are an indication of the Commission or any Commissioners' vote on any open docket. The Commission will reach a decision on any open docket based upon and only upon the evidence adduced at the hearing.

I want to remind Duke, Progress Energy Florida, and the Commissioners that there's a status conference for the Crystal River 3 delamination, Docket

Number 100437, that will follow at the conclusion -- 30 minutes after the conclusion of this meeting, which was previously published, at least the agenda was previously published. I want to ask that our discussions include issues outside of issues that pertain to the status conference.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Bennett, are there any other legal things that we need to make sure are clear?

MS. BENNETT: No, Mr. Chairman. I think you've covered them all. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you. And, and as, as a person I want to welcome all of you here this afternoon. There has definitely much been said about the merger and so forth. And our role as, as regulators is to get a sense of, of how Duke will interact with, with us, the Commission, how Duke will interact with our consumers, and that is the real purpose of the meeting this afternoon. And we hope to go through a series of, of questions and comments and so forth that would help us get a better sense of what we have to look forward to moving forward.

And I'm going to open it up to my fellow Commissioners for them to make opening remarks, if they would like to do so. I see a light already. Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you for those opening remarks. I think you covered quite a few topics that I think are important. And I want to welcome Mr. Rogers and the representatives from Duke and Progress Energy Florida. I mean, obviously as the second largest investor-owned utility in the state, you're an important part of our customers, and we look forward to a good working relationship with your company and also in working with consumers and the consumer advocates over the next coming years.

I have -- you know, and I'm glad the Chairman indicated that we have the open dockets. And, you know, one thing that we do have in place is evidentiary proceedings, namely Crystal River 3, which, as Prehearing Officer, again I'll be holding a regularly scheduled status conference this afternoon. So I'll hold my questions on that issue at that time. And the same really holds through to any of the other issues that we do have open dockets that can handle this. So I look forward to a presentation from Duke and Progress, and, and we'll have any questions after that time.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: All right. Commissioner Edgar.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Welcome. Thank you all for coming.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

1

000007

Mr. Chairman, I would like to thank you for scheduling this opportunity. I know that we as a Commission had been planning on following up on some of the integration and -- potential integration issues from the merger after the merger was actually consummated and then as other questions arose. I know you worked with our staff to schedule this at a, at a good time for everybody's schedule and also in due time. So thank you for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Of course, for all of us up here, Florida is the most important state. We'll discuss that more, I'm sure, here in a little while. But we do have many large issues and I am glad for the opportunity to have an open noticed dialogue as we all look forward. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you, Commissioner Edgar.

Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I would also like to welcome you, and agreeing to meet with us and having the other members of Duke and Progress here to answer our questions.

You know, there are obviously -- with a merger of this magnitude, there's a lot of excitement and a lot of, a lot of attention, deservingly so. That being said, I also understand a lot of the customers'

concerns, legitimate ones. And we're not here to tell you how to run your company, but we are here to know how you run your company. So I'm looking forward to discussing this with you. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you, Commissioner Brown.

Commissioner Graham.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Rogers, welcome to the great state of Florida. I'm not going to repeat what everybody else says, but we look forward to hearing your opening statement.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you, Commissioner Graham.

And at this time, Mr. Rogers, if you would prepare for your opening comments, and if you would introduce the members of your team that are present with us today. The floor is yours.

MR. ROGERS: Good afternoon. I'm now on. Good afternoon. Chairman Brisé and Commissioners, I'm Jim Rogers, CEO of Duke Energy. I am honored to be here this afternoon to answer any questions that y'all might have.

With me today are Vinny Dolan and Alex Glenn, who y'all know. Also with me today is Keith Trent, Executive VP of our regulated utilities business. Keith

25

oversees the regulated operations in six states in which we operate. He also oversees our federal affairs. Among the four of us, I hope we can answer any questions that y'all might have. They wanted to make sure I was well protected and well prepared to handle even the most detailed question.

Since y'all don't know me very well, let me, if I may, start with a brief review of my background. I grew up in Kentucky. I worked for a time as a journalist. I earned my law degree from the University of Kentucky. I started my career in the utility business more than 35 years ago working, similarly to Mr. Kelly and Mr. Rehwinkel, on behalf of consumers in my home state. The Consumer Counsel was in the Attorney General's Office in Kentucky.

Subsequent to that, I served -- in the first term of President Reagan's administration I served as Deputy General Counsel for Litigation and Enforcement at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

I subsequently joined Public Service Company of Indiana as its CEO in 1988. I've been a CEO for nearly 24 years. The Progress/Duke merger is my third utility combination. The combined company, and this has been my experience in the past, the combined company will become stronger, more efficient, better at our core

mission. And to me that mission is simply this: Providing affordable, reliable, increasingly clean electricity, and producing it in a safe manner 24/7, 365 days a year. We remain committed to that mission, and so do our 4,000 employees who live, work, volunteer, and improve the lives of others in the 36 counties in Florida that we serve.

Like Progress, Duke has a century-long history of running strong utilities. We also have a strong balance sheet. We systematically invest in our system and our generation fleet.

Our nuclear fleet, for example, has operated above a 90% capacity factor for the past 12 years. To give you an example of how we run our nuclear fleet, in the third quarter of last year and in the first quarter of this year the fleet ran at a load factor above 99%. So we're very proud of our operations in that area, as well as other areas of our company.

Most importantly, we're also focused on being an active member of the communities in which we serve. We have a long-standing commitment to economic development. Duke has been recognized as one of the top ten utilities in the country in economic development for the last 13 years.

As I look back over this time as CEO, I will

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

1

tell you attracting new business, attracting new investments creates jobs, and that leads to the rebuilding of the middle class and leads to stronger communities, and that's something that we have in common with the economic development leaders both at the state level as well as the city and county level throughout the state.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

We will bring the same focus and commitment to Florida to build on what the company already does well and continuously improve in areas where we've had challenges historically. This focus in my judgment will ultimately benefit our Florida customers.

It's been my experience that when you bring two companies together, you implement the best practices from each and become more efficient. We've seen this in Florida actually. Since the Carolina Power & Light and Florida Progress merger in 2000, our reliability here has improved by 40% and safety injury rate by 70%.

The Florida team and their predecessors have done a great job here, and in particular I want to single out Vinny Dolan. He has been a driving force in making these improvements happen. We all are extremely grateful for Vinny's leadership as president over the last four years. And as y'all know, Vinny has announced that he is retiring by the end of this year, and we will

miss him greatly. I tried hard to talk him out of that. But we are committed to continuing this excellent performance with Alex as our new president.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

We've already seen the benefits of the merger in our recent storm response, and I want to share this example with you because it's very applicable to things that may happen in the future.

Just a couple of weeks ago we had terrible storms in Ohio. We were able to draw upon crews from Florida, the Carolinas, and Indiana to restore service quickly. We were widely seen as having the best response of any utility in the state of Ohio. I expect we will see these and other benefits in Florida also. That's just a little bit about who we are and what we do.

Most importantly, I want to be sure to address directly the matters that Chairman Brisé noted in his letter: First, our commitment to Florida; second, our commitment to the settlement that this Commission approved in February; and finally, decisions around Crystal River Unit 3.

I understand, as I heard earlier, that there is a more detailed update on Crystal River 3 scheduled after this meeting, but I did want to make a couple of general points here, if that's okay.

First, with respect to the Florida utility, we're excited to now have operations in Florida. Florida is the second largest jurisdiction in which we operate and represents nearly 20% of the revenue of the combined company. This was one of the things that made the merger attractive from the very beginning. Although the economy has been hard hit in the last few years, we see in the long-term great growth prospects for this state. It's a great place to live. It has a number of inherent advantages that many other states don't have: Deep water ports, good infrastructure, a superior higher education system, not to mention tourism.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Second, with respect to the settlement, we're fully committed to honoring that agreement. We believe it struck an appropriate balance between customers and our company. It provides greater price stability to customers over the next four to five years. That's a good thing. Nothing has changed our opinion on that since the day the settlement was signed. It is also consistent with mine and Keith's philosophy of trying to work cooperatively with consumer representatives and Commissions to achieve results that benefit all parties.

Finally, I understand that Commissioner Balbis as the Prehearing Officer in the CR3 docket has requested the company provide him with an update on the

CR3 plant later today, which we will do. With the Commission's indulgence, however, I did want to say a couple of things about CR3.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

First, we're committed to fully and thoroughly reviewing the repair option. We have a project management team working every day on furthering the engineering and analyzing all aspects of the repair. While we have not made a decision on whether to repair or retire the unit, we are absolutely committed to making the right decision for our customers and our investors, a decision based on the facts and the best available information at that time.

Second, we are also committed to working with the consumer representatives, their technical experts, and this Commission as we move forward. Be assured that open and frank dialogue will continue. Crystal River 3 is a high priority for Duke, our customers, and the communities that we serve.

At this time I'd like to thank y'all for giving me an opportunity for these opening comments, and I welcome any questions that y'all might have. Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you, Mr. Rogers, for your opening statements and laying out, addressing some of the issues that, in my letter that we sent to you

21

22

23

24

25

that you address some of those issues. Now understandably there may be some deeper interests with respect to, to some of those issues, and we're going to have the opportunity this afternoon to go into some of those questions.

But I'm going to begin with sort of a broad perspective. Obviously when you have a merger, then there's going to be maybe some moving parts and so forth. I want to have a sense of what some of those moving parts will entail, particularly with dealing with our Commission. So I want to have a sense of if there are going to be any changes with respect to the communication channels that currently exist between the Commission, at least the formal communication channels between the Commission and Duke coming, moving forward, if I can get some elaboration on that.

MR. ROGERS: Thank you very much, Chairman, for that question.

With Alex stepping in in the future to fill Vinny's shoes, my judgment is communication will continue as it has in the past. And I think that's very important to keep them focused and developing the strong relations that they already have with the different stakeholders in this state, and we will preserve those relationships going forward.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Now, there has been, since the finalization of the merger it is my understanding that a number of Progress board members have resigned, and I want to have a sense of how those vacancies will be filled. What kind of board composition are you looking forward to maintain, understanding the large group of customers that we have in Florida and there's an interest there?

MR. ROGERS: It is very important -- we've had the departure, as you know so well, of two directors. The current size of the board is roughly 15. It is really the decision of our corporate governance committee as well as our board as to whether or not to replace these directors.

But let me just say, it's been our practice to always make sure that the various jurisdictions we serve are represented with directors from those areas. And as you know, Carlos Saladrigas serves today on our board. He lives in Miami and represents the state of Florida on our board today.

But we are trying to build a board that's diverse geographically in gender and race, and so that has been very important to us in the long term -- or in the past and will be going forward.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you very much.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1

Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you, Mr. Rogers, for your opening statements. I think you covered a lot of the information that I would like to have discussed.

But I do have at least one question, and I'm sure with your experience with mergers and -- it's beneficial. But what I have seen that, in companies that are going through a merger, that there's a period of time where there's such a focus on the, the merger itself that a lot of times the core operations or the core mission of the company or companies, you know, it kind of falters a little bit.

What steps are you going to take to make sure that Florida's customers will see the benefits of the merger and not deal with a transition time that may affect them?

MR. ROGERS: I'm very proud of the people of our company. We've had a little controversy swirling around this combination most recently. But they have really kept their heads down, sleeves rolled up, and are doing their job in delivering affordable, reliable, clean electricity to our customers.

When day one came, we were able to implement 19 IT systems that went to work automatically. And over

the next 18 months you're going to see 55 additional IT systems operating. In the longer term, the operation of these IT systems is going to translate into lower cost operations. That will be good for consumers in Florida.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Also, as we standardize practices, seek out best practices in terms of distribution operation and the operation of our nuclear and coal and gas and oil fleet, at the end of the day that will translate into benefits. There will also be benefits that will come from joint purchasing. Our ability to, representing all these companies to buy, whether it's natural gas or whether it's coal or whether it's any other commodity that we use, at the end of the day we're going to be able to do this on a lower cost basis. So we're very focused on harvesting the cost, but at the same time keeping people working hard to provide reliable service.

The example that I used earlier in terms of the storm in the midwest and how we pulled people together and addressed that and had the, and returned service very fast, given the nature of the storm that really crippled as that straight wind went across the midwest into the Maryland area, was a classic example of the kinds of things that we will do in the future, and also is a good example of how our employees, with a proud tradition of providing service over 100 years

virtually every place we do business, they have the capability to keep the noise here, the integration going here, not totally out of mind, but keep -- but they have the capability to stay focused on what we do every day, which is so important to our residential consumers as well as the businesses that we serve.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you.

Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.

And to focus a little bit more on the communications in Florida, as Chairman Brisé started out with, you said in your opening that you believe in an open and frank dialogue, and I completely agree with that.

And you also said that the communications will continue as they have been. However, I believe a great deal of the amount of information we've received regarding the merger has occurred through news sources. So I just wanted a little bit more comfort in how you are going to communicate with us, Florida regulators, and our staff moving forward.

MR. ROGERS: As I mentioned earlier, almost 24 years as CEO, one of the things that I keenly appreciate, driven in part because I once was a consumer advocate and once worked as a federal regulator, you

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

cannot share enough information with respect to what's going on. And we're doing our very best to make sure you're fully informed.

There have been a number of events that have happened that have surprised us, but they're more in the regulatory political arena than they have been in the operation of our business. And my hope is that there's been no change in the quality of service. And, in fact, I know that that's the case. But I'd love for Vinny to comment, if he would, on what we've been doing in terms of maintaining our service here in this state.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.

MR. DOLAN: Thank you, Commissioner. And let me mention two things. The -- as Jim said, we've enjoyed a pretty good path of increasing the customer service and reliability and generation performance over the last four or five years, and that's -- we have every expectation that we want to continue that. I mean, the, obviously the, the issues around nuclear power here in Florida have made a lot of headlines. But, you know, I think our 4,000 folks here on the ground have done a tremendous job in terms of the day-to-day operation of our company, and we have every expectation that that's going to continue.

You know, as it relates to communications, we,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

obviously we have a number of different entry points right now with formal dockets that we are going to continue with. But I hear your question, and if you feel like that there are other items that you'd like to hear more about in terms of the merger and we need to work with the staff in that regard, we're, we're very open to that suggestion and we will, we'll do followup on that and make sure that you are getting the updates as we're getting into the integration phase.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And, you know, to Commissioner Balbis's question, I'm very confident that the folks on the ground here that run, that really run the operations day in and day out have that focus, will continue that focus, and hopefully that will be completely transparent in terms of what you see and what our customers see here on the ground in Florida. So I'm confident about that.

But, clearly, if, if there's some gaps in some of the information related specifically to the merger, we obviously want to move to fill that and we will do that.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Appreciate that. Thank you.

MR. DOLAN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Commissioner Graham. COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

A couple of comments. I know in the short time that we've been here, short time that I've been here the interaction with Mr. Dolan has been very good. You've been a good communicator, I thought, and I think you're going to be missed. Alex, hopefully you've got your big shoes on because you've got big shoes to fill here. So I think we should be solid going forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

My understanding is that, Mr. Dolan, you're going to be here for at least another year -- or for the remainder of this year.

MR. DOLAN: I think for the, the better part of the remainder of this year. Yes. And I would just tell you, Alex has been a great partner with me and he will -- this will be a smooth transition. And, you know, the communication in terms of that, he's, he's really been carrying the lion's share of that anyway in front of this Commission, so we will not skip a beat in that regard. I can assure you of that.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: That sounds fantastic.

Mr. Rogers, if you give us a little idea of the philosophy of Duke's philosophy, kind of talking about diversity of power generation, you know, how you guys feel about coal, how do you feel about nuclear, how do you feel about natural gas? Just I want to get a feel for if you're different than the current Progress

philosophy or if you plan on making those changes or -for the most part, what path do you think you're going down?

MR. ROGERS: One of the great strengths of our company but also the great strengths of our industry is we're not dependent on just one fuel. If you look at the transport sector, they're almost solely dependent on oil.

In our industry, as you know so well, we generate electricity using natural gas and coal and nuclear and renewables, and I even call energy efficiency the fifth fuel. And so it's very important to get the balance right between these different alternatives. And you look back on history, you've seen periods where the price of gas is low and periods when it's been high. The same is true with coal.

But I'm a great believer, as I look out over the next 40-plus years, I believe that virtually every power plant is going to be retired and replaced in this country and in our company. And so getting the mix right is going to be very critical going forward. And I think it's prudent to have a portfolio of ways to generate electricity, and what is an optimal portfolio may vary from region to region depending on its access to different sources of fuel.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

For instance, in the midwest we're very close 1 to the coal fields, and so there's more coal there. 2 And here, it's been greater access to natural gas than to 3 coal. So it really varies from different regions 4 depending on your access to the different commodities. 5 I think nuclear will play a key role in the 6 7 future because it is -- I mean, you look at the nuclear plants that operate today, they operate in a very 8 9 low-cost way, and so they play and will play a pivotal role in the future. 10 So, again, it's the portfolio that counts, and 11 the portfolio will vary in different regions of the 12 13 country based on access to different sources. COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: So how do you see that 14 15 portfolio rolling out here in Florida? MR. ROGERS: I think Florida historically --16 17 and I have a little history with Florida. I used to run Florida Gas Transmission back in the mid '80s, so I have 18 19 a good sense, and it's subsequently been expanded and more gas has been brought to Florida. 2.0 I remember the days when Florida was very 21 dependent on oil, and then it sort of made the move to 22 I know in the northern part of Florida 23 natural gas. 24 there's been more coal because there's greater access

than as you get to the southern part of our state.

25

So my belief is gas will continue to play a major role going forward. And if shale gas turns out to be the real deal, there will be adequate supplies at low prices. But there's always been volatility in the price of gas.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

I used to say, quoting Benjamin Franklin, he said there were only two things in life that were certain, and that's death and taxes. And I have added volatility in the price of natural gas would be the third. And so I'm not predicting the future of coal or gas prices because I'm not smart enough to do that, but at the end of the day gas will be an important component. We still have coal here to rely upon and we have the potential for nuclear. And so I think they all have got to fit in going forward.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Commissioner Edgar.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I'm going to -- Mr. Rogers, you have, I think, opened the door to some further questions about nuclear. And if you hadn't, you would have been receiving them anyway, I'm certain. But since that is a little later, I think, on our agenda, I'm going to hold off on that and come back to some general questions that I think, in my mind anyway, are a little more around topic A on our agenda.

This is the first time that we have met, but I tend to like to be direct, so that's what I'm going to be. Have you made a commitment to the Duke board or Duke/Progress board, whatever is the most accurate way to term it today and going forward, as to the tenure of your, for you in this position?

MR. ROGERS: Good question. I get that question from my wife also. I would simply say I serve at the pleasure of the board. And this is the third combination I've been through, and they've asked me to step in because I was on the road to being executive chair. In fact, they had already given me my retirement gift, which when they asked me to step back in, I told them, I'm not giving it back. And the bottom line is I'm going to serve as long as they want me to serve.

But I think it's very critical to whoever comes behind me to leave the company in the best shape possible and to really bring these companies together, have people working side by side in a really coordinated effort. And so, again, I'll work as long as they want me to, as long as I can add value and they want me.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Is that a no? MR. ROGERS: I just don't know. I mean --COMMISSIONER EDGAR: My question -- excuse me. My question was have you made a commitment to a tenure?

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

For instance, at least six months, at least 12 months, forever in a day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGERS: I haven't made a specific commitment. They asked me to step back in and I said -for some period of time, and I said, absolutely. And so I haven't personally got a date. I would tell you that my contract expires in 2013. Under my existing arrangement I do not get paid in cash, I only get paid in stock, so I have a real focus on the success of this company. And so the important point here is my contract expires in '13, I've made no specific commitment, and I serve at the pleasure of the board.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: That contract, when you say 2013, would that be closer to January 2013 or December 2013?

MR. ROGERS: December 2013.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. In your testimony before the North Carolina Commission, I believe you mentioned that you would be designating a couple of point people for their efforts to be most directed towards integration. And at that time I think you gave a name, and it's not somebody I'm familiar with and that's okay, a point person from the Duke side of the partnership and stated that you would be naming somebody from the Progress side. Has that been done?

And if so, who? And then also have you or will you be designating somebody as a point person for merger integration activities for Florida specifically?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGERS: That is also a very good question. And, Commissioner Edgar, we -- I have named someone to lead this overall integration effort and it's Lee Mazzocchi. He is from Progress. And he was before part of the grid modernization, so he's going to step in that role and report directly to me.

We also still have with our company A. R. Mullinax, who runs all our IT. He was one of the two people that worked on the integration process. We have over 600 ideas that have been allocated to all the executive VPs and their organizations, and they have the responsibility of driving these changes through the distribution part of our business, through the generation, through the regulatory and legislative areas of our business, our corporate service functions in finance and HR and corporate communications.

So we have -- and like -- the way I think about it is if you have 600 ideas, about 80% of the value is in 20% of the ideas. And so what we're really doing is tracking those idea, and that's Lee Mazzocchi's responsibility, and he's going to follow that. But by delegating them to these key leaders, I also have

expectations of them of turning those ideas into reality.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: So is there a Progress Florida person that's going to be designated as the point person for Florida issues as to good ideas and synchronicity and all of that?

MR. ROGERS: The way -- and the short answer is yes, because one of the roles of Lee Mazzocchi is to make sure -- because we're operating -- we're -- the operational model is all the generation is in one organization, including Florida. So we're taking, we're standardizing practices, we're adopting best practices with all the generation that's here in Florida.

With respect to distribution, Lloyd Yates, who has over 10,000 people reporting to him, runs all the distributions. And the focus there, as he looks at all the different areas that he serves in the country, is to take good ideas and drive them through the organization that will allow our distribution operation to operate in a more reliable way, in a more efficient way going forward.

So there is a functional organizational structure that really allows us to accelerate best practices here in Florida, both on generation as well as in our transmission and distribution.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Just one followup and then I'll move on.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: On, on that point, that organizational structure that you have just described with the standardization of generation practices, standardization of BMPs of transmission, distribution, et cetera, is that, to your knowledge, very similar to the way Progress and therefore Progress Florida has operated in the most recent past, or do you see that as a substantially different approach?

MR. ROGERS: I would say that it's substantially the same. I mean, we've been in this business for 100 years delivering electricity. The generation has been very similar for most of these years except for nuclear. The transmission and distribution has seen incremental improvement through these years as we've adopted new technologies. But they're, they're not step change differences. They're minor changes that you will see that will be -- you know, Florida might do something better than other parts. And so other parts

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: We think so.

MR. ROGERS: -- other parts of our system will really learn from what Florida does. I mean, storm

restoration is a huge issue here historically and we may learn from that.

So all I'm saying is there's going to be a lot of learning that goes back and forth. It's not a one-way deal in terms of trying to create one of the most cost-effective customer responsive organizations in the country.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Rogers. And, Mr. Chairman, I do have other questions on some of the other topics, but I'm glad to yield now until we come up to those broader topics. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Sure. Thank you very much. Any further questions from Commissioners sort of on the broad communications and impact from the merger?

Okay. Moving on to questions about the settlement agreement. I'm going to tee this up. I understand that you stated, Mr. Rogers, that, you know, you all have a full commitment to, to the settlement agreement. I want to make sure that we understand the same thing. So if you could walk through what your understanding of -- what Duke's understanding of the settlement and what all the parts that are included there so that we can make sure that we're on the same page from an understanding perspective.

MR. ROGERS: Thank you. I'll outline some of

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

it and turn to my team to make sure I've gotten every detail.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Sure.

MR. ROGERS: But I think the most important thing about the settlement is is it really created a path forward. It gave rate certainty for the next four to five years. It set a date as the end of this year when we need to make a decision about whether to, to repair or retire, and we're working toward that.

It has specific provisions in terms of basically assuring us that our past expenditures were prudent. And that it was important to, that until this plant, Crystal River 3 is completely -- if we go the repair route, it's repaired, that it would be out of rate base until, I think, '16, as I recall.

And so the, so the short answer here is, is it really centered around Crystal River. It set some specific dates like you're in, it's out of rate base until a future date. And basically there are a couple of other issues that were addressed in it. But those are the ones that I think are the, from my perspective, the most important to keep in mind going forward.

And with that, let me stop and turn to Alex or Vinny for any adds or subtracts.

MR. GLENN: Sure. I think, I think Jim

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

1

25

000033

characterized it well. I mean, it really addresses three issues: Base rates, Levy, and Crystal 3, Crystal River 3. And so with respect to the base rates, and I think those are settled, those will go into effect in 2013. With respect to Levy, it gives some price stability for customers, and lengthening that to reduce the cost to customers over time, which is going to be beneficial. And I think with respect to CR3 what it really does is it, there are certain incentives in the settlement that would incent the company to repair, and there are certain timelines under that where those incentives would kick in or not. And there are certain penalties in the event that the company were to decide not to repair the plant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

So I think while, while those dates are important, and we're certainly working towards those, towards those, we are, what I would say, as committed to making what's going to be the right decision for all parties involved. And, and we're going to take our time and we're going to be thoughtful about it, as Jim said earlier. And, and I think the, the settlement agreement strikes that right balance, and we're committed to that.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. I think sort of at a, from a high level perspective, I think that that is my general understanding of, of what the

settlement agreement covered. 1 2 Sort of as a followup to the notion of the settlement, which part of it was to protect the 3 consumers, Mr. Rogers, you have publicly stated that 4 North Carolina customers will not pay for Mr. Johnson's 5 severance package, and I'm trying to see if that same 6 7 type of, of commitment would be made to Florida customers as well. 8 9 MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir. I do make that commitment. 10 CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you very much. 11 Commissioner Brown. 12 13 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. And thank you, Mr. Glenn, for your response to Chairman Brisé's 14 15 question regarding making a decision to repair, retire CR3 and, and what other triggers in the settlement 16 17 agreement that protect the customers. And, Mr. Rogers, I just want to know, do you 18 19 have -- do you at this point have a set date of when you intend to make a decision under the settlement 20 agreement? 21 MR. ROGERS: A decision is, in my judgment, a 22 pretty straightforward calculus. One is we have to 23 complete the engineering studies to see what level of 24 25 confidence that we can gain in terms of if we carry, if FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

we follow through on the engineering guidelines, it will actually lead to a safe repair and safe operation of the unit. We have to look at risk assessment associated with that.

Another part of the calculus is really, well, what will we get from NEIL? Will we get, you know, a low number or will we get a higher number? You know, we are in a process with NEIL now where we're in mediation. That will start in the fourth quarter. We're exchanging information with them. They're taking what is equivalent to depositions of some of our people. So we're working our way through that part of the calculus.

And the last area really that's important to have a keen appreciation of in this calculus is will the NRC allow for an extension of a license? So I think we have to have a clear understanding of all those factors in order to make an informed decision as to whether to retire or repair.

But let me assure you, in every event, whatever decision that we make, we will make it in the best interest of the customers in Florida. And we will share that Commission -- that, that, that decision with the consumers as well as the appropriate people from the Commission because it's very important that when we make such an important decision, that we lay out why we made

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

the decision, what we considered, and we will do that going forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Well, I appreciate that. And I agree that prudent discovery is necessary in due diligence to making that decision. But, you know, time is definitely money, and the longer the time -- I know you acknowledge that -- but the greater the cost to the customer. So I just wanted to point that out.

During the settlement -- Mr. Chairman, if you'd allow another question.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Sure. Go right ahead. COMMISSIONER BROWN: During the settlement I expressed some concern about the CR3 repair or retiring process, the informal quarterly meeting process. And I know that's for a later time today with the status conference, and I don't want to get into the details of that at this point. But does Duke intend to continue these meetings or develop a more formal process? Because my understanding during the settlement was that it was somewhat amorphous, very informal, and I just wanted to get an understanding where y'all are.

MR. ROGERS: If -- we're going to keep doing what we've been doing because we're not going to change that. And I think these meetings have been rather informal, as I understand it, in terms of the exchange
of information. I think that's sometimes the best way to share what's going on and to be candid about where we are at any given time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BROWN: So another, a more formal process though has not been considered at this point with the intervening parties per the settlement agreement?

MR. GLENN: If I might, because I've been involved in all of these discussions, and I think there will be more information probably provided by Mr. Burnett and possibly Mr. Rehwinkel at the Prehearing Conference, but we met monthly. We met every single month, we've had site tours. Although we have not established a formal schedule, we have met and talked frequently. And I fully expect that to continue going forward, particularly as we get the final technical analysis in, and we've been working with OPC and their expert witnesses as well on that.

So while we have not defined we're going to meet on this date and this date and this date for the next three months, it has worked out that we have met much more frequently than quarterly, and we will continue to do that. And I fully expect to do that over the next, the next year or the next six months until the end of the year.

But as we move forward though, it may be, if we decide to repair the plant, that at some point it makes sense to meet quarterly and not monthly with, with the intervening parties. So I fully expect that going forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Great. Thanks. CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Commissioner Edgar. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. Oh, my goodness. That opens up so many questions I barely know where to start.

I'm pleased to hear you reaffirm on the record your commitment personally and the commitment of the organization to the settlement agreement. I certainly, when that came before us, recognized it to be very comprehensive, very technical, but to, to be in the public interest for a number of reasons, partially because it does give some certainty as to timelines and does, as you've pointed out, build in rate stability, which I believe is very important for our consumers, residential, business, industrial, et cetera.

And while I have the opportunity, I want to go ahead and applaud again all the parties for coming together and being able to make that happen, certainly our Office of Public Counsel working with your counsel and all of the customer groups here in Florida.

You've mentioned a couple of points of it, so one question, you mentioned as to -- a question is whether the NRC will issue the licensing or permit requirements necessary for Crystal River 3 to extend the life of the plant, should that be the ultimate go-forward decision. I have read much in the press in the last week or so about NRC reaction to a recent court opinion.

Can you speak, or your team speak to if that recent NRC action has an impact on Crystal River 3 in particular, and, if so, how that might or might not enter into the analysis that you are doing for the future of that facility?

MR. ROGERS: Last week the NRC acted on the waste confidence issue and, and raised the question as to whether it would have any impact on existing construction and operating licenses that were pending before it and whether it would have any impact on the extension of the licenses that were pending before the NRC. There's been speculation that it could delay, particularly in the COL area of new licenses, but there has not been that same level of speculation that it would lead to an extension of the request to extend the license.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

So I think we are -- again, this decision is

just a week old, and so we don't yet know what the implications will be. But we'll know more, I think, over the coming months.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I think that's fair. And I appreciate that it's a recent decision that we are all probably evaluating.

You also mentioned, I believe, in your response to Commissioner Brown about the NEIL mediation discussions and activities that are going forward. How does the timeline for those mediation activities and ultimately, hopefully, a decision from NEIL or with NEIL, how does that timeline of that process enter into the CR3 decisions that are required under the settlement agreement? How, how do those timelines interact?

MR. ROGERS: That's a very good question, and it also really addresses a tough issue that's in front of us. The -- I've spent an hour and a half talking to the CEO of NEIL to get a deeper understanding of where, through their eyes, not through our eyes, where they think they've been, where they think they're going. And we're in a process, as I mentioned, of heading into mediation. So my hope is, is that in the mediation process we can reach some agreement in terms of what they would pay with respect to any repair.

The reason I say that is the normal process in

litigating a claim with NEIL is you go through mediation and if you -- and it's nonbinding. If you can't get a good answer to your satisfaction or resettlement, you go into arbitration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The reason I share that with you is, and that happens in most cases, you don't reach agreement in mediation, that really -- that decision-making process really runs up against year-end. So, you know, my hope is that we can get the right agreement in mediation. If not, it leads into arbitration, and we would want a really expedited arbitration process at that point.

But, again, the important point, as I said earlier, I can't predict when we're going to make the decision. It's clear in the settlement there's some incentives and penalties around making the decision by year-end, so we're focused on that. But the important, the most important point is we're not going to make a decision until we have a good understanding of all the different components, all the way from the NRC to what the amount that NEIL will give us as a consequence of our claim.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: That's helpful to me because I will say I have not had experience and I don't think the Commission as an agency has had much experience with NEIL or the NEIL process. Thankfully we

have not had to seek claims on behalf of Florida's ratepayers, et cetera. And so for you to lay out some of the steps in that, that process is helpful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

It goes without saying, but sometimes it's important to state the obvious, that for Florida's ratepayers, the, the merits of the claims before NEIL are of great importance, and to know that that is something that is front and center to all of the activities that the utility and all of the issues that you're dealing with is important for us to hear. And so I'm going to look to you to have you reiterate that.

MR. ROGERS: No. That's, that's very important that you ask me to do that.

Our goal line is to get the right answer for the customers of Florida. And the right answer is the one that leads to the, the lowest cost impact on consumers on a risk adjusted basis.

And so we are, we're doing the work on the engineering, we're doing the work on the risk assessment. We're full speed ahead and working with NEIL to get an outcome there. We're -- in fact, our Chief Nuclear Officer, as I mentioned, was up in -- or may not have mentioned, was in -- on the day they announced the waste confidence issue, he was there meeting with the different Commissioners as well as the

staff. So we're really tuned in to the rhythm of what's coming so that we can really make an informed decision for the consumers. This is the highest priority for our company today, and so we're focused on it.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: When you say your Chief Nuclear Officer, is that Mr. Lyash?

MR. ROGERS: No. That's Dhiaa Jamil.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: All right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: All right. Any further

questions on this section?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

All right. Moving forward to -- I have a few questions on the due diligence report. I want to get a sense of where we are with that and how soon our Commission staff will have access to that.

And finally, with that issue from me, after we have access to that, if there is information in there that, that we feel is appropriate for us to maybe have another similar type conversation, that you all would be willing to participate in something like that.

MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir. The, the independent report that we're doing on Crystal River 3 should be completed in the next coming months. And when it is completed, we will share it with you. Because, again, it gets back to the question that was asked earlier about being open and transparent. And there is no

reason for us not to share this with you in terms so you can understand what we understand so we're making a decision that you, you see our reasoning. You might disagree with it, but at the end of the day you'll understand the basis of our decision going forward.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: All right. Any other questions on the due diligence report?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

All right. Seeing none, going forward to -we've talked about NEIL a little bit, so I think we're going to continue down that path, if anybody else has questions dealing with NEIL.

Commissioner Graham.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Rogers, you talked, excuse me, you talked about how focused the company was dealing with NEIL and it being a top priority. Do you have a, a time frame when you expect a final determination from NEIL?

MR. ROGERS: I'm a lawyer by training, as you 18 19 know, and I don't really -- I'm not very good at 2.0 predicting outcomes of mediation. But if you look at this claim through the eyes of NEIL, this is the largest 21 claim they have ever had, and it is so large that they 22 have stepped out of their normal process to really set 23 up a committee of three to review the claim. And they 24 25 are members of the board with three very different

backgrounds; one with an insurance background, one with a utility background, and the other with an engineering background. So we are -- so they are -- this is a high priority for them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

And to put it in a broader context, NEIL is now dealing with four other claims that they have from utilities around the country. So, again, I wanted to share those facts with you so that you can have an appreciation of how they are thinking about this, top priority for them because of the size, but it also implies that the mediation is going to be tough and difficult. But we will -- you know, it's like dealing with any insurance company when you're trying to get recovery. We'll do our best and we're going to put our best team on it. And at the end of the day my hope is, is that we get the right outcome for the consumers of this state.

Well, I appreciate you 18 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: 19 said earlier that the negotiations with NEIL is a top 20 priority for Duke, and, as you can imagine, it's a top priority for us because of the dollars that you're 21 talking about. And I can't speak for the rest of our 22 Commissioners, but one of the, one of the key things 23 into the settlement agreement was so you can move 24 25 forward. And, you know, nobody is sitting back here

pointing fingers at who did what, but you can push forward and aggressively chase after NEIL for what we think is due to the, the ratepayers here in Florida.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And so that being said, if there's anything that we can do that can help you guys move forward. I mean, I don't know what the dialogue is going back and forth with the staff, but if there's something that we should be doing or need to be doing to make sure that that moves forward, please let us know.

MR. ROGERS: Thank you very much for that. I will consult with our team. And if there is something you can do, I guarantee you we'll bring it to you.

> COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: No problem.

Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. And I don't recall what the company intends to do if NEIL does go -deny the claim. Can you explain a little bit about that?

MR. ROGERS: Sitting here today it's hard for me to imagine that they would deny the claim, but it's always possible. But I think the issue really is more how much they will actually pay. So the issue is not whether they will in my mind, it is how much they will pay. And that really again, as I said earlier, fits

into the calculus of if they give us a million -- a billion dollars, well, that puts us on the one course. If they only say we're going to allow you to recover 300 million, that may well put us on a different course. And so I can't predict whether they will or they won't. I, I believe that they will. I'm more worried about how much they will pay. That to me is really the issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BROWN: I appreciate that. And I'm not asking you to predict. More in the lines of if NEIL says zero dollars, what does that translate to the ratepayers?

MR. ROGERS: Again, I would say this. If it's into -- if it's zero dollars, I think the likelihood -and, again, I'm going to let my experts here correct me -- from my perspective, if it's zero dollars and the costs, which I, on our last earning call, talked about because it was costs that were set last year between 900 and 1.3 billion are trending up, so if the costs trend up and they give us zero dollars, I think the likelihood of retirement is increased.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.

MR. GLENN: Yeah. I might add, I might add a couple of points to that. First is if the nonbinding mediation is not successful, then we go to arbitration because we feel like we have strong claims and we're

going to pursue those claims. Okay? So that's kind of number one.

Number two is I think NEIL is obviously an important fact here. The most important fact, however, is is it -- can we repair the plant? What are the risks associated with that? What are the costs associated with that? What's the schedule associated with that? What's the likelihood that we think that we can repair that plant and get it back into, into service? And I think those are the factors that are the critical factors for our customers, and that's why I don't think we can directly answer your question about what does it cost the customers because we don't know that yet. But we will, and that will be part of the ultimate decision-making that we make. NEIL is an important fact to know about that, but I think the drivers for those decisions are going to be our technical analysis, the costs to repair the plant, how confident we are in those costs to repair the plant, and what is the schedule that that's going to go under?

So I think those are things that we will be back with this Commission to talk about, and definitely with the Office of Public Counsel and the intervening, and the intervening parties. Excuse me. So that's kind of, I think, the calculus that Jim was talking about.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER BROWN: That's good. Thank you. That's good.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you. And, and I just want to make a few comments. And I think this is a good sign from, from Duke in that you're willing to discuss something, you know, that's dealing with a pending mediation, a pending arbitration of something of this magnitude. You know, being able to discuss that openly, I appreciate. And I personally am cautious to continue those discussions just because of the situation that we have before us.

But I just wanted to, you know, make this comment about where we are with the settlement and where we are with CR3 and kind of how we got there as far as, you know, for the public.

The CR3 delamination docket was separated into three phases. And Phase 1 was all the decisions by Progress Energy leading up to the first delamination in November of 2009. And then there was a second phase established, which was the decision to repair or retire CR3. And then the third phase would be from the first delamination until it gets back into service.

And the settlement agreement really addressed those issues and it allowed Progress to file a motion to

dismiss Phase 1, and the intervenors agreed to let's put that behind us and move forward, and put the other two phases in abeyance so that, again, when Progress/Duke now works with the intervening parties which is having the monthly meetings, involved with the discussions, et cetera, and the other phases are ripe for a full evidentiary hearing and full involvement with this Commission and with the intervening parties so that, you know, the decision of that magnitude, the factors into that decision are adequately reviewed, addressed, and then we move forward, you know, as a Commission and as, as, as intervenors or as the company.

So that's, that's where we are with, with CR3, and, and I think that the settlement agreement did handle that effectively. And the quarterly status meetings that are held are really to take a look at where we are for the other two phases and when do we start the evidentiary hearing process so that we can get to a resolution.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you, Commissioner Balbis.

Any further questions on, regarding NEIL? Okay. Sort of going to move back to broaden it back to sort of the impact of the merger on Florida ratepayers more broadly.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

And I'm going to start with talking about Levy a little bit. And considering the, the conversation regarding the NRC and so forth, how does that in any form change the roadmap for Levy or, or have any impact on Levy at all moving forward?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGERS: It's my judgment that the consideration of the waste confidence issue will not impact the need for Levy in the future. What it really impacts, may impact is the timing of when we get the construction operating license.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. There are obviously synergies that occur when, when a merger happens. What type of synergies are coming to Florida as a result -what kind of synergies will result as a result, as a result of the merger, and will there be any impacts in terms of jobs here in Florida as a result of the merger?

MR. ROGERS: It's, it's my judgment, and I will have my team verify this, but the, the impact on jobs will be de minimis here, if at all, first with jobs.

Second, the benefits will accrue over time and there will be two streams of benefits. It'll be the stream of benefits that really come in terms of sharing best practices and becoming more efficient in operation. There will be another stream of benefits that will come

particularly with corporate center costs if we can operate in a lower cost fashion those corporate center functions such as finance and HR, IT, et cetera.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

So, again, it's the, it's, it will happen over time and it will be two primary streams of benefits that will inure to the benefit of customers here in the state.

CHAIRMAN BRISE: Recently Duke's credit rating has taken a hit, it's been downgraded some. Are there any impacts or implications regarding the downgrade to Progress and specifically Progress Florida?

MR. ROGERS: When S&P downgraded us, they downgraded us one notch. It puts us at the same level as our ratings with Moody's and Fitch. It will have no impact on the credit ratings of Florida Progress and first mortgage bonds there. So that downgrade, which was higher than what Progress was before the combination, will not have an adverse impact on our cost of capital for Florida.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.
Commissioners? Commissioner Brown.
COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. And on to
Levy, what is your, if you have one, one-year,
five-year, and ten-year forecast plan for Levy?

MR. ROGERS: I'm not sure I have clear one,

five, and ten. But let me just share with you how, how I think about it, and then I would turn to my team to really kind of answer in a more direct way your question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

I think in one year we're still trapped in the NRC construction operating license process. So my judgment would be not much. I think in five years there is sort of a bubble question at that point in terms of whether you go forward during that period or whether it will be delayed to a further period. So I think there's a lot of things that we have to see going forward. We have to get the construction -- when will we get the construction operating license? That would be first.

Second would be what will the price of natural gas be? You know, what is the value of having diversity, given our significant reliance on natural gas, over 50% today here? It will be -- what -- will there be a price on carbon, because that will impact the economics of this.

And then lastly, a very important variable that we have to look at is will the growth return, and that will drive us even further.

So I think it's that mix of things. And the only other thing I would add is on, on the demand side management, which, I mean, that's one of the things that

Florida Progress does extremely well, and things that our other companies could learn from in terms of how it's done here. But the shape of the load is different here than in our other companies, and y'all have done a very good, or Florida Progress has done a great job of really taking advantage of the demand-side management. So there might be advances even in that coming forward that would have implications for the timing of the decision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

23

24

25

So those -- that would be the set of factors that I'd be looking to in terms of it would drive me to the date of going forward, assuming I got the COL.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: I appreciate your frankness. Thank you for being so forthcoming.

As a result of the merger, will there be an increase in costs to the customers on their, their bills?

MR. ROGERS: It would be my judgment that it should not increase prices to consumers. If anything, it should, over the longer term, reduce costs.

21 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Because of the economies
22 of scale efficiencies or --

MR. ROGERS: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay.

MR. DOLAN: Commissioner Brown, if I could

help with that a little bit. So we have an adjustment in January and then rates are frozen for the remaining part of the settlement agreement, so I think we have certainty on the base rate component. And I think -- I don't want to stick my neck out too far, but I think with gas prices the way they've been, we're hopeful that as we go through the fall cycle that we'll see some lower fuel prices coming in January. So that certainly, we may see some of that benefit in the near term.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

You know, and as it relates to Levy, just to add to what Jim said, and I, I support exactly what he said, and this is really ingrained in the philosophy of the settlement. I think in the back and forth with the consumer groups there was desire to preserve that option for the longer term, and yet to have the spending be pretty much de minimis but for completing the license application in the near term. And we certainly support that direction.

I think we, as a company, believe in nuclear for the long term. You know, this is a project that started when we were suffering from very high gas prices back in the middle part of the last decade. And, as Jim said, we can't -- we don't have the crystal ball what will happen with gas in the future. So to have that as a potential option for the longer term we think makes a

lot of sense for the consumers in Florida, and, you 1 know, time will tell as far as that goes. 2 Thank you, Mr. Dolan. 3 COMMISSIONER BROWN: MR. GLENN: The last thing I would add also is 4 starting next year, I mean, we're going to be refunding 5 \$129 million under the terms of the settlement that the 6 7 Commission approved, and then another \$129 million in 2014. So no one has a crystal ball, but I would guess 8 9 that they're going down, not up. COMMISSIONER BROWN: All good points. 10 Thank you for sharing them with us. 11 12 MR. GLENN: Sure. CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Commissioner Edgar. 13 Thank you. But perhaps 14 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: 15 what may be characterized as a more specific question regarding the proposed Levy County facility, and if I 16 17 have this wrong, please feel free to correct me -- no, just feel free, do correct me -- but my understanding is 18 19 that the company has, through an MOU or some other 2.0 arrangement with our state Department of Environmental Protection, an agreement that CR1 and 2 will be retired 21 when Levy County goes online, and that that is built 22 into the five-year stip that we have with DEP, EPA. 23 Realizing that the Levy County facility, that 24 its timeline for potential operation has been moved back 25

and could potentially be moved back even further, what impact, what decisions either have been made or when will they be made regarding CR1 and 2?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGERS: The answer to that question really rests in the analysis that we're doing now. With the new EPA regulations, we're taking a look at both Crystal River 1 and 2 with respect to what impact it may have on the operation of those plants, and whether or not it makes economic sense to retrofit those plants given the age of the plants. So that, that is an issue that is a work in progress and more to come on that.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Could you maybe, or your team, elaborate on the timeline? And if you can't, I understand that we are, we are looking forward.

MR. GLENN: Yes. Yes. I don't have a specific timeline, but we're pretty far along in our analysis on looking at the economics, the Mass Rule compliance and what that, what kind of impact that has not only on CR1 and 2 but all of our generation fleet in Florida. So we're going to be looking at that, and it's probably months, not years, you know, when we make that decision. But that's something that will be back probably before this Commission and staff and informing everybody of what we're going to be doing with those units.

But really the two options are scrub, put additional emissions controls on those units, and run them for another extended period of time, or likely shutting down, if that's the choice. It depends on the economics really and what's, kind of what's the lowest cost for the customer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRISE: Thank you, Commissioners. I think we've covered quite a lot of ground this afternoon. The next area of inquiry is in essence sort of open and broad, so whatever Commissioners may have on their mind they may pose at this time.

I just want to begin by asking sort of a -and I think Commissioner Graham alluded to some of this in his question, but I want to have a sense of what Duke's corporate vision is with respect with renewable energy, considering that that is a topic of great interest at this point in Florida.

MR. ROGERS: First, I would say that, a couple of things that I think are important for you to, to keep in mind when you think about how we think about renewable energy.

Four to five years ago we started a renewable, a commercial renewable business. By the end of this year we'll have almost 1,800 megawatts of wind and

solar. But this is a business that we've developed in regions of the country where the wind blows strongly, and primarily in Texas and Wyoming and Kansas and some in Pennsylvania. But we have built a commercial basis where we sell under 20-year contract the output from our wind farms that we have built. We also have solar projects. And actually prior to his current assignment, Keith Trent ran all of our commercial business and really was the one responsible for developing the wind and solar portfolio that we have today. And I would say today we're probably the fifth largest producer of electricity from wind in the country because of Keith's effort over the last three to four years.

And in terms of what we have done in some of our other jurisdictions, we in North Carolina asked permission to build solar on the rooftop for our customers. And basically it was kind of an old-fashioned idea, very similar to how we basically provided universal access in the United States to all consumers and businesses. We basically asked for permission to build 50 -- to build 10 megawatts, and basically asked our customers to raise their hands and we would pay them, we would put the solar panels on the rooftop. And then the cost of that we would roll in and it would be subsidized by our low cost coal and nuclear,

because in the Carolinas about 96% of our electricity comes from coal and nuclear.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And so as a consequence of that, we, as part of our regulatory business, provided solar power on the roof of our customers, and we operated as if it was a coal plant or a nuclear plant or a gas plant. So that's how we have thought about renewables. We've also invested a lot in energy efficiency because we think that is very important. And as I mentioned earlier, I mean, I think Florida Progress leads all our companies with respect to their demand-side management programs.

If I might elaborate on that just MR. TRENT: With respect to the states in which we a moment. operate, one of the things that we're very careful about is working with the state and with the parties in the state to understand the state's desires. And we believe that there is no sort of cookie cutter fit, if you will, for any state with respect to renewables. Different states have different resources. And we have, for example, worked with the state of North Carolina and with the state of Ohio in supporting a renewables standard that was agreed upon by various parties. So that's the way we like to operate is to get everyone together and understand what makes sense for the state.

One other thing that we think is very

important is in dealing with customers, we recognize that there are times when renewable energy is more expensive to customers and you need to take that into consideration. One of the things we did in North Carolina on that front was we created an economic safety valve, if you will, that if the price to the customer reached a certain level as a result of building out renewables, then the standard stopped because we did not want to have a significant impact on customers. And so that, that gives you a little bit of the sense of how we look at renewables.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you very much.

Commissioner Balbis with a question or comment.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I have a question for Mr. Rogers.

You had mentioned Florida's experience, Progress Energy Florida's experience and success with DSM programs. And this Commission, in 2009, established high goals for utilities to meet demand reduction, energy reduction, and we decided that, recently that the DSM plan that Progress Energy provided to us resulted in an undue rate impact to meet those high goals this Commission established.

And coming up in the next year or so we're

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

24

25

1

2

going to start that goal setting process again. And can you commit that Duke Energy will use all of its resources through the other state to develop a plan that are cost-effective to customers so that they can achieve those demand savings in a very cost-effective measure? So I, you know, I don't want you just to rely on Florida's experience, but use all the experience of Duke and Progress's combined experience with that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir. We'll do that. I mean, the notion of cost-effective is important. It's important in the context of demand-side management, and, as Keith said, it is very important in the context of renewables.

And I would just make the observation, every state, as Keith said, is very different in how they think about these issues and what their resources are. But in every event we will do whatever is cost-effective in either one of those two areas going forward.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you. Any other questions? Commissioner Edgar.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Since first reading about some of the other issues and discussions after the merger was completed, there's one

question that immediately came to mind and has stuck in my -- many questions have, but one that came in first and has stuck there ever since but I've had a hard time trying to figure out how to articulate it, so bear with me.

Following as closely as, as we can from down here in the southeast and with some of the limitations we have as also being an evidentiary body with dockets open before us, as I have read some of the accounts in the media and also tried to follow along with the forum that the North Carolina Commission opened and presented, I have read and heard a lot of discussion about the commitment and activities in North Carolina and South Carolina, Kentucky, and Ohio. And then maybe in like the fifth, sixth, eighth paragraph down there would be something that would say something like, oh, and Florida. So for you to be here today and to express your commitment to Florida, to our ratepayers, of course, but to the state and to the public interest here is very important and I'm very appreciative.

You have made this point, but I would like to hear you say it again, and realizing in particular that my understanding is that with the merged companies, that Florida has, I believe, the second largest number of accounts of all the states in your combined territory.

Can you reassure us in some way that Florida is not an afterthought?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGERS: It's my belief that our focus on the customers in Florida will not change. Florida will not be an afterthought. The customers will not be an afterthought.

As I said in my opening statement, I started my career, after clerking for the supreme court in my state, as an Assistant Attorney General Consumer Advocate, and I really understand the importance of getting the balance right between the customers and the And we will do our very best to achieve that investors. here and make the type of recommendations to you that make sense. And at the end of the day I believe with our team, I mean with Vinny and Alex and the other members of our team, we will continue to do as well as we've ever done, and hopefully there will be cost savings that will come. And over time there might be better ideas in terms of operating, distribution, or doing storm restoration, et cetera. But we will do our best, and our Florida customers will be in the forefront of what we do.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. To kind of follow along that line, you made the comment, I believe, earlier in this ongoing dialogue about decisions, all

1

decisions, but in particular regarding CR3 and Levy County and related issues, that the cost impact to the ratepayer would be one of the tantamount considerations. But as we all know, financial impact to ratepayers takes many facets. Affordable you have mentioned, and it is certainly something that this Commission spends a great deal of time trying to do what we can to guarantee affordable, reliable rates, and the livability of our communities.

I have read in the paper that the company has made a commitment to Raleigh, or Raleigh -- to Raleigh on going to Charlotte. I read, I think, over the weekend a commitment to a building in St. Petersburg. Any decisions in particular about CR3 and Levy County will have a great ripple effect to some of the small communities in your service territory here in Florida. How does that factor into decisions? And I'll round that out by saying you began your comments with a, stating that economic development is an emphasis of your company. I don't know if those are two separate issues or if they are related, but if you could speak to that, please.

MR. ROGERS: Well, the best thing we can do from an economic development perspective is to try to keep our rates as affordable as possible. That's first.

But secondly is to invest and work side by side with economic development leaders at the city and county and state levels. We do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And actually I've already talked with one of the leaders in economic development in Citrus County, and he made it pretty clear to me exactly what their issues were and their concerns were, and I appreciated that he reached out to me and talked to me about this.

And so we're sensitive. I mean, we've had situations, I should say, in the midwest where we've shut plants down in some counties, and in those states, from a property tax standpoint, that has significant implications. So we factor that in in our thinking.

So all I can say is, is that we see economic development. As I said, we're very aggressive in working side by side with the state leaders on this. We will continue to be that way. We also appreciate, and Citrus County is clear in my mind, with respect to the economic development implication, the property tax implication of the operation of that plant in that county.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And I hope you will, and expect that you will also, as decisions are going forward, take a good, close look at the cost-effect, cost-effectiveness of hiring Florida businesses for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

subcontracting type opportunities. And thank you.

MR. ROGERS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Commissioner Graham. COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I've been sitting here going through the list of questions that I, I came up with before the start of this meeting, and I think all of them have been asked and answered except for one. And I believe Commissioner Brown asked a question earlier. I'm not sure if I heard the answer or if I got lost in the dialogue, but the question was about NEIL. If NEIL decides not to pay anything, then who pays?

MR. ROGERS: If NEIL makes what I believe is an unlikely decision to pay nothing, my judgment is -and we make the decision to repair and the repair is successful, then it would be my belief that the cost of the repair would be borne by the customers.

But, again, the important point is we'll have to make a decision that we will share with you as to what is the most cost-effective thing to do if they pay zero, which I think is a low probability. So I think that is a decision that we'll make, that's a decision that we'll share on a regular basis as we work our way through this. So that's -- I hope that gives clarity to the answer and the answer wasn't lost in the dialoque.

000068

MR. GLENN: Yeah. I think that's one of the questions, if I might add to what Jim said, is left open in the settlement agreement. The parties, when we were negotiating, couldn't come to a resolution on that issue of what happens with uninsured costs; correct? So I think under the terms of the settlement what we'd be doing is sitting back down with J. R. Kelly, Office of Public Counsel, Florida Retail Federation, FIPUG, PCS Phosphate, the parties in that agreement, and trying to figure out a creative solution like we've been able to do in the past. And if we can't, we're going to be coming back to you to have to prove a case to you to determine if we're entitled to those costs. I think that's, and I think that's where we're at right now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Well, I think the stipulation was a creative solution at the time. And as everybody said here so far, you all know the impact of what would happen if something were -- if CR3 were not going back online, the impact that would happen in that section of our state, that county for sure, and all those lost jobs. So hopefully NEIL decides to pay the entire bill and we get to move forward and not put any more burdens on our ratepayers. But I do appreciate your answers.

MR. DOLAN: Commissioner, Commissioner Graham,

if I might. The, yeah, I think it's important to point out, you know, we've talked a lot about Crystal River, and I think we're spilling over into the Prehearing Officer's more detailed conversation that'll happen later today, and that's really appropriate where we'll get into a lot of the details.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

But I will tell you that it's been our consistent position that this is a legitimate claim with NEIL and nothing -- you know, we were speculating about the future, which is always sort of interesting to do, but our intention is to go to mediation and, and that's the next step. And we feel like there's money due for this claim and we intend to pursue it as a company. And that's a factor.

As Mr. Glenn said, this is not, this is a very complicated puzzle at Crystal River. I think we all acknowledge that. And we're going to work through it, and we're going to work through it and evaluate all viable options and ultimately make the right decision. And we have some deadlines at the end of this year that are contemplated in the settlement. But if we're not at the point by the end of this year where we're ready to make the correct decision, then we will take the additional time that's necessary to make the informed decision.

So clearly this is a top priority for us as a company, and I think as the new company it's a top priority. And we're, and we're going to exhaust every avenue until we get to the right answer and the Commission will be informed. You know, we talked a little bit about the nature of the information flow to this point. I think that pace will accelerate because we'll know more information. We really haven't known a lot of conclusive information about the engineering design, the study, those types of things. So I think as we step through this in the coming months there will be more information to share in the proper forum and that'll sort of lead us down the path until we reach whatever is the right decision there. So that's really the way we're approaching it and will continue to approach it until we get to that, to that stage.

CHAIRMAN BRISE: Thank you. At this time, Mr. Rogers, providing that there are no other questions from Commissioners, I want to give you the opportunity to make a closing statement before we get into making our closing statements from up here.

MR. ROGERS: Well, first, Mr. Chairman, I want to thank you for this opportunity to be here today. I really appreciate the good questions, some hard questions that you've asked me. I've done my best to

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

answer them to the best of my ability. And we really look forward to working together and in being open, to being transparent, and have the kind of relationship that allows us to provide electricity in the most affordable, reliable, and cleanest way possible to the people that deserve it, and that's the people of Florida. Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you very much, Mr. Rogers.

Commissioner Balbis, you'll go first.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I want to thank you, Mr. Rogers, and the entire Duke/Progress team for, for coming here today and being very open and I believe frank in your discussions on these important issues.

You know, I am optimistic that with Mr. Rogers' experience with I believe your third merger, similar merger, that the transition difficulties that I discussed will be minimized. I'm optimistic with that. And with Mr. Glenn taking over for, again, the big shoes of Mr. Dolan, that the Florida customers are going to still see the same faces and receive the same service until these improvements come on board.

And I'm certainly confident that this Commission will continue to closely monitor the

important issues that the company is facing along with the customers are facing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

Someone once told me once, and I'm sure it wasn't Benjamin Franklin, but it's a pretty good saying that I think is appropriate, and that is trust, but verify. So we're going -- I look forward to working with the team and continuing to look out for the best interests of the company and the customers as we move forward.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just a quick thank you for your willingness to come and meet with us, and especially your candor and insight into the issues that are affecting Florida customers. I think it's been helpful to us as Commissioners, but also to the customers that are paying attention, and I think it's been very -- provided a lot of clarity to some of those newspaper articles out there. So thank you again.

20 **CHAIRMAN BRISÉ:** Okay. Any further comments? 21 All right. With that, I want to, before I get 22 into that, I want to recognize Senator Dean. Thank you 23 for being here this afternoon.

I want to express my appreciation to the management team at Duke and Progress Florida. You know,

my belief is that when there are difficult challenges, the more heads that we put around it and work to find a solution, the better the solution. And I think that when we've dealt with the issues that are, were at hand and continue to be at hand through the settlement and we continue to deal with those issues moving forward, I think that if we continue to maintain the, the lines of communication open, not only with the Commission but with all the intervening parties and so forth, we will move towards a resolution that makes sense for our common constituents, which are our consumers. And I think that today is a sign of a productive beginning, and we look forward to, to a strong and maintained relationship of open dialogue which we have here.

I want to also express appreciation to Mr. Dolan for, for his hard work over the years, and thank you for establishing a type of culture that exists so that we can have the type of dialogue necessary for open communication and discussion as we deal with difficult challenges.

So with that, I want to say that I appreciate everyone's interest in this issue. And for the consumers who are paying attention, you know, these are challenging issues. But rest assured that we, as your Commissioners, and all those who are involved, the

Office of Public Counsel and all those who represent your interests, we are going to work hard and be diligent about ensuring that what we do in terms of our decision-making is within the public interest, and at the end of the day that is our greatest responsibility. After this we will, 30 minutes after this we'll begin the status conference. Commissioner Balbis will be chairing that. And so with that in mind, we will adjourn. You can probably go to the lobby as we set up in here for that meeting. Thank you very much and have a great afternoon. (Proceeding concluded at approximately 2:47 p.m.)

1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
5	
6	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
7	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
8	
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS Ot day of Sentember,
13	QB/d.
14	
15	Linda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR FPSC Official Commission Reporter
17	(850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION