FILED MAR 04, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 01030-14 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK 000001

1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 APPLICATION FOR STAFF-ASSISTED) RATE CASE IN POLK COUNTY BY) DOCKET NO. 130210-WS 4 CHC VII, LTD. 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 PROCEEDINGS: CUSTOMER MEETING 14 COMMISSION STAFF PARTICIPATING: PENELOPE BUYS 15 DICK DURBIN CURT MOURING KELLY THOMPSON 16 MATTHEW VOGEL 17 MELINDA WATTS 18 Tuesday, February 4, 2014 DATE: 19 TIME: Commenced at 5:00 p.m. 20 Chain of Lakes Complex - Poolside Room PLACE: 210 Cypress Gardens Boulevard 21 Winter Haven, Florida 22 TRANSCRIBED BY: LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter 23 (850) 413-6734 24 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PROCEEDINGS

MR. MOURING: Let me first thank you all for coming tonight. Your input is very important to the Commission and Commission Staff.

We'll start with this meeting is being -- this customer meeting is being held for the CHC VII, Limited, customer -- I'm sorry -- staff-assisted rate case,

Docket Number 130210-WS. And we'll start with just a quick introduction of the Commission staff members that are here.

I'm Curt Mouring. I'm a public utilities supervisor in the Division of Accounting and Finance. We have Melinda Watts with our engineering staff; Matthew Vogel, who is also with the Accounting and Finance; and we also have Penelope Buys and Kelly Thompson -- I'm sorry. Penelope is with our engineering department and Kelly is with our economics department.

Kind of the purpose of this meeting is to describe the Commission's rate case process, to receive input from you folks, from the customers. And Mr. Durbin, who signed you in as you walked in -- if anyone signed up to speak, we'll call you in the order in which you signed in. And your comments are being recorded; this meeting is being recorded.

Just a brief overview of this company. It

began operations in 1986. The Commission granted the utility its certificates in 1999. And the utility filed for a staff-assisted rate case in 2007, but subsequently withdrew it before rates were implemented or set. The utility has not had a rate increase since coming under the Commission jurisdiction in 1996.

What is a staff-assisted rate case? It's a process where the Commission staff assists smaller water and wastewater utilities with rate relief requests, and the staff assistance typically eliminates the need for the utility to hire multiple outside consultants, accountants, and engineers that would incur significant rate case expense that would be passed on to the ratepayers.

The steps involved in the SARC process. The utility filed its application on August 5th of last year. Our staff auditors have conducted an examination of the utility's books and records. Our staff engineers will conduct a review of the utility's operations and contact the Department of Environmental Protection regarding the utility.

And a staff report has been prepared with staff's preliminary findings. And I do want to stress that it is preliminary in nature. It is subject to change.

Ŭ

A customer meeting will be held, which is where we are now, to receive input from the customers. After the customer meeting, staff prepares and files a recommendation that details staff's proposed rates, and the recommendation will be presented to the Commissioners for their consideration. Staff considers customer input and information obtained from this customer meeting in its preparation for its recommendation to be presented to the Commissioners.

The rates --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible).

MR. MOURING: I'm sorry?

The rates in the final recommendation may be different. As I said, these are preliminary. What you have in that green packet there, the rates in there are preliminary, and they may be different, the final rates may be different.

At the recommendation -- for the recommendation and agenda, at the Commission Conference the Commissioners may ask questions of staff prior to voting on staff's recommendation. And once the Commissioners feel they've gathered enough information and gotten answers to their questions, they'll vote to approve, deny, or modify staff's recommendation. And the utility and customers are permitted to speak at the

PAA Agenda. If you do wish to attend up in Tallahassee, please let staff know so we can, so that we know you're there and we can make sure that you get an opportunity to address the Commissioners.

2.0

The proposed agency action process. After the Agenda, the Commission will issue a PAA order within 20 days of its vote. A 21-day protest period then begins where any substantial affected party other than the utility may protest that order and request a formal hearing. The utility must agree to accept final rates and charges set by the Commission unless they produce less revenue than the existing rates and charges.

If a timely protest is filed, a formal hearing will be held in the service territory and a hearing will be held at least three -- before at least three

Commissioners. The utility and protesting parties will litigate the issues, they'll put on witnesses, file exhibits, all of that, and the customers can testify before the Commission's -- before the Commissioners at the hearing.

After the hearing, staff will prepare another final recommendation based on testimony given in the hearing. No participation from the utility or customers is permitted at the final Commission Conference. A final vote will be made and a final order will be

issued. The Commission's decision at that point can only be appealed to the First DCA of Florida.

Now some specifics on CHC's operating income.

And, again, these are based on preliminary numbers.

They are subject to change. As per the utility for the water operations, they had revenues of about 93,000, expenses of about 86, with a net operating of 7,000.

Staff's preliminary number shows revenues of about 138,000, with 131 of operating expenses, generating a \$6,900 return net operating income.

For wastewater, about 90,000. Staff is recommending 190. Operating expenses, 165, 166. Staff's recommending 183 off of a test year loss, operating loss of 75,000 to a net operating income of 6,467.

What that means in terms of rates, and this is where our rates department has opted to change the billing schedule where currently you're billed combined water and wastewater with an allowance of 8,000 gallons per month. What staff is recommending at this time is to shift away from that to a separate water and wastewater with a base facility charge and a consumption -- and a consumption or usage charge per thousand gallons used. So currently the tariffed rate is 15.71, water and wastewater, for up to 8,000 gallons.

What we're recommending is a monthly base charge of 1 4.68 for water, with gallonage charges for the first 2 5,000 gallons at \$1.34, and all subsequent gallons at 3

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2.13 per thousand gallons.

For wastewater, staff's recommending a base charge of \$8.34, with a gallonage charge of \$1.89 with a cap at 8,000. Any use over 8,000 will not be billed for the wastewater. And here's a side-by-side comparison of the current charges at 5,000 -- consumption levels of 5,000, 8,000, and 10,000. Your current rates on a combined basis were 15.17 for 5,000, 15.17 for 8,000, and then 18.33 at the 10,000-gallon consumption level. Staff's preliminary rates would work out to 11.38 at the 5,000 for water and 17.79 for wastewater at 5,000 gallons. And at 8,000, 17.77 for water; 23.46 for wastewater; and 10,000, 22.03 for water; and 23.46 again for wastewater as the 8,000-gallonage cap would kick in. So that's the highest wastewater bill that you can receive.

And, again, staff's recommendation on CHC's SARC is tentatively scheduled to be filed on March 27th. Staff's recommendation is tentatively scheduled to be heard at the Commission, heard by the Commission at its April 8th, 2014, Commission Conference. And, again, the Commissioners at that time may approve, deny, or modify

staff's recommendation.

What can you do? You can provide comments at today's meeting. Again, it is being recorded, and a copy of this recording will be placed in the correspondence side of the docket file for the Commissioners and staff to view -- or to listen to rather.

You can provide written comments. If you look on the back page of your, the green packet, there's a customer comments area that you can fill out, and you can place a stamp on it and send it right on in to us. It's pre-addressed.

You could also obtain a copy of staff's, of staff's recommendation once it's prepared. Mr. Durbin has the request form for that. And if you'd like to, if you'd like for us to mail you a copy of staff's recommendation once it's prepared, you can fill out one of those and we'll send you one in the mail as soon as it's, as soon as it's been filed with the Commission.

And you can also monitor the Agenda. Let's see, if you go to Florida Public Service -- I'm sorry -- FloridaPSC.com, our website, at the top there is a Conferences and Agenda -- a Conferences and Meeting Agendas tab that you can click on the 14th -- I'm sorry -- April 14th -- April 8th -- I'm sorry, thank

you -- and you can listen to it live. There will be live streaming audio and video coverage of the Agenda Conference. You can monitor it live, if you'd like. And you can also go to the -- there's a separate tab up top that says Clerk's Office. If you go there, you can enter the docket number, which is found on the cover here, it's the 130210 -- you don't need the WS -- and you can look at the, all the filings that have been made in this docket. And this is just a screen shot of what the audio and video coverage will look like on, on the Commission's website.

The Office of Public Counsel was established by the Florida Legislature. They're an advocate group for consumers before the Public Service Commission.

They argue on the customers' behalf. There's an 800 number where you can reach them, as well as their website, FloridaOPC.gov. And the Florida Public Service Consumer Assistance 800 number, if you have -- if you'd like to reach the Commission, there's the 800 number.

And before we move into the customer comments section of this meeting, just please remember that this meeting is being recorded. Customers will be called in the order that they signed up. Please come up to the microphone when your name is called, give your name and address, and please spell your last name if you didn't

1 sign in with Mr. Durbin beforehand.

2 I'm sorry. Sir?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: How can we ask
questions?

MR. MOURING: Let me call Mr. Smith first, and he's the only one that signed up that I see here. And after that, if you'd like to ask questions, you can come up to the microphone, give your name and address, and then you can speak.

MR. SMITH: Good evening. I'm a little confused. It's a little hard for a lay person, I guess, to understand the way these figures are put down. And one of the main questions I have on it is what we're paying now to what we're going to pay. We're using 8,000 gallons a month, a month now. Trying to compare (inaudible) and reading your proposed rates, to me it looks like we're going to be paying 15.71 for the fresh water coming in, and then we're going to have an added sale of probably somewhere between \$19, \$20, to \$22 for wastewater. Is this correct?

MR. MOURING: No. No, sir. The 15.71 is what the current charges are, the current tariffed rates are, and that's for water and wastewater combined with an 8,000-gallon --

MR. SMITH: Limit.

1	MR. MOURING: limit.
2	MR. SMITH: And then on your wastewater
3	service, right, recommended rates
4	MR. MOURING: If you can, if you can turn to
5	page 3, the present rate is that 15.71.
6	MR. SMITH: Yes.
7	MR. MOURING: What our proposed rates are at
8	this, at this point in time, again preliminary in
9	nature, would be the $$4.68$ for a $5/8 \times 3/4$ residential
10	meter. That's the base charge.
11	MR. SMITH: Okay. The meters were supplied by
12	them. I don't, I don't think anybody actually knows
13	what size they have.
14	MR. MOURING: For a residential customer it
15	should absolutely be the $5/8 \times 3/4$.
16	MR. SMITH: Okay.
17	MR. MOURING: So it would be the \$4.68. And
18	for eight, for the 8,000-gallon consumption level, you
19	would take the first 5,000 would be at the \$1.34 at
20	the bottom of the page.
21	MR. SMITH: Right.
22	MR. MOURING: And then 3,000, three times the
23	\$2.13.
24	MR. SMITH: Okay. Then we have that's for
25	the water service

1	MR. MOURING: That's just for the water
2	service.
3	MR. SMITH: Now the big thing is the waste
4	service.
5	MR. MOURING: And the wastewater service would
6	be if you flip over to page 5.
7	MR. SMITH: Got that.
8	MR. MOURING: Would be the \$8.34 base charge.
9	MR. SMITH: For a meter.
10	MR. MOURING: It's the yes. That's
11	you're not paying for the meter. It's by meter class,
12	by meter size. Larger customers with larger meters
13	would, would
14	MR. SMITH: Right. That charge there, are
15	they going to put a meter on your sewage?
16	MR. MOURING: No, sir.
17	MR. SMITH: They're just going to take what
18	you get in and say you (inaudible).
19	MR. MOURING: It's tied to your water.
20	MR. SMITH: Okay.
21	MR. MOURING: That's what your consumption is
22	based your wastewater usage is based on your water
23	consumption.
24	MR. SMITH: And at present for the, for those
25	units using 6,000 gallons it's going to be 15.71, off

the 19.68. Are you following there? 1 MR. MOURING: I'm sorry. You're on page 4? 2 3 MR. SMITH: 5. MR. MOURING: Page 5. 4 5 MR. SMITH: The wastewater. MR. MOURING: It would be 19.68 at the 6,000. 6 7 MR. SMITH: Yes. Plus your 15.71. MR. MOURING: No. It would be -- at the 6,000 8 9 level it would be the 13.51 on page 4. 10 MR. SMITH: Okay. 13.51 plus 19.68. 11 MR. MOURING: That's correct, sir. 12 MR. SMITH: So we've gone over double of what 13 we're paying now basically. 14 MR. MOURING: That's correct. 15 MR. SMITH: And the reason for that has got me lost on what reason it is to have that increase go 16 17 through. 18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. MOURING: Well, and again this utility has not had its rates increased since 1996 for a formal -for a SARC, for a staff-assisted rate case. We're
still -- again, these numbers are preliminary. We're
still examining -- it's based -- the increase is based
on the utility's level of unamortized investment as well
as their operating expenses, and they've gone up over
time.

MR. SMITH: Like I say, to me, we're getting a 1 2 double increase, increasing double what we are is the 3 answer you're giving me based on what it's going to cost. Right now I'm paying 15.71 for 8,000 gallons and 4 that includes wastewater. Now we're going to be paying 5 almost \$33, \$34 for the same, exact same thing. 6 7 MR. MOURING: That is correct. MR. SMITH: That seems to be very high. I 8 9 don't get that much increase on anything that I get for 10 my pension. 11 MR. MOURING: I understand, sir. Thank you 12 for your comments. MR. ENSLEY: Excuse me. 13 14 MR. MOURING: I'm sorry. MR. ENSLEY: I didn't sign up. 15 16 MR. MOURING: Okay. If you could come -- sir, 17 would you like to --18 MR. ENSLEY: I just want to ask the question, can you show us that operating budget that you had 19 before? 20 21 COMMISSION STAFF: Would you mind coming up to 22 the microphone and stating your name? 23 MR. ENSLEY: Robert Ensley (phonetic). 24 MR. MOURING: And the address please where you

25

have service.

1	MR. ENSLEY: 383 Tennis Lane (inaudible).
2	MR. MOURING: If you'd like, I can leave this
3	up here and we can I can speak with you.
4	MR. ENSLEY: Maybe you could explain have
5	they, have they found information at this Commission to
6	justify any increases in, say, revenue or operating
7	expenses?
8	MR. MOURING: This, this column here, staff's
9	preliminary column, that's based primarily off of the
10	audit that was conducted by the Commission audit staff
11	where they go through an audit of books and records,
12	revenues, receipts, billing determinants, O&M expenses,
13	as well as plant items as well.
14	MR. ENSLEY: Is that available?
15	MR. MOURING: The audit report is available
16	online.
17	MR. ENSLEY: Online?
18	MR. MOURING: Yes. If you go to that
19	Commission the Clerk's Office tab and enter this
20	docket number, it's called the audit, staff's audit
21	report. That document is available.
22	MR. ENSLEY: And the revenues are based on
23	what we're paying for water now?
24	MR. MOURING: The revenues are based on the
25	audited receipts for the utility.

MR. ENSLEY: And do they have revenues other 1 than what the tenants are paying for -- or the renters 2 3 are paying for their water? MR. MOURING: Any non-utility revenues would 4 be removed from staff's calculation of revenue 5 requirements. They would -- rates would not be based on 6 7 non-utility revenues. If there's revenues from a golf course or something -- anything non-related would be 8 9 removed by staff. 10 MR. ENSLEY: Even though, even though a substantial amount of the water -- are any of these 11 based on the water use that, that they claim? 12 MR. MOURING: I'm --13 14 MR. ENSLEY: In other words, operating expense is 165. Is there a breakdown on -- are those strictly 15 16 for delivering water to the renter? 17 MR. MOURING: That is the cost of operating 18 the utility. 19 MR. ENSLEY: And so the water expenses that 2.0 they show are for, what, treating the water? 21 MR. MOURING: They're for --22 MR. ENSLEY: Pumping it and --23 MR. MOURING: Pumping it, treating it, as well 24 as fixed expenses, depreciation expense. All operating 25 costs are, are considered.

2.0

MR. ENSLEY: Okay. And they've, they've apparently -- or you apparently have, as a preliminary, decided the operating expenses have increased by -- I don't know what the percentage is -- 40%, I'd say, or 30, whatever it is.

MR. MOURING: I'm not sure I understand your question, sir.

MR. ENSLEY: You have -- under "water" you have operating expenses. Okay?

MR. MOURING: Yes.

MR. ENSLEY: And your preliminary staff says it's up to 131,045.

MR. MOURING: Yes.

MR. ENSLEY: That's an increase of, if my math is right, it's about \$44,000.

MR. MOURING: Yes. That's -- your math --

MR. ENSLEY: What's the basis of that?

MR. MOURING: The basis is what the staff's audit report determined were the operating expenses for the utility during the test year, during a one-year snap shot in time of the utility's operation. That's what we based our, our figures on versus what the utility -- versus what the actual -- versus what going forward they need to be, the revenues need to be, the expenses should be in order for the utility to earn a net operating

1	income consistent with its Commission-approved return on
2	equity. I apologize. I'm sorry.
3	MR. ENSLEY: Right now I guess I don't
4	understand. Right now they've been purchasing this
5	water since '1996, I guess you'd say?
6	MR. MOURING: The utility started operation
7	out in the '80s.
8	MR. ENSLEY: '99, whatever it is.
9	MR. MOURING: Yes. It was certificated in the
10	1990s.
11	MR. ENSLEY: Oaky. And they say their
12	operating expenses are \$86,000.
13	MR. MOURING: For the water system. That's
14	correct.
15	MR. ENSLEY: Yeah. I mean it's all one
16	operation; yeah?
17	MR. MOURING: Well, there's the water and then
18	there's also the wastewater.
19	MR. ENSLEY: Okay. And how do you divide
20	how do you separate what they say they're spending for
21	the water and what they're spending for the wastewater?
22	MR. MOURING: It depends on what, what it is.
23	If it's related to the water system for operations of
24	the water system, it's, it would be applied to the water
25	operating expenses.

1	MR. ENSLEY: Also, you've looked at those
2	books; right?
3	MR. MOURING: Yes. The Commission audit staff
4	has looked at those; I've looked at those.
5	MR. ENSLEY: And you're satisfied that's it
6	accurate?
7	MR. MOURING: We are still again, these are
8	preliminary. We are still looking through certain
9	expense items, certain capital items. We are still
10	looking at it. But that this is a preliminary review
11	based on Commission staff's audit.
12	MR. ENSLEY: Do you, do you know why they
13	withdrew when did they apply, 2006?
14	MR. MOURING: 2007 they, they applied for a
15	staff-assisted rate case.
16	MR. ENSLEY: Do you know why that was
17	withdrawn?
18	MR. MOURING: I do not know off the top of my
19	head.
20	MR. ENSLEY: Has anyone on staff or anyone
21	that has looked into that application and decided why it
22	was withdrawn?
23	MR. MOURING: I can find that out and get back
24	with you. I don't know off the top of my head. I
25	don't, I don't know. I wasn't involved in that case.

MR. ENSLEY: And apparently you've decided 1 2 that they should have some income from this operation; 3 is that right? MR. MOURING: That is correct. Based on the 4 utility's approved return on equity and their 5 unamortized investment, what they've invested and have 6 7 not yet recovered and their capital, their plant, all their capital investment that they have not yet 8 9 recovered, they are permitted to earn a return on it, and that's where --10 MR. ENSLEY: Do you have any, do you have any 11 idea what the total rentals are for the park? 12 MR. MOURING: What the total what? 13 14 MR. ENSLEY: Rentals are for the park. MR. MOURING: I do not know. 15 16 MR. ENSLEY: Do you have any idea what the 17 total revenue is? 18 MR. MOURING: For? 19 MR. ENSLEY: For the whole park. 2.0 MR. MOURING: I, I do not know. 21 MR. ENSLEY: I mean, it's like maybe they lose 22 a couple of dollars on, on the water and the treatment, 23 but overall they make millions of dollars. 24 MR. MOURING: Well, we look at this on a 25 standalone basis as just the water system and just the

1	wastewater system. All other non-utility items are
2	omitted from staff's analysis.
3	MR. ENSLEY: So if I have a contract with them
4	that provides that I'm going to get a certain amount of
5	water for X number of dollars, is what you decide, is
6	that going to set aside my contract?
7	MR. MOURING: If you had a contract. I'm not
8	sure.
9	MR. ENSLEY: I've got a prospectus. It says
10	how much my water is going to be.
11	MR. MOURING: I'm not sure, sir.
12	MR. ENSLEY: Does that make any difference to
13	this Commission?
14	MR. MOURING: I'm not, I'm not that really
15	would be a legal question.
16	MR. ENSLEY: In other words, what I'm getting
17	at is if you look at the balance sheet for I don't
18	know, I don't know what they call themselves.
19	Apparently they have several corporations involved in
20	the operation.
21	MR. MOURING: I'm sorry. Who is they?
22	MR. ENSLEY: The people that own the park.
23	MR. MOURING: Okay.
24	MR. ENSLEY: Okay. CHC VII, Limited.
25	MR. MOURING: Yes, sir.

1	MR. ENSLEY: They just own the utilities.
2	MR. MOURING: That is the utility company,
3	certificated utility company.
4	MR. ENSLEY: Okay. And do you know who owns
5	that company?
6	MR. MOURING: I don't know off the top of my
7	head.
8	MR. ENSLEY: Do you think they're owned by
9	CRS?
10	MR. MOURING: I'm not sure who owns them.
11	MR. ENSLEY: Would it make a difference?
12	MR. MOURING: For these purposes, it would
13	not.
14	MR. ENSLEY: So, in other words, if they're
15	making millions of dollars in rental income, you don't
16	care what our contract provides with the, with the
17	overall management.
18	MR. MOURING: The Public Service Commission
19	does not have any jurisdiction over rental, over rent
20	properties. It's just strictly the water and wastewater
21	systems.
22	MR. ENSLEY: Okay. I'm saying, has this
23	Commission, okay, yourselves in arriving at this
24	decision that we should pay at least twice as much for
25	our services than our prospectus provided, have you

1	looked into at all the value of, of their income
2	overall?
3	MR. MOURING: No, sir, we would not.
4	MR. ENSLEY: And, and don't you think that
5	that has something to do with this?
6	MR. MOURING: No, sir. These are based on
7	audited water and wastewater system specific expenses
8	and revenues.
9	MR. ENSLEY: So if I have a company that owns
10	this park and I create another corporation that just has
11	the water and the wastewater treatment, right, and I do
12	some books on them, okay, and then you look at those
13	books only, so you don't care what the overall corporate
14	profit is off this park.
15	MR. MOURING: Our, our, our auditors and our
16	analysts look at utility and non-utility costs, assets,
17	contracts, expenses, all of the above. If it is
18	non-utility, we omit it, we remove it. We focus our
19	analysis strictly on the operation of the water system
20	and the operation of the wastewater system.
21	MR. ENSLEY: Do you have any idea what portion
22	of the water that they produce there is in the golf
23	course?
24	MR. MOURING: Perhaps someone from our
25	economics group would be able to answer that. I don't

1	know off the top of my head. I'd be happy to get back
2	with you with that number.
3	MR. ENSLEY: So you don't know if that was
4	taken into consideration or not?
5	MR. MOURING: Water used and sent to the golf
6	course would be taken into consideration, yes.
7	MR. ENSLEY: Would it be eliminated from this?
8	MR. MOURING: It depends on there would be
9	revenues that would have to be, would have to be imputed
10	for that water.
11	MR. ENSLEY: Do you know if they were?
12	MR. MOURING: I don't know off the top of my
13	head. If there is water going to a golf course, that
14	would be part of our analysis certainly.
15	MR. ENSLEY: And at this point you couldn't
16	answer that question whether or not it was.
17	MR. MOURING: I would need to speak with
18	someone from our billing and economics group to get that
19	information. I'd be happy to get it to you.
20	MR. ENSLEY: Thank you.
21	MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you, sir.
22	Carl?
23	MR. SKIME: I'm Carl Skime, 274 Fairway
24	Circle. And actually he covered much of what I wanted
25	to sav.

I guess my, my big concern was irrigation. You know, I realize that sewer rates are based on the number of gallons of water that go through your water meter. Well, some of us are just there five months out of the year, but we have to water our yards. Now you're telling us that we have to pay sewer on that water that goes out in the yard. I mean, that just doesn't make sense. The golf course probably uses 75% of the water that goes through, that's produced, and we're already paying for that. I don't know why we should have to pay for it again.

MR. MOURING: Well, and there's -- if I can address that on two fronts. One, if you look, that's what, that's the idea behind the gallonage cap, which is 8,000 gallons. That's why anything above eight you will not be billed for on the wastewater side. That's a rate setting concept to try to address the fact that not all water being consumed is going to be returned to the wastewater system.

MR. SKIME: Well, we had 10,000 that was free that was figured in our rent and we've lost that. So, you know, that's kind of double dipping, I would think. In my contract that I signed with CRF, I was allowed 8,000 gallons a month. That was figured in my lot rent, which, by the way, went up \$75 a month, \$100 a

month. Now they're going to nail us again, especially when that water runs down the yard. You know, it just, it doesn't make a lot of sense. I don't understand it.

MR. MOURING: Well, and the other -- another option -- I don't know if it would be economically viable for you or not -- would be to get a separate irrigation meter. That way you would not be billed if that -- if those services are offered, you would not be billed for the wastewater on those.

MR. SKIME: Well, as long as you're looking into things, maybe you could find out on 100,000 gallons, how many of those gallons go on the, on the golf courses.

MR. MOURING: We will find out that information and I will get back with both of you.

MR. SKIME: And then one more thing. What about our consumer reports? We haven't received any of those. And I know, according to federal law, we're entitled to them. And where do we get them, our CCRs? I mean, our water is rotten. It's bad enough that we have to pay for it once. Now you're going to make us pay twice. I mean, it's horrible water. It's like drinking the effluent to the wastewater plant.

MR. MOURING: That, that would be the Florida

Department of Environmental Protection.

	MR. SKIME: Well, what are you guys:
2	MR. MOURING: We're the Florida Public Service
3	Commission.
4	MR. SKIME: So the difference is what?
5	MR. MOURING: Water quality standard issues
6	MR. SKIME: So you mean like the health
7	department?
8	MR. MOURING: Yes.
9	MR. SKIME: Okay. So then actually you
10	regulate the CCRs.
11	MS. WATTS: The CCRs are from the Department
12	of Environmental Protection, and we do the rates and
13	charges.
14	MR. SKIME: So now when we go back to
15	Minnesota, we're supposed to shut our water off? That
16	way we well, I'm still going to get that base rate,
17	we're still going to get nailed that way.
18	MR. MOURING: You would still be paying the
19	base charge.
20	MR. SKIME: Yeah. Okay. Thanks.
21	MR. MOURING: Thank you, sir.
22	Are there any other comments at this time?
23	All right. Seeing none, I'll go ahead and
24	adjourn this meeting. I will be around. I'll stick
25	around if anyone has any questions they'd like to ask

0	\sim	0	0	2	٤
	u	u	u		>

İ	
	000028
1	one on one. I'd certainly be willing to meet with you.
2	And thank you all again for coming.
3	(Customer meeting adjourned.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	0000
1	STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Hearings Reporter, Hearing Reporter Services Section, Office of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were transcribed from digital
6	recording to the best of my ability.
7	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,
8	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
9	financially interested in the action.
10	DATED this day of March, 2014.
11	
12	
13	
14	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
15	Office of Commission Clerk (850) 413-6734
16	(000) 110 0/01
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	