FILED APR 18, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 01780-14 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

000001

		000001
1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
2	I HOLL	DA FOBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4	APPLICATION FOR STAFF-ASSISTED) RATE CASE IN HIGHLANDS COUNTY BY) DOCKET NO. 130243-WS LAKE PLACID UTILITIES, INC.)	
5		
6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	PROCEEDINGS:	CUSTOMER MEETING
14	COMMISSION STAFF PARTICIPATING:	
15		
16		MATTHEW VOGEL MELINDA WATTS
17	DATE:	Thursday, April 4, 2014
18		
19	TIME:	Commenced at 6:00 p.m.
20	PLACE:	Deeann Lakefront Estates Clubhouse 409 Stephen Drive
21	EDANGOD TOTO D.	Lake Placid, Florida
22	TRANSCRIBED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter
23		(850) 413-6734
24		
25		

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PROCEEDINGS

2.0

MR. MOURING: Ladies and gentlemen, I've got 6:00, so we'll go ahead and get started. We're here tonight for the Lake Placid Utilities, Inc. staff-assisted rate case customer meeting. It's Docket 130243-WS.

My name is Curt Mouring. I'm a Public

Utilities Supervisor at the Florida Public Service

Commission. With me tonight is Matthew Vogel, Melinda

Watts, Adam Hill, and Dick Durbin, also from the

Commission.

Generally what these customer meetings are for is we're going to give you a brief presentation on the Commission's process for staff-assisted rate cases, we're going to receive some comments from you guys, and you'll be called in the order in which you signed up. And we will be recording your comments.

Just a quick overview of our presentation.

We're going to discuss again the Commission's process in reviewing a staff-assisted rate case. We're going to go through staff's preliminary rates; and I want to stress that these are preliminary, they are tentative, they may change. We're still in a fact-finding stage, and we'll discuss what you can do to participate in the process.

But, first, just a brief history on Lake

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Placid Utilities, Inc. They began providing water and
wastewater service in 1969. They came under the
Commission's jurisdiction and were certificated in 1983.
Utilities, Inc. purchased this system in 1993, and the

utility's last staff-assisted rate case was in 2009.

2.0

What is a staff-assisted rate case or a SARC? It's the process where Commission staff assists small water and wastewater utilities with rate relief requests. And staff's assistance typically eliminates the need for utilities to hire outside consultants for accounting/engineering type of issues and usually reduces the amount of rate case expense.

What are the steps involved in a SARC? On September 30th, Lake Placid Utilities, Inc. filed its application for a staff-assisted rate case. Our Commission audit staff conducted an examination of the utility's books and records. Our staff engineers have conducted a review of the utility's operations and have contacted the Department of Environmental Protection regarding this utility. A staff report has been prepared, and staff's preliminary findings and preliminary rate increases are included in that staff report.

We are now holding the customer meeting to receive input from our customers -- from the customers.

I'm sorry. After this meeting, staff will prepare and file its recommendation to the Commission with its proposed rates. The recommendation will be heard by the Commission, and staff will consider, in preparing its final recommendation, input and information obtained subsequent to its preliminary staff report for its recommendation to the Commission. And, again, staff's preliminary numbers are subject to change.

2.0

For the staff recommendation and agenda, at the Commission Conference the Commissioners may ask questions of staff prior to voting on staff's recommendation. The utility and customers may speak at this Agenda Conference. And at the conclusion of it, the Commission may approve, modify, or deny staff's recommendation.

I'm sorry. If you do plan on attending the Agenda Conference, please let staff know that you're there in advance. We have in this Special Report that you received when you walked in, there is the contact information for staff members on page two here. So if you do plan on coming up to Tallahassee to attend the Agenda Conference, please let staff know.

After the agenda, after the vote, the Commission will issue a PAA order within 20 days of the vote. Once that PAA order is issued, a 21-day protest

period will start where any substantially affected party other than the utility may protest the order and request a formal hearing. The utility -- as part of the SARC process, the utility must agree to accept final rates and charges set by the Commission unless they produce less revenues than the existing rates and charges.

If a timely protest is filed, a hearing will be held in the service territory or as close as possible. There will be three, at least three Commissioners there for the hearing. The utility and protesting parties will litigate the issues, provide testimony and exhibits, and fully litigate all issues that are protested. Customers may testify before the Commissioners -- before the Commission at the hearing, if there is one.

Once the hearing is concluded, staff will prepare another recommendation based on the testimony given at the hearing. No participation from the utility or customers will be permitted at the final Commission Agenda. A final vote will be made and a final order will be issued. The final order may only be appealed to the First DCA of Florida.

Now some specifics on Lake Placid. Per the utility's books and records, they were operating -- that's the first column there -- the utilities for water

and wastewater. Those are the numbers per the utility for operating income on both water and wastewater. And the column on the right, staff's preliminary, is inclusive of all staff's adjustments to the utility's numbers. So what those translate to in the rates — and those are also listed in the Special Report — the base charge for water was — is currently \$13.85. We're tentatively recommending \$17.81 for a three — for a 5/8 by 3/4-inch meter size. And the water charge, the gallonage charge is presently \$5.80 per 1,000 gallons. We're recommending \$7.54. And, again, these are tentative preliminary numbers.

On the wastewater side, presently \$13.94 base charge and a \$5.75 per 1,000 gallon usage charge with the 6,000 gallon cap. Staff is recommending a \$14.18 base charge and a \$6.44 usage charge with the same 6,000 gallon cap.

Bill comparisons at different usage levels for 2,000 gallons, 6,000, and 8,000. Our first two columns are with the existing rates for water and wastewater. The second two columns would be the total bill with staff's preliminary rates right now just for illustrative purposes. A similar analysis is included in here at the bottom of the page.

Specifically for Lake Placid, staff's

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

recommendation is tentatively, tentatively scheduled to be filed on May 22nd, which will have us presenting this to the Commissioners on June 5th, 2014. And, again, at that June 5th Agenda, the Commission may approve, modify, or deny staff's recommendation.

What can you do to participate? You can provide comments at today's meeting; you can provide written comments; you can obtain a copy of the staff's recommendation once it's been filed; and you can monitor the agenda; or you can appear in person, if you'd like to make the trip.

Here's a copy of the staff report -- or

Special Report again. And as you see, right below the

name of the utility it's got the docket number on it.

Yeah. It's kind of hard to see on that screen, but it's

Docket No. 130243. And I'll show you where you can use

that if you'd like to view all the correspondence in

this docket.

If you'd like to provide written, written correspondence for this case, the last page here, you can pop that off, you can fill this out, fold it up, put a stamp on it, and it's already got the Commission's address and docket number on it so you can just mail it in to the Commission. They'll scan it in and it'll be entered into the docket -- the correspondence side of

the docket file for all, including Commissioners, to view.

This is our website, www.FloridaPSC.com. And if you'd like to look up this docket, if you go to, if you go to -- I think you can maybe see it -- Commission Clerk or Clerk's Office, you can query this docket number, 130243, and it'll bring you to this case. You can file every -- you can find every docket -- document that's been filed in this docket.

Also, if you go to Conferences and Meeting
Agendas, you can stream -- on June 5th, you can stream
live the audio and video coverage of the Commission -of the Agenda Conference, if you'd like to watch it on
your computer. You can also just stream the audio, if
you'd like. But that's available to you, also.

I don't see any representatives from the Office of Public Counsel here, but they are the advocate for consumers in the state. They advocate before the Commission on the consumers' behalf. There's their 800 number and their website.

The Florida Public Service Commission consumer assistance 800 number -- if you have questions regarding all things regulated utility, you can give them a call. If they can't answer it, they can certainly point you to the right person who can.

And just in closing, we're going to move to 1 our customer comments portion of the customer meeting. 2 Just a reminder, this meeting is being recorded, and 3 customers will be called in the order in which they 4 5 spoke -- which they signed up. And please come forward when your name is called, give your name, spell your --6 7 give your name, your address, and please spell your last 8 name. 9 So we're going to get started and we will go ahead and call --10 (Pause in proceedings.) 11 12 Okay. Mr. Larry LaBeau. MR. LABEAU: I don't know if you want me to 13 14 stand or --• MR. MOURING: That would be fine just right 15 16 there. 17 MR. LABEAU: Are these comments going back to the Commission? 18 19 MR. MOURING: They will be available for the 20 Commissioners to hear. 21 MR. LABEAU: Okay. I, I believe I heard 22 earlier in your comments something to the effect of rate 23 relief. I guess I need your definition of what rate 24 relief is.

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Anyway, there was a, there was a study, there

was a comprehensive study that was done by Raftelis
Financial Consultants. Are you familiar with that

2.0

study?

MR. MOURING: I cannot say that I am.

MR. LABEAU: Okay. It was an extensive study, and it covered all of the cities and counties in the state of Florida, over 170. This was done in, in 2012, but the information on this is pertinent to, to this because it's very comparable to what yours is. I looked it up on the internet and, for all intents and purposes, it's about the same. I'll read you a couple of paragraphs from this study that was done.

The 2012 Florida Water Rate Survey represents the initial rate survey conducted by Raftelis -- I think that's how you pronounce it -- Financial Consultants in Florida. They conducted a national wastewater rate survey. They, they, they have conducted that water and wastewater rate survey since 1996 and has done so in conjunction with the American Waterworks Association since 2004.

The 2012 Florida Water Rate Survey is intended to provide keen insight into water pricing practices currently utilized by publicly owned utilities throughout Florida. While many utilities provide wastewater and reclaimed water services, the goal of

this initial survey is focused on typical water bills and rate structures for more than 170 Florida public utilities.

The survey addresses a cumulative service population of over 13.8 million people throughout Florida. The survey results are sorted alphabetically by county and thereafter listed by the city or the utility name. The survey table includes population information, Water Management District, residential monthly minimum water bill amount, and bill amounts for usage at 4,000 and 8,000 gallons.

It was a, it was a very, very comprehensive survey, and it includes a lot more data and information that I have here. But it's -- this is six pages of fine print. And I know you can't see it -- we don't have any overheads or slides -- but, but it lists the county, utility name, the population of those various cities and municipalities, the minimum charge, the monthly bill based on 4,000 gallons usage, and the monthly bill based on 8,000 gallons usage. So it's a very, it's a very comprehensive study; it includes a lot of information. It represents, for the most part, the entire state of Florida.

But what I did, I took, I took that information, and based on the minimum charge -- I know

you can't all see this, but trust me -- I took the minimum charge, one column -- this is, this is several pages here -- and I took an average of, of -- and, again, this represents 170 plus cities and, and utilities. And the average for the entire state of Florida is -- and this, again, this is for the minimum charge -- \$12.01 as compared to Lake Placid, which is \$13.80, the difference being \$1.79. We're paying \$1.79 more right now than the average for the entire state of Florida.

The difference or the range in, in all 170 of these cities and counties is \$31.24. That's, you know, the difference between the highest and lowest. Pretty significant.

Then I took the monthly bill based on 4,000 gallons usage and I took an average of that, which comes out to \$20.55. That's based on 4,000 gallons, \$20.55. Lake Placid currently is \$29.88, or \$9.33 more than the average of the entire state of Florida. The difference — the range from the highest to the lowest is \$49.20; again, significant.

In addition to that, I took, I calculated what the percentage was of increase between the minimum charge and the monthly bill, and it's all over the map.

It ranges -- the difference from the highest to the

lowest is 87 percentage points. That's, that's from the minimum to the, to the 4,000 gallon usage. And in every case it was -- it varied from zero to plus. Nothing was on the minus, nothing had been reduced. Okay?

87 percentage points.

Then I took the 8,000 gallon usage, did the same thing using that as a baseline, and the difference -- well, back up. The, the average for the 8,000 gallon usage was \$32.23; Lake Placid was \$46.32. And I also took the percentages, just like I did for the 4,000 gallon usage, and, and the difference from the highest to the lowest is 92 percentage points. Extreme differences. I mean, this is, this is extreme. The difference or the range based on the 8,000 gallon usage was \$67.10 from the highest to the lowest.

Now you might ask the question, it's not relevant because you've got populations of 500,000 down to -- I think the lowest was 750. Well, I also took some, some data that included populations that were similar in size to Lake Placid. For example, here is one population of 3,110 people. Their minimum rate was \$6.92, and the monthly bill for 4,000 gallons was \$17.76, a 61 percent difference -- a slightly higher population than Lake Placid but there's no correlation. Because here's another one -- 1,100 people, the minimum

rate was \$8. The rate at 4,000 gallons was \$8 -- no change, that was zero, no increase. That's a, that's a smaller population. So there doesn't seem to be any, any direct correlation between the, the size of the cities or the population and the rate for whatever reason. I don't, I don't understand that.

I did the same thing using the 8,000 gallon figure, okay, and looking at the same type of data, cities that are -- excuse me -- approximately the same size -- here's one at 4,025 people -- they had a -- it went from \$15.36 to \$22.43, a 32 percent increase; whereas, Lake Placid had a 70 percent increase.

Here's another one, 3,000 people. Their base rate, \$7.65, had a 91 percent increase. So it's all over the map as far as, you know, these rates and these charges.

I called Lake Placid Utilities on April 1st and asked them about the cost of water when I'm away for an extended period, because a lot of us are snowbirds down here. If I have them shut off my water at the meter when I'm away, the charge is \$27.29 a month for not using any water. In my case, it would amount to \$222.32 for me personally, others it would be more or less, and an additional charge to turn it back on. If I turn it off myself at the house, the, the cost is still

\$27.79 a month. I asked them if I could cancel my service. They said, "Yeah, but it has to be canceled for nine months or more." And because -- based on this data, I feel that the, the cost of water here, the charges are, are unrealistic, and, and I feel that you're taking advantage of the homeowners here in Lake 7 Placid. We don't have any other choice. We don't have the option to go to another utility. There's no 9 competition. So it is what it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

We -- a lot of us are snowbirds. We provide a lot of economy to this community -- not year-round necessarily, but we bring in a lot of money to this community.

My question to you is I would like to know if, if you folks, what your measurable cost reduction and continuous improvement initiatives are. Have they been implemented and what's the result? How are you trying to drive the costs down rather than, than always increase the cost because of slippage or whatever in the system?

MR. MOURING: Sir, I'm sorry. If I could respond briefly.

MR. LABEAU: Sure. Go ahead.

MR. MOURING: One, we're not with the utility. We're with the Florida Public Service Commission.

MR. LABEAU: Okay.

.

MR. MOURING: There are utility

representatives here in the back of the room there, if you'd like to ask them about what their initiatives have been.

And I, I can tell you in this case a lot of the increase is not directly related to increased costs per se. It's related to decreased revenues as a result of -- it could be negative growth or it could be just a reduction in usage. Fixed costs are fixed; they haven't changed. That's something our economists at the Commission are looking at and continuing to look at. But, again, if you'd like to ask the utility a question, I just want to be clear we're not the utility.

MR. LABEAU: Yeah. Okay. Fair enough.

In closing, I'd just like to note that Lake Placid Utilities is above the average in all three categories, as, as I stated, as compared to the 170 plus utilities and communities throughout Florida. They're over the average minimum by \$1.79, they're over the monthly billing average for 4,000 gallons by \$9.93, and over the monthly billing average for 8,000 gallons by \$14.09.

MR. MOURING: Okay. I, I can respond to that, if you'd like.

MR. LABEAU: Uh-huh.

_ -

MR. MOURING: It's, it's -- as you can appreciate, the breadth of costs are all across the board. And particularly if that study -- again, I'm not personally familiar with that study -- but if that includes municipalities that are not rate regulated, they can set rates at whatever they'd like. They're not necessarily cost based. Different utilities, depending on the source, the source of raw water, the treatment costs can vary wildly.

MR. LABEAU: Sure.

MR. MOURING: As well as the size of the company itself in terms of economies of scale and just generally where they're located, what's available there. There are so many different variables that go into the cost of service and how their rates are set, how they determine what their rates will be.

But it is difficult to rely on averages in the entire state of Florida. But we look at the company, this company, on an individual basis, and we set rates based on their costs, their unamortized investment, as well as their return, what the Commission sets as their return on their unamortized investment.

MR. LABEAU: Why are you not looking at those companies who had lower rates to see what they're doing

as

as compared to, you know, what's going on down here?

MR. MOURING: And, again, it's -- if they are regulated by us, we'll look at what their costs are. But just because their costs are higher, it may just be the nature of the beast. It may be how they're situated, it may be what their ground -- what their raw water source requires in terms of treatment. There's so many different variables that go into it, it's difficult to say that this company's rates are lower so everyone else's should be as low or lower. It's difficult. We are looking at this company as an individual, stand-alone basis.

We do use some benchmarking in terms of industry average, but, again, it's difficult to use that. It's difficult to rely on that when making an adjustment because there are so many different variables that can have a legitimate explanation for cost differences.

MR. LABEAU: Okay. Thank you for the time.

MR. MOURING: Yes. Thank you.

(Applause.)

Mr. Woody Cole.

MR. COLE: I'm from Tower 1 (inaudible). We have a different problem. Before we even get to the rate increase, we need water. For two and a half months

we had no water on the eighth floor of Tower 1 or Tower 2. Maybe, maybe there'd be three to five minutes. If you were in the shower, if you turned the shower on in the morning, and by the time the water would get warm, it would just shut completely off. There was no water, period, and it may be that way for five minutes.

Now the problem is they put a backflow valve on the systems, state-mandated backflow valve. It reduced the pressure by 12 pounds. So the people on the eighth floor of both towers and some on the seventh floor, you know, had this problem for -- now, it's been two and a half months.

MR. MOURING: I'm sorry. Mr. Cole, could you speak this way just to make sure the microphone picks it up? I apologize.

MR. COLE: Well, the plumber came in and he put a booster pump on our system. Now the booster pump has increased the pressure on the eighth floor, but the pump is on demand. The pump comes on every six seconds and goes off every three. You know, it just, it just cycles on and off, on and off. Our electric bill is going to be astronomical, and our water bill has went up by one-third because of the people letting the water run for a longer period of time in order to even cook or, you know. You get up at 3:00 in the morning, you're not

going to have enough water to brush your teeth. So, I mean, this basically is not the same problem. You're talking about a rate increase here. But, you know, if there is a member of the utility company here, you know, we would like to speak with them about this, you know. Because, you know, we've already asked the utility company to raise the pressure in order to get us enough water on the eighth floor, and we were told no. So, you know, we're in a bind here. We don't have water. you.

2.0

MR. MOURING: I appreciate your comments.

Next, Mr. Robert Phillips.

MR. PHILLIPS: I'm in Tower 2, and I'm with Woody here. I'm on the fifth floor and we've been able to get water all the time, but I have to pay that bill for the whole 32 units in there. We have one pipe coming in. We turn around and boost it up there.

So my question is to these guys is since we put in that backflow valve, we've lost the pressure (inaudible). Why can't they increase the pressure so that we can go back to the ten pounds or 12 pounds that we lost? If they would do that without us having to pay to have our (inaudible) pump running all the time, I would then consider a rate increase. But right now we've got too much of our own money going out and not

getting any service coming in. So if the utility 1 company will come and talk to us and discuss that with 2 us, I think we can come to, to a satisfactory plan. 3 MR. MOURING: Thank you. 4 I, I apologize if I get this wrong. Mr. 5 Mike --6 7 MR. MOHNACH: Mohnach. MR. MOURING: -- Mohnach. 8 9 MR. MOHNACH: Mike Mohnach, M-O-H-N-A-C-H, 228 Country Club Drive. 10 I just have a couple of comments. One, in the 11 12 meeting notification there was a note that there would 13 be a copy of the staff report on file at the public 14 library. There was no such report on file at the public library. 15 Two, after some searching, I did find a link 16 17 to the staff report. I was just wondering in the 18 interest of transparency why that wasn't -- was it actually noticed, noted in the meeting notice? 19 20 And my third comment is in the staff report, 21 (inaudible) it indicates a request for a return on 22 equity of 10.45 percent. To me that seems excessive. 23 noticed that none of the documents shows anything about 24

return on equity, a comparison before and after. I

think that's a lack of transparency too. (Inaudible)

25

seems pretty high. That's all I've got at this time.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. MOURING: All right. Thank you. That was the last speaker that signed up here. If there's anyone else that would like to speak, please feel free. If not, we will be around -- we'll stick around after this meeting we're about to adjourn. If you'd like to come up and ask us questions, we can certainly talk to you one on one. And with that, thank you all very much for coming, and we appreciate your comments. Thank you.

(Proceeding concluded.)

STATE OF FLORIDA)
: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
COUNTY OF LEON)

I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission Hearings Reporter, Hearing Reporter Services Section, Office of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were transcribed from digital recording to the best of my ability.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

DATED this 17th day of April, 2014

LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
Office of Commission Clerk
(850) 413-6734

inda Boles