	1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
	2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF				
	3	GEORGE HIXON					
	4		ON BEHALF OF				
	5		PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA				
	6		DOCKET NO. 130007-EI				
	7		April 1, 2013				
	8						
	9	Q.	Please state your name and business address.				
	10	A.	My name is George Hixon. My business address is 1729 Bailles Bluff Rd.,				
	11		Holiday, FL 34691.				
	12						
	13	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?				
	14	A.	I am employed by Progress Energy Florida (PEF) as Manager of Major Projects				
	15		in the Project Management and Construction (PMC) group.				
	16						
COM 5	17	Q.	What are your responsibilities in that position?				
APA 1	18	А.	My responsibilities entail major project planning and execution, including				
ENG 4	19		oversight, construction, commissioning and start up. My primary duties involve				
IDM TEL CLK	20		managing engineering activities to ensure project scope is accurate and				
	<b>P</b> 21		complete, providing input to estimate development, assisting in the development				
	22		of project execution and contracting strategies, and providing input to the overall				
	23	3 project schedules and oversight of construction execution. These duties are					
۲			DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE				

,

.

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

relevant to projects that emerge from system planning and environmental
 planning activities where specific projects are identified as viable projects that
 will move forward into funding, contracting, design, construction and startup
 phases. Our group generally accommodates projects in excess of \$50 million in
 value.

6

7 Please describe your educational background and professional experience. **Q**. 8 Α. I earned a BS in Civil Engineering from Clemson University in 1971. I have 9 been registered in the state of South Carolina as a Professional Engineer since 10 1981. Prior to my employment with PEF, I worked for different construction 11 and engineering firms in the United States ranging from a Field Engineer and 12 advancing to a Vice President of Projects. These projects included managing major engineering design and construction projects in the Pulp and Paper, Power 13 14 and Heavy Industrial, and Cement plant construction markets both domestically and internationally. In 2001, I became employed with Calpine Corporation as a 15 16 Senior Project Manager where I managed several gas turbine and steam turbine 17 projects. In May 2005, I was hired by PEF where I oversaw the construction, 18 commissioning and start up of projects. Project work with PEF includes 19 engineering management oversight for environmental retrofit projects and 20 repowering projects.

21

22 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

1	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to provide an update on the Mercury and Air
2		Toxics Standards (MATS) - Anclote Gas Conversion Project (Project 17.1).
3		
4	Q.	What has been your role in the Anclote Gas Conversion Project?
5	A.	I transitioned into the role as Project Manager for the Anclote Gas Conversion
6		Project in late June 2012. I worked with Mr. Joel Moran, the initial Project
7		Manager, to ensure efficient transition. I am responsible for overall construction
8		management and review of engineering studies, schedules and estimates to
9		ensure the project is accurately defined, and an adequate timeline for the
10		execution of the project is allocated along with oversight of construction. In
11		addition, I work with others in the organization to lead internal contract planning
12		and strategy efforts, and work with supply change to contract boiler
13		modification work and balance of plant engineering services.
14		
15	Q:	Did you review the Direct Testimony of Mr. Joel Moran filed in Docket. No
16		120007-EI on August 1, 2012?
17	A:	Yes.
18		
19	Q:	In that testimony, Mr. Moran described the management structure used to
20		oversee implementation of the MATS – Anclote Gas Conversion Project.
21		Does that structure remain the same?
22	A:	Yes, essentially. The project is now in the construction phase. The management
23		structure of the project has been altered to oversee more personnel involved in

•

•	1	C 1	•	1
unit out	ages and	file	conversion	work.
GIIIC 0 400			001110101011	** • • • • • •

2

3

1

- Q: What is the current estimated cost for the Anclote Gas Conversion Project?
- 4 A: The Company currently estimates total project costs of \$94.3 million.
- 5
- 6 Q: What was the original estimated cost for the Anclote Gas Conversion
  7 Project?
- 8 A: The Company originally estimated and the Commission approved \$79.3 million
  9 total project costs in Order No. PSC-12-0432-PAA-EI, Docket No. 120103-EI.
- 10
- 11 Q: Please explain the variance between the \$79.3 million original estimate and
   12 the current estimate of \$94.3 million.

13 The \$15 million increase in total project costs is due to changes in the forced A: 14 draft (FD) fan systems. As Mr. Moran indicated in his August 1, 2012 direct 15 testimony in Docket No. 120007-EI, the \$79.3 million estimate was subject to change based on the results of an ongoing engineering evaluation to determine 16 whether changes to the Anclote units FD fan systems were needed. Specifically, 17 the changes would allow PEF to meet MATS compliance requirements while 18 19 maintaining current maximum output. PEF has completed the engineering 20 analysis and determined that the existing draft fans are not sufficient to maintain 21 maximum output. Therefore, some changes to the FD fan systems are required. 22 A decision on the appropriate option was made in late 2012 to enable the FD

1		fans to be installed in 2014. The changes consist of new fans, motors, variable
2		speed drives and two auxiliary transformers.
3		
4	Q:	Why is it necessary to replace the FD fans?
5	A:	Switching from #6 fuel oil to natural gas slightly decreases boiler thermal
6		efficiency requiring additional boiler heat input to achieve the same electrical
7		output. The additional heat input is achieved by increasing fuel flow and
8		combustion air supplied by FD fans. After testing the existing FD fans and
9		considering options for increasing combustion air, PEF determined the existing
10		fans were not capable of providing sufficient air. Therefore, PEF decided that
11		the best technical solution is to replace the FD fans with larger units. Larger
12		fans require larger motors and unit auxiliary transformers.
13		
14	Q.	Does the Anclote Gas Conversion Project remain on schedule to meet its
15		targeted in-service date?
16	A.	Yes, PEF continues to expect that both Unit 1 and Unit 2 will be fully converted
17		to natural gas in late 2013; however, installation of the FD fans will be not be
18		completed until early 2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter 2014 due to long lead time involved to
19		purchase necessary equipment. PEF needed to conduct detailed engineering
20		analysis to verify that FD fan system installation would be an effective and
21		feasible solution, and the time needed to complete the analysis precluded
22		ordering equipment to support a 2013 installation. Each unit will be placed into

.

1		service as it is converted to natural gas; however, maximum output of each unit
2		cannot be achieved until the new FD fans are installed.
3		
4	Q:	How did actual expenditures compare with PEF's estimated/actual
5		projections for 2012 in previous testimony and exhibits for the Anclote Gas
6		Conversion Project?
7	A:	The Company incurred \$25 million of project costs in 2012 compared to \$22
8		million of estimated costs. Such costs were incurred primarily for owner
9		furnished equipment (\$19 million) and engineering (\$3 million).
10		The difference between the \$25 million of incurred costs as of December 31,
11		2012 and \$22 million of estimated costs was due primarily to shifting some
12		engineering services planned for 2013 to 2012.
13		
14	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
15	A.	Yes.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		