

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

FILED DEC 03, 2013
DOCUMENT NO. 07232-13
FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

December 3, 2013

Docket No. 130001-EI – Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

Issue 18B: Should FPL be excluded from the GPIF program for the duration of its Pilot Asset Optimization Program?

Recommendation: Not at this time. Since performance targets have previously been set for 2013, FPL should be eligible for any GPIF rewards/penalties associated with its 2013 unit performance. If FPL receives either a reward or penalty under the GPIF, it is likely that the Company also would receive a credit towards its threshold goal under the Pilot Program. The Pilot Program may also be more comprehensive than the GPIF at targeting similar behavior, i.e. the efficient operation of base load generating units. Based on the current schedule, the initial two years of the Pilot Program will be at the end of 2014. Staff recommends that FPL address these specific interrelationships when the Commission reviews the Pilot Program during 2015.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

 Four handwritten signatures in blue ink are written over four horizontal lines. The signatures are cursive and vary in style.

Four horizontal lines for dissenting signatures, all of which are blank.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

Vote Sheet

December 3, 2013

Docket No. 130001-EI – Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 25B: Are costs (O&M and Capital Costs) related to Nuclear Regulatory Commission requirements stemming from the Fukushima incident that exceed the levels of such costs that FPL included in its 2013 test year in Docket No. 120015-EI eligible for recovery through the capacity cost recovery clause?

Recommendation: Yes. Based on the terms of the Settlement approved by the Commission in Docket No. 120015-EI, the Commission should grant FPL's requested recovery of Fukushima- related costs through the Capacity Clause.

APPROVED

Issue 25C: What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Fukushima) Compliance O&M and capital costs that FPL should be allowed to recover through the Capacity Clause?

Recommendation: The appropriate amount of Incremental Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Fukushima) Compliance O&M expense and capital costs that FPL should be allowed to recover through the Capacity Clause is \$116,265 for the period January-December 2013, and \$1,621,570 for the period January-December 2014. The estimated costs will be trued-up to actual costs and will be audited as part of the Commission's audit process for the capacity clause.

APPROVED

Issue 29: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2013 through December 2013?

Recommendation: For FPL, the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up amount for the period January-December 2013, is an under-recovery of \$25,357,191. If the Commission modifies staff's recommendations in Issues 25B and/or 25C, then FPL should file revised capacity cost recovery factors to reflect the Commission's decision for administrative approval by staff.

APPROVED

Vote Sheet

December 3, 2013

Docket No. 130001-EI – Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 30: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January 2014 through December 2014?

Recommendation: For FPL, the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January-December 2014, is an under-recovery of \$33,270,675. If the Commission modifies staff's recommendations in Issues 25B and/or 25C, then FPL should file revised capacity cost recovery factors to reflect the Commission's decision for administrative approval by staff.

APPROVED

Issue 31: What are the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for the period January 2014 through December 2014?

Recommendation: For FPL, the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amount for the period January-December 2014, is \$510,012,148 (Jurisdictionalized, and excluding prior period true-ups, revenue taxes, nuclear cost recovery amounts, and West County Energy Center Unit-3 jurisdictional non-fuel revenue requirements). If the Commission modifies staff's recommendations in Issues 25B and/or 25C, then FPL should file revised capacity cost recovery factors to reflect the Commission's decision for administrative approval by staff.

APPROVED

Issue 32: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2014 through December 2014?

Recommendation: The appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factor for the period January-December 2014, is \$746,376,916, which includes prior period true-ups, revenue taxes, the nuclear cost recovery amount and West County Energy Center Unit-3 revenue requirements. If the Commission modifies staff's recommendations in Issues 25B and/or 25C, then FPL should file revised capacity cost recovery factors to reflect the Commission's decision for administrative approval by staff.

APPROVED

Vote Sheet

December 3, 2013

Docket No. 130001-EI – Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 34: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2014 through December 2014?

Recommendation: The appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January-December 2014 are set forth in Table 34-1 below. If the Commission modifies staff's recommendations in Issues 25B and/or 25C, FPL should file revised capacity cost recovery factors to reflect the Commission's decision for administrative approval by staff.

APPROVED

Issue 36: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. The Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause is an on-going docket and should remain open.

APPROVED