FLORIDA

May 16, 2014

## VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

Ms. Carlotta Stauffer, Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850


Re: DEF's Petition for Approval of Revised Underground Residential Distribution Tariff Sheets
Dear Ms. Stauffer:

Please find enclosed on behalf of Duke Energy Florida, Inc. ("DEF'), an original and five (5) copies of DEF's Response to Staff's First Data Request (Nos. 1-9). The document responsive to Data Request No. 4 is enclosed on disc with all formulas intact and unlocked.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please feel free to call me at (850) 521-1428 should you have any questions concerning this filing.


MRB/mw

## Enclosures

cc: Caroline Klancke, Esq.


# DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S RESPONSES TO STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST (NOS. 1-9) <br> Docket No. 140067-EI 

The following questions pertain to Exhibit C attached to the Company's petition.

1. Please refer to Schedule Nos. 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, and 10. Specifically, please refer to the percentage loading rates identified in footnote (3) as "Stores..." that are assigned as a percentage of material costs as indicated below:

Schedule Nos. 2, 7, 9, and 10 footnote (3) show a loading factor of 21.25 percent of material. Schedule Nos. 3 and 6 footnote (3) show a loading factor of 17.75 percent of material.

In contrast, it appears mathematically that a loading factor of approximately 19.95 percent of material was applied for "Stores Handling" on all six of the identified schedules.

Please clarify whether the percentages indicated in footnote (3) on the schedules are inadvertently misstated and whether it is the Company's intent to apply 19.95 percent as the loading factor for "Stores Handling." In the alternative, if the percentages indicated in footnote (3) on the respective schedules are correct, please make the necessary revisions to the submission.

## RESPONSE:

The footnotes for Stores - Benchstock, Corporate Stores and Local Stores in the current filing is $21.25 \%$ of material. The Sub-Total (1) includes $6.5 \%$ sales tax. The Stores Handling (3) can be derived by dividing the Sub-Total (1) by 1.065 (removing the sales tax) and multiplying by the Stores Handling percentage outlined in footnote (3). Please see corrected Schedule Nos. 3 and 6.
2. Please refer to Schedule No. 6. Specifically, please refer to the percentage loading rates identified in footnotes (5) and (6) as "Management and Supervision" and "Fleet," respectively, that are assigned as a percentage of labor costs.
(a) Please clarify whether the " $6.01 \%$ " shown in footnote (5) is inadvertently misstated and whether it is the Company's intent to apply the $35.67 \%$ loading factor for Management and Supervision consistent with all other schedules.
(b) Please clarify whether the " $19.07 \%$ " shown in footnote (6) is inadvertently misstated and whether it is the Company's intent to apply the $22.49 \%$ loading factor for Fleet allocation consistent with all other schedules.
(c) In the alternative, if the percentages indicated in footnotes (5) and (6) are correct, please make the necessary revisions to the submission.

## RESPONSE:

(a) Footnote (5) was inadvertently misstated. It is the Company's intent to apply the $35.67 \%$ loading factor for Management and Supervision consistent with all other schedules.
(b) Footnote (6) was inadvertently misstated. It is the Company's intent to apply the $22.49 \%$ loading factor for Fleet allocation consistent with all other schedules.
(c) The footnotes have been corrected. New documents that reflect the revisions are submitted with this response.
3. Please review the table below that summarizes the increases in the Company's loading factors between 2011 (Docket No. 110293-EI) and the present. As indicated in Exhibit D attached to the petition, these higher loading factors have a significant effect on the material and labor costs used in the analysis. Please provide a detailed explanation illustrating how the current proposed loading factors were determined and provide the rationale regarding why they are appropriate. For any spreadsheets provided, please ensure that all formulas are intact and unlocked.

| Loading Factor Description | Docket No. 110293-EI | Docket No. 140067-EI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stores Handling | $8.7 \%$ of material | $19.95 \%$ of material (*) |
| Design and Project Mgmt. | $7.23 \%$ of labor \& actual mat | $17.90 \%$ of labor |
| Management \& Supervision | $23.12 \%$ of labor | $35.67 \%$ of labor |
| Fleet | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2 6 \%}$ of labor | $22.49 \%$ of labor |

(*) 2014 Stores handling loading factor assumed from information presented in Exhibit C to the petition, Schedules 1-10 [See Question 1, above].

## RESPONSE:

The filing in Docket No. 110293-EI was provided immediately after the introduction of our current work management system. The loading factor percentages were based on the general ledger cost from the previous work management system's historical data. The current filing uses historical data provided by the new work management system to determine the current loading factors.

Since 2011, DEF increased the list of material items classified as "benchstock", resulting in an increase in the Stores Handling loading factor. This increase in the benchstock items corresponded with a decrease in the items that are charged as direct materials.

In previous filings, the Design and Project Management loading factor was applied to both the labor and actual material cost. After the 2011 filing, the Design and Project Management loading factors were adjusted to standardize to the other DEF loading factors and applied to the labor cost only. This resulted in the Design and Project Management loading factor being a greater percentage of only labor.
In previous filings, Management \& Supervision loading factors only included direct field supervision. In the current filing, the loading rates were revised to include additional nondirect field personnel in the Management and Supervision factor to capture the full cost
charged to a project. This factor includes a percentage of time for additional levels of management and support personnel.

The primary reason for the increase in Fleet labor loading factor is attributed to the increase in fleet fuel cost.
4. Please refer to the page immediately following Schedule No. 10; this page is entitled "Summary of NPV Life Cycle Costs per Mile for Overhead and Underground Distribution including Storm Costs and Pole Attachment Revenues." Also, please review the table below that summarizes the increases in the Company's NPV Life Cycle Costs between 2011 and the present.

| NPV Parameter Description | Docket No. 110293-EI | Docket No. 140067-EI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 5yr avg ann OH cost w/storm | $\$ 3,874$ | $\$ 4,486$ |
| 5yr avg ann OH cost wo/storm | $\$ 3,262$ | $\$ 3,812$ |
| 5yr avg ann OH cost - storm | $\$ 612$ | $\$ 674$ |
| 5yr avg ann UG cost w/storm | $\$ 4,132$ | $\$ 4,499$ |
| 5yr avg ann UG cost wo/storm | $\$ 3,936$ | $\$ 4,310$ |
| 5yr avg ann UG cost - storm | $\$ 196$ | $\$ 189$ |
| OH 34yr life cycle w/storm | $\$ 68,718$ | $\$ 85,317$ |
| OH 34yr life cycle wo/storm | $\$ 57,862$ | $\$ 72,499$ |
| OH 34yr life cycle - storm | $\$ 10,856$ | $\$ 12,819$ |
| UG 34yr life cycle w/storm | $\$ 73,294$ | $\$ 85,565$ |
| UG 34yr life cycle wo/storm | $\$ 69,817$ | $\$ 81,970$ |
| UG 34yr life cycle - storm | $\$ 3,477$ | $\$ 3,595$ |

(a) For each of the 2014 amounts listed above, please explain in detail how the amounts were developed. Please provide all work papers to support the calculations and list all assumptions that are used in the calculations. Please discuss the discount rate(s) used and provide the rationale regarding why the discount rates are appropriate. For any spreadsheets provided, please ensure that all formulas are intact and unlocked.
(b) Please compare the 2011 and 2014 amounts in the table above and describe the reasons why costs have increased between 2011 and the present. In particular, please discuss why the values for overhead are increasing at a greater rate than the values for underground.

## RESPONSE:

(a) The process for developing the Net Present Value of the lifecycle operational costs including storm damage (NPV Lifecycle costs) was the same for each subdivision type and is described below. The company identified all the specific work activities associated with overhead $(\mathrm{OH})$ and underground (UG) distribution work. Where activities might be
associated with both overhead and underground, determination of each was made based on specific materials. This included both capital and O\&M activity (certain activities such as work for the public were excluded). Actual annual pole attachment revenues were subtracted from the overhead costs assuming that most overhead poles would have attachments. Expected annual storm damage from the Company's latest storm damage study was allocated to both the OH and UG costs based on our storm damage experience from the 2004 \& 2005 storms. Unit costs for OH and UG costs were then calculated on a per mile basis using circuit miles of OH and UG distribution lines. These annual unit costs for 2009-2013 were then escalated to 2014 dollars per circuit mile. A 5 year average was then calculated on the 2014 unit costs for both OH and UG. This 5 year average was then escalated out for 34 years (the average service life for UG per currently approved depreciation study). These escalated values were then discounted back to 2014 dollars using an appropriate discount rate to get the NPV Lifecycle unit cost per mile of both OH and UG. The discount rate is based on the Company's weighted average cost of capital. For each subdivision build out, the miles of circuit mile line were determined from the drawings and multiplied by the NPV Lifecycle unit cost per mile. The assumptions included in the analysis were the 34 year life for UG lines, the annual expected storm damage (including an allocation for distribution work and further allocation to OH and UG), escalation rates from the Handy Whitman Index and the discount rate. See attached excel file for the work papers.
(b) The discount rate attributed a 5\% increase in costs for both OH and UG over 2011. The discount rate in the 2014 analysis is $6.40 \%$ vs. $6.82 \%$ used in the 2011 analysis. The values for overhead are increasing at a greater rate than the values for underground due primarily to labor. As stated in question \#9, in-house labor rates increased while contract labor rates remained unchanged. See attached excel spreadsheets.
5. Please refer to the page entitled "Schedule 40 Conduit" and the accompanying support. Please describe the reasons underlying the increase between 2011 and 2014 in the materials costs presented in support of the cost per foot amounts shown for feeder mains with $2^{\prime \prime}, 4^{\prime \prime}$, and $6^{\prime \prime}$ conduit.

## RESPONSE:

Conduit expenses increased by approximately $10 \%$. The remaining increase is attributed to the change in the stores handling loading factor. See response to question \#3 regarding the increase in the stores handling factor.
6. Please elaborate in greater detail regarding the changes in costs that contributed to the increase in the charge for new underground service laterals $\left(0-80^{\prime}\right)$ from overhead electric distribution systems (tariff section 11.04) from $\$ 478$ to $\$ 670$. Discussion of changes to loading factors provided in response to Question 4 above need not be reiterated here.

## RESPONSE:

Duke Energy Florida has reviewed the material issue and the primary driver behind the material increase is a marked change in one particular material: CU ID "CRISIUGPVC225CF", as shown in the "detail cost estimate" sheet included in DEF's filing in this docket. The material CU ID provided PVC covering and related banding for the underground cable running down the pole. Upon further consideration, the banding would only apply to concrete pole installations. The material CU ID was updated to "CRISIUGPVC225WF" to reflect nailing the PVC cover directly to a wood pole, which is our normal method of construction for residential subdivisions. The cost estimate has been recalculated.

The original filing used material CU ID which had an associated $\$ 216.48$ material cost. The CU ID update along with the change in the PVC covering material being re-classified as benchstock, resulted in a zero material cost. Updated filing sheets and supporting documentation are included with this response.
7. Please elaborate in greater detail regarding the changes in costs that contributed to the increase in the charge for an underground service lateral replacing existing overhead services (tariff section 11.05) from $\$ 570$ to $\$ 806$. Please include a discussion of the increase in materials costs to install new underground services (\$187 to \$333). Discussion of changes to loading factors provided in response to Question 4 above need not be reiterated here.

## RESPONSE:

Response for question \#6 applies to question \#7. Updated filing sheets and supporting documentation are included.
8. Please refer to the last three 'clipped' sections of Exhibit C. These three sections contain the design drawings for each of the three model subdivisions respectively. Please refer specifically to the lead sheets for each of these sections that provide a value for "Actual Material Cost." In each of the lead sheets for the 2014 model subdivisions, staff notes that "Actual Material Cost" differs from the computer estimates of materials costs as shown in the following table:

| Subdivision Description | Actual Material Cost | Computer Estimated Cost |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Low Density Overhead | $\$ 83,729$ | $\$ 87,859$ |
| Low Density Underground | $\$ 104,632$ | $\$ 125,350$ |
| High Density Overhead | $\$ 54,536$ | $\$ 57,962$ |
| High Density Underground | $\$ 65,628$ | $\$ 77,377$ |
| High Density O/H w/ pedestals | $\$ 47,339$ | $\$ 49,955$ |
| High Density U/G w/ pedestals | $\$ 47,204$ | $\$ 56,480$ |

Please explain the difference between "Actual Material Cost" and the computer generated estimates and explain why the computer estimates rather than the "Actual Material Cost"
figures were used in the derivation of the 'Differential' amounts for each subdivision as presented in Schedule Nos. 1, 5, and 8 of Exhibit C.

## RESPONSE:

The Estimated Material Cost includes sales tax and stores handling which reflects the Company's current estimating methods for all construction work requests. The Actual Material Cost reflects the true cost of the materials excluding any adders, i.e. sales tax, stores. All cost estimates for customers are completed using the Estimated Material Cost.
9. Please discuss how the Company's in-house and contract labor rates are determined, including an explanation of the drivers of the increases in labor rates between 2011 and 2014 (e.g., was there a new collective bargaining agreement, etc.).

## RESPONSE:

Contract labor rates remained unchanged due to an extension of the previously existing contract rate with our underground contractors. In-house labor rates increased approximately $3 \%$ per year due to the existing collective bargaining contract. Another factor affecting labor is an increase of $38 \%$ in the burden rates primarily driven by an increase in pension funding expense

EXHIBIT A

## REVISED URD TARIFF SHEETS

Nos. 4.113, 4.114, 4.115 and 4.122

## (2) Contribution by Applicant:

(a) Schedule of Charges:

Company standard design underground residential distribution 120/240 volt single-phase service (see also Part 11.03(7)):

To subdivisions with a density of 1.0 or more but less than six (6) dwelling units per acre. $\$ 768.00$ per dwelling unit
To subdivisions with a density of six (6) or more dwelling units per acre $\qquad$ $\$ 459.00$ per dwelling unit

To subdivisions with a density of six (6) or more dwelling units per acre taking service at ganged meter pedestals \$211.00 per dwelling unit
To multi-occupancy buildings See Part 11.06(2)
(b) The above costs are based upon arrangements that will permit serving the local underground distribution system within the subdivision from overhead feeder mains. If feeder mains within the subdivision are deemed necessary by the Company to provide and/or maintain adequate service and are required by the Applicant or a governmental agency to be installed underground, the Applicant shall pay the Company the average differential cost between such underground feeder mains within the subdivision and equivalent overhead feeder mains as follows:

Three-phase primary main or feeder charge per trench-foot within subdivision:
(U.G. - Underground, O.H. - Overhead)
\#1/0 AWG U.G. vs. \#1/0 AWG O.H. \$2.40per foot

500 MCM U.G. vs. 336 MCM O.H \$11.47per foot
1000 MCM U.G. vs. 795 MCM O.H. \$12.08per foot

The above costs are based on underground feeder construction using the direct burial method. If conduit is required, the following additional charge(s) will apply:
2 inch conduit......................................................................................... \$1.79per foot
4 inch conduit \$5.25per foot
6 inch conduit
\$7.18per foot
Cable pulling - single phase
\$1.97per foot
Cable pulling -3 phase small wire
\$1.97per foot
Cable pulling - 3 phase feeder
\$2.96per foot
The above costs do not require the use of pad-mounted switchgear(s), terminal pole(s), pull boxes or feeder splices. If such facilities are required, a differential cost for same will be determined by the Company on an individual basis and added to charges determined above.
(c) Credits (not to exceed the "average differential costs" stated above) will be allowed where, by mutual agreement, the Applicant provides trenching and backfilling for the use of the Company's facilities in lieu of a portion of the cash payment described above. These credits, based on the Company's design drawings, are:

Primary and/or Secondary Systems,
for each Foot of Trench $\$ 3.68$

Service Laterals,
for each Foot of Trench \$3.68

## (3) Point of Delivery:

The point of delivery shall be determined by the Company and will be on the front half of the side of the building that is nearest the point at which the underground secondary electric supply is available to the property. The Company will not install a service on the opposite side of the building where the underground secondary electric supply is available to the property. The point of delivery will only be allowed on the rear of the building by special exception. The Applicant shall pay the estimated full cost of service lateral length required in excess of that which would have been needed to reach the Company's designated point of service.
(4) Location of Meter and Socket:

The Applicant shall install a meter socket at the point designated by the Company in accordance with the Company's specifications. Every effort shall be made to locate the meter socket in unobstructed areas in order that the meter can be read without going through fences, etc.
(5) Development of Subdivisions:

The above charges are based on reasonably full use of the land being developed. Where the Company is required to construct underground electric facilities through a section or sections of the subdivision or development where service will not be required for at least two (2) years, the Company may require a deposit from the Applicant before construction is commenced. This deposit, to guarantee performance, will be based on the estimated total cost of such facilities rather than the differential cost. The amount of the deposit, without interest, in excess of any charges for underground service will be returned to the Applicant on a prorata basis at quarterly intervals on the basis of installations to new customers. Any portion of such deposit remaining unrefunded, after five (5) years from the date the Company is first ready to render service from the extension, will be retained by the company.
(6) Relocation or Removal of Existing Facilities:

If the Company is required to relocate or remove existing overhead and/or underground distribution facilities in the implementation of these Rules, all costs thereof shall be borne exclusively by the Applicant. These costs shall include costs of relocation or removal, the in-place value (less salvage) of the facilities so removed, and any additional costs due to existing landscaping, pavement or unusual conditions.
(7) Other Provisions:

If soil compaction is required by the Applicant at locations where Company trenching is done, an additional charge may be added to the charges set forth in this tariff. The charge will be estimated based on the Applicant's compaction specifications.
11.04 UNDERGROUND SERVICE LATERALS FROM OVERHEAD ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS.
(1) New Underground Service Laterals:

When requested by the Applicant, the Company will install underground service laterals from overhead systems to newly constructed residential buildings containing less than five (5) separate dwelling units.
(2) Contribution by Applicant:
(a) The Applicant shall pay the Company the following average differential cost between an overhead service and an underground service lateral:
For Service Lateral up to 80 feet \$465.00

For each foot over 80 feet up to 300 feet . 0.0 per foot
Service laterals in excess of 300 feet shall be based on a specific cost estimate.
(b) Credits will be allowed where, by mutual agreement, the Applicant provides trenching and backfilling in accordance with the Company specifications and for the use of the Company facilities, in lieu of a portion of the cash payment described above. These credits, based on the Company's design drawings, are as follows:

For each Foot of Trench .. $\$ 3.68$
The provisions of Paragraphs 11.03(3) and 11.03(4) are also applicable.
11.05 UNDERGROUND SERVICE LATERALS REPLACING EXISTING RESIDENTIAL OVERHEAD SERVICES:

Applicability:
When requested by the Applicant, the Company will install underground service laterals from existing overhead lines as replacements for existing overhead services to existing residential buildings containing less than five (5) separate dwelling units.

Rearrangement of Service Entrance:
The Applicant shall be responsible for any necessary rearranging of his existing electric service entrance facilities to accommodate the proposed underground service lateral in accordance with the Company's specifications.
Trenching:
The Applicant shall also provide, at no cost to the Company, a suitable trench and perform the backfilling and any landscaping, pavement, or other suitable repairs. If the Applicant requests the Company to supply the trench or remove any additional equipment other than the Service Lateral, the charge to the Applicant for this work shall be based on a specific cost estimate.
Contribution by Applicant:
The charge excluding trenching costs shall be as follows:
For Service Lateral
$\$ 607.00$ per service

### 11.06 UNDERGROUND DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES TO MULTIPLE-OCCUPANCY RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS:

(1) Availability:

Underground electric distribution facilities may be installed within the tract of land upon which multipleoccupancy residential buildings containing five (5) or more separate dwelling units will be constructed.
(2) Contribution by Applicant:

There will be no contribution from the Applicant so long as the Company is free to construct the extension in the most economical manner, and reasonably full use is made of the tract of land upon which the multipleoccupancy buildings will be constructed. Other conditions will require a contribution from the Applicant.
(3) Responsibility of Applicant:
(a) Furnish details and specifications of the proposed building or complex of buildings. The Company will use these in the design of the electric distribution facilities required to render service.
(b) Where the Company determines that transformers are to be located inside the building, the Applicant shall provide:
i. The vault or vaults necessary for the transformers and the associated equipment, including the ventilation equipment.
ii. The necessary raceways or conduit for the Company's supply cables from the vault or vaults to a suitable point five (5) feet outside the building in accordance with the Company's plans and specifications.
iii. Conduits underneath all buildings when required for the Company's supply cables. Such conduits shall extend five (5) feet beyond the edge of the buildings for joining to the Company's facilities.
iv. The service entrance conductors and raceways from the Applicant's service equipment to the designated point of delivery within the vault.

### 12.05 CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT:

(1) GENERAL:

Upon acceptance by the Applicant of the binding cost estimate, the Applicant shall execute a contract with the Company to perform the construction of the underground distribution facilities. The contract shall specify the type and character of system to be provided; establish the Facility Charge to be paid by Applicant prior to commencement of construction; specify details of construction to be performed by Applicant, if any; and address any other pertinent terms and conditions including those described in Part (4) below.
(2) FACILITY CHARGE:

Charge $=$ Remaining net book value of existing overhead facilities to be removed;
plus, removal cost of existing overhead facilities;
minus, salvage value of existing overhead facilities;
plus, estimated construction cost of underground facilities including underground service laterals to residential customers meters or point of delivery for general service customers;
minus, estimated construction cost of overhead facilities including overhead service drops to customers' meters;
minus, qualifying binding cost estimate fee.
Plus, $\quad \$ 247$ per mile, (or $\$ 0.05$ per foot) of the existing overhead facilities. This represents the net present value of the lifecycle operational costs differential including storm restoration.

## 3) CONSTRUCTION BY APPLICANT:

If agreed upon by both the Applicant and the Company, the Applicant may construct or install portions of the underground system as long as such work meets the Company's engineering and construction standards. The Company will own and maintain the completed distribution facilities upon accepting the system as operational. The type of system provided will be determined by the Company's standards.

Any facilities provided by the Applicant will be inspected by Company inspectors prior to acceptance. Any deficiencies discovered as a result of these inspections will be corrected by the Applicant at his sole expense, including the costs incurred by performing the inspections. Corrections must be made in a timely manner by the Applicant, otherwise the Company will undertake the correction and bill the Applicant for all costs of such correction. These costs shall be additional to the original binding estimate.

## EXHIBIT B

## REVISED URD TARIFF SHEETS

## Nos. 4.113, 4.114, 4.115 and 4.122

(Legislative Format)
(2) Contribution by Applicant:
(a) Schedule of Charges:

Company standard design underground residential distribution 120/240 volt single-phase service (see also Part 11.03(7)):
To subdivisions with a density of 1.0 or more but less than six (6) dwelling units per acre $\$ 768791.00$ per dwelling unit
To subdivisions with a density of six (6) or more dwelling units per acre $\qquad$ . 459524.00 per dwelling unit

To subdivisions with a density of six (6) or more dwelling units per acre taking service at ganged meter pedestals . 211241.00 per dwelling unit

To multi-occupancy buildings See Part 11.06(2)
(b) The above costs are based upon arrangements that will permit serving the local underground distribution system within the subdivision from overhead feeder mains. If feeder mains within the subdivision are deemed necessary by the Company to provide and/or maintain adequate service and are required by the Applicant or a governmental agency to be installed underground, the Applicant shall pay the Company the average differential cost between such underground feeder mains within the subdivision and equivalent overhead feeder mains as follows:
Three-phase primary main or feeder charge per trench-foot within subdivision:
(U.G. - Underground, O.H. - Overhead)
\#1/0 AWG U.G. vs. \#1/0 AWG O.H......................................................... \$2.407.40-per foot
500 MCM U.G. vs. 336 MCM O.H ............................................................... \$11.4711.22-per foot
1000 MCM U.G. vs. 795 MCM O.H. ............................................................ \$12.0814.08-per foot
The above costs are based on underground feeder construction using the direct burial method. If conduit is required, the following additional charge(s) will apply:
2 inch conduit .....................................................................................................791.38-per foot
4 inch conduit $\$ 5.254 .35$ per foot
6 inch conduit
$\$ 7.186 .28$ per foot
Cable pulling - single phase $\$ 1.971 .38$ per foot
Cable pulling -3 phase small wire $\$ 1.974 .38$-per foot
Cable pulling - 3 phase feeder
\$2.962.07 per foot
The above costs do not require the use of pad-mounted switchgear(s), terminal pole(s), pull boxes or feeder splices. If such facilities are required, a differential cost for same will be determined by the Company on an individual basis and added to charges determined above.
(c) Credits (not to exceed the "average differential costs" stated above) will be allowed where, by mutual agreement, the Applicant provides trenching and backfilling for the use of the Company's facilities in lieu of a portion of the cash payment described above. These credits, based on the Company's design drawings, are:

Primary and/or Secondary Systems,
for each Foot of Trench................................................................................ $\$ 3.683 .09$
Service Laterals,
for each Foot of Trench.................................................................................. $\$ 3.683 .09$

## (3) Point of Delivery:

The point of delivery shall be determined by the Company and will be on the front half of the side of the building that is nearest the point at which the underground secondary electric supply is available to the property. The Company will not install a service on the opposite side of the building where the underground secondary electric supply is available to the property. The point of delivery will only be allowed on the rear of the building by special exception. The Applicant shall pay the estimated full cost of service lateral length required in excess of that which would have been needed to reach the Company's designated point of service.
(4) Location of Meter and Socket:

The Applicant shall install a meter socket at the point designated by the Company in accordance with the Company's specifications. Every effort shall be made to locate the meter socket in unobstructed areas in order that the meter can be read without going through fences, etc.
(5) Development of Subdivisions:

The above charges are based on reasonably full use of the land being developed. Where the Company is required to construct underground electric facilities through a section or sections of the subdivision or development where service will not be required for at least two (2) years, the Company may require a deposit from the Applicant before construction is commenced. This deposit, to guarantee performance, will be based on the estimated total cost of such facilities rather than the differential cost. The amount of the deposit, without interest, in excess of any charges for underground service will be returned to the Applicant on a prorata basis at quarterly intervals on the basis of installations to new customers. Any portion of such deposit remaining unrefunded, after five (5) years from the date the Company is first ready to render service from the extension, will be retained by the company.
(6) Relocation or Removal of Existing Facilities:

If the Company is required to relocate or remove existing overhead and/or underground distribution facilities in the implementation of these Rules, all costs thereof shall be borne exclusively by the Applicant. These costs shall include costs of relocation or removal, the in-place value (less salvage) of the facilities so removed, and any additional costs due to existing landscaping, pavement or unusual conditions.
(7) Other Provisions:

If soil compaction is required by the Applicant at locations where Company trenching is done, an additional charge may be added to the charges set forth in this tariff. The charge will be estimated based on the Applicant's compaction specifications.
11.04 UNDERGROUND SERVICE LATERALS FROM OVERHEAD ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS.
(1) New Underground Service Laterals:

When requested by the Applicant, the Company will install underground service laterals from overhead systems to newly constructed residential buildings containing less than five (5) separate dwelling units.
(2) Contribution by Applicant:
(a) The Applicant shall pay the Company the following average differential cost between an overhead service and an underground service lateral:
For Service Lateral up to 80 feet $\$ 465478.00$

For each foot over 80 feet up to 300 feet \$ 0.0 per foot
Service laterals in excess of 300 feet shall be based on a specific cost estimate.
(b) Credits will be allowed where, by mutual agreement, the Applicant provides trenching and backfilling in accordance with the Company specifications and for the use of the Company facilities, in lieu of a portion of the cash payment described above. These credits, based on the Company's design drawings, are as follows:
For each Foot of Trench $\qquad$ \$ 3.683 .09
The provisions of Paragraphs 11.03(3) and 11.03(4) are also applicable.
11.05 UNDERGROUND SERVICE LATERALS REPLACING EXISTING RESIDENTIAL OVERHEAD SERVICES: Applicability:

When requested by the Applicant, the Company will install underground service laterals from existing overhead lines as replacements for existing overhead services to existing residential buildings containing less than five (5) separate dwelling units.
Rearrangement of Service Entrance:
The Applicant shall be responsible for any necessary rearranging of his existing electric service entrance facilities to accommodate the proposed underground service lateral in accordance with the Company's specifications.
Trenching:
The Applicant shall also provide, at no cost to the Company, a suitable trench and perform the backfilling and any landscaping, pavement, or other suitable repairs. If the Applicant requests the Company to supply the trench or remove any additional equipment other than the Service Lateral, the charge to the Applicant for this work shall be based on a specific cost estimate.

Contribution by Applicant:
The charge excluding trenching costs shall be as follows:
For Service Lateral
$\$ \underline{607} 570.00$ per service
11.06 UNDERGROUND DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES TO MULTIPLE-OCCUPANCY RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS:
(1) Availability:

Underground electric distribution facilities may be installed within the tract of land upon which multipleoccupancy residential buildings containing five (5) or more separate dwelling units will be constructed.
(2) Contribution by Applicant:

There will be no contribution from the Applicant so long as the Company is free to construct the extension in the most economical manner, and reasonably full use is made of the tract of land upon which the multipleoccupancy buildings will be constructed. Other conditions will require a contribution from the Applicant.
(3) Responsibility of Applicant:
(a) Furnish details and specifications of the proposed building or complex of buildings. The Company will use these in the design of the electric distribution facilities required to render service.
(b) Where the Company determines that transformers are to be located inside the building, the Applicant shall provide:
i. The vault or vaults necessary for the transformers and the associated equipment, including the ventilation equipment.
ii. The necessary raceways or conduit for the Company's supply cables from the vault or vaults to a suitable point five (5) feet outside the building in accordance with the Company's plans and specifications.
iii. Conduits underneath all buildings when required for the Company's supply cables. Such conduits shall extend five (5) feet beyond the edge of the buildings for joining to the Company's facilities.
iv. The service entrance conductors and raceways from the Applicant's service equipment to the designated point of delivery within the vault.

### 12.05 CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT:

(1) GENERAL:

Upon acceptance by the Applicant of the binding cost estimate, the Applicant shall execute a contract with the Company to perform the construction of the underground distribution facilities. The contract shall specify the type and character of system to be provided; establish the Facility Charge to be paid by Applicant prior to commencement of construction; specify details of construction to be performed by Applicant, if any; and address any other pertinent terms and conditions including those described in Part (4) below.
(2) FACILITY CHARGE:

Charge $=$ Remaining net book value of existing overhead facilities to be removed;
plus, removal cost of existing overhead facilities;
minus, salvage value of existing overhead facilities;
plus, estimated construction cost of underground facilities including underground service laterals to residential customers meters or point of delivery for general service customers;
minus, estimated construction cost of overhead facilities including overhead service drops to customers' meters;
minus, qualifying binding cost estimate fee.
Plus, $\quad \$ 2474,576-$ per mile, (or $\$ 0.0587$ per foot) of the existing overhead facilities. This represents the net present value of the lifecycle operational costs differential including storm restoration.
3) CONSTRUCTION BY APPLICANT:

If agreed upon by both the Applicant and the Company, the Applicant may construct or install portions of the underground system as long as such work meets the Company's engineering and construction standards. The Company will own and maintain the completed distribution facilities upon accepting the system as operational. The type of system provided will be determined by the Company's standards.

Any facilities provided by the Applicant will be inspected by Company inspectors prior to acceptance. Any deficiencies discovered as a result of these inspections will be corrected by the Applicant at his sole expense, including the costs incurred by performing the inspections. Corrections must be made in a timely manner by the Applicant, otherwise the Company will undertake the correction and bill the Applicant for all costs of such correction. These costs shall be additional to the original binding estimate.

## EXHIBIT C

DEVELOPMENT OF UPDATED URD COSTS
Schedules from Form PSC/EAG 13
And Detailed Cost Support

## OVERHEAD vs. UNDERGROUND SUMMARY SHEET

SCHEDULE NO. 1

## LOW DENSITY 210 LOT SUBDIVISION COST PER SERVICE LATERALS

Revised 5/9/2014

| ITEM | OVERHEAD | UNDERGROUND | DIFFERENTIAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor | 750 | 1,057 | 307 |
| Material | 418 | 597 | 179 |
| SUB TOTAL | 1,168 | 1,654 | 486 |
| NPV of Life Cycle Operational Cost Including Storm Restoration and Pole Attachment Revenue |  |  | 282 |
| Total Including NPV of Life Cycle Cost |  |  | 768 |

## DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA OVERHEAD/UNDERGROUND RESIDENTIAL COST DATA

## COST PER SERVICE LATERAL OVERHEAD MATERIAL AND LABOR

## SCHEDULE NO. 2

LOW DENSITY 210 LOT SUBDIVISION

| ITEM | MATERIAL |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Service(2) | LABOR | TOTAL |  |
| Primary | 44.98 | 156.21 | 201.19 |
| Secondary | 32.29 | 127.03 | 159.32 |
| Initial Tree Trim | 46.82 | 45.90 | 92.72 |
| Poles | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Transformers | 56.40 | 89.25 | 145.65 |
| Sub-Total(1) | 168.30 | 7.81 | 176.11 |
| Stores Handling(3) | 348.79 | 426.20 | 774.99 |
| Sub-Total | 69.59 | 0.00 | 69.59 |
| Engineering(4) | 418.38 | 426.20 | 844.58 |
| Supervision (5) | 0.00 | 76.29 | 76.29 |
| Fleet (6) | 0.00 | 152.03 | 152.03 |
| TOTAL | 0.00 | 95.85 | 95.85 |

[^0]
## DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA <br> OVERHEAD/UNDERGROUND RESIDENTIAL COST DATA

## COST PER SERVICE LATERAL UNDERGROUND MATERIAL AND LABOR

SCHEDULE NO. 3

LOW DENSITY 210 LOT SUBDIVISION

| ITEM | MATERIAL | LABOR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service (2) | 49.30 | 137.99 | 187.29 |
| Primary | 131.04 | 103.17 | 234.21 |
| Secondary | 124.81 | 90.35 | 215.16 |
| Transformers | 192.47 | 7.97 | 200.44 |
| TRENCHING: |  |  |  |
| Prim. \& Secondary | 0.00 | 177.45 | 177.45 |
| Service | 0.00 | 83.68 | 83.68 |
| Sub-Total(1) | 497.62 | 600.61 | 1,098.23 |
| Stores Handling(3) | 99.29 | 0.00 | 99.29 |
| Sub-Total | 596.91 | 600.61 | 1,197.52 |
| Engineering(4) | 0.00 | 107.51 | 107.51 |
| Supervision (5) | 0.00 | 214.24 | 214.24 |
| Fleet (6) | 0.00 | 135.08 | 135.08 |
| TOTAL | 596.91 | 1,057.44 | 1,654.35 |

[^1]DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA OVERHEAD/UNDERGROUND RESIDENTIAL COST ESTIMATE

OVERHEAD vs. UNDERGROUND SUMMARY SHEET

SCHEDULE NO. 5

HIGH DENSITY 176 LOT SUBDIVISION COMPANY OWNED SERVICE LATERALS COST PER SERVICE LATERAL

Revised 5/9/2014

| ITEM | OVERHEAD | UNDERGROUND | DIFFERENTIAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor | 617 | 869 | 252 |
| Material | 329 | 440 | 111 |
| SUB TOTAL | 946 | 1309 | 363 |
| NPV of Life Cycle Operational Cost Including Storm Restoration <br> and Pole Attachment Revenue |  |  |  |
| Total Including NPV of Life Cycle Cost |  |  |  |

## DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA OVERHEAD/UNDERGROUND RESIDENTIAL COST DATA

## COST PER SERVICE LATERAL OVERHEAD MATERIAL AND LABOR

## SCHEDULE NO. 6

HIGH DENSITY 176 LOT SUBDIVISION COMPANY OWNED SERVICE LATERALS

| ITEM | MATERIAL |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Service(2) | LABOR | TOTAL |  |
| Primary | 28.53 | 142.30 | 170.83 |
| Secondary | 14.46 | 70.64 | 85.10 |
| Initial Tree Trim | 35.71 | 51.32 | 87.03 |
| Poles | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Transformers | 48.36 | 79.87 | 128.23 |
| Sub-Total(1) | 147.49 | 6.55 | 154.04 |
| Stores Handling(3) | 274.55 | 350.68 | 625.23 |
| Sub-Total | 54.78 | 0.00 | 54.78 |
| Engineering (4) | 329.33 | 350.68 | 680.01 |
| Supervision (5) | 0.00 | 62.77 | 62.77 |
| Fleet (6) | 0.00 | 125.09 |  |
| TOTAL | 0.00 | 78.87 |  |

[^2]DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

## OVERHEAD/UNDERGROUND RESIDENTIAL COST DATA

## COST PER SERVICE LATERAL UNDERGROUND MATERIAL AND LABOR

SCHEDULE NO. 7

HIGH DENSITY 176 LOT SUBDIVISION COMPANY OWNED SERVICE LATERALS

| ITEM | MATERIAL | LABOR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service (2) | 47.77 | 138.55 | 186.32 |
| Primary | 68.62 | 83.68 | 152.30 |
| Secondary | 79.86 | 75.72 | 155.58 |
| Transformers | 170.27 | 7.03 | 177.30 |
| TRENCHING: |  |  |  |
| Prim. \& Secondary | 0.00 | 105.16 | 105.16 |
| Service | 0.00 | 83.68 | 83.68 |
| Sub-Total | 366.52 | 493.82 | 860.34 |
| Stores Handling(3) | 73.13 | 0.00 | 73.13 |
| Sub-Total | 439.65 | 493.82 | 933.47 |
| Engineering (4) | 0.00 | 88.39 | 88.39 |
| Supervision (5) | 0.00 | 176.15 | 176.15 |
| Fleet (6) | 0.00 | 111.06 | 111.06 |
| TOTAL | 439.65 | 869.42 | 1,309.07 |

[^3]DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA OVERHEAD/UNDERGROUND RESIDENTIAL COST ESTIMATE

OVERHEAD vs. UNDERGROUND SUMMARY SHEET

SCHEDULE NO. 8

HIGH DENSITY 176 LOT SUBDIVISION
GANGED METERS
COST PER SERVICE

Revised 5/9/2014

| ITEM | OVERHEAD | UNDERGROUND | DIFFERENTIAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor | 343 | 432 | 89 |
| Material | 284 | 321 | 37 |
| SUB TOTAL | 627 | 753 | 126 |
| NPV of Life Cycle Operational Cost Including Storm Restoration and Pole Attachment Revenue |  |  | 85 |
| Total Including NPV of Life Cycle Cost |  |  | 211 |

# DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA <br> OVERHEAD/UNDERGROUND RESIDENTIAL COST DATA 

## COST PER SERVICE OVERHEAD MATERIAL AND LABOR

SCHEDULE NO. 9
HIGH DENSITY 176 LOT SUBDIVISION
GANGED METERS

| ITEM | MATERIAL | LABOR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service(2) | 15.58 | 32.84 | 48.42 |
| Primary | 15.40 | 70.60 | 86.00 |
| Secondary | 24.10 | 35.43 | 59.53 |
| Initial Tree Trim | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Poles | 33.18 | 50.67 | 83.85 |
| Transformers | 148.37 | 5.31 | 153.68 |
| Sub-Total(1) | 236.63 | 194.85 | 431.48 |
| Stores Handling(3) | 47.21 | 0.00 | 47.21 |
| Sub-Total | 283.84 | 194.85 | 478.69 |
| Engineering(4) | 0.00 | 34.88 | 34.88 |
| Supervision (5) | 0.00 | 69.50 | 69.50 |
| Fleet (6) | 0.00 | 43.82 | 43.82 |
| TOTAL | 283.84 | 343.05 | 626.89 |

[^4]
## DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA OVERHEAD/UNDERGROUND RESIDENTIAL COST DATA

## COST PER SERVICE UNDERGROUND MATERIAL AND LABOR

SCHEDULE NO. 10

HIGH DENSITY 176 LOT SUBDIVISION
GANGED METERS

| ITEM | MATERIAL | LABOR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service (2) | 61.56 | 62.63 | 124.19 |
| Primary | 57.22 | 70.48 | 127.70 |
| Secondary |  |  | 0.00 |
| Transformers | 148.75 | 5.79 | 154.54 |
| TRENCHING: |  |  |  |
| Prim. \& Secondary | 0.00 | 51.67 | 51.67 |
| Service | 0.00 | 54.62 | 54.62 |
| Sub-Total (1) | 267.53 | 245.19 | 512.72 |
| Stores Handling(3) | 53.38 | 0.00 | 53.38 |
| Sub-Total | 320.91 | 245.19 | 566.10 |
| Engineering(4) | 0.00 | 43.89 | 43.89 |
| Supervision (5) | 0.00 | 87.46 | 87.46 |
| Fleet (6) | 0.00 | 55.14 | 55.14 |
| TOTAL | 320.91 | 431.68 | 752.59 |

1-Includes Sales Tax.
2-Meters not included - overhead and underground cost is the same.
3-Stores - Benchstock, Corporate Stores and Local Stores - $21.25 \%$ of material
4-Design and Project Management - $17.90 \%$ of labor:
5-Management and supervision - $35.67 \%$ of labor
6 - Fleet - $22.49 \%$ of labor

Duke Energy Florida
Actuals for 5 Year Period of 2009-2013
Summary of NPV Life Cycle Costs per mile for Overhead and Underground Distribution Including Storm Costs and Pole Attachment Revenues

5 year average OH Unit Costs in 2014 Dollars - Annual 5 year average UG Unit Costs in 2014 Dollars - Annual Differential in 2013 Dollars - OH more (less) than UG
Including Storm Excluding Storm Storm

NPV of 34 Year Life Cycle

| Overhead | $\$$ | 85,317 | $\$ 72,499$ | $\$ 12,819$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Underground | $\$$ | 85,565 | $\$ 81,970$ | $\$ 3,595$ |
| Differential - OH more (less) than UG |  |  |  |  |
|  | ck | $\$$ | $(247)$ | $\$$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 , 4 7 1 )}$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 2 4}$ |  |  |

NPV Life Cycle Costs - Per Lot Differentials

|  | OHD | UG |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Low Density | 9.625 | 13250 |
| Feet of Line | 1.82 | 2.51 |
| Miles of Line | 210 | 210 |


| Per Lot - OHD | $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Per Lot - UG | $\$$ |
| Per Lot - Differential | $\$$ |


| 741 | $\$$ | 629 | $\$$ | 111 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1,022 | $\$$ | 980 | $\$$ | 43 |
| 282 | $\$$ | 350 | $\$$ | $(68)$ |

High Density-IND

| Feet of Line | 4.621 | 5.64 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Miles of Line | 0.88 | 1.07 |
| Number of Lots | 176 | 176 |

Per Lot - OHD
Per Lot - UG
Per Lot - Differential

| 424 | $\$$ | 361 | $\$$ | 64 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 520 | $\$$ | 498 | $\$$ | 22 |
| 96 | $\$$ | 137 | $\$$ | $(42)$ |

High Density-GNG

| Feet of Line | 3.435 | 4.34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Miles of Line | 0.65 | 0.82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lots | 176 | 176 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$Per Lot - OHD |  | $\$$ | 315 | $\$$ | 268 | $\$$ | 47 |
| $\quad$ Per Lot - UG | $\$$ | 400 | $\$$ | 383 | $\$$ | 17 |  |
| $\quad$ Per Lot - Differential |  | $\$$ | 85 | $\$$ | 115 | $\$$ | $(31)$ |

## Duke Energy Florida <br> Calculation of NPV for Life Cycle - Including Storm \& Pole Attachment Revenues <br> UG vs. OH based 5 yr Avg Unit Cost of Circuit Miles



## Duke Energy Florida

Calculation of NPV for Life Cycle - including Storm \& Pole Attachment Revenues

## Overhead based 5 yr Avg Unit Cost of Circuit Miles



## Duke Energy Florida <br> Calculation of NPV for Life Cycle - Excluding Storm includes Pole Revenue <br> Overhead based 5 yr Avg Unit Cost of Circuit Miles



## Duke Energy Florida

# Calculation of NPV for Life Cycle - Including Storm <br> Underground based 5 yr Avg Unit Cost of Circuit Miles 




## Duke Energy Florida <br> NPV Life Cycle Cost Analysis <br> Data Inputs and Assumptions

Storm Costs from 2009 Hurricance Loss Study S
Percentage of T\&D storm costs allocated to Distribution
Base Year Storm Costs Rate
Percentage of storm costs allocated to overhead
UG Avg Svc Life - 2009 Depreciation Study for NPV
Corporate Std Inflation Rate 2011-2013
Corporate Std Inflation Rate 2014-2051
20.200.000 Expected Annual Losses in cot dollars per Steve Harris Testimony in FPSC Do $80 \%$ Based on per 2004 / 2005 Actual Experience
16,160,000 Distribution Expected Annual Storm Costs in 2009 dollars 83\% Based on per 2004 / 2005 Actual Experience
34 years (period for NPV calculations)
1.025 Based on Corporate standard for 2011
1.025 Based on Corporate standard for 2014-205

## Handy Whitman Sch E-2 So. Atlantic Region 1973=100, Total Distribution Plant Index

2008 vs. 2013 Handy Whitman Index Rate 2009 vs. 2013 Handy Whitman Index Rate 2010 vs. 2013 Handy Whitman Index Rate 2011 vs. 2013 Handy Whitman Index Rate 2012 vs. 2013 Handy Whitman Index Rate

2008 vs. 2013 Handy Whitman Index Rate 2009 vs. 2013 Handy Whitman Index Rate 2010 vs. 2013 Handy Whitman Index Rate 2011 vs. 2013 Handy Whitman Index Rate 2012 vs. 2013 Handy Whitman Index Rate
1.202 Used to calculate 2009 costs to 2014 dollars 1.172 Used to calculate 2010 costs to 2014 dollars 1.121 Used to calculate 2011 costs to 2014 dollars 1.066 Used to calculate 2012 costs to 2014 dollars 1.037 Used to calculate 2013 costs to 2014 dollars
1.000 calculate 2008 costs to 2008 doliars for Storm 0.975 calculate 2008 costs to 2009 dollars for Storm 0.932 calculate 2008 costs to 2010 dollars for Storm 0.887 calculate 2008 costs to 2011 dollars for Storm 0.863 calculate 2008 costs to 2012 dollars for Storm



## Infrastructure in Circuit Miles

| Note: Counts taken at year end. | OH Pri Wire | OH Sec Cable | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total OH Pri \& } \\ \text { Sec } \end{gathered}$ | UG Pri Cable | UG Sec Cable | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total UG Pri } \\ \& \text { Sec } \end{gathered}$ | System UG <br> Percentage of Primary | Percentage Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 17,993 | 6,384 | 24,377 | 10,120 | 4,066 | 14,186 | 36\% | - |
| 2003 | 18,067 | 6,538 | 24,605 | 10,500 | 4,449 | 14,949 | 37\% | 0.76\% |
| 2004 | 18,153 | 6,675 | 24,828 | 11,075 | 4,918 | 15,993 | 38\% | 1.14\% |
| 2005 | 18,106 | 6,824 | 24,930 | 11,807 | 5,393 | 17,199 | 39\% | 1.58\% |
| 2006 | 18,282 | 6,956 | 25,238 | 12,537 | 5,951 | 18,488 | 41\% | 1.21\% |
| 2007 | 18,540 | 6,857 | 25,397 | 13,020 | 5,849 | 18,869 | 41\% | 0.58\% |
| 2008 | 18,715 | 6,922 | 25,637 | 15,808 | 7,102 | 22,910 | 46\% | 4.54\% |
| 2009 | 18,183 | 5,565 | 23,748 | 12,836 | 3,951 | 16,787 | 41\% | -4.41\% |
| 2010 | 18,192 | 5,645 | 23,837 | 12,855 | 3,969 | 16,825 | 41\% | 0.02\% |
| 2011 | 18,193 | 5,688 | 23,881 | 12,835 | 3,941 | 16,776 | 41\% | -0.04\% |
| 2012 | 18,178 | 5,827 | 24,005 | 12,980 | 4,026 | 17,006 | 42\% | 0.29\% |
| 2013 | 18,133 | 5,963 | 24,096 | 13,176 | 4,116 | 17,293 | 42\% | 0.43\% |
| Avg 2002-2013 | 18,228 | 6,320 | 24,548 | 12,462 | 4,811 | 17,273 |  |  |
|  | $74 \%$ | 26\% |  | $72 \%$ | 28\% |  |  |  |
| CAGR for 2002-2013 | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 8\% | 10\% | 6\% |  |  |

Factor down Miles for "Errors" Corrected in 2009 GIS System Data

| 2005 | 18,061 | 6,984 | 25,045 | 11,985 | 5,513 | 17,498 | 40\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 18,237 | 7.119 | 25,356 | 12,726 | 6,085 | 18,811 | 41\% |
| 2007 | 18,494 | 7,017 | 25,511 | 13,217 | 5,981 | 19,198 | 42\% |
| 2008 | 18,338 | 6,291 | 24,629 | 13,027 | 4,966 | 17,993 | 42\% |
| 2009 | 18,183 | 5,565 | 23,748 | 12,836 | 3,951 | 16,787 | 41\% |
| 2010 | 18,192 | 5,645 | 23,837 | 12,855 | 3,969 | 16,825 | 41\% |
| 2011 | 18,193 | 5,688 | 23,881 | 12,835 | 3,941 | 16,776 | 41\% |
| 2012 | 18,178 | 5,827 | 24,005 | 12,980 | 4,026 | 17,006 | 42\% |
| 2013 | 18,133 | 5,963 | 24,096 | 13,176 | 4,116 | 17,293 | 42\% |
| vs. 2012 | (45) | 136 | 91 | 196 | 90 | 287 |  |
| 2013 vs | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |  |
| cor to decr | 0\% | 2\% |  | 2\% | 2\% |  |  |

## UNDERGROUND SERVICE LATERALS FROM OVERHEAD ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS 5/7/2014

| Underground Fixed Costs: |  | Material | Labor | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From Computer Study |  | \$159.87 | \$386.24 | \$546.11 |
| Fleet |  |  | \$86.86 | \$86.86 |
| Engineering and Supervision |  |  | \$206.91 | \$206.91 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$839.88 |
| Underground Excess Costs: |  | Material | Labor | Total |
| From Computer Study |  | \$485.83 | \$521.82 | \$1,007.65 |
| Fleet |  |  | \$117.36 | \$117.36 |
| Engineering and Supervision |  |  | \$279.54 | \$279.54 |
| Total (for additional 220 ft ) |  |  |  | \$1,404.55 |
| Overhead Fixed Costs: |  | Material | Labor | Total |
| From Computer Study |  | \$86.30 | \$163.80 | \$250.10 |
| Fleet |  |  | \$36.84 | \$36.84 |
| Engineering and Supervision |  |  | \$87.75 | \$87.75 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$374.69 |
| Overhead Excess Costs: |  | Material | Labor | Total |
| From Computer Study |  | \$583.71 | \$585.55 | \$1,169.26 |
| Fleet |  |  | \$131.69 | \$131.69 |
| Engineering and Supervision |  |  | \$313.68 | \$313.68 |
| Total (for additional 220 ft ) |  |  |  | \$1,614.63 |
| DIFFERENTIAL |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed Underground | \$840.00 |  |  |  |
| Fixed Overhead | \$375.00 |  |  |  |
| Difference | \$465.00 |  |  |  |
| Excess Underground | \$1,404.55 |  | Excess |  |
| Excess Overhead | \$1,614.63 |  | Cost per foot: |  |
| Difference | (\$210.08) |  | -0.95 |  |
| Proposed Tariff Charge | \$0.00 |  | \$0.00 |  |

Operating District: ST PETERSBURG<br>WR Type: ESTIM - ESTIMATE ONLY WORK REQUEST

Scheduled Start Date:
Requested Completion Date: 06/30/2014

Customer Name: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDER
Customer Address: 299 N 1ST AVE
ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701-

Customer \#:
WR Owner: ROBERT E MCCABE
WR Description: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDERGROUND SERVICE FROM OH SOURCE

Item
DESIGN AND PROS MGT PEF
FLEET - REF
MANAGEMENT \& SURV - REF

Total
\$691.36
\$868.65
\$1,377.71
\$2,937.72

|  | Facility | ID | Action | Qty | Materials |  |  | Labor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CU ID |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Hrs | Work Type

OH PRIMARY COND

Operating District: ST PETERSBURG
WR Type: ESTIM - ESTIMATE ONLY WORK REQUEST

Customer Name: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDEF
Customer Address: 299 N 1ST AVE ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701-

Scheduled Start Date:
Requested Completion Date: 06/30/2014

Customer \#:
WR Owner: ROBERT E MCCABE

WR Description: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDERGROUND SERVICE FROM OH SOURCE

| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921146 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$47.02 | \$47.02 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921147 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$39.19 | \$39.19 | . 7 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921147 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$39.19 | \$39.19 | . 7 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921147 | I | 1 | \$.00 | \$39.19 | \$39.19 | . 7 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$39.19 | \$39.19 | . 7 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$47.02 | \$47.02 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$47.02 | \$47.02 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$39.19 | \$39.19 | . 7 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921146 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$47.02 | \$47.02 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| STRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PEDUR9X14PF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 | \$74.96 | \$22.39 | \$97.35 | . 4 | REVENUE |
| PEDUR9X14PF | 2921146 | 1 | 1 | \$74.96 | \$26.87 | \$101.83 | . 5 | REVENUE |
| PEDUR9X14PF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 | \$74.96 | \$22.39 | \$97.35 | . 4 | REVENUE |
| TRENCH AND BORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBTRMF | 2921144 | 1 | 40 | \$. 00 | \$83.68 | \$83.68 | 2.0 | REVENUE |
| TBTRMF | 2921147 | 1 | 80 | \$. 00 | \$167.36 | \$167.36 | 4.0 | REVENUE |
| TBTRMF | 2921146 | 1 | 40 | \$. 00 | \$83.68 | \$83.68 | 2.0 | REVENUE |
| TBTRMF | 2921146 | 1 | 80 | \$. 00 | \$167.36 | \$167.36 | 4.0 | REVENUE |
| TBTRMF | 2921144 | 1 | 80 | \$.00 | \$167.36 | \$167.36 | 4.0 | REVENUE |
| TBTRMF | 2921147 | 1 | 80 | \$. 00 | \$167.36 | \$167.36 | 4.0 | REVENUE |
| TBTRMF | 2921144 | 1 | 60 | \$. 00 | \$125.52 | \$125.52 | 3.0 | REVENUE |
| TBTRMF | 2921144 | 1 | 3 | \$.00 | \$6.28 | \$6.28 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| TBTRMF | 2921144 | 1 | 60 | \$.00 | \$125.52 | \$125.52 | 3.0 | REVENUE |
| TBTRMF | 2921147 | 1 | 40 | \$.00 | \$83.68 | \$83.68 | 2.0 | REVENUE |
| UG PRIMARY COND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921147 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$44.78 | \$44.78 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$44.78 | \$44.78 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$44.78 | \$44.78 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$44.78 | \$44.78 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$44.78 | \$44.78 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921146 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$44.78 | \$44.78 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921144 | 1 | 2 | \$.00 | \$89.57 | \$89.57 | 1.6 | REVENUE |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921146 | 1 | 2 | \$. 00 | \$89.57 | \$89.57 | 1.6 | REVENUE |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$44.78 | \$44.78 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921147 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$44.78 | \$44.78 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921147 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$44.78 | \$44.78 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| UG SEC COND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UGLABTAPSECF | 2921146 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$16.79 | \$16.79 | . 3 | REVENUE |
| UGLABTAPSECF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$16.79 | \$16.79 | . 3 | REVENUE |
| UGLABTAPSECF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$16.79 | \$16.79 | . 3 | REVENUE |
| WUS20TPXF | 2921147 | 1 | 78 | \$82.43 | \$21.83 | \$104.26 | . 4 | REVENUE |

Operating District: ST PETERSBURG
WR Type: ESTIM - ESTIMATE ONLY WORK REQUEST

Customer Name: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDEF Customer Address: 299 N 1ST AVE ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701-

## Customer \#:

WR Owner: ROBERT E MCCABE

WR Description: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDERGROUND SERVICE FROM OH SOURCE

| WUS20TPXF | 2921146 | 1 | 118 | \$124.69 | \$33.03 | \$157.72 | . 6 | REVENUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WUS20TPXF | 2921147 | 1 | 118 | \$124.69 | \$33.03 | \$157.72 | . 6 | REVENUE |
| WUS20TPXF | 2921146 | 1 | 86 | \$90.88 | \$24.07 | \$114.95 | . 4 | REVENUE |
| WUS350TPXF | 2921147 | 1 | 118 | \$293.24 | \$33.03 | \$326.27 | . 6 | REVENUE |
| WUS40TPXF | 2921144 | 1 | 78 | \$121.88 | \$26.20 | \$148.08 | . 5 | REVENUE |
| WUS40TPXF | 2921144 | 1 | 106 | \$165.63 | \$35.60 | \$201.23 | . 6 | REVENUE |
| WUS40TPXF | 2921144 | 1 | 118 | \$184.38 | \$39.63 | \$224.01 | . 7 | REVENUE |
| WUS40TPXF | 2921144 | 1 | 72 | \$112.50 | \$24.18 | \$136.69 | 4 | REVENUE |
| WUS40TPXF | 2921144 | 1 | 37 | \$57.81 | \$12.43 | \$70.24 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| Subtotal: |  |  |  | \$1,598.69 | \$3,862.37 | \$5,461.06 | 76.1 |  |
| Total Constructi |  |  |  |  |  | \$8,398.78 |  |  |

Total CIAC

Detailed Cost Estimate
WR Nbr:

Operating District: ST PETERSBURG<br>WR Type: ESTIM - ESTIMATE ONLY WORK REQUEST

Customer Name: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDER
Customer Address: 299 N 1ST AVE ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701-

Customer \#:
WR Owner: ROBERT E MCCABE
WR Description: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDERGROUND SERVICE FROM OH SOURCE

Item
DESIGN AND PROJ MGT PEF
FLEET - PEF
MANAGEMENT \& SUPV - PEF

Total
\$293.20
\$368.38
\$584.27
\$1,245.84

| CU ID | Facility ID | Action | Qty | Materials | Labor | Total | Labor Hrs | Work Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OH MISC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SDEMASTCLMPSMF | 2921142 | I | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMASTCLMPSMF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMASTCLMPSMF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMASTCLMPSMF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMASTCLMPSMF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMASTCLMPSMF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMASTCLMPSMF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMASTCLMPSMF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMASTCLMPSMF | 2921142 | I | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMASTCLMPSMF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMSPNCLMPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMSPNCLMPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMSPNCLMPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMSPNCLMPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMSPNCLMPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMSPNCLMPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMSPNCLMPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMSPNCLMPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMSPNCLMPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | 2 | REVENUE |
| SDEMSPNCLMPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SERCLMP10ALF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SERCLMP10ALF | 2921142 | 1 | 2 | \$. 00 | \$22.39 | \$22.39 | 4 | REVENUE |
| SERCLMP10ALF | 2921142 | 1 | 2 | \$. 00 | \$22.39 | \$22.39 | . 4 | REVENUE |
| SERCLMP10ALF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SERCLMP10ALF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SERCLMP10ALF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SERCLMP40ALF | 2921142 | 1 | 2 | \$. 00 | \$22.39 | \$22.39 | . 4 | REVENUE |
| SERCLMP40ALF | 2921142 | 1 | 2 | \$. 00 | \$22.39 | \$22.39 | . 4 | REVENUE |
| SERCLMP40ALF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$11.20 | \$11.20 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| SERCLMP40ALF | 2921142 | 1 | 2 | \$.00 | \$22.39 | \$22.39 | . 4 | REVENUE |
| SERCLMP40ALF | 2921142 | 1 | 2 | \$. 00 | \$22.39 | \$22.39 | . 4 | REVENUE |

Operating District: ST PETERSBURG WR Type: ESTIM - ESTIMATE ONLY WORK REQUEST

Customer Name: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDEF
Customer Address: 299 N 1ST AVE ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701 -

Scheduled Start Date:
Requested Completion Date: 06/30/2014

## Customer \#:

WR Owner: ROBERT E MCCABE

WR Description: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDERGROUND SERVICE FROM OH SOURCE

| SERCLMP40ALF | 2921142 | 1 | 2 | \$.00 | \$22.39 | \$22.39 | . 4 | REVENUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SERCLMP40ALF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$13.44 | \$13.44 | . 2 | REVENUE |
| OH PRIMARY COND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$47.02 | \$47.02 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921142 | 1 | 2 | \$.00 | \$78.37 | \$78.37 | 1.4 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921142 | I | 2 | \$. 00 | \$78.37 | \$78.37 | 1.4 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921142 | 1 | 2 | \$.00 | \$78.37 | \$78.37 | 1.4 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$47.02 | \$47.02 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$39.19 | \$39.19 | . 7 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921142 | 1 | 2 | \$.00 | \$78.37 | \$78.37 | 1.4 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921142 | 1 | 2 | \$. 00 | \$78.37 | \$78.37 | 1.4 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$39.19 | \$39.19 | . 7 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$. 00 | \$39.19 | \$39.19 | . 7 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921142 | 1 | 1 | \$.00 | \$47.02 | \$47.02 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921142 | I | 2 | \$.00 | \$78.37 | \$78.37 | 1.4 | REVENUE |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921142 | I | 2 | \$.00 | \$78.37 | \$78.37 | 1.4 | REVENUE |
| OH SEC COND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921142 | 1 | 40 | \$34.68 | \$22.39 | \$57.07 | . 4 | REVENUE |
| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921142 | 1 | 80 | \$69.37 | \$44.78 | \$114.15 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921142 | 1 | 80 | \$69.37 | \$53.74 | \$123.11 | 1.0 | REVENUE |
| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921142 | 1 | 40 | \$34.68 | \$26.87 | \$61.55 | . 5 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921142 | 1 | 40 | \$65.49 | \$22.39 | \$87.89 | . 4 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921142 | 1 | 60 | \$98.24 | \$33.59 | \$131.83 | . 6 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921142 | 1 | 80 | \$130.99 | \$44.78 | \$175.77 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921142 | 1 | 80 | \$130.99 | \$44.78 | \$175.77 | . 8 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921142 | 1 | 60 | \$98.24 | \$33.59 | \$131.83 | . 6 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921142 | 1 | 80 | \$130.99 | \$53.74 | \$184.73 | 1.0 | REVENUE |
| Subtotal: |  |  |  | \$863.04 | \$1,637.97 | \$2,501.01 | 29.3 |  |
| Total Construction Cost |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,746.86 |  |  |

## Total CIAC

Operating District: ST PETERSBURG
WR Type: ESTIM - ESTIMATE ONLY WORK REQUEST

Customer Name: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDER
Customer Address: 299 N 1ST AVE ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701-

Scheduled Start Date:
Requested Completion Date: 06/30/2014

Customer \#:
WR Owner: ROBERT E MCCABE

WR Description: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDERGROUND SERVICE FROM OH SOURCE

## Item

DESIGN AND PROJ MGT PEF
FLEET - PEF
MANAGEMENT \& SUPV - PEF

Total
$\$ 934.06$
\$1,173.57
\$1,861.33
\$3,968.95

|  | Facility <br> CU ID | Action | Qty | Materials |  | Labor | Total | Hrs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Work Type

## Total CIAC

Operating District: ST PETERSBURG<br>WR Type: ESTIM - ESTIMATE ONLY WORK REQUEST

Customer Name: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDEF
Customer Address: 299 N MST AVE ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701-

## Scheduled Start Date:

Requested Completion Date: 06/30/2014

Customer \#:
WR Owner: ROBERT E MCCABE

WR Description: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDERGROUND SERVICE FROM OH SOURCE

| Item | Total |
| :--- | ---: |
| DESIGN AND PROS MGT PF | $\$ 1,048.14$ |
| FLEET - PEF | $\$ 1,316.90$ |
| MANAGEMENT \& SURV - PEF | $\$ 2,088.66$ |
|  | $\$ \mathbf{\$ 4 , 4 5 3 . 7 0}$ |



## UNDERGROUND SERVICE LATERALS REPLACING <br> EXISTING OVERHEAD SERVICE LATERALS <br> 5/7/2014

| Average cost to install new underground service: | Material | Labor | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From Computer Study | \$141.32 | \$272.30 | \$413.62 |
| Fleet |  | \$61.24 | \$61.24 |
| Engineering and Supervision |  | \$145.87 | \$145.87 |
| Total |  |  | \$620.73 |
| Cost to remove existing overhead service: | Material | Labor | Total |
| From Computer Study | \$0.00 | \$85.03 | \$85.03 |
| Fleet |  | \$19.12 | \$19.12 |
| Engineering and Supervision |  | \$45.55 | \$45.55 |
| Total |  |  | \$149.70 |

Undepreciated value of the existing overhead service drop:

| Cost to install new overhead service: |  | Material | Labor | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From Computer Study |  | \$60.20 | \$103.34 | \$163.54 |
| Fleet |  |  | \$23.24 | \$23.24 |
| Engineering and Supervision |  |  | \$55.36 | \$55.36 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$242.14 |
| Remaining undepreciated value $=($ Ave remaining life $/$ Ave service life)* (OH Service cost) |  |  |  |  |
| Remaining undepreciated value $=$ | 15 | 34 | 242.14 | \$106.83 |
| Salvage value of overhead service $=(\text { Salvage rate })^{*}($ Ave remaining life)* $($ OH Service Cost) |  |  |  |  |
| Salvage value of overhead service= | -0.0039 | 15 | 242.14 | (\$14.17) |


| Underground cost | $\$ 621.00$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Cost to remove overhead | $\$ 150.00$ |
| Remaining undepreciated value | $\$ 107.00$ |
| Salvage value | $(\$ 14.00)$ |
| Total | $\$ 607.00$ |

Operating District: ST PETERSBURG<br>WR Type: ESTIM - ESTIMATE ONLY WORK REQUEST

Customer Name: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDER Customer Address: 299 N 1ST AVE ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701-

## Scheduled Start Date:

Requested Completion Date: 06/30/2014

Customer \#:
WR Owner: ROBERT E MCCABE

WR Description: 11.042014 LONG FILING - NEW UNDERGROUND SERVICE FROM OH SOURCE
POLE

| OHLTLABSETUPF | 8054188 | 1 | 3 | \$. 00 | \$117.56 | \$117.56 | 2.1 | REVENUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OHLTLABSETUPF | 8054189 | 1 | 3 | \$.00 | \$117.56 | \$117.56 | 2.1 | REVENUE |
| OHLTLABSETUPF | 8054195 | 1 | 3 | \$. 00 | \$117.56 | \$117.56 | 2.1 | REVENUE |
| OHLTLABSETUPF | 8054177 | 1 | 2 | \$. 00 | \$78.37 | \$78.37 | 1.4 | REVENUE |
| OHLTLABSETUPF | 8054192 | 1 | 3 | \$. 00 | \$117.56 | \$117.56 | 2.1 | REVENUE |
| OHLTLABSETUPF | 8054191 | I | 3 | \$. 00 | \$117.56 | \$117.56 | 2.1 | REVENUE |
| OHLTLABSETUPF | 8054193 | 1 | 3 | \$. 00 | \$117.56 | \$117.56 | 2.1 | REVENUE |
| OHLTLABSETUPF | 8054187 | 1 | 2 | \$. 00 | \$78.37 | \$78.37 | 1.4 | REVENUE |
| OHLTLABSETUPF | 8054194 | 1 | 2 | \$. 00 | \$78.37 | \$78.37 | 1.4 | REVENUE |
| OHLTLABSETUPF | 8054190 | 1 | 2 | \$.00 | \$78.37 | \$78.37 | 1.4 | REVENUE |
| P306F | 8054188 | 1 | 3 | \$311.33 | \$335.88 | \$647.21 | 6.0 | REVENUE |
| P306F | 8054189 | 1 | 3 | \$311.33 | \$335.88 | \$647.21 | 6.0 | REVENUE |
| P306F | 8054187 | 1 | 2 | \$207.56 | \$223.92 | \$431.48 | 4.0 | REVENUE |
| P306F | 8054194 | 1 | 2 | \$207.56 | \$223.92 | \$431.48 | 4.0 | REVENUE |
| P306F | 8054190 | 1 | 2 | \$207.56 | \$223.92 | \$431.48 | 4.0 | REVENUE |
| P306F | 8054195 | 1 | 3 | \$311.33 | \$335.88 | \$647.21 | 6.0 | REVENUE |
| P306F | 8054192 | 1 | 3 | \$311.33 | \$335.88 | \$647.21 | 6.0 | REVENUE |
| P306F | 8054177 | 1 | 2 | \$207.56 | \$223.92 | \$431.48 | 4.0 | REVENUE |
| P306F | 8054191 | 1 | 3 | \$311.33 | \$335.88 | \$647.21 | 6.0 | REVENUE |
| P306F | 8054193 | 1 | 3 | \$311.33 | \$335.88 | \$647.21 | 6.0 | REVENUE |
| Subtotal: |  |  |  | \$5,837.15 | \$5,855.51 | \$11,692.66 | 104.6 |  |
| Total Construction |  |  |  |  |  | \$16,146.36 |  |  |

## Total CIAC

Operating District: ST PETERSBURG<br>WR Type: ESTIM - ESTIMATE ONLY WORK REQUEST

| Scheduled Start Date: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Requested Completion Date: | 06/30/2014 |
| WR Description: | 11.05 2014 LONG FILING - UG REPLACING EXISTING OH |
|  | WR Owner: |
| Item | ROBERt |
| DESIGN AND PROS MG PE | $\$ 487.43$ |
| FLEET - PF | $\$ 612.41$ |
| MANAGEMENT \& SURV - PEF | $\$ 971.31$ |
|  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 0 7 1 . 1 6}$ |



Operating District: ST PETERSBURG
WR Type: ESTIM - ESTIMATE ONLY WORK REQUEST

Customer Name: 11.052014 LONG FILING - UG REPLAC Customer Address: 299 N 1ST AVE ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701 -

Scheduled Start Date:
Requested Completion Date: 06/30/2014

Customer \#:
WR Owner: ROBERT E MCCABE

| WR Description: 11.052014 LONG FILIN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921146 | 1 | 1 |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921144 | I | 1 |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921147 | I | 1 |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921147 | 1 | 1 |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921147 | 1 | 1 |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 |
| OHBTLABSETUPF | 2921146 | 1 | 1 |
| STRUCTURE |  |  |  |
| PEDUR9X14PF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 |
| PEDUR9X14PF | 2921146 | 1 | 1 |
| PEDUR9X14PF | 2921144 | 1 | 1 |
| TRENCH AND BORE |  |  |  |
| TBTRHF | 2921144 | 1 | 3 |
| TBTRHF | 2921144 | 1 | 3 |
| TBTRHF | 2921146 | I | 3 |

UG PRIMARY COND

| UGLABSETUPF | 2921147 | I | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921147 | I | 1 |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921144 | I | 1 |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921144 | I | 1 |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921146 | I | 1 |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921147 | I | 1 |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921144 | I | 1 |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921144 | I | 1 |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921144 | I | 1 |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921144 | I | 2 |
| UGLABSETUPF | 2921146 | I | 2 |
| UG SEC COND |  |  |  |
| UGLABTAPSECF | 2921144 | I | 1 |
| UGLABTAPSECF | 2921146 | I | 1 |
| UGLABTAPSECF | 2921144 | I | 1 |
| WUS20TPXF | 2921146 | I | 66 |
| WUS20TPXF | 2921147 | I | 118 |
| WUS20TPXF | 2921144 | I | 78 |
| WUS20TPXF | 2921146 | I | 118 |
| WUS20TPXF | 2921147 | I | 78 |
| WUS350TPXF | 2921147 | I | 118 |
| WUS40TPXF | 2921144 | I | 72 |
| WUS40TPXF | 2921144 | I | 78 |


| REPLACING EXISTING OH |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 47.02$ | $\$ 47.02$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 47.02$ | $\$ 47.02$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 39.19$ | $\$ 39.19$ | .7 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 39.19$ | $\$ 39.19$ | .7 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 47.02$ | $\$ 47.02$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 39.19$ | $\$ 39.19$ | .7 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 39.19$ | $\$ 39.19$ | .7 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 39.19$ | $\$ 39.19$ | .7 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 47.02$ | $\$ 47.02$ | .8 | REVENUE |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 74.96$ | $\$ 22.39$ | $\$ 97.35$ | .4 | REVENUE |
| $\$ 74.96$ | $\$ 26.87$ | $\$ 101.83$ | .5 | REVENUE |
| $\$ 74.96$ | $\$ 22.39$ | $\$ 97.35$ | .4 | REVENUE |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 25.10$ | $\$ 25.10$ | .6 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 25.10$ | $\$ 25.10$ | .6 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 25.10$ | $\$ 25.10$ | .6 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 44.78$ | $\$ 44.78$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 44.78$ | $\$ 44.78$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 44.78$ | $\$ 44.78$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 44.78$ | $\$ 44.78$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 44.78$ | $\$ 44.78$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 44.78$ | $\$ 44.78$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 44.78$ | $\$ 44.78$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 44.78$ | $\$ 44.78$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 44.78$ | $\$ 44.78$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 89.57$ | $\$ 89.57$ | 1.6 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 89.57$ | $\$ 89.57$ | 1.6 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 16.79$ | $\$ 16.79$ | .3 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 16.79$ | $\$ 16.79$ | .3 | REVENUE |
| $\$ .00$ | $\$ 16.79$ | $\$ 16.79$ | .3 | REVENUE |
| $\$ 69.74$ | $\$ 18.47$ | $\$ 88.22$ | .3 | REVENUE |
| $\$ 124.69$ | $\$ 33.03$ | $\$ 157.72$ | .6 | REVENUE |
| $\$ 82.43$ | $\$ 21.83$ | $\$ 104.26$ | .4 | REVENUE |
| $\$ 124.69$ | $\$ 33.03$ | $\$ 157.72$ | .6 | REVENUE |
| $\$ 82.43$ | $\$ 21.83$ | $\$ 104.26$ | .4 | REVENUE |
| $\$ 293.24$ | $\$ 33.03$ | $\$ 326.27$ | .6 | REVENUE |
| $\$ 112.50$ | $\$ 24.18$ | $\$ 136.69$ | .4 | REVENUE |
| $\$ 121.88$ | $\$ 26.20$ | $\$ 148.08$ | .5 | REVENUE |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Operating District: ST PETERSBURG
WR Type: ESTIM - ESTIMATE ONLY WORK REQUEST

Customer Name: 11.052014 LONG FILING - UG REPLAC
Customer Address: 299 N 1ST AVE
ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701 -

Customer \#:
WR Owner: ROBERT E MCCABE
Requested Completion Date: 06/30/2014
WR Description: 11.05 2014 LONG FILING - UG REPLACING EXISTING OH

| WUS4OTPXF | 2921144 | I | 37 | $\$ 57.81$ | $\$ 12.43$ | $\$ 70.24$ | .2 | REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| WUS4OTPXF | 2921144 | I | 66 | $\$ 103.13$ | $\$ 22.17$ | $\$ 125.29$ | .4 | REVENUE |
| Subtotal: |  |  |  |  | $\$ 1,413.10$ | $\$ 2,723.05$ | $\$ 4,136.15$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 1}$ |

Total Construction Cost
\$6,207.31

Total CIAC

Detailed Cost Estimate
WR Revision:

Operating District: ST PETERSBURG<br>WR Type: ESTIM - ESTIMATE ONLY WORK REQUEST

## Scheduled Start Date:

Requested Completion Date: 06/30/2014

Customer Name: 11.052014 LONG FILING - VG REPLAC Customer Address: 299 N 1ST AVE ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701 -

WR Description: 11.052014 LONG FILING - UG REPLACING EXISTING OH

| Item | Total |
| :--- | :---: |
| DESIGN AND PRO MGT PF | $\$ 152.21$ |
| FLEET - PEF | $\$ 191.24$ |
| MANAGEMENT \& SURV - PEF | $\$ 303.31$ |
|  | $\$ 646.77$ |



OH SEC LOND

Operating District: ST PETERSBURG
WR Type: ESTIM - ESTIMATE ONLY WORK REQUEST

Customer Name: 11.052014 LONG FILING - UG REPLAC
Customer Address: 299 N 1ST AVE ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701-

## Scheduled Start Date:

Requested Completion Date: 06/30/2014

Customer \#:
WR Owner: ROBERT E MCCABE

WR Description: 11.052014 LONG FILING - UG REPLACING EXISTING OH

| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921146 | R | 80 | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 31.35$ | $\$ 31.35$ | .6 | REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921147 | R | 80 | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 31.35$ | $\$ 31.35$ | .6 | REVENUE |
| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921144 | R | 40 | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 15.67$ | $\$ 15.67$ | .3 | REVENUE |
| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921147 | R | 40 | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 15.67$ | $\$ 15.67$ | .3 | REVENUE |
| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921146 | R | 20 | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 7.84$ | $\$ 7.84$ | .1 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921144 | R | 20 | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 7.84$ | $\$ 7.84$ | .1 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921144 | R | 30 | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 11.76$ | $\$ 11.76$ | .2 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921144 | R | 60 | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 23.51$ | $\$ 23.51$ | .4 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921144 | R | 40 | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 15.67$ | $\$ 15.67$ | .3 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921147 | R | 80 | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 31.35$ | $\$ 31.35$ | .6 | REVENUE |
| Subtotal: |  |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 5 0 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 5 0 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ |

## Total CIAC

Customer Name: 11.052014 LONG FILING - JG REPLAC
Customer Address: 299 N MST AVE ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701-

Customer \#:
WR Owner: ROBERT E MCCABE
WR Description: 11.052014 LONG FILING - UG REPLACING EXISTING OH

| Item | Total |
| :--- | :---: |
| DESIGN AND PRO MGT PF | $\$ 184.98$ |
| FLEET - PEF | $\$ 232.41$ |
| MANAGEMENT \& SURV - PEF | $\$ 368.61$ |

= $\$ 786.00$


## OH SEC LOND

Customer Name: 11.052014 LONG FILING - UG REPLAC
Customer Address: 299 N 1ST AVE ST PETERSBURG, FL 33701 -

## Customer \#:

WR Owner: ROBERT E MCCABE

Requested Completion Date: 06/30/2014
WR Description: 11.052014 LONG FILING - UG REPLACING EXISTING OH

| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921146 | 1 | 20 | $\$ 17.34$ | $\$ 11.20$ | $\$ 28.54$ | .2 | REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921147 | 1 | 80 | $\$ 69.37$ | $\$ 44.78$ | $\$ 114.15$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921144 | 1 | 40 | $\$ 34.68$ | $\$ 22.39$ | $\$ 57.07$ | .4 | REVENUE |
| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921146 | 1 | 80 | $\$ 69.37$ | $\$ 44.78$ | $\$ 114.15$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| WOS10AACTPXF | 2921147 | 1 | 40 | $\$ 34.68$ | $\$ 22.39$ | $\$ 57.07$ | .4 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921144 | 1 | 40 | $\$ 65.49$ | $\$ 22.39$ | $\$ 87.89$ | .4 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921144 | 1 | 20 | $\$ 32.75$ | $\$ 11.20$ | $\$ 43.94$ | .2 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921144 | 1 | 60 | $\$ 98.24$ | $\$ 33.59$ | $\$ 131.83$ | .6 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921144 | 1 | 30 | $\$ 49.12$ | $\$ 16.79$ | $\$ 65.91$ | .3 | REVENUE |
| WOS40AACAERTPXF | 2921147 | 1 | 80 | $\$ 130.99$ | $\$ 44.78$ | $\$ 175.77$ | .8 | REVENUE |
| Subtotal: |  |  |  | $\$ 602.03$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 3 3 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 3 5 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Construction Cost |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 4 2 1 . 4 2}$ |  |  |  |

Total CIAC
$\$ 0.00$


[^0]:    1-Includes Sales Tax.
    2-Meters not included - overhead and underground cost is the same.
    3-Stores - Benchstock, Corporate Stores and Local Stores - 21.25\% of material
    4-Design and Project Management - 17.90\% of labor
    S-Management and supervision - 35.67\% of labor
    6 - Fleet - 22.49\% of labor

[^1]:    1-Includes Sales Tax.
    2-Meters not included - overhead and underground cost is the same.
    3-Stores - Benchstock, Corporate Stores and Local Stores - 21.25\% of material
    4-Design and Project Management - 17.90\% of labor
    5-Management and supervision - $35.67 \%$ of labor
    6 - Fleet $-22.49 \%$ of labor

[^2]:    1-Includes Sales Tax.
    2-Meters not included - overhead and underground cost is the same.
    3-Stores - Benchstock, Corporate Stores and Local Stores - $21.25 \%$ of material
    4-Design and Project Management - $17.90 \%$ of labor:
    5-Management and supervision - 35.67\% of labor
    6 - Fleet - $22.49 \%$ of labor

[^3]:    1-Includes Sales Tax.
    2-Meters not included - overhead and underground cost is the same.
    3-Stores - Benchstock, Corporate Stores and Local Stores - $21.25 \%$ of material
    4-Design and Project Management - 17.90\% of labor:
    5-Management and supervision - 35.67\% of labor
    6 - Fleet - $22.49 \%$ of labor

[^4]:    1-Includes Sales Tax.
    2-Meters not included - overhead and underground cost is the same.
    3-Stores - Benchstock, Corporate Stores and Local Stores - $21.25 \%$ of material
    4-Design and Project Management - 17.90\% of labor
    5-Management and supervision - 35.67\% of labor
    6 - Fleet - 22.49\% of labor

